

Geochemical Assessment of Heavy Metals Concentration in Surface Sediment of West Port, Malaysia

B.Tavakoly Sany*, A. Salleh, A.H .Sulaiman, A. Mehdinia and GH. Monazami

Abstract—One year (November 2009-October 2010) sediment monitoring was used to evaluate pollution status, concentration and distribution of heavy metals (As, Cu, Cd, Cr, Hg, Ni, Pb and Zn) in West Port of Malaysia. Sediment sample were collected from nine stations every four months. Geo-accumulation factor and Pollution Load Index (PLI) were estimated to better understand the pollution level in study area. The heavy metal concentration (Mg/g dry weight) were ranged from 20.2 to 162 for As, 7.4 to 27.6 for Cu, 0.244 to 3.53 for Cd, 11.5 to 61.5 for Cr, 0.11 to 0.409 for Hg, 7.2 to 22.2 for Ni, 22.3 to 80 for Pb and 23 to 98.3 for Zn. In general, concentration some metals (As, Cd, Hg and Pb) was higher than background values that are considered as serious concern for aquatic life and the human health.

Keywords—Heavy metals, Sediment Quality, geo-accumulation index, Pollution Load Index

I. INTRODUCTION

AQUATIC life is widely influenced by type of pollutants thus many researcher have focused on assessing contamination to understand source, concentration, distribution and effects in environment especially in the estuarine and coastal water [1] [2]. Heavy metals from lithogenic and anthropogenic sources extensively enter into marine environment; their concentration and distribution are affected by sedimentary structure, mineralogical compound, hydrodynamic transports, industrial discharges, effluents and shipping activities [1] [3] [4]. Adsorption, biological-uptake and accumulation are three mechanisms that cause an important variation of heavy metals concentration in sediment [1] [5].

Information of concentration and distribution of heavy metals are useful to distinguish the source of contaminates in the marine environment [1] [6] [7]. Heavy metals Contamination is a great worldwide problem and it can directly and indirectly cause adverse effects on aquatic life and the human population because of their bioaccumulation and toxicity during long period [3] [4] [8]. Moreover, it is a serious threat for different marine ecosystems like coral reef, mangrove and sea-grass also increase the human health risk specially for the human population that depend on fishery sources [1]. Several chemical and physical parameters of marine sediment have been frequently applied as environmental indicator to monitor contamination sources and their effects because sediment have high ability to accumulate contaminates in long term to comparison with water [3] [8]. There are many methods to assess sediment quality such as background enrichment index, contamination indexes and risk assessment indexes. Only a few are practical for coastal and estuarine environment like enrichment factor and geo-accumulation index [9] [10]. Hence, the main objectives of present research are: (1) to estimate heavy metals concentration (Al, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Co, Fe, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Zn) and their relate geochemical index to evaluate the status of contamination level. (2) To prepare a distribution pattern of heavy metals to distinguish vulnerable stations. West Port is the main international ports in west of Malaysia coastal water. It is surrounded by mangrove forest where is known as ecological and biological hot spots in marine environment. The live of people who live around West Port to some extent depend on fishery, tourism and other related activities. Therefore shipping activities and industrial discharges may severely cause adverse effect on marine organisms and human life. There is limit information about heavy metals in West Port. This information just include some trace metals such as copper, zinc, lead and cobalt thus geochemical assessment is essential in order to estimate heavy metals concentration and distribution, contamination degree and protect living organisms.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. Study area

West Port is the busiest port of the Malaysia with 22 berths. This port is well developed along mangrove forest and Klang strait. In this research study area is restricted as narrow strait between mangrove forest and coastline, nine stations were selected from three transects parallel to the coastline with three

*B. Tavakoly Sany is PhD student in the Institute of Biological Sciences University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur Malaysia (corresponding author to provide phone:0060172759057;e-mail: (b_tavakoli332@yahoo.com)).

Professor Datin Dr Aishah Salleh is with the Institute of biological sciences University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur Malaysia (e-mail: aishahsalleh@um.edu.my).

Professor Abdul Halim Sulaiman is with the Institute of biological sciences University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur Malaysia (e-mail: Halim@yahoo.com).

