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#### Abstract

We are concerned with a class of quadratic matrix equations arising from the overdamped mass-spring system. By exploring the structure of coefficient matrices, we propose a fast cyclic reduction algorithm to calculate the extreme solutions of the equation. Numerical experiments show that the proposed algorithm outperforms the original cyclic reduction and the structure-preserving doubling algorithm.
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## I. Introduction

IN a quadratic eigenvalue problem (QEP) [17], the eigenvalues $\lambda$ and eigenvectors $x$ are to be find to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\lambda) x=\left(\lambda^{2} M+\lambda D+K\right) x=0, \quad M, D, K \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we are interested in a QEP arising from the mass-damped system, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& M=m I_{n} \\
& D=P_{n} \operatorname{diag}(d, \ldots, d, 0) P_{n}^{T}+\tau I_{n}  \tag{2}\\
& K=P_{n} \operatorname{diag}(k, \ldots, k, 0) P_{n}^{T}+\kappa I_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

and $P_{n}=\left(\delta_{i j}-\delta_{i, j+1}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$ with $\delta_{i j}$ the Kronecker delta, i.e. $\delta_{i j}=1$ for $i=j$ and $\delta_{i j}=0$ for $i \neq j$. The parameters in (2) have the following physical meaning. The $i$-th mass weight $m$ is connected to its $(i+1)$-th neighbour by a spring and a damper with constants $k$ and $d$, respectively. The $i$-th mass is also connected to the ground by a spring and a damper with constants $\kappa$ and $\tau$, respectively. We refer to [16] for more details.

The overdamped case of system (1) often need to be detected in many applications and has the following definition [6].

Definition 1.1. If $M>0, D>0, K \geq 0$ and $D>\mu M+$ $\mu^{-1} K$ for some $\mu>0$, the QEP (1) from mass-spring system is called overdamped.

Here and hereafter, the matrix inequality $M_{1} \geq M_{2}\left(M_{1}>\right.$ $M_{2}$ ) for Hermitian matrices $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ means that matrix $M_{1}-M_{2}$ is positive semidefinite (definite).

Guo and Lancaster [7] recently showed that the overdamping condition can be checked efficiently by computing two
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eigenvalues of the extremal solutions ${ }^{1}$ of the quadratic matrix equation (QME)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(S)=M S^{2}+D S+K=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $M, D, K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ the same as those in (2). Therefore, the detection of a overdamped system (1) relies on obtaining the extremal solution of (3) efficiently.

Generally, the fixed-point methods [11], Newton's method [11], [12], cyclic reduction (CR) [2] and different structurepreserving doubling algorithms (SDA) [4, 14, 18-20] are efficient algorithms for computing the extreme solutions of the QME (3). Although they all share the complexity of $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ flops per iteration, fixed-point methods are linearly convergent and latter three in general provide quadratic convergence. Moreover, the CR algorithm and the SDA algorithm are considered more effective than Newton's method since a matrix decomposition and several matrices multiplications are only required [14], [20].

In this paper, we reconsider the CR algorithm applied into (3). By taking full advantage of the special structure of coefficient matrices in (2), we extend them to a class of centrosymmetric Toeplitz-plus-Hankel $(T+H)$ matrices, and then devise an algorithm for performing the CR iteration with $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ flops per step. This algorithm is based on a suitable modification of the fast inverse formula for $T+H$ matrices developed in [10]. The numerical experiments show that the proposed fast CR algorithm outperforms the CR algorithm [2] and the SDA [4].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We extend the coefficient matrices of the overdamped QME (3) to a class of centrosymmetric $T+H$ matrices in the next section. In Section 3, we review the CR algorithm in [2], [6] and develop a fast CR algorithm based on the recursively fast inverse formula. We obtain a similar fast inverse formula for another class of overdamped QMEs in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to test the proposed algorithm and compare its numerical performance with the CR algorithm [2], [6] and the SDA [4]. We conclude the paper by discussion in Section 6.

## II. Preliminaries

In this section, we do some preliminaries. We first introduce some properties on $T+H$ matrices. Let $T=\left(t_{i-j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$ and $H=\left(h_{i+j-2}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$ be Toeplitz matrix and Hankel matrix,
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respectively. The next lemma gives an equivalent description of $T+H$ matrix [9].

