(R,S)-Modules and (1,k)-Jointly Prime (R,S)-Submodules

Thawatchai Khumprapussorn

Abstract—We introduced the notions of (1, k)-prime ideal and (1, k)-jointly prime (R, S)-submodule as a generalization of prime ideal and jointly prime (R, S)-submodule, respectively. We provide a relationship between (1, k)-prime ideal and (1, k)-jointly prime (R, S)-submodule. Characterizations of (1, k)-jointly prime (R, S)-submodules are also given.

I. INTRODUCTION

T HROUGHOUT this paper, let R and S be rings and M an abelian group.

Definition 1.1: [1] Let R and S be rings and M an abelian group under addition. We say that M is an (R, S)-**module** if there is a function $_\cdot_\cdot_: R \times M \times S \to M$ satisfying the following properties: for all $r, r_1, r_2 \in R$, $m, n \in M$ and $s, s_1, s_2 \in S$,

(i) $r \cdot (m+n) \cdot s = r \cdot m \cdot s + r \cdot n \cdot s$

- (ii) $(r_1 + r_2) \cdot m \cdot s = r_1 \cdot m \cdot s + r_2 \cdot m \cdot s$
- (iii) $r \cdot m \cdot (s_1 + s_2) = r \cdot m \cdot s_1 + r \cdot m \cdot s_2$
- (iv) $r_1 \cdot (r_2 \cdot m \cdot s_1) \cdot s_2 = (r_1 r_2) \cdot m \cdot (s_1 s_2).$

We usually abbreviate $r \cdot m \cdot s$ by rms. We may also say that M is an (R, S)-module under + and $_\cdot_\cdot_$.

An (R, S)-submodule of an (R, S)-module M is a subgroup N of M such that $rns \in N$ for all $r \in R$, $n \in N$ and $s \in S$.

Definition 1.2: [1] Let M be an (R, S)-module. A proper (R, S)-submodule P of M is called **jointly prime** if for each left ideal I of R, right ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule N of M,

$$INJ \subseteq P$$
 implies $IMJ \subseteq P$ or $N \subseteq P$

The structure of an (R, S)-module was created as a generalization of a module structure. The basic results of an (R, S)-module structure have been given by [1] and [2]. Almost all of those results was studied analoguous to a module structure such as the primalities of (R, S)-submodules of (R, S)-modules and left multiplication (R, S)-modules; see [1] and [2].

In this paper, we introduce the notions of (1, 2)-prime ideal, (1, k)-prime ideal, (1, 2)-jointly prime (R, S)-submodule and (1, k)-jointly prime (R, S)-submodule and obtain equivalent conditions for an (R, S)-submodule to be (1, k)-jointly prime (R, S)-submodule.

T. Khumprapussorn is with the Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, Thailand, e-mail: khthawat@kmitl.ac.th).

II. (1, 2)-Jointly Prime (R, S)-Submodules

In this research, we modify the structure of a jointly prime (R, S)-submodules for more general. Now, we start this section by giving the definition of (1, 2)-jointly prime (R, S)-submodules.

Definition 2.1: A proper (R, S)-submodule P of M is called (1, 2)-jointly prime if for each left ideal I of R, right ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule N of M,

$$INJ^2 \subseteq P$$
 implies $IMJ^2 \subseteq P$ or $N \subseteq P$.

By the dual of (1, 2)-jointly prime, we define (2, 1)-jointly prime as follow.

A proper (R, S)-submodule P of M is called (2, 1)-jointly prime if for each left ideal I of R, right ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule N of M,

$$I^2NJ \subseteq P$$
 implies $I^2MJ \subseteq P$ or $N \subseteq P$.

It is clear that a jointly prime (R, S)-submodule is (1, 2)jointly prime and (2, 1)-jointly prime. Next, we give a characterization of (1, 2)-jointly prime and (2, 1)-jointly prime $(r\mathbb{Z}, s\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} where $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proposition 2.2: Let $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \setminus \{1\}$. Then

- (i) pZ is an (1,2)-jointly prime (rZ, sZ)-module of Z if and only if p = 0, p is a prime integer or p | rs².
- (ii) pZ is a (2,1)-jointly prime (rZ, sZ)-module of Z if and only if p = 0, p is a prime integer or p | r²s.