A.Mehdinia, Assistant Professor of Analytical Chemistry Department of Marine Science, Mrine Living Resources Group Iranian National Institute for Oceanography,P.O.Box: 14155-4781Tehran Iran (Mehdi_3848@yahoo.com)

Gh. Monazami is PhD student in the Institute of Biological Sciences University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur Malaysia (e-mail:ghazaltehrani@yahoo.com).

different distances in this project (see Fig I and Table I). The study area lies within humid tropical part with rainy season and dry season, its tide is semi-diurnal with variation between 2 meters 5.5 meters [11].

TABLE I
DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLING STATIONS

Stations	Code	Description
100 meter after cement berth	C100	Coastline
500 meter after cement berth	C500	Remote area
1000meter after cement berth	C1000	Mangrove area
100 meter after liquid berth(outlet)	L100	Coastline
500 meter after liquid berth	L500	Remote area
1000 meter after liquid berth	L1000	Mangrove area
100 meter after container berth	T100	coastline
500 meter after container berth	T500	Remote area
1000 meter after container berth	T100	Mangrove area




Fig.1 Location of the sampling stations in West Port Malaysia

B. Sampling and Experimental analysis

Surface sediment samples were collected two times in year From November 2009 until October 2010. Peterson Grab sampler was used to collect samples from surface sediment and 10 cm sediment samples were chosen for laboratory analysis. Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP/MS) was used for chemical analysis base on standard methods such as valine, Morse and Rauret [12]. Statistical analysis of ANOVA and Duncan were applied to better understand of metals variation and significant differences between stations. Geo-statistical analysis was done by surfer 8 software base on GPS values of stations .This method is practical tool to better understand contamination in each location because this provide the comprehensive distribution pattern along large area [1] [13].In this research two indexes are used, Geo-accumulation Index (Igeo) and Pollution Load Index (PLI). The Geo-accumulation Index (Igeo) introduced by Muller [14] to assess contamination level or degree. It is expressed as in equation and table I shows the geochemical index which includes various degrees of contamination [3] [10] [15].

$$I_{geo} = \log_2 (C_n / 1.5 B_n) \quad (1)$$

C_n is heavy metal concentration in sediment of study area, B_n is the geochemical background value in average shale of element [16]; 1.5 is the background matrix correction in factor due to lithogenic effects.” Equation 3” show the Pollution Load Index (PLI) [17].PLI is obtained as Concentration Factors (CF) (Equation 2). CF is ratio between the concentration of heavy metals in study area and background level. This index is quickly understood by unskilled personal in order to compare the pollution status of different places and it varies from 0 (unpolluted) to 10 (highly polluted).

$$CF = C_{metal} / C_{Background\ value} \quad (2)$$

$$PLI = \sqrt[n]{CF_1 \times CF_2 \times \dots \times CF_n} \quad (3)$$

n = number of metals

TABLE II
GEOACCUMULATION INDEX OF HEAVY METAL CONCENTRATION IN SEDIMENT







IN SEDIMENT		
Geo-accumulation index Class	Class	Pollution Intensity
Pollution Intensity		
0	0	Background concentration
0-1	1	Unpolluted
1-2	2	Moderately to unpolluted
2-3	3	Moderately polluted
3-4	4	Moderately to highly polluted
4-5	5	Highly polluted
>5	6	Very highly polluted

III. RESULTS

Table III and fig 2 shows the average concentration of heavy metals and their spatial distribution in surface sediment. Distribution of heavy metals were generally homogenous for Zn, Cr, As, pb and Cu and this showed the similar pattern, that was a low to high from north to south direction. The higher concentration of Zn and Cr had high trend in a both a south-easterly and east of strait (along costal line) but Cu and Pb had high trend in a south- westerly direction. The lowest concentration of metals (except Hg and Ni) was found at station L500 and there is significant difference (α level=0.05) between this station and others stations. The content of Cd, Hg and Ni decreased from mangrove line to coastlines and concentration of Hg and Ni peaked at two stations L1000 and L500. Table III shows sediment quality according geochemical indexes.

