

Fig. 2 Structure of the study system

The general continuous-time PID based PSS has the expression

$$u_{PID}(t) = K_p \Delta\omega(t) + K_i \int \Delta\omega(t) dt + K_D \frac{d\Delta\omega}{dt} \quad (1)$$

where  $\Delta\omega$  is speed deviation of machine and  $K_p$ ,  $K_i$ , and  $K_D$  are PID based PSS parameters.

This is first converted into the frequency domain to get

$$u_{PID}(s) = (K_p + \frac{K_i}{s} + sK_D) \Delta\omega(s) \quad (2)$$

#### A. Derivation of the Fuzzy PD based PSS

The output of the conventional analog PD controller in the frequency-domain is given by

$$u_{EPD} = (K_p^c + sK_D^c) \Delta\omega(s) \quad (3)$$

Where  $K_p^c$  and  $K_D^c$  are the conventional proportional and derivative gains, respectively, and  $\Delta\omega(s)$  is the speed deviation signal. This equation can be transformed into the discrete version by applying the bilinear transformation

$$s = \frac{2}{T} \left[ \frac{z-1}{z+1} \right]$$

Where  $T$  is the sampling period, which results in

$$u_{EPD}(z) = (K_p^c + K_D^c \frac{T}{2} \frac{1-z^{-1}}{1+z^{-1}}) \Delta\omega(z) \quad (4)$$

Letting  $K_p = K_p^c$  and  $K_D = 2K_D^c / T$ , and then taking the inverse  $z$ -transform, we have

$$u_{EPD}(nT) + u_{EPD}(nT - T) = K_p [\Delta\omega(nT) + \Delta\omega(nT - T)] + K_D [\Delta\omega(nT) - \Delta\omega(nT - T)] \quad (5)$$

Further dividing (5) by  $T$ , and using to mean from now on (4), we obtain

$$u_{EPD}(n) = K_p d(n) + K_D r(n) \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_{EPD}(n) &= \frac{u_{PD}(n) + u_{PD}(n-1)}{T} \\ r(n) &= \frac{\Delta\omega(n) - \Delta\omega(n-1)}{T} \\ d(n) &= \frac{\Delta\omega(n) + \Delta\omega(n-1)}{T} \end{aligned}$$

We can then rewrite (3) as

$$u_{EPD}(n) = -u_{EPD}(n-1) + T\Delta u_{EPD}(n) \quad (7)$$

Replacing the term  $T\Delta u_{PD}(n)$  by a fuzzy control action gain,

we finally arrive at

$$u_{EPD}(n) = -u_{EPD}(n-1) + K_{uPD} \Delta u_{EPD}(n) \quad (8)$$

where  $K_{uPD}$  is a fuzzy PD control gain.

#### B. Derivation of the Fuzzy I Controller

The output of the conventional analog I controller in the frequency-domain is given by

$$u_{EI}(s) = \frac{K_i^c}{s} \Delta\omega(s) \quad (9)$$

where  $K_i^c$  is the conventional integral control gain. Under the bilinear transformation, (9) becomes

$$u_{EI}(z) = \frac{T}{2} \frac{1-z^{-1}}{1+z^{-1}} K_i^c \Delta\omega(z) \quad (10)$$

so that

$$u_{EI}(z) = K_i^c \frac{T}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{2z^{-1}}{1-z^{-1}} \right) \Delta\omega(z) \quad (11)$$

then we have

$$u_{EI}(n) - u_{EI}(n-1) = \frac{K_i^c T}{2} [\Delta\omega(n) - \Delta\omega(n-1)] + K_i^c T \Delta\omega(n-1) \quad (12)$$

$$\Delta u_{EI}(n) = K_i \Delta\omega(n-1) + K r(n) \quad (13)$$

where  $K_i = K_i^c$  and  $K = (T/2) K_i^c$ , with

$$\Delta u_{EI}(n) = \frac{u_{EI}(n) - u_{EI}(n-1)}{T}$$

and

$$r(n) = \frac{\Delta\omega(n) - \Delta\omega(n-1)}{T}$$

Letting  $K_{uI}$  be a fuzzy control gain, as was similarly done for the fuzzy PD controller case discussed above, we arrive at

