

# New delay-dependent stability conditions for neutral systems with nonlinear perturbations

Lianglin Xiong, Xiuyong Ding, and Shouming Zhong

**Abstract**—In this paper, the problem of asymptotical stability of neutral systems with nonlinear perturbations is investigated. Based on a class of novel augmented Lyapunov functionals which contain free-weighting matrices, some new delay-dependent asymptotical stability criteria are formulated in terms of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs) by using new inequality analysis technique. Numerical examples are given to demonstrate the derived condition are much less conservative than those given in the literature.

**Keywords**—Asymptotical stability, neutral system, nonlinear perturbation, Delay-dependent, linear matrix inequality(LMI)

## I. INTRODUCTION

IT is well known that neutral systems are frequently encountered in various engineering systems, including population ecology, distributed networks containing lossless transmission lines, heat exchangers, and repetitive control [13], [9], [18]. There are many reports about stability conditions for neutral systems in the literature, such as [16], [7], [10], [11], [12], [17], [8], and the references therein. Currently efforts on the problem for stability of neutral systems can be divided into two categories, namely delay-dependent stability criteria and delay-independent stability criteria. Generally speaking, the delay-dependent stability conditions are less conservative than the delay-independent stability conditions for the neutral systems with small time delay.

In recent decades, the problem of robust stability of time-delay systems with nonlinear perturbations has also received considerable attention. To deal with the stability of systems with time varying delays and nonlinear perturbations, Cao and Lam proposed a model transformation technique [1]. By using a descriptor transformation method combined with a matrix decomposition approach, [4] presented the stability conditions for uncertain systems including time-varying delays, and both nonlinear perturbations and norm-bounded uncertainties are considered. The results in [4] were less conservative than those of [1],[4]. In order to reduce the conservatism, some free-weighting matrices (slack matrices) were introduced together with a descriptor transformation method [23]. Using the Lyapunov functional technique combined with matrix inequality technique, [14] presented a novel asymptotic stability criterion for neutral systems with nonlinear perturbations. [6] also studied the neutral systems with nonlinear parameter

perturbations with a model transformation technique, by constructing Lyapunov-functionals. To reduce the conservatism, a new integral inequality which is particularly suitable for the analysis of the stability of the neutral systems was introduced in [21]. However, both the results of time-delay bounds in [6] and [21] are so small that can be improved with another novel approach, and some novel integrate inequalities which were introduced in [22] might also be considered into the stability of neutral systems with nonlinear perturbation, all of which motivates this paper.

In this paper, the delay-dependent asymptotic stability for uncertain neutral systems with nonlinear perturbations is studied. Owing to a class of novel augmented Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals, improved delay-dependent asymptotical stability criteria for the neutral systems are derived by using the inequalities analysis technique and introducing some free weighting matrices. Note that these advantages are not obtained at the cost of high computational complexity. Finally, numerical examples are given to illustrate the superiority of present result to those in the literature.

## II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

### Nomenclature

$R^n$	n-dimensional real space
$R^{n \times n}$	set of all real n by n matrices
$x^T$ or $A^T$	transpose of vector x (or matrix A)
$P > 0$ (respectively, $P < 0$ )	matrix $P$ is symmetric positive (respectively, negative) definite
$P \geq 0$ (respectively, $P \leq 0$ )	matrix $P$ is symmetric positive (respectively, negative) semi-definite
*	the elements below the main diagonal of a symmetric block matrix.

Consider the following uncertain nonlinear with mixed time-varying delay system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) - C\dot{x}(t - \tau_2) = Ax(t) + Bx(t - \tau_1(t)) \\ \quad + f_1(t, x(t)) \\ \quad + f_2(t, x(t - \tau_1(t))) \\ \quad + f_3(t, \dot{x}(t - \tau_2)) \\ x(t_0 + \theta) = \varphi(\theta), \forall \theta \in [-\rho, 0] \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $x(t) \in R^n$  is the state vector, the time-varying delays  $h(t)$  and  $\tau(t)$  satisfy

$$0 \leq \tau_1(t) \leq \tau_1 < \infty, \dot{\tau}_1(t) \leq \tau_{1d}, \rho = \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}.$$

$\varphi(\theta)$  is the initial condition function,  $A \in R^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in R^{n \times n}$ ,  $C \in R^{n \times n}$  are uncertain matrices, and the function

Lianglin Xiong is with School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Yunnan University of Nationalities, Kunming 650031, P.R. China,(Corresponding author's e-mail: lianglin\_5318@126.com).

Xiuyong Ding and Shouming Zhong are with School of Applied Mathematics, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054,P.R. China (e-mail: dingxingzhi@yahoo.cn).

$f_1(t, x(t)), f_2(t, x(t - \tau_1(t)))$  and  $f_3(t, \dot{x}(t - \tau_2))$  represent the nonlinear time-varying perturbations. It is assumed that  $f_i(t, 0) = 0 (i = 1, 2, 3)$ , and

$$\|f_1(t, x(t))\| \leq \beta_1 \|x(t)\| \tag{2a}$$

$$\|f_2(t, x(t - \tau_1(t)))\| \leq \beta_2 \|x(t - \tau_1(t))\| \tag{2b}$$

$$\|f_3(t, \dot{x}(t - \tau_2))\| \leq \beta_3 \|\dot{x}(t - \tau_2)\| \tag{2c}$$

where  $\beta_1 \geq 0, \beta_2 \geq 0$  and  $\beta_3 \geq 0$  are given constants.