Lemma 2.1. A matrix $M$ is a $T+H$ matrix if and only if the $(n-2) \times(n-2)$ submatrix in the center of the matrix $\nabla_{W_{n}}(M)=W_{n} M-M W_{n}$ is the zero matrix, where $W_{n}=$ $\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}1 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$.

Note that the matrix $W_{n}$ such that the $(n-2) \times(n-2)$ submatrix of $\nabla_{W_{n}}(M)$ is zero matrix is not unique [9]. We use $W_{n}$ of the form in Lemma 2.1 so that it is convenient to derive a fast inverse formula in the next section.

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that the $T+H$ matrix $N$ satisfies the following displacement structure (see also [10], [9])

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n} N-N W_{n}=G F, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right)$ and $F=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}\right)^{T}$ with $g_{i}, f_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, i=1,2,3,4$. That is, the $W_{n}$ displacement rank of $T+H$ matrix does not exceed 4. Specially, if the RHS of (4) equals zero matrix, the matrix $M$ is called $W_{n}$ commutable $T+H$ matrix. The following lemma describes the $W_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrix in the component-wise sense.

Lemma 2.2. Let $M=T+H=\left(t_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}+\left(h_{i+j-2}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$. $M$ is a $W_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrix if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t_{1}=t_{-1}, \quad h_{n}=h_{n-2},  \tag{5}\\
t_{1-i}+h_{i-1}=t_{-i}+h_{i-2}, \\
t_{i-1}+h_{2 n-1-i}=t_{i}+h_{2 n-i}, \quad i=2, \cdots, n-1 . \\
t_{i-1}+h_{i-1}=t_{i}+h_{i-2}, \\
t_{1-i}+h_{2 n-1-i}=t_{-i}+h_{2 n-i},
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that the nonzero entries of $M$ can only appear in its first and last rows and its first and last columns. Direct computations and the definition of $W_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrix complete the proof.
Denote by $J=\left(\delta_{i, n+1-j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$ the counter-identity matrix. A $T+H$ matrix $M$ is called centrosymmetric if $J M J=M$. Evidently, if the Toeplitz part of the matrix $M$ is symmetric and the Hankel part of $M$ is persymmetric ${ }^{2}$, then $M$ is a centrosymmetric $T+H$ matrix, but the inversion is not true.

The following lemma indicates some interesting properties about (cenrtosymmetric) $W_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrices.
Lemma 2.3. (a) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be nonsingular, $A$ is a (centrosymmetric) $W_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrix if and only if $A^{-1}$ is a (centrosymmetric) $W_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrix.
(b) Let $A, B, C$ and $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be (centrosymmetric) $W_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrices, then $A B+C D$ is a (centrosymmetric) $W_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrix.
Proof. Since the inverse, product and sum of centrosymmetric matrices are all centrosymmetric, the conclusion is direct by the definition of $W_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrix.

[^1]The above lemma shows that the inverse, product, sum and their combinations of $W_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrices are still $W_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrices. This structure-preserving property provides the base for designing a fast CR algorithm for QME (6) in the next section.

## III. Fast CR algorithm

## A. The CR algorithm

The cyclic reduction (CR) algorithm is a very efficient algorithm for solving some nonlinear matrix equations (see [2], [15] for example). Attractive properties of the CR algorithm include its quadratic convergence rate, low computational cost per iteration and nice numerical reliability. The general iteration scheme for finding the extremal solutions of QME

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(X)=A X^{2}+B X+C=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is as follows:

## Algorithm 3.1. The Cyclic Algorithm.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { step 0 }: & S_{0}=B, A_{0}=A, B_{0}=B, C_{0}=C . \\
\text { step 1 }: & \text { For } k=0,1,2 \ldots, \text { until convergence, do } \\
& S_{k+1}=S_{k}-A_{k} B_{k}^{-1} C_{k}, \\
A_{k+1} & =A_{k} B_{k}^{-1} A_{k}, \\
B_{k+1} & =B_{k}-A_{k} B_{k}^{-1} C_{k}-C_{k} B_{k}^{-1} A_{k}, \\
& C_{k+1}=C_{k} B_{k}^{-1} C_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When the QEP corresponding to the QME (6) is a overdamped, Guo, Higham and Tisseur [6] showed that the matrix inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}>0, \quad C_{k} \geq 0, \quad B_{k} \geq \mu^{2^{k}} A_{k}+\mu^{-2^{k}} C_{k}, \quad k \geq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold with some positive real constant $\mu$. Thus the iterations (7) are well defined.

