

# Synergistic Impacts and Optimization of Gas Flow Rate, Concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, and Light Intensity on CO<sub>2</sub> Biofixation in Wastewater Medium by *Chlorella vulgaris*

Ahmed Arkoazi, Hussein Znad, Ranjeet Utikar

**Abstract**—The synergistic impact and optimization of gas flow rate, concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, and light intensity on CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate were investigated using wastewater as a medium to cultivate *Chlorella vulgaris* under different conditions (gas flow rate 1-8 L/min), CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (0.03-7%), and light intensity (150-400 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>.s)). Response Surface Methodology and Box-Behnken experimental Design were applied to find optimum values for gas flow rate, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and light intensity. The optimum values of the three independent variables (gas flow rate, concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, and light intensity) and desirability were 7.5 L/min, 3.5%, and 400 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>.s, and 0.904, respectively. The highest amount of biomass produced and CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate at optimum conditions were 5.7 g/L, 1.23 gL<sup>-1</sup>d<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The synergistic effect between gas flow rate and concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, and between gas flow rate and light intensity was significant on the three responses, while the effect between CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and light intensity was less significant on CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate. The results of this study could be highly helpful when using microalgae for CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation in wastewater treatment.

**Key words**—Synergistic impact, optimization, CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation, airlift reactor.

## I. INTRODUCTION

CARBON dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) mitigation and wastewater treatment have attracted significant attention due to the fact that CO<sub>2</sub> is one of the major causes of global warming. In addition, nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorous) discharge without a control lead to serious issues in aquatic ecosystems related to water resources pollution [1]. Biotechnology based on the use of microalgae has been studied extensively due to their capability to generate valuable products, mitigate CO<sub>2</sub>, and treat wastewater [2]. Microalgae fix CO<sub>2</sub> more efficiently compared with terrestrial plants [3] and grow by absorbing the nutrients in wastewater even at high concentrations of the nutrient [1]. Several studies have investigated the impact of light intensity, concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, and aeration rate on CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation as well as the capability of microalgae to remove nutrients from different types of wastewater [4].

CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation using microalgae is affected by the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the injected gas to the photobioreactor, light intensity, microalgae species, and photobioreactor

configuration [5]. Naderi et al. [6] studied the effect of light intensity on *Chlorella vulgaris* growth and CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation in a stirrer photobioreactor with 2% CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and a light intensity of 30-300 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>.s. Their result was 0.45 gCO<sub>2</sub>.L<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate at a light intensity of 100 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>.s. Cheng et al. [7] investigated the effect of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the inlet gas at 0.05% to 1% on CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation using *Chlorella vulgaris* in an air lift reactor with a light intensity range from 23.6 to 236.4 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>.s. They obtained 260 mg/l.hr biofixation rate at 1% CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and a light intensity of 157.6 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>.s. The impact of gas flow rate on CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate in a raceway pond was studied by Cheng et al. [8]. They found that gas flow rate had a significant influence on *Nannochloropsis oculata* growth and the biofixation rate of CO<sub>2</sub> increased from 26.3 to 31.9 g.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> with an increased gas flow rate from 50 to 150 m<sup>3</sup>/hr. In another study by Yoo et al. [9], the ability of *Botryococcus braunii*, *Chlorella vulgaris*, and *Scenedesmus* sp. were investigated for CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation at a light intensity of 150 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>.s and 10% CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. They reported that *Scenedesmus* sp. was the most efficient species for CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation due to its having the highest biomass productivity and biofixation rate ability. Despite of considerable researches, optimum conditions for CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation have not yet been adequately established.

Algal wastewater treatment is achieved through the cultivation of microalgae by utilizing nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) and other pollutants in the wastewater. Wastewater treatment using microalgae offers advantages such as reduced heavy metal concentration, CO<sub>2</sub> emission through biofixation and processing costs, as well as generation of valuable products [10]. Microalgae can efficiently remove pollutants, toxic compounds, and accumulated heavy metals from industrial and municipal wastewater to produce a biomass that is used for production of biofuel [11]. Several studies [12]-[17] have investigated the capability of microalgae for wastewater treatment.