Constraint (2) can be rewritten as following:

$$f_1^T(t, x(t)) f_1(t, x(t)) \leq \beta_1^2 x^T(t) x(t) \tag{3a}$$

$$f_2^T(t, x(t - \tau_1(t))) f_2(t, x(t - \tau_1(t))) \leq \beta_2^2 x^T(t - \tau_1(t)) x(t - \tau_1(t)) \tag{3b}$$

$$f_3^T(t, \dot{x}(t - \tau_2)) f_3(t, \dot{x}(t - \tau_2)) \leq \beta_3^2 \dot{x}^T(t - \tau_2) \dot{x}(t - \tau_2) \tag{3c}$$

for the sake of simplicity, let  $f_1 := f_1(t, x(t)), f_2 := f_2(t, x(t - \tau_1(t))), f_3 := f_3(t, \dot{x}(t - \tau_2))$ .

**Lemma 1:** [22] For any constant symmetric matrix  $Q \in R^{n \times n}, Q = Q^T > 0$ , and any appropriate dimensional matrices,  $M_1 \in R^n, M_2 \in R^n, Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ * & Z_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in R^{2n \times 2n}, Y = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \end{bmatrix} \in R^{n \times 2n}$ , if  $\begin{pmatrix} Q & Y \\ * & Z \end{pmatrix} > 0, 0 \leq \tau(t) \leq \tau < \infty$ , such that the integrations in the following are well defined, then

$$-\int_{t-\tau}^t \dot{x}^T(s) Q \dot{x}(s) ds \leq \xi(t)^T \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{11} & \mathcal{M}_{12} \\ * & \mathcal{M}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \xi(t)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{11} &= M_1 + M_1^T + \tau Z_{11}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{12} &= -M_1^T + M_2 + \tau Z_{12}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{22} &= -M_2 - M_2^T + \tau Z_{22}, \\ \xi(t) &= \text{col} \left( x(t) \quad x(t - \tau(t)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2:** [3] For any constant symmetric matrix  $M \in R^{n \times n}, M = M^T > 0$ , scalar  $r > 0$ , vector function  $g : [0, r] \rightarrow R^n$ , such that the integrations in the following are well defined, then

$$r \int_0^r g^T(s) M g(s) ds \geq \left[ \int_0^r g(s) ds \right]^T M \left[ \int_0^r g(s) ds \right]$$

### III. MAIN RESULTS

In general, the following assumption is satisfied as considering the stability of neutral systems.

**A1.** All the eigenvalues of matrix  $C$  are inside the unit circle.

For the asymptotically stability of systems described by (1), we have the following result.

**Theorem 1:** Under **A1**, the systems (1) is asymptotically stability, if there exist matrices  $L = \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} & L_{13} \\ L_{12}^T & L_{22} & L_{23} \\ L_{13}^T & L_{23}^T & L_{33} \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$  with  $L_{11} > 0, R = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{12}^T & R_{22} \end{pmatrix} \geq 0, Q_1 > 0,$

$Q_2 > 0, Q_3 > 0, N_{99} > 0$  and any appropriate dimensional matrices  $N_{ij} (i, j = 1, \dots, 9), M_1 \in R^n, M_2 \in R^n, Y = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \end{bmatrix} \in R^{n \times 2n}, Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ * & Z_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  and scalars  $\varepsilon_1 > 0, \varepsilon_2 > 0, \varepsilon_3 > 0$ , such that the following LMIs holds:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_3 & Y \\ * & Z \end{pmatrix} > 0 \tag{4}$$

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} N_{11} & N_{12} & N_{13} & N_{14} & \dots & N_{19} \\ * & N_{22} & N_{23} & N_{24} & \dots & N_{29} \\ * & * & N_{33} & N_{34} & \dots & N_{39} \\ * & * & * & N_{44} & \dots & N_{49} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & * & \dots & N_{99} \end{pmatrix} > 0 \tag{5}$$