The convergence of iteration (7) in this case are summarized as follows [6, Theorem 3.1, Corollary 4.7].

Theorem 3.1. Let QME (6) be overdamped. Consider the iteration (7). We have
(a) $\left\|A_{k}\right\|\left\|C_{k}\right\|$ converges quadratically to zero with any matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$.
(b) $\left\{S_{k}\right\}$ converges quadratically to a nonsingular matrix $\hat{S}$. Moreover, the two extreme solutions of QME (6) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{(1)}=-\hat{S}^{-1} C, \quad S^{(2)}=-A^{-1} \hat{S}^{T} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) $\left\{B_{k}\right\}$ converges quadratically to a nonsingular matrix $\hat{B}=A\left(S^{(1)}-S^{(2)}\right)$.

As far as the complexity at each iteration is concerned, Algorithm 3.1 requires one matrix inverse and several matrix multiplications. The computational cost can be specified as follows [7]. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}=L_{k} L_{k}^{T} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Cholesky factorization. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k}=L_{k}^{-1} A_{k} \text { and } U_{k}=L_{k}^{-1} C_{k} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $A_{k} B_{k}^{-1} C_{k}=V_{k}^{T} U_{k}, C_{k} B_{k}^{-1} A_{k}=\left(A_{k} B_{k}^{-1} C_{k}\right)^{T}$, $A_{k} B_{k}^{-1} A_{k}=V_{k}^{T} V_{k}$, and $C_{k} B^{-1} C_{k}=U_{k}^{T} U_{k}$. Therefore, the computational work required for one iteration is about $19 n^{3} / 3$.

## B. The fast algorithm

In this subsection, we shall give a fast implementation of Algorithm 3.1 by the use of special structures of coefficient matrices in (2).

It is not difficult to see that coefficient matrices $M, D$ and $K$ in (2) fall in a class of $T+H$ matrices

$$
R=\left(r_{|i-j|}+\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
r_{i+j-1} & i+j \leq n+1  \tag{11}\\
r_{2 n+1-i-j} & i+j>n+1,
\end{array}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}\right.
$$

In fact, we have $R=M$ with $r_{0}=m, r_{1}=\cdots=r_{n}=0$, $R=D$ with $r_{0}=2 d+\tau, r_{1}=-d, r_{2}=\cdots=r_{n}=0$ and $R=K$ with $r_{0}=2 k+\kappa, r_{1}=-k, r_{2}=\cdots=r_{n}=0$.

Note that the Toeplitz part and the Hankel part of the matrix $R$ are symmetric and persymmetric, respectively, thus by Lemma $2.2, R$ is a centrosymmetric $W_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrix. It is well known that the inverse and the Schur complement of displacement structure matrices can preserve the low rank property [13], so does $R$.

Let $A=M, B=D$ and $C=K$ in Algorithm 3.1, It follows from Lemma 2.3 that iteration sequences $\left\{A_{k}\right\},\left\{B_{k}\right\}$, $\left\{C_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{S_{k}\right\}$ are all centrosymmetric $W_{n}$-commutable $T+$ $H$ matrices and, thereby, the limit matrices $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{B}$ (hence the extreme solutions $S^{(1)}$ and $S^{(2)}$ ) are centrosymmetric $W_{n^{-}}$ commutable $T+H$ matrices due to Theorem 3.1. With this observation, we can develop a fast algorithm with $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ to fulfill the CR iteration as follows.