$$u_{EI}(n) = u_{EI}(n-1) + K_{uI} \Delta u_{EI}(n) \quad (14)$$

#### C. Combination of the Fuzzy PD+I Controller

Finally, the overall fuzzy PD+I control law can be obtained by algebraically summing the fuzzy PD control law (7) and fuzzy I control law (14) together. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} u_{EPID}(n) &= u_{EPD}(n) + u_{EI}(n) \\ &= -u_{EPD}(n-1) + K_{uPD} \Delta u_{EPD}(n) \\ &\quad + u_{EI}(n-1) + K_{uI} \Delta u_{EI}(n) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The overall fuzzy PID based PSS is shown in Fig. 3, where the fuzzy PD and I controllers will be inserted into the configuration.




Fig. 3 The fuzzy PID based PSS block diagram

#### IV. DESIGN OF OFPID BASED PSS

The choice of suitable performance index is extremely important for the design of OFPID based PSS. In this study, the PSS parameters are coded in a binary string and initial population is randomly generated. The proposed design algorithm employs GA to solve this optimization problem and search for the optimum set of PSS parameters.

A simple performance index that reflects small steady state error, small overshoots and oscillations is selected. GA search employs Integral Squared Time Square Error (ISTSE) optimization technique.  $\Delta\omega$  is the rotor speed deviation in p.u. following a small perturbation in the system. The performance index (objective function) is defined as

$$J = \int_0^{\infty} (t\Delta\omega)^2 dt \quad (16)$$

##### General Structure of GA

The sequential steps for searching optimal solution of Fuzzy PID based PSS parameters using GA is shown in Fig. 4

##### Design Methodology

- 1) An initial population of individuals is randomly generated
- 2) The optimization of Fuzzy PID based PSS parameters is done by evaluating performance index  $J$ .
- 3) If the value of  $J$  obtained is minimum, then the optimum value of PSS parameters equal to those obtained in the current generation, otherwise go to step 4.
- 4) Based on the fitness, some individuals will be selected to populate the next generation.

The selection is based on stochastic universal sampling method. Selected individuals will be then recombined through a crossover process by exchanging genetic information between the pairs of the individuals contained in the current population. After that, each individual in the population will be mutated with a given probability, through a random process of replacing one allele with another to produce a new genetic structure.

The GA stops when a pre-defined maximum number of generations are achieved or when the value returned by the objective function, being below a threshold, remains constant

for a number of iterations. The optimum parameters of the CPSS and OFPID based PSS at nominal condition are shown in Table I.

TABLE I  
FUZZY PID BASED PSS PARAMETERS

$K_p$	$K_d$	$K_i$	$K_{upd}$	$K_{ui}$	$K$
-18.9727	25.2521	81.8621	-10.2770	133.4178	4.0931




Fig. 4 Computational Flow Chart

The GA parameters used in this study are shown in TABLE-II.

TABLE-II  
GA OPTIONS

PopulationSize	50
EliteCount	2
CrossoverFractio	0.9
n	
MigrationInterval	20
MigrationFraction	0.2
Generation	100
StallGenLimit	50
StallTimeLimit	Inf
InitialPenalty	10
PenaltyFactor	100
Initial range	[0;20]

#### V. SIMULATION RESULT

To evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed PSS to improve the stability of power system, the dynamic performance of the proposed PSS was examined under different loading conditions, small perturbation and large

perturbation. The performance of the Optimal Fuzzy PID based PSS is compared with the CPSS whose parameters were optimized using phase compensation technique.

A small perturbation of step increase in mechanical torque was applied at nominal and heavy operating condition. The dynamic responses of Fuzzy PID based PSS are compared with the conventional power system stabilizer (CPSS). Fig. 5 shows that the Fuzzy PID based PSS damps out low frequency oscillations very quickly as compare to CPSS. The Fuzzy PID based PSS has an overall better damped response.




