Fracture Location Characterizations of Dissimilar Friction Stir Welds

Esther T. Akinlabi and Stephen A. Akinlabi

Abstract—This paper reports the tensile fracture location characterizations of dissimilar friction stir welds between 5754 aluminium alloy and C11000 copper. The welds were produced using three shoulder diameter tools; namely, 15, 18 and 25 mm by varying the process parameters. The rotational speeds considered were 600, 950 and 1200 rpm while the feed rates employed were 50, 150 and 300 mm/min to represent the low, medium and high settings respectively. The tensile fracture locations were evaluated using the optical microscope to identify the fracture locations and were characterized. It was observed that 70% of the tensile samples failed in the Thermo Mechanically Affected Zone (TMAZ) of copper at the weld joints. Further evaluation of the fracture surfaces of the pulled tensile samples revealed that welds with low Ultimate Tensile Strength either have defects or intermetallics present at their joint interfaces.

Keywords—fracture location, friction stir welding, intermetallics, metallography,

I. INTRODUCTION

RICTION Stir Welding (FSW) is a solid-state joining technique invented and patented by The Welding Institute (TWI) in 1991 for butt and lap welding of ferrous and non-ferrous metals and plastics [1]. Since its invention, the process has been continually improved and its scope of application expanded. FSW is a continuous process that involves plunging a portion of a specially shaped rotating tool between the butting faces of the joint. The schematic diagram of the FSW process is presented in Fig 1.




Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of FSW [2]

Dr E. T. Akinlabi is a lecturer in the Department of Mechanical Engineering Science, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park, Johannesburg, South Africa, 2006. (Phone: +2711-559-2137; e-mail: etakinlabi@uj.ac.za).

Mr S. A. Akinlabi is a doctorate candidate in the Department of Mechanical Engineering Science, University of Johannesburg, South Africa, 2006. (Phone: +277984-77095; e-mail: saakinlabi@uj.ac.za).

The relative motion between the tool and the substrate generates frictional heat that creates a plasticized region around the immersed portion of the tool. The resulting microstructure of Friction Stir welds are categorized into three distinct regions [3], viz: the Heat-Affected Zone (HAZ), which lies closer to the weld-center, the material has experienced a thermal cycle that has modified the microstructure and/or the mechanical properties: the Thermo-Mechanically Affected Zone (TMAZ), in this region, the FSW tool has plastically deformed the material, and the heat from the process have exerted some influence on the material and the Weld Nugget (WN) sometimes referred to as the Stir Zone (SZ) is the fully recrystallized area, which refers to the zone previously occupied by the tool pin. The term stir zone is commonly used in friction stir processing, where large volumes of materials are processed. The benefits of this technology include: low distortion, greater weld strength compared to the fusion welding process, no filler metals, no welding fumes or gases, improved corrosion resistance, and lower cost in production applications [4]. Because of the many demonstrated advantages of FSW over fusion welding techniques, the commercialization of FSW is proceeding at a rapid pace and this is brought about by an understanding of the relationship between the process parameters and the resulting weld properties. Yield and tensile strength of Friction Stir welded samples for example are usually assessed to compare the strength and ductility of the welded samples to the base materials. This is often related to the resulting hardness. Many investigators have reported tensile strengths of Friction Stir welded joints as a percentage relative to that of the parent materials; and some have studied its relationship to the process parameters [5-7]. Also, published literature [8-9] of friction stir welding of aluminium and copper are not focused on fracture location characterizations of the tensile samples. The authors found that characterization of the tensile fracture locations which could be an indication to improving the quality of the welds produced has not been well studied. Liu et al [10] studied the tensile fracture locations of different aluminium alloys and found that the fracture locations of the joints are dependent on the internal structure of the joints. The aim of this study is to characterize the tensile fracture locations of dissimilar friction stir welds between aluminium and copper.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

The Friction Stir welds between 5754 aluminium alloy and C11000 copper were produced at the Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University (NMMU), Port Elizabeth, South Africa using an Intelligent Stir Welding for Industry and Research Process Development System (I-STIR PDS) platform. The experimental set-up is presented in Fig 2.




