Abstract—In this work, a new approach is proposed to control the manipulators for Humanoid robot. The kinematics of the manipulators in terms of joint positions, velocity, acceleration and torque of each joint is computed using the Denavit Hardenberg (D-H) notations. These variables are used to design the manipulator control system, which has been proposed in this work. In view of supporting the development of a controller, a simulation of the manipulator is designed for Humanoid robot. This simulation is developed through the use of the Virtual Reality Toolbox and Simulink in Matlab. The Virtual Reality Toolbox in Matlab provides the interfacing and controls to an environment which is developed based on the Virtual Reality Modeling Language (VRML). Chains of bones were used to represent the robot.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In this work, the model of an anthropomorphic arm design was used as a reference. This model consists of a mobile robot which has wheels as its base, two five degree of freedom arm and a 2 degree of freedom torso. The designed robot is as shown in Fig. 1. The design of the proposed model is motivated by the work done by Nortman, S. et al [5], [1], [3] and [4]. The entire robot was designed using Solid works.

In Fig. 1, additional four finger gripper attached to the robot. This mobile robot is designed based on the actual anthropomorphic data of a male in UK. The following section of the work will illustrate the various analyses which are performed on the robot. In this section, the detailed analysis of the designed anthropomorphic robot will be illustrated. This includes the kinematics analysis (forward and inverse kinematics), describing the velocities and static forces through the robot dynamics. However, due to the complexity of this mechanism, the problem is decomposed into several modules namely, the waist to torso, five degree of freedom arm and the gripper fingers.

The following section illustrates the robot kinematics for the entire robot from the waist to the end of the arm.

II. THEORETICAL WORK

A. Modeling the Waist to Torso

The first module is to analyze the robot from the waist to its torso. Fig. 2 shows the designed waist to torso.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint No.</th>
<th>a_{i-1}</th>
<th>\alpha_{i-1}</th>
<th>d_{i}</th>
<th>\theta_{i}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>w_{1}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>\theta_{w1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w_{2}</td>
<td>L_{w2}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>\theta_{w2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>L_{s}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>L_{a}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The joint position and velocity the end effector relative to origin is obtained using the equation (2) and (6) respectively.

\[
\theta_1 = \text{Atan2}(r_{13}, r_{23})
\]

\[
\theta_2 = \text{Atan2}(r_{11}, r_{21})
\]

**Velocities and Static Forces:**

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{w}_{v_i} \\
\mathbf{p}_{v_i}
\end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{w}_{v_{i+1}} + \mathbf{p}_{v_{i+1}}
\]

\[
\mathbf{p}_{v_4} = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{z}_{i+1}
\]

\[
\theta_2 = \text{Atan2}(r_{11}, r_{21})
\]

\[
\theta_1 = \text{Atan2}(r_{13}, r_{23})
\]

**B. Modeling the 5 DOF Robot Arm**

The second module is the 5DOF arm which is directly connected to the torso as shown in Fig. 1. Although there are two arms on the robot, however, providing the analysis on one arm will be sufficient since both arms are identical. Fig. 4 illustrates the design of the 5DOF arm. Figs. 5 and 6 are the kinematics and frame assignment of the arm. The control parameters \(\theta\) and \(\upsilon\) relative the base of the robot are computed from the following kinematics analysis and used in the control system design.

\[
\mathbf{R}_{11} = \mathbf{c}_{w_1}\mathbf{c}_{w_2}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{12} = -\mathbf{c}_{w_1}\mathbf{s}_{w_2}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{13} = \mathbf{s}_{w_1}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{21} = \mathbf{s}_{w_1}\mathbf{c}_{w_2}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{22} = -\mathbf{s}_{w_1}\mathbf{s}_{w_2},
\]

\[
\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{r}_{11} & \mathbf{r}_{12} & \mathbf{r}_{13} & \mathbf{p}_x \\
\mathbf{r}_{21} & \mathbf{r}_{22} & \mathbf{r}_{23} & \mathbf{p}_y \\
\mathbf{r}_{31} & \mathbf{r}_{32} & \mathbf{r}_{33} & \mathbf{p}_z \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{r}_{11} & = \mathbf{c}_{w_1}\mathbf{c}_{w_2}, \\
\mathbf{r}_{12} & = -\mathbf{c}_{w_1}\mathbf{s}_{w_2}, \\
\mathbf{r}_{13} & = \mathbf{s}_{w_1}, \\
\mathbf{r}_{21} & = \mathbf{s}_{w_1}\mathbf{c}_{w_2}, \\
\mathbf{r}_{22} & = -\mathbf{s}_{w_1}\mathbf{s}_{w_2},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{r}_{11} & \mathbf{r}_{12} & \mathbf{r}_{13} & \mathbf{p}_x \\
\mathbf{r}_{21} & \mathbf{r}_{22} & \mathbf{r}_{23} & \mathbf{p}_y \\
\mathbf{r}_{31} & \mathbf{r}_{32} & \mathbf{r}_{33} & \mathbf{p}_z \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{w}_{v_i} & = \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{w}_{v_{i+1}} + \mathbf{p}_{v_{i+1}}, \\
\mathbf{p}_{v_i} & = \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{w}_{v_{i+1}} + \mathbf{p}_{v_{i+1}},
\end{align*}
\]
Joints ShoulderA, ShoulderB, and ElbowA are coincident at the shoulder. This alignment allows for the arm to rotate as if a ball and socket joint were implemented. ElbowB and WristA joints are also coincident.