Proof: (i) (\Rightarrow) Assume that $p\mathbb{Z}$ is a (1,2)-jointly prime $(r\mathbb{Z}, s\mathbb{Z})$ -module of \mathbb{Z} . Suppose that $p \neq 0$ and p is not a prime integer. Then p = mn for some integer m, n > 1. It implies that $(rm\mathbb{Z})(n\mathbb{Z})(s^2\mathbb{Z}) = (rmns^2)\mathbb{Z} \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}$. Since $p\mathbb{Z}$ is (1,2)-jointly prime and $p \nmid n$, $(rm\mathbb{Z})\mathbb{Z}(s^2\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $(r\mathbb{Z})(m\mathbb{Z})(s^2\mathbb{Z}) = (rm\mathbb{Z})\mathbb{Z}(s^2\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}$. Since $p\mathbb{Z}$ is (1,2)-jointly prime and $p \nmid m$, $(r\mathbb{Z})\mathbb{Z}(s^2\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}$. Since $p\mathbb{Z}$ is (1,2)-jointly prime and $p \nmid m$, $(r\mathbb{Z})\mathbb{Z}(s^2\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $p \mid rs^2$.

(\Leftarrow) If p = 0 or p is a prime integer or $p | rs^2$, then it is clear that $p\mathbb{Z}$ is (1,2)-jointly prime.

Now, we already have an example of (1,2)-jointly prime but is not jointly prime.

Example 2.3: It is clear that \mathbb{Z} is a $(2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z})$ -module. Then $9\mathbb{Z}$ is a (1, 2)-jointly prime $(2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} but $9\mathbb{Z}$ is not a jointly prime $(2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} .

The following is an example showing that (1,2)-jointly prime and (2,1)-jointly prime are exactly different.

Example 2.4: Recall that \mathbb{Z} is a $(2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z})$ -module. Then $4\mathbb{Z}$ is a (2, 1)-jointly prime $(2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} but $4\mathbb{Z}$ is not a (1, 2)-jointly prime $(2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} and $9\mathbb{Z}$

is a (1,2)-jointly prime $(2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} but $9\mathbb{Z}$ is not a (2,1)-jointly prime $(2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} .

Moreover, $p\mathbb{Z}$ is both a (1, 2)-jointly prime and (2, 1)-jointly prime $(2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} if and only if $p\mathbb{Z}$ is a jointly prime $(2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} .

Note that (1, 2)-jointly prime and (2, 1)-jointly prime may be different even if ring R and S are commutative.

We have a question from Example 2.4 that for general, if P is (1, 2)-jointly prime and (2, 1)-jointly prime, then can P be a jointly prime (R, S)-submodule? The following is an answers.

Example 2.5: It easy to see that \mathbb{Z} is a $(2\mathbb{Z}, 4\mathbb{Z})$ -module. Then 16 \mathbb{Z} is both a (1,2)-jointly prime and (2,1)-jointly prime $(2\mathbb{Z}, 4\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} but 16 \mathbb{Z} is not a jointly prime $(2\mathbb{Z}, 4\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} .

Example 2.6: Let \mathbb{Z} be a ring of integer and let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \middle| x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$
$$S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 & 0 \\ y & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \middle| x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \text{ and }$$

M is the set of all 3×3 matrices on integer. Then M is an (R, S)-module. Since $R^2 = 0 = S^2$, all proper (R, S)-submodules of M are both (1, 2)-jointly prime and (2, 1)-jointly prime (R, S)-submodule of M. However, 0 is not a jointly prime (R, S)-submodule of M.

For each (R, S)-submodule P of M and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let

$$(P:M)_{R:S^k} = \{r \in R \mid rMS^k \subseteq P\}$$

Proposition 2.7: Let P be an (R, S)-submodule of an (R, S)-module M and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The followings hold.

(i) $(P:M)_{R;S^k}$ is a subgroup of R under addition.

- (ii) $(P:M)_{R;S^k} \subseteq (P:M)_{R;S^{k+1}}.$
- (iii) If $S^2 = S$, then $(P : M)_{R;S^k}$ is an ideal of R. *Proof:* The proof is straightforward.

Next, we introduced a particular nonempty subset of R which play a role in this research.

Let R be a ring and T a proper ideal of R. Then T is said to be a (1,2)-**prime ideal** of R if for each ideal A and B of R, if $AB^2 \subseteq T$, then $A \subseteq T$ or $B^2 \subseteq T$. A prime ideal of R is a (1,2)-prime ideal of R but the converse is not true. We show by observing the following example.

Example 2.8: Let p be an integer. If p = 0 or p is a prime integer or $p = q^2$ where q is a prime integer, then $p\mathbb{Z}$ is a (1, 2)-prime ideal of \mathbb{Z} .

It clear that $4\mathbb{Z}$ is a (1,2)-prime ideal of \mathbb{Z} but is not a prime ideal of \mathbb{Z} .