Fig. 2 Experimental set-up for FSW of aluminium and copper

The welds were produced using three different shoulder diameter tools viz: 15, 18 and 25 mm with a constant tool pin diameter of 5 mm. The Copper sheet was placed at the advancing side while the tool pin was plunged in the Aluminium Alloy and made to touch Copper during the welding procedure. The rotational speeds of 600, 950 and 1200 rpm were employed while 50, 150 and 300 mm/min were the feed rates considered representing low, medium and high settings respectively. The fracture locations were identified using the Zeiss microscope. The aluminium alloy side was etched with Keller's reagent and the Cu was etched with modified Poulton's reagent.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Fracture location characterization

The fracture locations of all the tensile samples of the weld matrix with respect to the shoulder diameter tools are presented in Appendix A. Table 1 presents the fracture locations and the percentages compared with the overall number of tensile samples produced. Three samples were taken from each weld, indicated as T1, T2 and T3 in the Appendix A, B and C corresponding to the first, second and third tensile sample taken from each weld respectively.

TABLE I FRACTURE LOCATION CHARACTERIZATIONS

Shoulder Φ	Fracture at the	% compared	Fracture	%
(mm)	TMAZ Al	to total	at the	compared
		number of	TMAZ	to total
		samples	samples Cu	
				samples
15	8	30	19	70
10	0	20	10	5 0
18	8	30	19	70
25	10	37	17	63
23	10	51	1/	03

From Table 1, it was observed that 70, 70 and 63% of the tensile samples fractured in the region of the TMAZ of copper in welds produced with the 15, 18 and 25 mm shoulder diameter tools respectively. In FSW, it is known that the advancing sides in welds are usually weaker than the retreating side because defects such as voids and wormholes are usually formed on the advancing side [11]. The higher percentage of the fractured samples on the TMAZ of copper placed at the advancing side during the welding process can be attributed to this fact. Other samples that failed in aluminium placed at the retreating side could be due to low clamping force on the work pieces resulting in poor bonding, although all efforts were made to ensure that the plates were properly clamped before the welding process commenced.

B. Characterization of the fracture surfaces

The fracture surfaces of the welds that had low UTS were further evaluated. The photo montages of these welds are presented in Fig 3 (a) and (b).



Fig. 3 (a) Fractured surface of weld produced at 950 rpm and 300 mm/min with the 25 mm shoulder diameter tool



Fig. 3 (b) Fractured surface of weld produced at 1200 rpm and 300 mm/min with the 25 mm shoulder diameter tool

It was observed that the fracture locations of these welds all occurred in the TMAZ of Al on the retreating side of the welds. Considering the morphological feature of the joint interfaces, with very little mixing of the two metals achieved; it can be said that the low UTS values obtained in these samples

are due to lack of fusion and low metallurgical bonding at the joint interfaces. It should further be noted that most of these welds were produced at high travel speed. This also resulted in limited coalescence and bonding at the joint interface. The fracture locations of samples that failed due to the presence of intermetallic compounds at the joint interfaces are presented in Fig 4 (a) and (b).




Fig. 4 (a) Fractured surface of weld produced at 950 rpm and 50 mm/min with the 15 mm shoulder diameter tool




Fig. 4 (b) Fractured surface of weld produced at 600 rpm and 50 mm/min with the 15 mm shoulder diameter tool

The microstructures of the regions indicated with square boxes are shown at higher magnifications. It was observed that most of the samples failed in the region of the TMAZ / SZ of Cu on the advancing side. An Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) of the various phases in the samples as shown in the microstructures of the Stir Zones revealed the presence of intermetallic compounds (Al_4Cu_9 and Al_2Cu). These intermetallic phases are hard and brittle in nature and would therefore rather fracture than be plastically deformed, hence,

the presence of secondary cracks running parallel to the main fracture surface as shown in Fig 4 (a) and (b).

IV. CONCLUSION

The tensile fracture locations of the welds produced in this research work were evaluated and characterized. It was found that majority of the welds fractured in the advancing side of the weld. Most of the welds with low Ultimate Tensile Strength either have defects or the presence of intermetallic compounds at their joint interfaces. It can be concluded that the evaluation of the tensile fracture locations of the dissimilar Friction Stir welds of aluminium and copper revealed that the fracture locations are dependent on the internal structures of the weld regions, either due to the presence of weld defects or the presence of intermetallic compounds in the joints. Hence, characterizing the fracture locations is important in understanding the joint integrities of the welds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank Dr T. Hua and Mr L. Von Wielligh for operating the FSW platform, Prof. A. Els-Botes for the opportunity to work in her research group, Mr G. C. Erasmus for assistance in the Metallurgy lab and the National Research Foundation (NRF) South Africa for financial support.