## Algorithm 3.2. Fast cyclic reduction algorithm.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { step } 0: & S_{0}=B, A_{0}=A, B_{0}=B, C_{0}=C . \\
\text { step } 1: & \text { For } k=0,1,2 \ldots, \text { until convergence, do } \\
\text { 1.1. } & \text { Compute fast inverse } B_{k}^{-1} \text { with } O\left(n^{2}\right) . \\
\text { 1.2. } & \text { Compute products } A_{k} B_{k}^{-1} C_{k}, A_{k} B_{k}^{-1} A_{k} \text { and } \\
& C_{k} B_{k}^{-1} C_{k} \text { with } O\left(n^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

1.3. Fulfill step 1 in Algorithm 3.1.

It is clear that the fast implementation of Algorithm 3.2 depends on the fast inversion of matrix $B_{k}$. Moreover, we notice that each $B_{k}$ is of the form (11), so that the fast inversion can be done by a suitable modification of the algorithm proposed by Heinig, Jankowski and Rost [10]. Let $R$ be nonsingular, from (4) one obtains

$$
W_{n} R^{-1}-R^{-1} W_{n}=-\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_{i} y_{i}^{T}
$$

where $x_{i}, y_{i}$ are the solutions of equations

$$
R x_{i}=g_{i}, \quad R^{T} y_{i}=f_{i} \quad(i=1,2,3,4)
$$

Since $R$ is a centrosymmetric $T+H$ matrix, the above equations are reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
R x_{1}=e_{1}, \quad R x_{2}=e_{n}, \quad R x_{3}=R x_{4}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
y_{1}=x_{3}, \quad y_{2}=x_{4}, \quad y_{3}=x_{1}, \quad y_{4}=x_{2}
$$

where $e_{1}$ and $e_{n}$ are the first and the last column of identity matrix $I_{n}$.

The following lemma gives the inverse formula of the matrix $R$ by using the solution in (12).

Lemma 3.2. Let $R$ in (11) be nonsingular. Then the columns $u_{j}(j=1, \ldots, n)$ of $R^{-1}$ can be determined by the solution of $R x_{2}=e_{n}$ and the recursion
$u_{n}=x_{2}, \quad u_{j-1}= \begin{cases}W_{n} u_{n}-u_{n}, & j=n \\ W_{n} u_{j}-u_{j+1}, & 2 \leq j \leq n-1\end{cases}$
Proof. We prove the lemma by induction. It is clear that $u_{n}=x_{2}$ for $j=n$. Assume that $R u_{j}=e_{j}(j \leq n)$, where $e_{j}$ is the $j$-th column of the identity matrix. By $W_{n} R-R W_{n}=0$, we have

$$
W_{n} e_{j}-R W_{n} u_{j}=0
$$

This together with

$$
W_{n} e_{j}= \begin{cases}e_{n-1}+e_{n}, & j=n \\ e_{j-1}+e_{j+1}, & 2 \leq j \leq n-1\end{cases}
$$

yields $R\left(W_{n} u_{n}-u_{n}\right)=e_{n-1}$ and $R\left(W_{n} u_{j}-u_{j+1}\right)=$ $e_{j-1}(2 \leq j \leq n)$. The proof is complete.

The computation of formula (13) is $2 n^{2}$. Since $R^{-1}$ is centrosymmetric, the cost can be reduced to $n^{2} / 2$.
To complete the computation of $R^{-1}$, we need to solve the equation $R x_{2}=e_{n}$ in (12) with $O\left(n^{2}\right)$. This can be done by a recursion procedure. Rewrite $R$ in (11) as $R=\left(r_{|i-j|}+\right.$ $\left.r_{i+j-1}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$ and consider the sequence of principal sections of order $m$ of $R$

$$
R^{(m)}=\left(r_{|i-j|}+r_{i+j-1}\right)_{i, j=1}^{m} \quad(1 \leq m \leq n)
$$

Let $u^{(m)}$ and $v^{(m)}$ be vectors with the dimension $m$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{(m)} v^{(m)}=-g^{(m)}, \quad R^{(m)} u^{(m)}=e_{m}^{(m)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{(m)}= & \left(r_{m}+r_{m+1}-r_{m-1}-r_{m}\right. \\
& r_{m-1}+r_{m+2}-r_{m-2}-r_{m+1}, \ldots \\
& \left.r_{1}+r_{2 m}-r_{0}-r_{2 m-1}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

and $e_{m}^{(m)}$ is the last column of identity matrix of the dimension $m$.