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} & \phi_{13} & \phi_{14} & \dots & \phi_{19} \\ * & \phi_{22} & \phi_{23} & \phi_{24} & \dots & \phi_{29} \\ * & * & \phi_{33} & \phi_{34} & \dots & \phi_{39} \\ * & * & * & \phi_{44} & \dots & \phi_{49} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & * & \dots & \phi_{99} \end{pmatrix} > 0 \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{11} &= (L_{11} + R_{12}) A + A^T (L_{11} + R_{12})^T + L_{13} + L_{13}^T \\ &\quad + N_{19} + N_{19}^T + R_{11} + Q_1 + \tau_2 Q_2 \\ &\quad + M_1 + M_1^T + \tau_1 Z_{11} + \varepsilon_1 \beta_1^2 I + \tau_1 N_{11}, \\ \phi_{12} &= A^T L_{12} + N_{29}^T - L_{13} + L_{23}^T + \tau_1 N_{12}, \\ \phi_{13} &= (L_{11} + R_{12}) C + L_{12} + N_{39}^T + \tau_1 N_{13}, \\ \phi_{14} &= (L_{11} + R_{12}) B + N_{49}^T - M_1^T + M_2 + \tau_1 Z_{12} + \tau_1 N_{14}, \\ \phi_{15} &= L_{11} + R_{12} + N_{59}^T + \tau_1 N_{15}, \\ \phi_{16} &= L_{11} + R_{12} + N_{69}^T + \tau_1 N_{16}, \\ \phi_{17} &= L_{11} + R_{12} + N_{79}^T + \tau_1 N_{17}, \\ \phi_{18} &= \tau_2 A^T L_{13} + \tau_2 L_{33}^T + N_{89}^T + \tau_1 N_{18}, \\ \phi_{19} &= A^T (\tau_1 N_{99} + R_{22} + \tau_1 Q_3), \\ \phi_{22} &= -L_{23} - L_{23}^T - R_{11} + \tau_1 N_{22}, \\ \phi_{23} &= L_{22} + L_{12}^T C - R_{12} + \tau_1 N_{23}, \\ \phi_{24} &= L_{12}^T B - N_{29}^T + \tau_1 N_{24}, \\ \phi_{25} &= L_{12}^T + \tau_1 N_{25}, \\ \phi_{26} &= L_{12}^T + \tau_1 N_{26}, \\ \phi_{27} &= L_{12}^T + \tau_1 N_{27}, \\ \phi_{28} &= -\tau_2 L_{33}^T + \tau_1 N_{28}, \quad \phi_{29} = 0 \\ \phi_{33} &= -R_{22} + \varepsilon_3 \beta_3^2 I + \tau_1 N_{33}, \\ \phi_{34} &= -N_{39} + \tau_1 N_{34}, \\ \phi_{3j} &= \tau_1 N_{3j} \quad (j = 5, 6, 7), \\ \phi_{38} &= \tau_2 C^T L_{13} + \tau_2 L_{23} + \tau_1 N_{38}, \\ \phi_{39} &= C^T (\tau_1 N_{99} + R_{22} + \tau_1 Q_3), \\ \phi_{44} &= -(1 - \tau_{1d}) Q_1 - N_{49} - N_{49}^T - M_2 \\ &\quad - M_2^T + \tau_1 Z_{22} + \varepsilon_2 \beta_2^2 I + \tau_1 N_{44}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{45} &= -N_{59}^T + \tau_1 N_{45}, \\ \phi_{46} &= -N_{69}^T + \tau_1 N_{46}, \\ \phi_{47} &= -N_{79}^T + \tau_1 N_{47}, \\ \phi_{48} &= -N_{89}^T + \tau_2 B^T L_{13} + \tau_1 N_{48}, \\ \phi_{49} &= B^T (\tau_1 N_{99} + R_{22} + \tau_1 Q_3), \\ \phi_{55} &= -\varepsilon_1 I + \tau_1 N_{55}, \\ \phi_{56} &= \tau_1 N_{56}, \quad \phi_{57} = \tau_1 N_{57}, \\ \phi_{58} &= \tau_2 L_{13} + \tau_1 N_{58}, \\ \phi_{59} &= \tau_1 N_{99} + R_{22} + \tau_1 Q_3, \\ \phi_{66} &= -\varepsilon_2 I + \tau_1 N_{66}, \\ \phi_{67} &= \tau_1 N_{67}, \quad \phi_{68} = \tau_2 L_{13} + \tau_1 N_{68}, \\ \phi_{69} &= \tau_1 N_{99} + R_{22} + \tau_1 Q_3, \\ \phi_{77} &= -\varepsilon_3 I + \tau_1 N_{77}, \\ \phi_{78} &= \tau_2 L_{13} + \tau_1 N_{78}, \\ \phi_{79} &= \tau_1 N_{99} + R_{22} + \tau_1 Q_3, \\ \phi_{88} &= -\tau_2 Q_2 + \tau_1 N_{88}, \quad \phi_{99} = -\tau_1 N_{99} - R_{22} - \tau_1 Q_3. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Firstly, from (3), we obtain for any scalars  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_3 > 0$ .

$$\varepsilon_1 [\beta_1^2 x^T(t) x(t) - f_1^T(t, x(t)) f_1(t, x(t))] \geq 0 \quad (7a)$$

$$\varepsilon_2 [\beta_2^2 x^T(t - \tau_1(t)) x(t - \tau_1(t)) - f_2^T f_2] \geq 0 \quad (7b)$$

$$\varepsilon_3 [\beta_3^2 \dot{x}^T(t - \tau_2) \dot{x}(t - \tau_2) - f_3^T f_3] \geq 0 \quad (7c)$$

Choose a class of augmented Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional candidate for systems (1) as following:

$$V(t) = V_1(t) + V_2(t) + V_3(t) + V_4(t) + V_5(t) + V_6(t),$$

where,

$$V_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ x(t - \tau_2) \\ \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x(s) ds \end{pmatrix}^T L \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ x(t - \tau_2) \\ \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x(s) ds \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_2(t) = \int_{t-\tau_2}^t \begin{pmatrix} x(s) \\ \dot{x}(s) \end{pmatrix}^T R \begin{pmatrix} x(s) \\ \dot{x}(s) \end{pmatrix} ds,$$

$$V_3(t) = \int_{t-\tau_1(t)}^t x^T(s) Q_1 x(s) ds,$$

$$V_4(t) = \int_{t-\tau_2}^t (\theta - t + \tau_2) x^T(\theta) Q_2 x(\theta) d\theta,$$

$$V_5(t) = \int_{t-\tau_1}^t (\theta - t + \tau_1) \dot{x}^T(\theta) Q_3 \dot{x}(\theta) d\theta,$$

$$V_6(t) = \int_{t-\tau_1}^t (\theta - t + \tau_1) \dot{x}^T(\theta) N_{99} \dot{x}(\theta) d\theta,$$

and  $L, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, R$  and  $N_{99}$  are defined in theorem1.

The time derivative of  $V(t)$  along the trajectory of system (1) is given by:

$$\dot{V}(t) = \dot{V}_1(t) + \dot{V}_2(t) + \dot{V}_3(t) + \dot{V}_4(t) + \dot{V}_5(t) + \dot{V}_6(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_1(t) &= 2 \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ x(t - \tau_2) \\ \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x(s) ds \end{pmatrix}^T L \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ \dot{x}(t - \tau_2) \\ x(t) - x(t - \tau_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2 \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ x(t - \tau_2) \\ \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x(s) ds \end{pmatrix}^T L \begin{pmatrix} Ax(t) + Bx(t - \tau_1(t)) \\ + C\dot{x}(t - \tau_2) + f_1 \\ + f_2 + f_3 \\ \dot{x}(t - \tau_2) \\ x(t) - x(t - \tau_2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_2(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{12}^T & R_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - \begin{pmatrix} x(t - \tau_2) \\ \dot{x}(t - \tau_2) \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{12}^T & R_{22} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} x(t - \tau_2) \\ \dot{x}(t - \tau_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= x^T(t) R_{11} x(t) + \dot{x}^T(t) R_{22} \dot{x}(t) \\ &\quad - \begin{pmatrix} x(t - \tau_2) \\ \dot{x}(t - \tau_2) \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{12}^T & R_{22} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} x(t - \tau_2) \\ \dot{x}(t - \tau_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + 2x^T(t) R_{12} [Ax(t) + Bx(t - \tau_1(t)) \\ &\quad + C\dot{x}(t - \tau_2) + f_1 + f_2 + f_3], \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_3(t) &= x^T(t) Q_1 x(t) - (1 - \dot{\tau}_1(t)) x^T(t - \tau_1(t)) \\ &\quad \times Q_1 x(t - \tau_1(t)) \\ &\leq x^T(t) Q_1 x(t) - (1 - \tau_{1d}) x^T(t - \tau_1(t)) \\ &\quad \times Q_1 x(t - \tau_1(t)), \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