REFERENCES

- W. M. Thomas, E. D. Nicholas, J. C. Needham, M. G. Murch, P. Templesmith and C. J. Dawes. "Improvements relating to Friction Welding". International Patent Application, PCT/GB92/02203 (Patent) December 1991.
- [2] R. S. Mishra and Z. Y. Ma. "Friction stir welding and processing". Materials Science and Engineering R. 2005; 50: pp1-78.
- [3] P. L. Threadgill. "Terminology in friction stir welding". Science and Technology of Welding and Joining. 2007; 12(4): p. 357-360.
- [4] A. P. Reynolds. "Friction stir welding of aluminium alloys". In: Totten GE, MacKenzie DS (eds.) Handbook of Aluminium, Volume 2 Alloy Production and Materials Manufacturing. New York, Marcel Dekker, 2003. pp. 579-700.
- [5] S. Lim, S. Kim, C. Lee and S. Kim. "Tensile behaviour of friction stir welded Al 6061-T651". Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A 2004; 35: pp. 2829-2835.
- [6] S. Lim, S. Kim, C. Lee and S. Kim. "Tensile behaviour of friction stir welded A356-T6/Al 60610T651 bi-alloy plate". Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A. 2004; 35: pp. 2837-2843.
- [7] L. Ceschini, I. Boromei, G. Minak, A. Morri and F. Tarterini. "Effect of friction stir welding on microstructure, tensile and fatigue properties of the AA7005/10% vol. "Al2O3P composite". Composites Science and Technology 2007; 67: pp. 605-615.
- [8] J. Ouyang, E. Yarrapareddy and R. Kovacevic. "Microstructural evolution in the friction stir welded 6061 aluminium alloy (T6- temper condition) to copper". Journal of Materials Processing technology 2006; 172: pp. 110-122.
- [9] A. Abdollah Zadeh, T. Saeid and B. Sazgari. "Microstructural and mechanical properties of friction stir welded aluminium/copper lap Joints". Journal of Alloys and Compounds 2008; 460: pp. 535-538.
- [10] H. Liu, H. Fujii, M. Maeda and K. Nogi. "Tensile fracture location characterizations of friction stir joints of different alloys". Journal of Material Science Technology, 2004; 20: pp. 103-105.
- [11] R. Nandan, T. DebRoy and H. K. D. H. Bhadeshia. "Recent advances in friction stir welding- Process, weldment structure and properties". Progress in Material Science 2008; 53: pp. 980-1023.