Lemma 3.3. Assume $R$ to be strongly nonsingular. Then the solutions $v^{(m)}$ of (14) have the recursion

$$
\begin{align*}
& v^{(m+1)}=\left(W^{(m+1)}-\left(1+\frac{\gamma_{m}}{\delta_{m}}-\frac{\lambda_{m}}{\delta_{m-1}}\right) I_{m+1}\right) \\
& \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
v^{(m)}-e_{m}^{(m)} \\
1
\end{array}\right]-\frac{\delta_{m}}{\delta_{m-1}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
v^{(m-1)}-e_{m-1}^{(m-1)} \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{m}=\left(f^{(m)}\right)^{T}\left(v^{(m)}-e_{m}^{(m)}\right)+r_{0}+r_{2 m+1} \neq 0 \\
\gamma_{m}=\left(g^{(m+1)}\right)^{T}\left[\begin{array}{c}
v^{(m)}-e_{m}^{(m)} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
\lambda_{m}=\left(f^{(m+1)}\right)^{T}\left[\begin{array}{c}
v^{(m)}-e_{m}^{(m)} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

with

$$
f^{(m)}=\left(r_{m}+r_{m+1}, r_{m-1}+r_{m+2}, \ldots, r_{1}+r_{2 m}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

and $W^{(m)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ has the same structure with $W_{n}$ in Lemma 2.1.

Proof. The definition of $\delta_{m}$ implies it is nonzero, otherwise $\left(v^{(m)}-e_{m}^{(m)}, 1\right)^{T}$ would be a nontrivial vector of the kernel of $R^{(m+1)}$. It follows from the equality $g^{(m)}=f^{(m)}-R^{(m)} e_{m}^{(m)}$ that

$$
R^{(m+1)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
v^{(m)}-e_{m}^{(m)}  \tag{17}\\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0^{(m)} \\
\delta_{m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
R^{(m+1)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
v^{(m-1)}-e_{m-1}^{(m-1)}  \tag{18}\\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0^{(m-1)} \\
\delta_{m-1} \\
\lambda_{m-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Rearranging (17) and (18) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{(m+1)}\left(1-\frac{\lambda_{m-1}}{\delta_{m-1}}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
v^{(m)}-e_{m}^{(m)} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& +R^{(m+1)} \frac{\delta_{m}}{\delta_{m-1}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
v^{(m-1)}-e_{m-1}^{(m-1)} \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
= & {\left[\begin{array}{c}
0^{(m-1)} \\
\delta_{m} \\
\delta_{m}
\end{array}\right] . } \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have the following displacement equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& W^{(m+1)} R^{(m+1)}-R^{(m+1)} W^{(m+1)} \\
= & g^{(m+1)}\left(e_{m+1}^{(m+1)}\right)^{T}-e_{m+1}^{(m+1)}\left(g^{(m+1)}\right)^{T} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

with $g^{(m)}$ defined in (15). A postmultiplying (20) by $\left[\begin{array}{c}v^{(m)}-e_{m}^{(m)} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{(m+1)}\left\{\left(W^{(m+1)}-\frac{\gamma_{m}}{\delta_{m}} I_{m}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
v^{(m)}-e_{m}^{(m)} \\
1
\end{array}\right]\right\} \\
& -\left[\begin{array}{c}
0^{(m-1)} \\
\delta_{m} \\
\delta_{m}
\end{array}\right] \\
= & -g^{(m+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This together with (19) completes the proof.
Lemma 3.3 and (17) directly yield the following theorem.
Theorem 3.4. The solution of linear system $R x_{2}=e_{n}$ in (12) is given by

$$
x_{2}=\frac{1}{\delta_{n-1}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
v^{(n-1)}-e_{n-1}^{(n-1)}  \tag{21}\\
1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The computational cost of the formula (21) is about $13 n^{2} / 2$. Thus with (13) and (21), the fast inverse of $B_{k}^{-1}$ in step 1.2 of Algorithm 3.2 can be derived in about $7 n^{2}$.
We now turn to the products in step 1.3 of Algorithm 3.2. Consider two matrices $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ of the structure (11), their product can be obtained as follows.

1. Compute the last column of the product $R_{1} R_{2}$. The cost is $2 n^{2}$.
2. The elements of $R_{1} R_{2}$ (denote by $r_{i j}$ ) with the subscript satisfying $i+j \geq n+1$ and $j \geq i$ can be recovered by

$$
r_{i j}=r_{i-1, j+1}+r_{i+1, j+1}-\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
r_{i, j+1}, & j=n-1  \tag{22}\\
r_{i, j+2}, & j<n-1
\end{array} .\right.
$$

The cost is $n^{2} / 2$.
3. The remainder elements in $R_{1} R_{2}$ can be recovered by the symmetry and persymmetry.