It's from the Lemma1 and Lemma2 that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_4(t) &= x^T(t) \tau_2 Q_2 x(t) - \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x^T(s) Q_2 x(s) ds \\ &\leq x^T(t) \tau_2 Q_2 x(t) - \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x(s) ds \right)^T \\ &\quad \times \tau_2 Q_2 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x(s) ds \right), \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_5(t) &= \dot{x}^T(t) \tau_1 Q_3 \dot{x}(t) - \int_{t-\tau_1}^t \dot{x}^T(s) Q_3 \dot{x}(s) ds \\ &\leq \dot{x}^T(t) \tau_1 Q_3 \dot{x}(t) \\ &\quad + \xi(t)^T \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{11} & \mathcal{M}_{12} \\ * & \mathcal{M}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \xi(t), \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{11} &= M_1 + M_1^T + \tau Z_{11}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{12} &= -M_1^T + M_2 + \tau Z_{12}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{22} &= -M_2 - M_2^T + \tau Z_{22}, \\ \xi(t) &= \text{col} \left( x(t) \quad x(t - \tau(t)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_6(t) &= \dot{x}^T(t) \tau_1 N_{99} \dot{x}(t) - \int_{t-\tau_1}^t \dot{x}^T(s) N_{99} \dot{x}(s) ds \\ &\leq \dot{x}^T(t) \tau_1 N_{99} \dot{x}(t) - \int_{t-\tau_1(t)}^t \dot{x}^T(s) N_{99} \dot{x}(s) ds. \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

From the Leibniz-Newton formula, the following equation is true for any appropriate dimensional matrices  $N_{i9}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 8$ )

$$2 \left\{ x^T(t) N_{19} + x^T(t - \tau_2) N_{29} + \dot{x}^T(t - \tau_2) N_{39} + x^T(t - \tau_1(t)) N_{49} + f_1^T N_{59} + f_2^T N_{69} + f_3^T N_{79} + \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x(s) ds \right)^T N_{89} \right\} \times \left\{ x(t) - x(t - \tau_1(t)) - \int_{t-\tau_1(t)}^t \dot{x}(s) ds \right\} = 0 \quad (14)$$

And consider the fact that, for any  $m > 0$  and any function  $f(t)$ ,

$$mf(t) - \int_{t-m}^t f(t) ds = 0,$$

the following inequality is also true for any appropriate dimensional matrices  $N_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, 8$ )

$$\tau_1 \xi^T(t) \begin{pmatrix} N_{11} & N_{12} & N_{13} & \dots & N_{18} \\ * & N_{22} & N_{23} & \dots & N_{28} \\ * & * & N_{33} & \dots & N_{38} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & * & N_{88} \end{pmatrix} \xi(t) - \int_{t-\tau_1(t)}^t \xi^T(t) \begin{pmatrix} N_{11} & N_{12} & N_{13} & \dots & N_{18} \\ * & N_{22} & N_{23} & \dots & N_{28} \\ * & * & N_{33} & \dots & N_{38} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & * & N_{88} \end{pmatrix} \xi(t) ds \geq 0 \quad (15)$$

where

$$\xi^T(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x^T(t) & x^T(t - \tau_2) & \dot{x}^T(t - \tau_2) \\ x^T(t - \tau_1(t)) & f_1^T & f_2^T & f_3^T & \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x(s) ds \right)^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Choosing  $M = \tau_1 N_{99} + R_{22} + \tau_1 Q_3$ , use systems (1) to obtain

$$\dot{x}^T(t) (\tau_1 N_{99} + R_{22} + \tau_1 Q_3) \dot{x}(t) = [Ax(t) + Bx(t - \tau_1(t)) + C\dot{x}(t - \tau_2) + f_1 + f_2 + f_3]^T \times M [Ax(t) + Bx(t - \tau_1(t)) + C\dot{x}(t - \tau_2) + f_1 + f_2 + f_3] \quad (16)$$

Then, we add the terms on the left sides of (14) and (15) to  $\dot{V}(t)$ , and use the Schur's complement in [15] on the term of (16), we obtain

$$\dot{V}(t) \leq \xi^T(t) \varphi \xi(t) - \int_{t-\tau_1(t)}^t \zeta^T(t, s) N \zeta(t, s) ds,$$

where

$$\zeta^T(t, s) = \begin{bmatrix} x^T(t) & x^T(t - \tau_2) & \dot{x}^T(t - \tau_2) \\ x^T(t - \tau_1(t)) & f_1^T & f_2^T & f_3^T \\ \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \int_{t-\tau_2}^t x(s) ds \right)^T & \dot{x}^T(s) \end{bmatrix},$$

and most elements of  $\varphi$  are the same as the elements of  $\phi$ , except that the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{11} &= (L_{11} + R_{12}) A + A^T (L_{11} + R_{12})^T + L_{13} + L_{13}^T \\ &\quad + N_{19} + N_{19}^T + R_{11} + Q_1 + \tau_2 Q_2 + M_1 + M_1^T \\ &\quad + \tau_1 Z_{11} + \tau_1 N_{11}, \\ \varphi_{33} &= -R_{22} + \tau_1 N_{33}, \\ \varphi_{44} &= -(1 - \tau_{1d}) Q_1 - M_2 - M_2^T + \tau_1 Z_{22} \end{aligned}$$