International Journal of Mechanical, Industrial and Aerospace Sciences

ISSN: 2517-9950 Vol:5, No:11, 2011

APPENDIX (A) FRACTURE LOCATIONS OF TENSILE SAMPLES OF WELDS PRODUCED

(B) FRACTURE LOCATIONS OF TENSILE SAMPLES OF WELDS PRODUCED

Weld Rotationa Traverse Tensile Fracture Ultimate No. Ispeed Ispee		WITH THE 15 MM SHOULDER DIAMETER TOOL					WITH THE 18 MM SHOULDER DIAMETER TOOL					
SIS_01 600 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 100 SIS_01 600 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 174		l speed	speed			Tensile Strength		l speed	speed			Tensile Strength
\$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	S15_01	600	50	T1	TMAZ Cu	156	S18_01	600	50	T1	TMAZ Cu	155
SI5_02 600 150 T1 TMAZ Cu 194 SI8_02 600 150 T1 TMAZ Al 168 SI5_02 600 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 152 SI5_02 600 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 152 SI5_03 600 300 T1 TMAZ Al 195 SI8_03 600 300 T1 TMAZ Al 160 SI5_03 600 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 151 SI8_03 600 300 T2 TMAZ Al 160 SI5_03 600 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 151 SI5_03 600 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 166 SI8_03 600 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 151 SI5_04 950 50 T1 TMAZ Al 186 SI8_04 950 50 T1 TMAZ Cu 187 SI5_04 950 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 192 SI8_04 950 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 192 SI8_04 950 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 195 SI5_05 950 150 T1 TMAZ Al 153 SI8_05 950 150 T1 TMAZ Cu 195 SI5_05 950 150 T1 TMAZ Al 153 SI8_05 950 150 T1 TMAZ Cu 190 SI5_06 950 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 112 SI8_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Al 105 SI8_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 114 SI5_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 115 SI8_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 115 SI8_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 115 SI8_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 115 SI8_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 119 SI8_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 114 SI8_09 1200 300 T3 TMAZ Al 116 SI8_09 1200 300	S15_01	600	50	T2	TMAZ Cu	100	S18_01	600	50	T2	TMAZ Cu	174
S15_02 600 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 168 S18_02 600 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 152 S15_02 600 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 170 S18_02 600 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 132 S15_03 600 300 T1 TMAZ Al 195 S18_03 600 300 T1 TMAZ Al 160 S15_03 600 300 T2 TMAZ Al 160 S15_03 600 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 151 S15_03 600 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 151 S15_04 950 50 T1 TMAZ Al 186 S18_04 950 50 T1 TMAZ Cu 187 S15_04 950 50 T2 TMAZ Al 163 S18_04 950 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 163 S18_04 950 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 163 S18_04 950 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 192 S18_04 950 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 195 S15_05 950 150 T1 TMAZ Al 153 S18_05 950 150 T1 TMAZ Cu 195 S15_05 950 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 201 S18_05 950 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 112 S18_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 182 S15_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 118 S18_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 182 S15_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 118 S18_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Al 105 S15_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 195 S18_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 197 S15_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 170 S18_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 170 S18_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 198 S15_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 170 S18_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 198 S15_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Al 116 S15_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 170 S18_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 198 S15_09 1200 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 198 S15_09 1200 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 134 S15_09 1200 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 135 S18_09 1200 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 134 S15_09 1200 300 T3 TMAZ Al 116 S18_09 1200 300 T3 TMAZ Al 166 S18_09 1200	S15_01	600	50	Т3	TMAZ Cu	146	S18_01	600	50	Т3	TMAZ Cu	195
S15_02 600 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 170 S18_02 600 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 132	S15_02	600	150	T1	TMAZ Cu	194	S18_02	600	150	T1	TMAZ Al	168
S15_03 600 300 T1 TMAZ AI 195 S18_03 600 300 T1 TMAZ CU 131	S15_02	600	150	T2	TMAZ Cu	168	S18_02	600	150	T2	TMAZ Cu	152
S15_03 600 300 T2 TMAZ AI 215 S18_03 600 300 T2 TMAZ AI 160	S15_02	600	150	Т3	TMAZ Cu	170	S18_02	600	150	Т3	TMAZ Cu	132
S15_03 600 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 166 S18_03 600 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 151	S15_03	600	300	T1	TMAZ Al	195	S18_03	600	300	T1	TMAZ Cu	131
S15_04 950 50 T1 TMAZ AI 186 S18_04 950 50 T1 TMAZ Cu 229 S15_04 950 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 103 S18_04 950 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 187 S15_04 950 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 192 S18_04 950 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 209 S15_05 950 150 T1 TMAZ AI 153 S18_05 950 150 T1 TMAZ Cu 209 S15_05 950 150 T2 TMAZ AI 219 S18_05 950 150 T1 TMAZ Cu 195 S15_05 950 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 201 S18_05 950 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_06 950 300 T1 TMAZ Cu 112 S18_06 950 300 T1 TMAZ AI 141 S15_06 950 300	S15_03	600	300	T2	TMAZ Al	215	S18_03	600	300	T2	TMAZ Al	160
S15_04 950 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 103 S18_04 950 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 187 S15_04 950 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 192 S18_04 950 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 209 S15_05 950 150 T1 TMAZ Al 153 S18_05 950 150 T1 TMAZ Cu 195 S15_05 950 150 T2 TMAZ Al 219 S18_05 950 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_05 950 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 201 S18_05 950 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_06 950 300 T1 TMAZ Al 168 S18_05 950 300 T1 TMAZ Cu 210 S15_06 950 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 112 S18_06 950 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 182 S15_06 950 3	S15_03	600	300	Т3	TMAZ Cu	166	S18_03	600	300	Т3	TMAZ Cu	151
S15_04 950 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 192 S18_04 950 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 209 S15_05 950 150 T1 TMAZ Al 153 S18_05 950 150 T1 TMAZ Cu 195 S15_05 950 150 T2 TMAZ Al 219 S18_05 950 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_05 950 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 201 S18_05 950 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 210 S15_06 950 300 T1 TMAZ Al 168 S18_06 950 300 T1 TMAZ Al 141 S15_06 950 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 112 S18_06 950 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 182 S15_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 118 S18_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Al 105 S15_07 1200 <t< td=""><td>S15_04</td><td>950</td><td>50</td><td>T1</td><td>TMAZ Al</td><td>186</td><td>S18_04</td><td>950</td><td>50</td><td>T1</td><td>TMAZ Cu</td><td>229</td></t<>	S15_04	950	50	T1	TMAZ Al	186	S18_04	950	50	T1	TMAZ Cu	229
\$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	S15_04	950	50	T2	TMAZ Cu	103	S18_04	950	50	T2	TMAZ Cu	187