### Table II: DH Table

| Joint No. | a
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>( d_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
^wT = ^{T_1}T_{T_2}T_{T_3}^1 T_{T_4}T_{T_5}^3 T_5^4 T_4^3 T_3^2 T_2^1 T_1^0
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
r_{11} &= [(c_1c_2c_3-s_1s_3)c_4(c_1c_2s_3+s_1c_3)s_5] - (c_1c_2s_3+s_1c_3)c_5, \\
r_{12} &= -[(c_1c_2c_3-s_1s_3)c_4(c_1c_2s_3+s_1c_3)s_5] - (c_1c_2s_3+s_1c_3)c_5, \\
r_{13} &= -(c_1c_2c_3-s_1s_3)s_4 - c_1s_2c_4, \\
r_{21} &= [(s_1c_2c_3+c_1s_3)c_4(s_1c_2s_3-c_1c_3)s_5] - (s_1c_2s_3-c_1c_3)c_5, \\
r_{22} &= -[(s_1c_2c_3+c_1s_3)c_4(s_1c_2s_3-c_1c_3)s_5] - (s_1c_2s_3-c_1c_3)c_5, \\
r_{23} &= -(s_1c_2c_3+c_1s_3)s_4 - s_1s_2c_4, \\
r_{31} &= (s_2c_3c_4+c_2s_4)c_5 - s_2s_3s_5, \\
r_{32} &= -(s_2c_3c_4+c_2s_4)c_5 - s_2s_3s_5, \\
r_{33} &= -s_2c_3s_4 + c_2c_4,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
p_x = -c_1s_2d_3
\]

\[
p_y = -s_1s_2d_3
\]

\[
p_z = c_2d_3
\]

\[
\frac{p_x}{p_y} = \frac{-s_1s_2d_3}{-c_1s_2d_3} = \tan \theta_1
\]

\[
\theta_1 = A \tan 2(p_y, p_x)
\]

\[
\theta_2 = A \tan 2(\pm \sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}, p_z)
\]

\[
\theta_3 = A \tan 2(s_1r_{13} - c_1r_{23}, -r_{13}c_1c_2 - s_1c_2r_{23} - s_2r_{33})
\]

\[
\theta_4 = A \tan 2\left[-\left[(c_1c_2c_3 - s_1s_3)r_{13} + (s_1c_2c_3 + c_1s_3)r_{23} + (s_2c_3)s_{23}\right] - c_1s_2r_{13} - s_1s_2r_{23} + c_2r_{33}\right]
\]

\[
\theta_5 = A \tan 2\left[\left(-c_1c_2s_3 - s_1s_3\right)r_{13} + (-s_1s_3c_2 + c_1c_3)r_{23} + (-s_2s_3)r_{31},
\left(-c_1c_2s_3 - s_1s_3\right)r_{12} + (-s_1s_3c_2 + c_1c_3)r_{21} + (-s_2s_3)r_{32}\right]
\]

\[
^5v_5 = \frac{1}{2}R\left[^4v_4 + ^4w_4 \times ^4p_3\right]
\]
\[ \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{v}_1 \\ \dot{v}_2 \end{bmatrix} \]

where

\[ r_{11} = \frac{\left( c_1 c_2 c_3 - s_1 s_3 \right) c_4 - c_1 s_2 s_4}{s_3 c_4} \]
\[ r_{12} = -\frac{\left( c_1 c_2 c_3 - s_1 s_3 \right) c_4 - c_1 s_2 s_4}{c_3 c_4} \]
\[ r_{13} = -\frac{\left( c_1 c_2 c_3 - s_1 s_3 \right) c_4 - c_1 s_2 s_4}{s_3 c_4} \]
\[ r_{21} = \frac{\left( s_1 c_2 c_3 + c_1 s_3 \right) c_4 - s_1 s_2 s_4}{s_3 c_4} \]
\[ r_{22} = -\frac{\left( s_1 c_2 c_3 + c_1 s_3 \right) c_4 - s_1 s_2 s_4}{c_3 c_4} \]
\[ r_{23} = \frac{\left( s_1 c_2 c_3 + c_1 s_3 \right) c_4 - s_1 s_2 s_4}{s_3 c_4} \]