$$-N_{49} - N_{49}^T + \tau_1 N_{44},$$

$$\varphi_{55} = \tau_1 N_{55},$$

$$\varphi_{66} = \tau_1 N_{66},$$

$$\varphi_{77} = \tau_1 N_{77}$$

By the theorem 9.8.1 in [13], the system (1) with **A1** is asymptotically stable if there exist  $L > 0, R \geq 0, Q_1 > 0, Q_2 > 0, Q_3 > 0, N_{99} > 0$  and  $N > 0$  which were defined in Theorem1 such that:

$$\dot{V}(t) \leq \xi^T(t) \varphi \xi(t) - \int_{t-\tau_1(t)}^t \zeta^T(t, s) N \zeta(t, s) ds < 0 \quad (17)$$

for all  $\xi(t) \neq 0, \zeta(t, s) \neq 0$  satisfying (3). Using the S-procedure [19], we see that this condition is implied by (6) such that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi^T(t) \varphi \xi(t) - \int_{t-\tau_1(t)}^t \zeta^T(t, s) N \zeta(t, s) ds \\ &+ \varepsilon_1 [\beta_1^2 x^T(t) x(t) - f_1^T(t, x(t)) f_1(t, x(t))] \\ &+ \varepsilon_2 [\beta_2^2 x^T(t - \tau_1(t)) x(t - \tau_1(t)) - f_2^T f_2] \\ &+ \varepsilon_3 [\beta_3^2 \dot{x}^T(t - \tau_2) \dot{x}(t - \tau_2) - f_3^T f_3] \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\xi(t) \neq 0, \zeta(t, s) \neq 0$ . Therefore, there exist  $L > 0, R \geq 0, Q_1 > 0, Q_2 > 0, Q_3 > 0, N_{99} > 0$  and  $N > 0$  which were defined in Theorem1, and scalars  $\varepsilon_1 > 0, \varepsilon_2 > 0, \varepsilon_3 > 0$ , such that the LMIs (4), (5) and (6) are satisfied, then systems (1), with uncertainty (2), is asymptotically stability. This completes the proof.

*Remark 1:* Many existing delay-derivative-dependent stability criteria of system with severely time-varying delay generally require a constraint  $\tau_{1d} < 1$ . In this paper, we omit this assumption and obtained a less conservative stability condition. As a matter of fact, the chosen Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional in this theorem is the same as our latest article [20], however, in the process of the derivative of the functional, the lemma 1 is very important to our less conservative results, which will be shown subsequently in the examples.

If we set  $\beta_3 = 0$ , similar to the proof of Theorem 1, we can obtain the following Corollary.

*Corollary 1:* Under **A1**, the systems (1) is asymptotically stability, if there exist matrices

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} & L_{13} \\ L_{12}^T & L_{22} & L_{23} \\ L_{13}^T & L_{23}^T & L_{33} \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \text{ with } L_{11} > 0,$$

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{12}^T & R_{22} \end{pmatrix} \geq 0, Q_1 > 0, Q_2 > 0, Q_3 > 0, N_{88} > 0$$

and any appropriate dimensional matrices  $N_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, 8$ ),  $M_1 \in R^n, M_2 \in R^n, Y = [M_1 \ M_2] \in R^{n \times 2n}, Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ * & Z_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  and scalars  $\varepsilon_1 > 0, \varepsilon_2 > 0, \varepsilon_3 > 0$ , such that the following LMIs holds:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_3 & Y \\ * & Z \end{pmatrix} > 0 \quad (18)$$

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} N_{11} & N_{12} & N_{13} & \cdots & N_{18} \\ * & N_{22} & N_{23} & \cdots & N_{28} \\ * & * & N_{33} & \cdots & N_{38} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & * & N_{88} \end{pmatrix} > 0 \quad (19)$$