Proposition 2.9: Let P be an (R, S)-submodule of an (R, S)-module M such that $(P : M)_{R;S^2}$ is a proper ideal of R. If P is a (1, 2)-jointly prime (R, S)-submodule of M, then $(P : M)_{R;S^2}$ is a (1, 2)-prime ideal of R.

Proof: Assume that P is a (1, 2)-jointly prime (R, S)submodule of M. Let A and B be ideals of R such that $AB^2 \subseteq$ $(P : M)_{R;S^2}$. Hence $(AB^2)MS^2S^2 \subseteq (AB^2)MS^2 \subseteq P$. Thus $A(B^2MS^2)S^2 \subseteq P$. Since P is (1, 2)-jointly prime, $AMS^2 \subseteq P$ or $B^2MS^2 \subseteq P$. Therefore $A \subseteq (P : M)_{R;S^2}$ or $B^2 \subseteq (P:M)_{R;S^2}$. This means that $(P:M)_{R;S^2}$ is a (1,2)-prime ideal of R.

The converse of Proposition 2.9 is invalid. For example, $6\mathbb{Z}$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} . We see that $(6\mathbb{Z} : \mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Z};(2\mathbb{Z})^2} = 3\mathbb{Z}$ is a prime ideal of \mathbb{Z} , of course, $3\mathbb{Z}$ is a (1, 2)-prime ideal of \mathbb{Z} but $6\mathbb{Z}$ is not a (1, 2)-jointly prime $(\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} .

III. (1, k)-Jointly Prime (R, S)-Submodules

In this section, we extend the notion of (1, 2)-jointly prime to (1, k)-jointly prime where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Similarly, we also extend the notion of (2, 1)-jointly prime to (k, 1)-jointly prime where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Definition 3.1: Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and M be an (R, S)-module. A proper (R, S)-submodule P of M is called (1, k)-jointly prime if for each left ideal I of R, right ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule N of M,

$$INJ^k \subseteq P$$
 implies $IMJ^k \subseteq P$ or $N \subseteq P$.

Dually, a proper (R, S)-submodule P of M is called (k, 1)-**jointly prime** if for each left ideal I of R, right ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule N of M,

$$I^k N J \subseteq P$$
 implies $I^k M J \subseteq P$ or $N \subseteq P$.

Note here that jointly prime and (1,1)-jointly prime are identical.

- *Proposition 3.2:* Let $r, s, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \setminus \{1\}$. Then
- (i) pZ is a (1, k)-jointly prime (rZ, sZ)-module of Z if and only if p = 0, p is a prime integer or p | rs^k.
- (ii) pZ is a (k, 1)-jointly prime (rZ, sZ)-module of Z if and only if p = 0, p is a prime integer or p | r^ks.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Assume that $p\mathbb{Z}$ is a (1, k)-jointly prime $(r\mathbb{Z}, s\mathbb{Z})$ -module of \mathbb{Z} . Suppose that $p \neq 0$ and p is not a prime integer. Then p = mn for some integer m, n > 1. It implies that $(rm\mathbb{Z})(n\mathbb{Z})(s^k\mathbb{Z}) = (rmns^k)\mathbb{Z} \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}$. Since $p\mathbb{Z}$ is (1, k)-jointly prime and $p \nmid n$, $(rm\mathbb{Z})\mathbb{Z}(s^k\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $(r\mathbb{Z})(m\mathbb{Z})(s^k\mathbb{Z}) = (rm\mathbb{Z})\mathbb{Z}(s^k\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}$. Since $p\mathbb{Z}$ is (1, k)-jointly prime and $p \nmid m$, $(r\mathbb{Z})\mathbb{Z}(s^k\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $p \mid rs^k$.

(\Leftarrow) If p = 0 or p is a prime integer or $p | rs^k$, then it is clear that $p\mathbb{Z}$ is (1, k)-jointly prime.

Proposition 3.3: Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and M be an (R, S)-module. Then

- (i) If P is (1, k)-jointly prime, then P is (1, k + 1)-jointly prime.
- (ii) If P is (k, 1)-jointly prime, then P is (k + 1, 1)-jointly prime.

Proof: Assume that P is a (1,k)-jointly prime (R, S)submodule of M. Let I be a left ideal of R, N an (R, S)submodule of M and J be a right ideal of S such that $INJ^{k+1} \subseteq P$. Note that $I(INJ)J^k \subseteq I^2NJ^{k+1} \subseteq$ $INJ^{k+1} \subseteq P$. Since P is (1,k)-jointly prime, $IMJ^k \subseteq P$ or $INJ \subseteq P$. Note that $J^{k+1} \subseteq J^k \subseteq J$. If $IMJ^k \subseteq P$, then $IMJ^{k+1} \subseteq P$. Assume that $INJ \subseteq P$. Then $INJ^k \subseteq P$. Since P is (1,k)-jointly prime, $IMJ^k \subseteq P$ or $N \subseteq P$.