S15_05 950 150 T2 TMAZ AI 219 S18_05 950 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_05 950 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 201 S18_05 950 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 210 S15_06 950 300 T1 TMAZ AI 168 S18_06 950 300 T1 TMAZ AI 141 S15_06 950 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 112 S18_06 950 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 182 S15_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 118 S18_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 182 S15_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 118 S18_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 182 S15_07 1200 50 T1 TMAZ Cu 155 S18_07 1200 50 T2 TMAZ AI 202 S15_07 1200	S15_04	950	50	Т3	TMAZ Cu	192	S18_04	950	50	T3	TMAZ Cu	209
S15_05 950 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 201 S18_05 950 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 210 S15_06 950 300 T1 TMAZ Al 168 S18_06 950 300 T1 TMAZ Al 141 S15_06 950 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 112 S18_06 950 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 182 S15_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 118 S18_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Al 105 S15_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 118 S18_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Al 105 S15_07 1200 50 T1 TMAZ Cu 155 S18_07 1200 50 T1 TMAZ Al 214 S15_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 192 S18_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 197 S15_08 1200	S15_05	950	150	T1	TMAZ Al	153	S18_05	950	150	T1	TMAZ Cu	195
S15_06 950 300 T1 TMAZ AI 168 S18_06 950 300 T1 TMAZ AI 141 S15_06 950 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 112 S18_06 950 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 182 S15_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 118 S18_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ AI 105 S15_07 1200 50 T1 TMAZ Cu 155 S18_07 1200 50 T1 TMAZ AI 214 S15_07 1200 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 86 S18_07 1200 50 T2 TMAZ AI 202 S15_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 192 S18_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 197 S15_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ AI 160 S18_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_08 1200	S15_05	950	150	T2	TMAZ Al	219	S18_05	950	150	T2	TMAZ Cu	190
S15_06 950 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 112 S18_06 950 300 T2 TMAZ Cu 182 S15_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 118 S18_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Al 105 S15_07 1200 50 T1 TMAZ Cu 155 S18_07 1200 50 T1 TMAZ Al 214 S15_07 1200 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 86 S18_07 1200 50 T2 TMAZ Al 202 S15_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 192 S18_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 197 S15_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ Al 160 S18_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_08 1200 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 170 S18_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 198 S15_09 1200	S15_05	950	150	Т3	TMAZ Cu	201	S18_05	950	150	T3	TMAZ Cu	210
S15_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 118 S18_06 950 300 T3 TMAZ Al 105 S15_07 1200 50 T1 TMAZ Cu 155 S18_07 1200 50 T1 TMAZ Al 214 S15_07 1200 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 86 S18_07 1200 50 T2 TMAZ Al 202 S15_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 192 S18_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 197 S15_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ Al 160 S18_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_08 1200 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 170 S18_08 1200 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 217 S18_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 198 S15_09 1200	S15_06	950	300	T1	TMAZ Al	168	S18_06	950	300	T1	TMAZ Al	141
S15_07 1200 50 T1 TMAZ Cu 155 S18_07 1200 50 T1 TMAZ Al 214 S15_07 1200 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 86 S18_07 1200 50 T2 TMAZ Al 202 S15_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 192 S18_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 197 S15_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ Al 160 S18_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ Al 131 S15_08 1200 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 170 S18_08 1200 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 217 S18_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 198 S15_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Al 115 S18_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Al 166	S15_06	950	300	T2	TMAZ Cu	112	S18_06	950	300	T2	TMAZ Cu	182
S15_07 1200 50 T2 TMAZ Cu 86 S18_07 1200 50 T2 TMAZ Al 202 S15_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 192 S18_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 197 S15_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ Al 160 S18_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ Al 131 S15_08 1200 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 170 S18_08 1200 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 217 S18_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 198 S15_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Cu 135 S18_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Al 166 S15_09 1200 300 T2 TMAZ Al 115 S18_09 1200 300 T2 TMAZ Al 166	S15_06	950	300	Т3	TMAZ Cu	118	S18_06	950	300	Т3	TMAZ Al	105
S15_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 192 S18_07 1200 50 T3 TMAZ Cu 197 S15_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ Al 160 S18_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ Al 131 S15_08 1200 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 170 S18_08 1200 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 217 S18_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 198 S15_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Cu 135 S18_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Al 115 S18_09 1200 300 T2 TMAZ Al 166	S15_07	1200	50	T1	TMAZ Cu	155	S18_07	1200	50	T1	TMAZ Al	214
S15_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ Al 160 S18_08 1200 150 T1 TMAZ Al 131 S15_08 1200 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 170 S18_08 1200 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 217 S18_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 198 S15_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Cu 135 S18_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Al 136 S15_09 1200 300 T2 TMAZ Al 115 S18_09 1200 300 T2 TMAZ Al 166	S15_07	1200	50	T2	TMAZ Cu	86	S18_07	1200	50	T2	TMAZ Al	202
S15_08 1200 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 170 S18_08 1200 150 T2 TMAZ Cu 190 S15_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 217 S18_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 198 S15_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Cu 135 S18_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Cu 134 S15_09 1200 300 T2 TMAZ Al 115 S18_09 1200 300 T2 TMAZ Al 166	S15_07	1200	50	Т3	TMAZ Cu	192	S18_07	1200	50	T3	TMAZ Cu	197
S15_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 217 S18_08 1200 150 T3 TMAZ Cu 198 S15_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Cu 135 S18_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Cu 134 S15_09 1200 300 T2 TMAZ Al 115 S18_09 1200 300 T2 TMAZ Al 166	S15_08	1200	150	T1	TMAZ Al	160	S18_08	1200	150	T1	TMAZ Al	131
S15_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Cu 135 S18_09 1200 300 T1 TMAZ Cu 134 S15_09 1200 300 T2 TMAZ Al 115 S18_09 1200 300 T2 TMAZ Al 166	S15_08	1200	150	T2	TMAZ Cu	170	S18_08	1200	150	T2	TMAZ Cu	190
S15_09 1200 300 T2 TMAZ Al 115 S18_09 1200 300 T2 TMAZ Al 166	S15_08	1200	150	Т3	TMAZ Cu	217	S18_08	1200	150	Т3	TMAZ Cu	198
	S15_09	1200	300	T1	TMAZ Cu	135	S18_09	1200	300	T1	TMAZ Cu	134
S15_09 1200 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 165 S18_09 1200 300 T3 TMAZ Cu 198	S15_09	1200	300	T2	TMAZ Al	115	S18_09	1200	300	T2	TMAZ Al	166
	S15_09	1200	300	Т3	TMAZ Cu	165	S18_09	1200	300	Т3	TMAZ Cu	198