With the above scheme, the computational cost of products $A_{k} B_{k}^{-1} C_{k}, A_{k} B_{k}^{-1} A_{k}$ and $C_{k} B_{k}^{-1} C_{k}$ in step 1.3 of Algorithm 3.2 is about $25 n^{2} / 2$. Hence the whole complexity of fast CR algorithm per step is about $45 n^{2} / 2$.

## IV. Another special overdamped QME

In this section, we consider another special case as an example in [16]. The springs (dampers) connect each mass to its neighbor and to the ground have the same constant $\kappa$ ( $\tau$ ), except the first and last ones for which $\kappa_{1}=\kappa_{n}=2 \kappa$ ( $\tau_{1}=\tau_{n}=2 \tau$ ). It is easy to see that such coefficient matrices fall in another class of $T+H$ matrices

$$
\tilde{R}=\tilde{r}_{|i-j|}-\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{r}_{i+j} & i+j \leq n+1 \\
\tilde{r}_{2 n+2-i-j} & i+j>n+1
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Let $\tilde{W}_{n}=\left(\delta_{i+1, j}+\delta_{i, j+1}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. We can similarly define the $\tilde{W}_{n}$-commutable matrix if a matrix $M$ satisfies $M \tilde{W}_{n}=\tilde{W}_{n} M$. Analogous to the Lemma 2.2, the following result gives the equivalent conditions of a $\tilde{W}_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrix.

Lemma 4.1. Let $M=T+H=\left(t_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}+\left(h_{i+j-2}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$. $M$ is a $\tilde{W}_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrix if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t_{1}=t_{-1}, \quad h_{n}=h_{n-2}  \tag{23}\\
-t_{i}=-t_{-i}=h_{i-2}=h_{2 n-i}, \quad i=2, \cdots, n-1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Different with $W_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrix, a $\tilde{W}_{n}$ commutable $T+H$ matrix is definitely centrosymmetric. Indeed, Lemma 4.1 shows that the Toeplitz part and the Hankel part of a $\tilde{W}_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrix is symmetric and persymmetric, respectively. Thus it is centrosymmetric.

By Lemma 4.1, $\tilde{R}$ defined in (23) is a $\tilde{W}_{n}$-commutable $T+H$ matrix. Following the same way with the above section, we can similarly develop a fast CR algorithm which is based on the next fast inverse formula. Since the proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we omit it.
Lemma 4.2. Let $\tilde{R}$ in (23) be nonsingular. Then the columns $\tilde{u}_{j}(j=1, \ldots, n)$ of $\tilde{R}^{-1}$ can be determined by the solution of $\tilde{R} \tilde{u}_{n}=e_{n}$ and the recursion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}_{j-1}=\tilde{W}_{n} \tilde{u}_{j}-\tilde{u}_{j+1}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.3. The solution $\tilde{u}_{n}$ in Lemma 4.2 can be obtained by

$$
\tilde{u}_{n}=\frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_{n-1}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{v}^{(n-1)}  \tag{25}\\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\tilde{v}^{(n-1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ has the recursion

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{v}^{(m+1)}= & \left(\tilde{W}^{(m+1)}+\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{m-1}}{\tilde{\delta}_{m-1}}-\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{m}}{\tilde{\delta_{m}}}\right) I_{m+1}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{v}^{(m)} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& -\frac{\tilde{\delta}_{m}}{\tilde{\delta}_{m-1}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{v}^{(m-1)} \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\delta}_{m}=\left(\tilde{g}^{(m)}\right)^{T} \tilde{v}^{(m)}+\tilde{r}_{0}+\tilde{r}_{2(m+1)} \neq 0 \\
\tilde{\lambda}_{m}=\left(\tilde{g}^{(m+1)}\right)^{T}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{v}^{(m)} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{g}^{(m)}=\left(\tilde{r}_{m}-\tilde{r}_{m+2}, \tilde{r}_{m-1}-\tilde{r}_{m+3}, \ldots, \tilde{r}_{1}-\tilde{r}_{2 m+1}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