Fig. 5 Dynamic response for  $\Delta\omega$  for small Perturbation at nominal load

## VI. CONCLUSION

A different approach for designing a power system stabilizer using optimal PID Fuzzy has been presented. The optimum values of the PSS are globally search by PSO. The dynamic performance of the OPIDF based PSS is superior than the no PSS and conventionally tuned PSS under small as well large perturbation. Simulation of the response of the proposed PSS to small disturbances have demonstrated the effectiveness of the OPIDF based PSS.

## APPENDIX

### Nominal System Parameters

The nominal parameters and operating conditions of the system are given below. All data are in per unit, except that M and the time constants are in seconds.

#### Generator :

$$M = 2H = 4.74; X_d = 1.7; x_q = 1.64; x'_d = 0.254$$

$$T'_{d0} = 5.9$$

#### Excitation System :

$$K_A = 400; T_A = 0.05; K_F = 0.025; T_F = 1.0;$$

#### PSS:

$$T_W = 1.4 \quad T_1 = T_3 = 0.3 \quad T_2 = T_4 = 0.055$$

#### Transmission on Line :

$$X_e = 0.4; R_e = 0.02$$

#### Operation Condition :

$$P = 1; PF = 0.85 \quad V_t = 1.0; f = 60 \text{ Hz}$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Prabha Kundur, Power System Stability and Control, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1994, Ch.12, pp.817-822.
- [2] F.P. Demello and C. Concordia, Concept of synchronous machine stability as affected by excitation control, IEEE Trans. PAS Vol. 88, 1969, pp 316-329.
- [3] Larsen and D. A. Swann, Applying Power System Stabilizers, Part I- III, IEEE Trans. PAS Vol. 100, no 6, 1981, pp 3017-3046.
- [4] Ruhua You, Hassan J.E, and M. Hasyem N, " An Onlaine Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Power System Stabilizer for Multimachine System", IEEE Transaction On Power System Vol-18, Febuary, 2002
- [5] D.Z. Fang, Yang Xiaodong, T.S.Chung and K.P.Wong, "Adaptive Fuzzy Logic SVC Damping Controller Using Strategy of Oscillation Energy Descent", IEEE Transaction On Power System Vol-12, No 3 August, 2004
- [6] El-Hawary, Mohamed, 1998, "Electric Power Applications of Fuzzy Systems", IEEE Press, New York.
- [7] Tang K. S., Kim Fung Man, Guanrong Chen, and Sam Kwong, 2001, " An Optimal Fuzzy PID Controller", IEEE Transactions On Industrial Electronics, Vol. 48, No. 4, August 2001
- [8] Dubey, M, Pankaj Gupta, " Design of Genetic-Algorithm Based Robust Power System Stabilizer, IJCI Vol. 2 No. 1 2005
- [9] Michalewicz, " Genetic Algorithms+data Structures = Evolution Programs", Springer, 1996.

**Ermanu A. Hakim** was born in Brebes, Indonesia, on May 5, 1965. He received the B.S degree in power system engineering from Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, in 1990, the M.S degree in control engineering from Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology, Surabaya, Indonesia, in 1997. Now, he is doctor program student in power system engineering at Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology, Surabaya, Indonesia.

**Adi Suprijanto** was born in Indonesia in 1964. He received the B.S degree in power system engineering from Bandung Institute of Technology, Bandung, in 1989, the M.S degree in control engineering from Bandung Institute of Technology, Bandung, in 1995, and Ph.D degree in electrical engineering from Hiroshima University, Japan, in 2001. He is a Lecturer in Department of Electrical Engineering in Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology, Surabaya, Indonesia.

**Mauridhi Heri P.** received the B.S degree in power system engineering from Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology, Surabaya, Indonesia, in 1985. M.S degree in control engineering from Japan, in 1995, and Ph.D degree in Intelligence System from Osaka City Univ. Japan, in 1998. He is currently a Professor of Departement of Electrical Engineering. His research interest include fuzzy control, intelligence control and control applications.