TABLE III
GEO-ACCUMULATION AND POLLUTION LOAD INDEX FOR HEAVY METALS CONCENTRATION IN WEST PORT

Indexes	Zn	Pb	Cu	Cd	Ni	As	Hg	Cr
Metals concentration	44	51.74	19.9	1.72	17	59.6	0.22	44.5
Igeo	0	1.64	0	2.5	0	4.45	1.11	0
CF	.63	4.1	0.91	8.6	0.22	33.1	2.9	0.44
PLI	2.05							






Fig. 2 shows the average concentration of heavy metals and their spatial distribution in surface sediment

IV. DISCUSSION

The most of the metals (Zn, Cr, As, pb, Cu) show same pattern and high concentrations of heavy metals were found in stations are located in south of strait. This result may be due to decrease water current in these parts that causes reduce chemical interactions between metals and sediment such as: suspended solid absorption, surface sediment sorption and rate of re-deposition and the other may results from the mixture of land runoff and marine water in south of west port, which cause increase metals concentration. Moreover, sediment particles size is a significant parameter in effecting the heavy metal concentration because fine particles have high ability to adsorb soluble heavy metals from aquatic area and deposit them to the bottom sediment [1] [18] [19]. This distribution pattern may relate to particle size because these stations which are reported more fine particles composition (silt and clay).

Several research reported that silt and clay in sediment play significant role in deposition and entrapment of pollutants during adsorption process [1]. Zn and Cr also showed the high trends in stations are located in east side of strait (along coastal area); these stations were probably affected by industrial waste which is loaded from the industrial outlets that were located along coastline. The content of Cd, Hg and Ni decreased from mangrove line to coastlines. The concentration of Hg and Ni peaked at two stations L1000 and L500 because these stations are influenced both the mangrove sedimentation and industrial waste which is loading from coastline. Many research present that sediment of mangrove forests act as trap for chemical contaminates because their sediment contain high percentage of silt and clay that increase metals adsorption in these stations [3, 20] [21] [22]. The result of geo-accumulation index (table 3) indicated the Zn, Cu, Cr and Ni elements are unpolluted; Pb and Hg elements are moderately to unpolluted, Cd is moderately polluted and As element is highly polluted. Generally, the Pollution load Index reveal that sediment of west port in moderate pollution level. Several research indicated that sources of contamination of Pb, Cr, Hg, Cd and As are widely due to anthropogenic sources from land [23] [24] [25] [26]. Thus the high concentration of these metals (Pb, Cr, Hg, Cd and As) may be due to the industrial discharges (cement, oil and food factories near the berth line), shipping activities and effluents. High concentration of As, Hg and Pb is serious threat because their accumulation and toxicity effects for marine organisms and human population. The information of this research can be used as basic data for future assessment of heavy metals in west port of Malaysia.