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} & \phi_{13} & \phi_{14} & \cdots & \phi_{18} \\ * & \phi_{22} & \phi_{23} & \phi_{24} & \cdots & \phi_{28} \\ * & * & \phi_{33} & \phi_{34} & \cdots & \phi_{38} \\ * & * & * & \phi_{44} & \cdots & \phi_{48} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & * & \cdots & \phi_{88} \end{pmatrix} > 0 \quad (20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{11} &= (L_{11} + R_{12})A + A^T(L_{11} + R_{12})^T + L_{13} + L_{13}^T \\ &\quad + N_{18} + N_{18}^T + R_{11} + Q_1 + \tau_2 Q_2 + M_1 + M_1^T \\ &\quad + \tau_1 Z_{11} + \varepsilon_1 \beta_1^2 I + \tau_1 N_{11}, \\ \phi_{12} &= A^T L_{12} + N_{28}^T - L_{13} + L_{23}^T + \tau_1 N_{12}, \\ \phi_{13} &= (L_{11} + R_{12})C + L_{12} + N_{38}^T + \tau_1 N_{13}, \\ \phi_{14} &= (L_{11} + R_{12})B + N_{48}^T + \tau_1 N_{14} - M_1^T + M_2 + \tau_1 Z_{12}, \\ \phi_{15} &= L_{11} + R_{12} + N_{58}^T + \tau_1 N_{15}, \\ \phi_{16} &= L_{11} + R_{12} + N_{68}^T + \tau_1 N_{16}, \\ \phi_{17} &= \tau_2 A^T L_{13} + \tau_2 L_{33}^T + N_{78}^T + \tau_1 N_{18}, \\ \phi_{18} &= A^T (\tau_1 N_{88} + R_{22} + \tau_1 Q_3), \\ \phi_{22} &= -L_{23} - L_{23}^T - R_{11} + \tau_1 N_{22}, \\ \phi_{23} &= L_{22} + L_{12}^T C - R_{12} + \tau_1 N_{23}, \\ \phi_{24} &= L_{12}^T B - N_{28}^T + \tau_1 N_{24}, \\ \phi_{25} &= L_{12}^T + \tau_1 N_{25}, \\ \phi_{26} &= L_{12}^T + \tau_1 N_{26}, \\ \phi_{27} &= -\tau_2 L_{33}^T + \tau_1 N_{27}, \quad \phi_{28} = 0 \\ \phi_{33} &= -R_{22} + \varepsilon_3 \beta_3^2 I + \tau_1 N_{33}, \\ \phi_{34} &= -N_{38} + \tau_1 N_{34}, \quad \phi_{3j} = \phi_{3j} = \tau_1 N_{3j} \quad (j = 5, 6), \\ \phi_{37} &= \tau_2 C^T L_{13} + \tau_2 L_{23} + \tau_1 N_{37}, \\ \phi_{38} &= C^T (\tau_1 N_{88} + R_{22} + \tau_1 Q_3), \\ \phi_{44} &= -(1 - \tau_{1d})Q_1 - N_{48} - N_{48}^T - M_2 - M_2^T \\ &\quad + \tau_1 Z_{22} + \varepsilon_2 \beta_2^2 I + \tau_1 N_{44}, \\ \phi_{45} &= -N_{58}^T + \tau_1 N_{45}, \\ \phi_{46} &= -N_{68}^T + \tau_1 N_{46}, \\ \phi_{47} &= -N_{78}^T + \tau_2 B^T L_{13} + \tau_1 N_{47}, \\ \phi_{48} &= B^T (\tau_1 N_{88} + R_{22} + \tau_1 Q_3), \\ \phi_{55} &= -\varepsilon_1 I + \tau_1 N_{55}, \quad \phi_{56} = \tau_1 N_{56}, \\ \phi_{57} &= \tau_2 L_{13} + \tau_1 N_{57}, \\ \phi_{58} &= \tau_1 N_{88} + R_{22} + \tau_1 Q_3, \\ \phi_{66} &= -\varepsilon_2 I + \tau_1 N_{66}, \\ \phi_{67} &= \tau_2 L_{13} + \tau_1 N_{67}, \\ \phi_{68} &= \tau_1 N_{88} + R_{22} + \tau_1 Q_3, \\ \phi_{77} &= -\tau_2 Q_2 + \tau_1 N_{77}, \\ \phi_{88} &= -\tau_1 N_{88} - R_{22} - \tau_1 Q_3. \end{aligned}$$

If  $C \equiv 0$  and  $f_3(t, \dot{x}(t - \tau_2)) \equiv 0$ , then system (1) reduces to the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bx(t - \tau_1(t)) \\ \quad + f_1(t, x(t)) + f_2(t, x(t - \tau_1(t))) \\ x(t_0 + \theta) = \varphi(\theta), \forall \theta \in [-\tau_{1m}, 0] \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

According to Theorem 1, we have the following corollary for the delay-dependent stability of system (22).

**Corollary 2:** Under **A1**, the systems (1) is asymptotically stability, if there exist matrices  $L > 0, Q_1 > 0, Q_2 > 0, N_{55} > 0$  and any appropriate dimensional matrices  $N_{ij} (i, j = 1, \dots, 5), M_1 \in R^n, M_2 \in R^n, Y = [M_1 \ M_2] \in R^{n \times 2n}, Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ * & Z_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  and scalars  $\varepsilon_1 > 0, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ , such that the following LMIs holds:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_2 & Y \\ * & Z \end{pmatrix} > 0 \quad (22)$$

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} N_{11} & N_{12} & N_{13} & N_{14} & N_{15} \\ * & N_{22} & N_{23} & N_{24} & N_{25} \\ * & * & N_{33} & N_{34} & N_{35} \\ * & * & * & N_{44} & N_{45} \\ * & * & * & * & N_{55} \end{pmatrix} > 0 \quad (23)$$

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} & \phi_{13} & \phi_{14} & A^T S \\ * & \phi_{22} & \phi_{23} & \phi_{24} & B^T S \\ * & * & \phi_{33} & \phi_{34} & S \\ * & * & * & \phi_{44} & S \\ * & * & * & * & -S \end{pmatrix} > 0, \quad (24)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{11} &= LA + A^T L^T + N_{15} + N_{15}^T + Q_1 + M_1 + M_1^T \\ &\quad + \tau_1 Z_{11} + \varepsilon_1 \beta_1^2 I + \tau_1 N_{11}, \\ \phi_{12} &= LB + N_{25}^T - N_{15} + \tau_1 N_{12} - M_1^T + M_2 + \tau_1 Z_{12}, \\ \phi_{13} &= L + N_{35}^T + \tau_1 N_{13}, \\ \phi_{14} &= L + N_{45}^T + \tau_1 N_{14}, \\ \phi_{22} &= -(1 - \tau_{1d})Q_1 - N_{25} - N_{25}^T - M_2 - M_2^T \\ &\quad + \tau_1 Z_{22} + \varepsilon_2 \beta_2^2 I + \tau_1 N_{22}. \\ \phi_{23} &= -N_{35}^T + \tau_1 N_{23}, \\ \phi_{24} &= -N_{45}^T + \tau_1 N_{24}, \\ \phi_{33} &= -\varepsilon_1 I + \tau_1 N_{33}, \\ \phi_{34} &= \tau_1 N_{34}, \phi_{44} = -\varepsilon_2 I + \tau_1 N_{44}, \\ S &= \tau_1 N_{55} + \tau_1 Q_2. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2:** Theorem 1, Corollary 1 and Corollary 2 are novel delay-dependent asymptotically stability conditions for non-linear systems (1) with different cases. And the results are both delay-dependent and delay-derivative-dependent. They are expected to be less conservative than some results in the literature, because we make good use of the integrate inequalities technique and free-weighting matrix which can be selected by solving the LMIs in Theorem 1, Corollary 1 and Corollary 2. In contrast, previous methods employed fixed weighting matrices, which are not usually the optimal ones and may bring some conservatism. The comparisons of their conservatism with some existing methods will be presented in Section 4.