Similarly the angular velocity of the manipulators and Jacobian are computed analytically, which are as follows:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\omega}_1 \\ \dot{\omega}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{v}_1 \\ \dot{v}_2 \end{bmatrix} \]

C. Design of the Control System

The control system is developed based on the kinematics of the manipulators and the joint parameters \( \theta_i \), \( v \), \( w \), and \( \tau \) are applied to the control system as shown in Figure 7. The equations (1) to (21) are used to compute the position, joint angle of each manipulator, velocity of the end-effectors, angular velocity of the joint and acceleration [6],[7].

Fig. 7 Manipulators Control System
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The model that is used to compute the torque is based on the rigid body dynamic equation [8], which is as follows:

\[ \tau = M(\theta)\dot{\theta} + V(\theta, \dot{\theta}) + G(\theta) \]  

(22)

Where \( M(\theta) \) is the \( n \times n \) inertia matrix of the manipulator, \( V(\theta, \dot{\theta}) \) is an \( n \times 1 \) vector of centrifugal and corilis terms, and \( G(\theta) \) is an \( n \times 1 \) vector of gravity terms. Each element of \( M(\theta) \) and \( G(\theta) \) is a complicated function that depends on \( \theta \), the position of all the joints of the manipulator. Since the friction is the function of joint position and velocity, this will be incorporated into the model and this will yield

\[ \tau = M(\theta)\ddot{\theta} + V(\theta, \dot{\theta}) + G(\theta) + F(\theta, \dot{\theta}) \]  

(23)

A partitioned control scheme is developed from the model shown in equation (23) and given as

\[ \tau = \alpha \tau' + \beta \]  

(24)

Where \( \tau \) is the \( n \times 1 \) vector of joint torques and \( \alpha = M(\theta) \) and

\[ \beta = V(\theta, \dot{\theta}) + G(\theta) + F(\theta, \dot{\theta}) \]  

(25)

With the servo law

\[ \tau' = \ddot{\theta} + K_p \dot{E} + K_p E \]  

(26)

where \( E = \theta_d - \theta \)

The resulting control system is shown in Fig. 7.

III. SIMULATION

Fig. 8 Bone Structure of the Manipulators

Normally, in the design of the controller, a simulation will be in place such that the behavior of the designed controller could be analyzed. In view of supporting the development of a controller, a simulation of the manipulator is designed for Humanoid robot and shown in Fig. 8. This simulation is developed through the use of the Virtual Reality Toolbox and Simulink in Matlab. The Virtual Reality Toolbox in Matlab provides the interfacing and controls to an environment which is developed based on the Virtual Reality Modeling Language (VRML). Chains of bones were used to represent the robot as illustrated in Fig. 8.

To develop this bone structure would be rather troublesome by using a notepad to write the VRML codes. To avoid this time consuming task, Autodesk 3DS Max 8.0 was used to build the bone model. This model in 3DS Max is then exported into VRML.

Using the VRML editor in Matlab, V-Realm Builder, the model is customized. This is then followed by programming the block diagram in Simulink such that the user could control the bone structure shown in a VRML browser through the Matlab controls.

Fig. 9 Manipulators motion while simulation

To a further extend, the developed simulation could output the X, Y and Z position of the arm by using the derived Forward Kinematics. The developed simulation is as illustrated in Figs. 8 and 9 and the VRML block diagram is as shown in Figs. 10 and 11.
Fig. 10 Simulink Block Diagram

Fig. 11 Simulation of the Mobile Robot in a Bone Structure
IV. CONCLUSION

The analysis here is mostly valid from the waist to the end of the arm. All parameters are computed using Matlab. Thus, if required, the analysis could be extended to the fingers easily when the frames are already assigned to the links of the fingers and the DH table derived. Looking into the final solutions, it would not be practical to illustrate these solutions here due to the length of the final solutions. In the development of the controllers, a simulation was developed in this work which could be used to observe how the robot behaves when the respective angles of the links are altered. To further extent, it could provide the user the X, Y and Z coordinates of the robot arm through the Forward Kinematics. Basically, there is still a lot of work which could be carried out in this project. With the use of Matlab, various controllers could be designed and tested using the developed simulation environment.
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