## V. Numerical experiments

The purpose of this section is to show the effectiveness of the proposed fast CR algorithm. We compared the numerical performance of Algorithm 3.2 (FCR) with that of Algorithm 3.1 (CR) and SDA (see SDA-2 in [4]). Our experiments were implemented in Fortran 90 and tested on a PC with AMD $3600+$ processor and 512 M memory, which had unit roundoff $u=2^{-53} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-16}$. In CR algorithm and SDA algorithm, we used Fortran subroutines DSPTRF and DTRSM in LAPACK [1] to compute the Cholesky factorization in (9) and solve the triangular matrix equation for $U_{k}$ and $V_{k}$ in (10), respectively. The stop criterion of all three algorithms is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|S_{k+1}-S_{k}\right\|_{1}}{\left\|S_{k}\right\|_{1}} \leq n u \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the dimension of the problem. When (27) was satisfied, we took $S_{k+1}$ as an approximation to $\widehat{S}$.

Example 5.1 Consider the QME (3) with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=I \\
& D=\beta \cdot \operatorname{tridiag}(-10,30,-10) \\
& K=\operatorname{tridiag}(-5,15,-5)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta>0$ is a real parameter to determine the overdamped degree of (3) [6].

Following the detecting method proposed in [6], QME (3) is weakly overdamped for some $\beta \in(0.447213,0.447214)$. We took the dimension $n$ varying from 500 to 3000 and $\beta=1,0.4473$ (i.e different overdamped degrees) to test all algorithms. We reported the CPU time elapsed for obtaining $S^{(2)}$ in Figure 1 and the relative residual, calculated as

$$
\text { Res }=\frac{\left\|Q\left(S_{k}\right)\right\|_{F}}{\|A\|_{F}\left\|S_{k}\right\|_{F}^{2}+\|B\|_{F}\left\|S_{k}\right\|_{F}+\|C\|_{F}}
$$

in Figure 2.
We can see from Figure 1 that all algorithms need more CPU time to obtain the solvent when the overdamped degree of the QME (3) is weaker (i.e. $\beta$ is smaller). However in any case, the FCR algorithm outperforms the CR algorithm and SDA algorithm in CPU time. We also note that the used time of FCR algorithm increase largely when $n>2000$, this may be caused by the insufficient memory in our PC. In terms


Fig. 1. CPU time for different algorithms and $\mu$ in Example 5.1.


Fig. 2. Relative residual for different algorithms and $\mu$ in Example 5.1.
of accuracy, Figure 2 shows that the CR algorithm and SDA algorithm perform better than FCR algorithm.
Example 5.2 We consider the QME (6) with $A$ and $C$ of the form $R$ defined in (2), where $r_{m}(1 \leq m \leq n)$ are random numbers distributed in $(-1,0)$ and $r_{0}=2 n$. Let $B=\mu A+$ $\mu^{-1} C+10^{-3} I_{n}$ with $\mu>0$. It follows from Definition 1.1 that such QME is overdamped.
We took $\mu=1,0.5$ to test the CPU time used for different algorithms. The stop criterion and the computation of the relative residual are the same with Example 5.1. Figure 3 and 4 give the total time to obtain $S^{(2)}$ and the calculated relative residual, respectively.

We can see from Figure 3 that the CPU time used by FCR algorithm was less than the CR algorithm and the SDA algorithm for different $\mu$. The same with Example 5.1, Figure


Fig. 3. CPU time for different algorithms and $\mu$ in Example 5.2.


Fig. 4. Relative residual for different algorithms and $\mu$ in Example 5.2.

4 shows that the relative residual of FCR algorithm is larger than the other two non-structured algorithms.

## VI. Conclusion

We have presented a fast cyclic reduction algorithm for obtaining extremal solutions of quadratic matrix equations arising from the overdamped mass-spring system. This method is based on recursive formula derived by exploring the structure of the coefficient matrices. The preliminary numerical results show that the proposed method outperforms the nonstructured CR algorithm and SDA algorithm. At the moment, we are not aware if it is possible to devise a more general fast CR algorithm when the damper (spring) constants are different. We leave it as a topic for further study.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The extreme solutions are two solutions $S^{(1)}$ and $S^{(2)}$ which have as their eigenvalues the $n$ largest eigenvalues and the $n$ smallest eigenvalues in the corresponded quadratic eigenvalue problems [7].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is persymmetric if it symmetric about its northeast-to-southwest diagonal[5].