The following example shows that the converse of Proposition 3.3 is false in general.

Example 3.4: Recall that \mathbb{Z} is a $(2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z})$ -module. Then 27 \mathbb{Z} and 54 \mathbb{Z} are (1,3)-jointly prime $(2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} but 27 \mathbb{Z} and 54 \mathbb{Z} are not (1,2)-jointly prime $(2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of \mathbb{Z} .

We obtain the following diagram from Proposition 3.3. Note that the order pair (m, n) means P is a (m, n)-jointly prime (R, S)-submodule of M.

In this point, we present a generalization of a (1,2)-prime ideal of R which is called (1, k)-prime ideal of R where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let R be a ring and T a proper ideal of R and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then T is said to be a (1, k)-**prime ideal** of R if for each ideal A and B of R, if $AB^k \subseteq T$, then $A \subseteq T$ or $B^k \subseteq T$.

Proposition 3.5: Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and P be an (R, S)-submodule of an (R, S)-module M such that $(P : M)_{R;S^k}$ is a proper ideal of R. If P is a (1, k)-jointly prime (R, S)-submodule of M, then $(P : M)_{R;S^k}$ is a (1, k)-prime ideal of R.

Note that $(X)_l$ and $(X)_r$ is the left ideal generated by X and the right ideal generated by X, respectively, for any subset X of a ring R. $\langle Y \rangle$ is the (R, S)-submodule generated by Y for any (R, S)-submodule Y of an (R, S)-module M Next result needs the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6: Let M be an (R, S)-module and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The following statements hold:

(i) For all left ideal I of R, left ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule N and P of M,

$$INJ^k \subseteq P$$
 implies $I^2N(J)^k_r \subseteq P$.

(ii) For all right ideal I of R, left ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule N and P of M,

$$INJ^k \subseteq P$$
 implies $(I)_l N(J^2)^k \subseteq P$

(iii) For all right ideal I of R, left ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule P of M,

$$(I)_l M (J^2)^k \subseteq P$$
 implies $(I)_l \langle IMJ^k \rangle J^k \subseteq P$.

(iv) For all right ideal I of R, right ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule N and P of M,

$$INJ^k \subseteq P$$
 implies $I^2N(J)_l^k \subseteq P$.

(v) For all right ideal I of R, right ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule P of M,

$$I^2 M(J)_l^k \subseteq P$$
 implies $I \langle I M J^k \rangle \langle J \rangle_l^k \subseteq P$.

(vi) For all left ideal I of R, right ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule N and P of M,

$$INJ^k \subseteq P$$
 implies $(I)_r N(J^2)^k \subseteq P$.

(vii) For all left ideal I of R, right ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule P of M,

$$(I)_r M (J^2)^k \subseteq P$$
 implies $(I)_r \langle IMJ^k \rangle J^k \subseteq P$.

Proof: (i) Let I be a left ideal of R, J a left ideal of S, N and P be (R, S)-submodules of M. Assume that $INJ^k \subseteq P$. Then

$$I^{2}N(J)_{r}^{k} = I^{2}N(J + JS)^{k}$$

$$\subseteq I^{2}N(J^{k} + J^{k}S)$$

$$\subseteq INJ^{k} + I(INJ^{k})S$$

$$\subseteq P.$$

(ii) Let I be a right ideal of R, J a left ideal of S, N and P be (R, S)-submodules of M. Assume that $INJ^k \subseteq P$. Then

$$(I)_{l}N(J^{2})^{k} = (I + RI)N(J^{k})^{2}$$

= $(I + RI)NJ^{k}J^{k}$
 $\subseteq INJ^{k}J^{k} + RINJ^{k}J^{k}$
 $\subseteq INJ^{k} + R(INJ^{k})J^{k}$
 $\subseteq P.$