IV. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In order to show the effectiveness of the approaches presented in Section 3, in this section, two numerical examples are provided.

**Example1.** Consider the neutral systems (1) which was considered in [21] with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1.2 & 0.1 \\ -0.1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} -0.6 & 0.7 \\ -1 & -0.8 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1(t, x(t))\| &\leq \alpha_1 \|x(t)\|, \\ \|f_2(t, x(t - \tau_1(t)))\| &\leq \alpha_2 \|x(t - \tau_1(t))\|, \\ \|f_3(t, \dot{x}(t - \tau_2))\| &\leq \alpha_3 \|\dot{x}(t - \tau_2)\|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_1 \geq 0, \alpha_2 \geq 0, \alpha_3 \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq c < 1$ .

We now also consider the effect of the bound  $\alpha_3$  on the maximal allowable value  $\tau_{1m}$ . For  $c = 0.1, \tau_2 = 1, \tau_{1d} = 0.5, \alpha_2 = 0.1$ , and different values of  $\alpha_3$ , we apply theorem1 and Corollary to calculate the maximal allowable value  $\tau_{1m}$  that guarantees the asymptotical stability of the system.

Table I gives the comparison of our results with those in [6] and [21]. We can see from Table 1 that the upper bound of  $\alpha_3$  has a remarkable effect on  $\tau_{1m}$ ,  $\tau_{1m}$  decreases as  $\alpha_3$  increases. In conclusion, the results obtained in this paper are less conservative than that presented in [6] and [21].

For  $c = 0$  and  $f_3(t, \dot{x}(t - \tau_2)) \equiv 0$ , the system under consideration reduces to the system studied in [1]. Applying criteria in [1], [4], [6] and in this work, the maximum value of  $\tau_{1m}$  for the stability of the system is listed in Table II. It is easy to see that our proposed stability criterion gives a much less conservative result than one in [1], [4] and [6].

One should be noted that, on the one hand, from the comparison in table I, our results is inferior to our latest results in [20], however, it is also less conservative than those conditions in [6] and [21]. On the other hand, the less conservativeness is also shown in the table II.

TABLE I  
THE MAXIMAL ALLOWABLE DELAYS  $\tau_{1m}$  OF EXAMPLE1 FOR DIFFERENT VALUES OF  $\alpha_3$ .

$\alpha_3$	0	0.1	0.2	0.3
[6]( $\alpha_1=0$ )	0.9328	0.7402	0.5637	0.4042
[21]( $\alpha_1=0$ )	0.9488	0.7695	0.6087	0.4667
This paper( $\alpha_1=0$ )	1.5603	1.3460	0.9686	0.7326
[6]( $\alpha_1=0.1$ )	0.8418	0.6439	0.4864	0.3433
[21]( $\alpha_1=0.1$ )	0.8408	0.6841	0.5420	0.4144
This paper( $\alpha_1=0.1$ )	1.4531	1.2805	0.9466	0.7303

**Example2.** Consider the neutral system

$$\frac{d}{dt} [x(t) - Cx(t - \tau)] = Ax(t) + Bx(t - \tau) + f_1(t, x(t)) + f_2(t, x(t - \tau)) \quad (25)$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0.5 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.4 \\ 0.4 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix},$$

TABLE II  
THE MAXIMAL ALLOWABLE DELAYS  $\tau_{1m}$  OF EXAMPLE1 FOR DIFFERENT VALUES OF  $\alpha_3$ .

	$\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 0.1$		$\alpha_1 = 0.1, \alpha_2 = 0.1$	
	$\tau_{1d}=0$	$\tau_{1d}=0.5$	$\tau_{1d}=0$	$\tau_{1d}=0.5$
[1]	0.6811	0.5467	0.6129	0.4950
[4]	1.3279	0.6743	1.2503	0.5716
[6]	2.7424	1.1365	1.8753	0.9953
This work	$1.7565 \times 10^5$	7.3488	$1.2404 \times 10^5$	5.8188

with  $\|f_1(t, x(t))\| \leq \alpha_1 \|x(t)\|, \|f_2(t, x(t - \tau))\| \leq \alpha_2 \|x(t - \tau)\|$  where  $\alpha_1 = 0.2, \alpha_2 = 0.1$ .

This system was studied in [14], where it is found that the admissible bound of the time delay  $\tau$  for the stability of systems (25) is 0.583. Applying the criteria in this paper, the upper bound of the delay  $\tau$  has been obtained as 1.9391. This also shows that the criterion given in this paper is much less conservative than that in [14].