(C) Fracture locations of tensile samples of welds produced with the $25~\mbox{mm}$ shoulder diameter tool

THE 25 MM SHOULDER DIAMETER TOOL									
Weld	Rotational	Traverse	Tensile	Fracture	Ultimate Tensile				
No.	speed rpm	speed (mm/min)	sample	sample location					
		(11111)			Strength (MPa)				
S25_01	600	50	T1	TMAZ Al	156				
S25_01	600	50	T2	TMAZ Cu	170				
S25_01	600	50	Т3	TMAZ Cu	158				
S25_02	600	150	T1	TMAZ Al	127				
S25_02	600	150	T2	TMAZ Al	126				
S25_02	600	150	Т3	TMAZ Cu	105				
S25_03	600	300	T1	TMAZ Cu	126				
S25_03	600	300	T2	TMAZ Al	154				
S25_03	600	300	Т3	TMAZ Cu	123				
S25_04	950	50	T1	TMAZ Al	132				
S25_04	950	50	T2	TMAZ Cu	174				
S25_04	950	50	Т3	TMAZ Cu	183				
S25_05	950	150	T1	TMAZ Al	159				
S25_05	950	150	T2	TMAZ Al	195				
S25_05	950	150	Т3	TMAZ Cu	180				
S25_06	950	300	T1	TMAZ Al	92				
S25_06	950	300	T2	TMAZ Cu	135				
S25_06	950	300	Т3	TMAZ Cu	150				
S25_07	1200	50	T1	TMAZ Cu	165				
S25_07	1200	50	T2	TMAZ Cu	141				
S25_07	1200	50	Т3	TMAZ Cu	95				
S25_08	1200	150	T1	TMAZ Cu	101				
S25_08	1200	150	T2	TMAZ Cu	120				
S25_08	1200	150	Т3	TMAZ Cu	146				
S25_09	1200	300	T1	TMAZ Al	92				
S25_09	1200	300	T2	TMAZ Al	132				
S25_09	1200	300	Т3	TMAZ Cu	182				