Gas aeration is an important parameter that influences photobioreactor hydrodynamics, mixing performance and cell exposure to light, and prevents gradients of nutrients concentration inside the photobioreactor. Hence, aeration rate (gas flow rate and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration) is a crucial parameter for enhancing microalgae growth [18]. High aeration rate has shown unfavourable influence on the process cost, especially

Ahmed Arkoazi, Hussein Znad, and Ranjeet Utikar are with the Chemical Engineering Department, Curtin University, GPO Box U 1987 Perth WA 6845, Australia (e-mail: ahmedaz1978@gmail.com).

on large-scale biomass production process [19]. Moreover, there is a need to identify the optimum level of aeration sufficient for microalgae growth, CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation and nutrient removal. In this study, the synergistic impact of the aeration rate (gas flow rate and concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>) and the light intensity on the CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation in wastewater medium using *Chlorella vulgaris* was investigated and optimized by applying Response Surface Methodology and Box-Behnken Design.

## II. METHODS AND MATERIALS

### A. Microalgae and Conditions of Culture

*Chlorella vulgaris* (strain: CCAP 211/11B, CS-42) was used in this study. The microalgae cells were grown in an MLA medium [20]. The algal cells were cultivated in primary wastewater for 10 days in a draft tube airlift photobioreactor, 100 cm in height and 10 cm in diameter, with a draft tube height of 60 cm and a diameter of 6 cm. CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched air was bubbled into the photobioreactor through a perforated plate sparger.

### B. Calculation of Biomass Productivity and CO<sub>2</sub> Biofixation Rate

Productivity of biomass was calculated ( $P_x$ ) using:

$$P_x = \frac{X_t - X_0}{t} \quad (1)$$

where  $P_x$  is biomass productivity (g.L<sup>-1</sup>.D<sup>-1</sup>),  $X_0$  is initial biomass concentration (g.L<sup>-1</sup>),  $X_t$  is concentration of biomass when the cultivation finished (g.L<sup>-1</sup>), and  $t$  is the time of cultivation.

CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate is calculated as:

$$CO_2 \text{ biofixation rate } R_{CO_2} \text{ (g.L}^{-1}\text{.d}^{-1}\text{)} = \%C \times P_x \times \frac{MW_{CO_2}}{MW_C} \quad (2)$$

where %C is the percentage of carbon measured using an element analyser,  $P_x$  is biomass productivity (g.L<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>),  $MW_{CO_2}$  is molar mass of CO<sub>2</sub>, and  $MW_C$  is molar mass of carbon.

### C. Design of Experiments and Regression Analysis

Box Behnken Design was utilized for optimizing the performance of microalgae cultivation based on CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation. A matrix of three-parameter with multi-level was employed to investigate the synergistic influence among the three parameters (gas flow rate  $X_1$ , CO<sub>2</sub> concentration  $X_2$ , and light intensity  $X_3$ ). To obtain these goals, 15 experiments will be accomplished by varying gas flow rate, concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, and light intensity from 150 to 400 μE/m<sup>2</sup>.s as shown in Table I.

TABLE I  
EXPERIMENTAL RANGE OF INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

Independent variable	Symbol	Range and level		
		-1	0	+1
Gas flow rate Q (L/min)	$X_1$	1	4.5	8
CO <sub>2</sub> concentration (%)	$X_2$	0.03	3.5	7
Light intensity $I$ (μmol/m <sup>2</sup> .s)	$X_3$	150	275	400

The variables' ranges were selected depending on a literature search [21], [22], [7], [4].