V. CONCLUSION

The asymptotical stability for uncertain neutral systems with nonlinear perturbations has been investigated. Based on a new class of Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals, and combined with the use of novel integrate inequalities and the Leibniz-Newton formula, some novel stability criteria have been obtained. Numerical examples have shown significant improvements over some existing results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the associate editor and the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions to improve the quality of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y.-Y. Cao, J. Lam, Computation of robust stability bounds for time-delay systems with nonlinear time-varying perturbation, *International Journal of Systems Science*, Vol. 31, No. 3, pp. 359-365, 2000.
- [2] Y. Chen, A.-K. Xue, R.-Q. Lu, S.-S. Zhou, On robustly exponential stability of uncertain neutral systems with time-varying delays and nonlinear perturbations, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, Vol. 68, No. 8, pp. 2464-2470, 2008.
- [3] K.-Q. Gu, A further refinement of discretized Lyapunov functional method for the stability of time-delay systems, *International Journal of control*, Vol. 74, No. 10, pp. 967-976, 2001.
- [4] Q.-L. Han, Robust stability for a class of linear systems with time-varying delay and nonlinear perturbations, *Computer and Mathematics with Applications*, Vol. 47, No. 8-9, pp. 1201-1209, 2004.
- [5] Q.-L. Han, On robust stability of linear neutral systems with nonlinear parameter perturbations, *Proceeding of the 2004 American Control Conference*, Boston, Massachusetts, June 30-July 2, pp. 2027-2032, 2004.
- [6] Q.-L. Han, L. Yu, Robust stability of linear neutral systems with non-linear parameter perturbations, *IEE Proceedings Control Theory & Applications*, Vol. 151, No. 5, pp. 539-546, 2004.
- [7] Q.-L. Han, On stability of linear neutral systems with mixed time delays: A discretized Lyapunov functional approach, *Automatica*, Vol. 41, No. 7, pp. 1209-1218, 2005.
- [8] Y. He, Q.-G. Wang, C. Lin, M. Wu, Augmented Lyapunov functional and delay dependent stability criteria for neutral systems, *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, Vol. 15, No. 18, pp. 923-933, 2005.
- [9] Jack K. Hale, Sjoerd M. Verduyn Lunel, Introduction to Functional Differential Equations, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Springer: New York, 1993.
- [10] H. Li, H.-B. Li, S.-M. Zhong, Some new simple stability criteria of linear neutral systems with a single delay, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 200, No. 1, pp. 441-447, 2007.

- [11] D.-Y. Liu, S.-M. Zhong, L.-L. Xiong, On robust stability of uncertain neutral systems with multiple delays, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, Vol. 39, No. 5, pp. 2332-2339, 2009.
- [12] X.-G. Liu, M. Wu, Ralph Martin, M.-L. Tang, Stability analysis for neutral systems with mixed delays, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 202, No. 2, pp. 478-497, 2007.
- [13] Nakano M, Hara S. In *Microprocessor-based Repetitive Control, Microprocessor-Based Control Systems*, Sinha NK (ed.). D. Reidel Publishing Company: Dordrecht, 1986.
- [14] J.-H. Park, Novel robust stability criterion for a class of neutral systems with mixed delays and nonlinear perturbations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Vol. 161, No. 2, pp. 413-421, 2005.
- [15] Stephen Boyd, Laurent El Ghaoui, Eric Feron, Venkataramanan Balakrishnan, *Linear matrix inequalities in systems and control theory*. Philadelphia: SIAM, 1994.
- [16] Marshall Slemrod, E.-F. Infante, Asymptotic stability criteria for linear systems of differential equations of neutral type and their discrete analogues, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application*, Vol. 38, No. 2, pp. 399-415, 1972.
- [17] L.-L. Xiong, S.-M. Zhong, J.-K. Tian, Novel robust stability criteria of uncertain neutral systems with discrete and distributed delays. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, Vol. 40, No. 2, pp. 771-777, 2009.
- [18] Y. Kuang. *Delay Differential Equations with Applications in Population Dynamics*. Academic Press: Boston, 1993.
- [19] V.-A. Yakubovich, S-procedure in nonlinear control theory, *Vestnik Leningradskogo Universiteta, Ser. Matematika*, Vol. 1, No. 13, pp. 62-77, 1971.
- [20] L.-L. Xiong, S.-M. Zhong, D.-Y. Li, Novel delay-dependent asymptotical stability of neutral systems with nonlinear perturbations, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 232, No. 2, pp. 505-513, 2009.
- [21] W.-A. Zhang, L. Yu. Delay-dependent Robust Stability of Neutral Systems with Mixed Delays and Nonlinear Perturbations, *Acta Automatica Sinica*, Vol. 33, No. 8, pp. 863-866, 2007.
- [22] X.-M. Zhang, Study on Delay-dependent Robust Control Based on An Integral Inequality Approach, *PhD thesis, School of Information Science and Engineering, Central South University*, 2006.
- [23] Z. Zou, Y. Wang, New stability criterion for a class of linear systems with time-varying delay and nonlinear perturbations, *IEE Proceedings Control Theory and Applications*, Vol. 153, No. 5, pp. 623-626, 2006.

**Shouming Zhong** was born in 1955 in Sichuan Province, China. He received B.S. degree in applied mathematics from UESTC, Chengdu, China, in 1982. From 1984 to 1986, he studied at the Department of Mathematics in Sun Yatsen University, Guangzhou, China. From 2005 to 2006, he was a visiting research associate with the Department of Mathematics in University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada. He is currently as a full professor with School of Applied Mathematics, UESTC. His current research interests include differential equations, neural networks, biomathematics and robust control. He has authored more than 80 papers in reputed journals such as the *International Journal of Systems Science*, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems*, *Acta Automatica Sinica*, *Journal of Control Theory and Applications*, *Acta Electronica Sinica*, *Control and Decision*, and *Journal of Engineering Mathematics*.

**Lianglin Xiong** was born in Sichuan Province, China, in 1981. He received the B.S. degree from Neijiang teacher university, Sichuan, Neijiang, China, in 2004, obtained the M.S. and Ph.D degree from the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China (UESTC), Sichuan, in 2007 and 2009, respectively. He is a teacher with the School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Yunnan University of Nationalities. His research interests include neural systems, neutral systems, hybrid systems, fractional-order systems and so on.

**Xiuyong Ding** was born in Sichuan Province, China, in 1981. He received the B.S. degree from Neijiang teacher university, Sichuan, Neijiang, China, in 2004 and the M.S. degree from the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China (UESTC), Sichuan, in 2009. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with UESTC. His research interests include positive systems, hybrid systems, fuzzy systems and so on.