(iii) Let I be a right ideal of R, J a left ideal of S, N and P be (R, S)-submodules of M. Assume that $(I)_l M (J^2)^k \subseteq P$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (I)_l \langle IMJ^k \rangle J^k &= (I)_l (\mathbb{Z}(IMJ^k) + R(IMJ^k)S)J^k \\ &\subseteq (I)_l (\mathbb{Z}(IMJ^k))J^k + (I)_l (R(IMJ^k)S)J^k \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{Z}(I)_l IM(J^k)^2 + (I)_l RIMJ^k SJ^k \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{Z}(I)_l M(J^2)^k + (I)_l M(J^2)^k \\ &\subset P \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Let I be a right ideal of R, J a right ideal of S, N and P be (R, S)-submodules of M. Assume that $INJ^k \subseteq P$. Then

$$I^{2}N(J)_{l}^{k} = I^{2}N(J + SJ)^{k}$$

$$\subseteq I^{2}N(J^{k} + SJ^{k})$$

$$\subseteq INJ^{k} + I(INS)J^{k}$$

$$\subseteq INJ^{k} + INJ^{k}$$

$$\subseteq P + P$$

$$\subseteq P.$$

(v) Let I be a right ideal of R, J a right ideal of S, N and P be (R, S)-submodules of M. Assume that $I^2M(J)_l^k \subseteq P$. Then

$$\begin{split} I\langle IMJ^{k}\rangle(J)_{l}^{k} &= I(\mathbb{Z}(IMJ^{k}) + R(IMJ^{k})S)(J)_{l}^{k} \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{Z}(I^{2}MJ^{k}(J)_{l}^{k}) + IRIMJ^{k}S(J)_{l}^{k} \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{Z}(I^{2}M(J)_{l}^{k}) + I^{2}M(J)_{l}^{k} \\ &\subset P. \end{split}$$

(vi) Let I be a left ideal of R, J a right ideal of S, N and P be (R, S)-submodules of M. Assume that $INJ^k \subseteq P$. Then

$$(I)_r N (J^2)^k = (I + IR) N J^k J^k$$

$$\subseteq IN J^k J^k + (IR) N J^k J^k$$

$$\subseteq IN J^k + I (RN J^k) J^k$$

$$\subseteq IN J^k$$

$$\subset P.$$

(vii) Let I be a left ideal of R, J a right ideal of S, N and P be (R, S)-submodules of M. Assume that $(I)_r M (J^2)^k \subseteq P$. Then

$$(I)_r \langle IMJ^k \rangle J^k = (I)_r (\mathbb{Z}(IMJ^k) + R(IMJ^k)S)J^k$$

$$\subseteq \mathbb{Z}((I)_r IMJ^k J^k) + (I)_r RIMJ^k SJ^k$$

$$\subseteq \mathbb{Z}(I)_r M(J^2)^k + (I)_r M(J^2)^k$$

$$\subseteq P + P.$$

$$\subseteq P.$$

Next, we obtain equivalent conditions for an (R, S)-submodule to be (1, k)-jointly prime (R, S)-submodules.

Theorem 3.7: Let M be an (R, S)-module and P a proper (R, S)-submodule of M and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The following statments are equivalent:

- (i) P is an (1, k)-jointly prime (R, S)-submodule of M.
- (ii) For all left ideal I of R, left ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule N of M,

 $INJ^k \subseteq P$ implies $IMJ^k \subseteq P$ or $N \subseteq P$.

(iii) For all right ideal I of R, left ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule N of M,

 $INJ^k \subseteq P$ implies $IMJ^k \subseteq P$ or $N \subseteq P$.

(iv) For all right ideal I of R, right ideal J of S and (R, S)-submodule N of M,

 $INJ^k \subseteq P$ implies $IMJ^k \subseteq P$ or $N \subseteq P$.

(v) For all left ideal I of R, right ideal J of S and $m \in M$,

 $I\langle m\rangle J^k\subseteq P$ implies $IMJ^k\subseteq P$ or $m\in P$.

(vi) For all left ideal I of R, left ideal J of S and $m \in M$, $I(m) \stackrel{I^k}{\longrightarrow} C \stackrel{R}{\longrightarrow} \lim_{n \to \infty} IM \stackrel{I^k}{\longrightarrow} C \stackrel{R}{\longrightarrow} m \stackrel{R}{\longrightarrow} C \stackrel{R}{\longrightarrow} M$

$$I\langle m\rangle J^{\kappa} \subseteq P$$
 implies $IMJ^{\kappa} \subseteq P$ or $m \in P$.

(vii) For all right ideal I of R, left ideal J of S and $m \in M$,

$$I\langle m\rangle J^k \subseteq P$$
 implies $IMJ^k \subseteq P$ or $m \in P$.

(viii) For all right ideal I of R, right ideal J of S and $m \in M$,

 $I\langle m\rangle J^k \subseteq P$ implies $IMJ^k \subseteq P$ or $m \in P$.

Proof: This follows from Lemma 3.6.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Khumprapussorn, S. Pianskool and M. Hall, (R, S)-modules and Their
- Fully prime and Jointly prime Submodules, IMF, 7 (2012), 1631 1643.
 [2] T. Khumprapussorn, Left R-prime(R, S)-submodules, IMF, 8 (2013), 619 626.