V. CONCLUSION

In general terms, the West Port coastal water current pollution level regarding these elements Zn, Cu, Ni and Cr in sediment may not be considered as alarming; but high level pollution of As, Hg, Cd and Pb is serious threat in future because their accumulation and toxicity effects for marine organisms and the human population. This is especially true for As because its concentration, seem to be enough critical to causes a toxin condition for organisms which is live in sediment. Spatial distribution of heavy metals helps to identify the vulnerable stations that are practical and useful information to manage and protect West Port coastal water and can be used as basic data for future assessment of heavy metals in West Port.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors' gratitude goes to support of University Malaya Research Grant (UMRG) with project number RG083-10SUS and University Malaya Postgraduate Research Grant (PPP). We are also thankful to the Iranian National Institute for Oceanography for providing the necessary facilities and their help during laboratory analysis.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nobi, E., et al., Geochemical and geo-statistical assessment of heavy metal concentration in the sediments of different coastal ecosystems of Andaman Islands, India. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 2010. 87(2): p. 253-264.
- [2] Hübner, R., K.B. Astin, and R.J.H. Herbert, Comparison of sediment quality guidelines (SQGs) for the assessment of metal contamination in marine and estuarine environments. *J. Environ. Monit.*, 2009. 11(4): p. 713-722.
- [3] Vallejuelo, S.F.-O.d., et al., Risk assessment of trace elements in sediments: The case of the estuary of the Nerbioi-Ibaizabal River (Basque Country). *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 2010(181): p. 565-573.
- [4] Gopinath, A., et al., A baseline study of trace metals in a coral reef sedimentary environment, Lakshadweep Archipelago. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 2010. 59(6): p. 1245-1266.
- [5] Hart, B.T., Uptake of trace metals by sediments and suspended particulates: a review. *Hydrobiologia*, 1982. 91(1): p. 299-313.
- [6] Förstner, U., et al., Metal pollution in the aquatic environment. 1979.
- [7] Silva, N., J. Haro, and R. Prego, Metals background and enrichment in the Chiloe Interior Sea sediments (Chile). Is there any segregation between fjords, channels and sounds? . *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 2009. 82: p. 467-476.
- [8] Birch, G., S. Taylor, and C. Matthai, Small-scale spatial and temporal variance in the concentration of heavy metals in aquatic sediments: a review and some new concepts. *Environmental Pollution*, 2001. 113(3): p. 357-372.
- [9] Chon, H.S., D.G. Ohandja, and N. Voulvoulis, Implementation of EU Water Framework Directive: source assessment of metallic substances at catchment levels. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 2010. 12(1): p. 36-47.
- [10] Sinex, S. and G. Helz, Regional geochemistry of trace elements in Chesapeake Bay sediments. *Environmental Geology*, 1981. 3(6): p. 315-323.
- [11] Chong, V.C., et al., The fish and prawn communities of a Malaysian coastal mangrove system. With comparisons to adjacent mudflats and inshore waters. *Est Coast Shelf Science*, 1990(31): p. 703-722.
- [12] van Valine, S.P., and B.J. Morse, Techniques in soil analysis. In *Procedures of Soil Analysis*, . ed., van Reeuwijk, L. P., Oxford:Blackwell Scientific Publications, 2003.
- [13] Cressie, N., The origins of kriging. *Mathematical Geology*, 1990. 22(3): p. 239-252.
- [14] Muller, G., Schwermetalle in den sedimenten des Rheins-Veraenderungenseit. *Umschau* 79:778-783. In: Green-Ruiz, C. & PaÄez-Osuna, F. 2001). Heavy metal Anomalies in Lagoon Sediments related to Intensive Agriculture in Altata-Ensenada del PabelloÄn coastal system (SE Gulf of California). . *Environment International*, 1979. 26: p. 265-273.
- [15] Singh, A.K., S.I. Hasnain, and D.K. Banerjee, Grain Size and Geochemical Portioning of Heavy Metals in Sediments of the Damodar River- A Tributary of the Lower Ganga, India. *Environmental Geology*, 2003(39): p. 90-98.
- [16] Turekian, K.K. and K.H. Wedepohl, Distribution of the elements in some major units of the Earth's crust. *Bull. Geol. Soc. America*, 1961. 72(2): p. 175-192.
- [17] Tomlinson, D.L., et al., Problems in the Assessment of Heavy Metal Levels in Estuaries and the Formation of a Pollution Index. . *Helgoländer Meeresunters.*, 1980. 33: p. 566-575.
- [18] Lijklema, L., A. Koelmans, and R. Portielje, Water quality impacts of sediment pollution and the role of early diagenesis. *Water Science & Technology*, 1993. 28(8-9): p. 1-12.
- [19] Abraham, G.M.S., R. Parker, and S. Nichol, Distribution and assessment of sediment toxicity in Tamaki Estuary, Auckland, New Zealand. *Environmental Geology*, 2007. 52(7): p. 1315-1323.
- [20] Lacerda, L.D., Trace metals biogeochemistry and diffuse pollution in mangrove ecosystems. *ISME. Mangrov. Ecosys. Occasional papers*, 1998. 2: p. 1-65.
- [21] Ranjan, R.K., et al., Assessment of metal enrichments in tsunamigenic sediments of Pichavaram mangroves, southeast coast of India. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 2008. 147(1): p. 389-411.
- [22] Shriadah, M., Heavy metals in mangrove sediments of the United Arab Emirates shoreline (Arabian Gulf). *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 1999. 116(3): p. 523-534.
- [23] Rahaman, A., et al., Effect of different fertilizers on concentration and uptake of cadmium by rice plant. *Journal of Agricultural Research (Pakistan)*, 2007.
- [24] Zheng, D.M., et al., Bioaccumulation of total and methyl mercury by arthropods. *Bulletin of environmental contamination and toxicology*, 2008. 81(1): p. 95-100.
- [25] Yasar, D., A. Aksu, and O. Uslu, Anthropogenic Pollution in İzmit Bay: Heavy Metal Concentrations in Surface Sediments. *Turk J Engin Environ Sci*, 2001. 25: p. 299-313.
- [26] Fishbein, L., Sources, transport and alterations of metal compounds: an overview. I. Arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, and nickel. *Environmental health perspectives*, 1981. 40: p. 43.