The quadratic model utilized to estimate the maximum response is expressed as:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{ii} y_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_{ij} x_i x_j + \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

where  $Y$  is the response;  $i$  and  $j$  are the patterns index numbers;  $\beta_0$  is the constant coefficient;  $\beta_{ii}$ ,  $\beta_{ij}$  and  $\beta_{ij}$  are the regression coefficients for linear, quadratic, and interaction impact, respectively;  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are the investigated factors, and the coded parameters are determined as:

$$x_i = \frac{X_i - X_0}{\Delta X_i} \quad (4)$$

where  $x_i$  and  $X_i$  are the coded and actual values of the independent factors,  $X_0$  is the value of actual factor at the centre point, and  $\Delta X_i$  is the value of step change. The design of multi-level experiments (minimum and maximum) used ranges of independent variables: gas flow rate (1-8 L/min), CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (0.03-7 %), and light intensity (150-400 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>.s).

A set of 15 experiments, were randomly carried out in triplicate to minimize the influence of errors to identify the ten terms of the 2<sup>nd</sup> order equation (3). JMP software (SAS version 14.0.0) was employed to complete the analysis of regression and to draw the 3D graphs and the contours. The factors variability was expressed as the multiple terms of calculating ( $R^2$ ) values, and the equation of model was employed for predicting the optimum point and to determine the interaction among the parameters within the identified boundary conditions of experiments.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. Multiple Regression Analyses

TABLE II  
ACTUAL LEVELS OF BOX BEHNKEN DESIGN MATRIX WITH EXPERIMENTAL AND PREDICTED RESPONSE VALUES

Run	Independent variables			$R_{CO_2}$ (g.L <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup> )	
	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	Experimental	Predicted
1	1	0.03	275	0.425	0.393
2	1	7	275	0.625	0.6395
3	8	0.03	275	0.752	0.737
4	8	7	275	0.657	0.688
5	4.5	0.03	150	0.372	0.41
6	4.5	0.03	400	0.6	0.607
7	4.5	7	150	0.497	0.489
8	4.5	7	400	0.764	0.725
9	1	3.5	150	0.812	0.804
10	8	3.5	150	0.814	0.789
11	1	3.5	400	0.784	0.808
12	8	3.5	400	1.21	1.217
13	4.5	3.5	275	0.954	0.954
14	4.5	3.5	275	0.954	0.954
15	4.5	3.5	275	0.954	0.954

$R_{CO_2}$  = CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate.

Multiple analysis of regression was used to specify the relationships of the response variable of CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate with respect to concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, gas flow rate, and light intensity, which generated polynomial equations of second-order from Box Behnken Design matrix of experimental data as shown in Table II.

The results from the regression formulae were:

$$CO_2 \text{ biofixation rate} = 0.945 + 0.098375x_1 + 0.04925x_2 + 0.107875x_3 - 0.07375x_1x_2 + 0.106x_1x_3 + 0.00975x_2x_3 + 0.00375x_1^2 - 0.343x_2^2 - 0.05275x_3^2 \quad (5)$$

where  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and  $x_3$  are coded values calculated using (4). The determination coefficient ( $R^2$ ) for CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate was 0.99. Therefore, polynomial equation (5) can appropriately represent the parameters and responses relationship. The predicted response from (5) was in line with the data achieved experimentally as shown in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1 Experimental and predicted data Comparison of CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate, (.) experimental values, (---) confidence bands, and (—) fit line

**B. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)**

ANOVA was applied to determine the significance of each term (linear, interactive, and quadratic) of the second-order polynomial models as shown in Table III. The  $P$ -value indicates the importance of each term (i.e.  $p < 0.05$  indicates that the term is significant).

TABLE III  
ANOVA ANALYSIS FROM BOX BEHNKEN DESIGN

Term	Estimate	Std Error	T-Value	P-Value
CO <sub>2</sub> biofixation rate				
Intercept	0.954	0.021359	-	-
$x_1$	0.098375	0.01308	7.52	0.0007*
$x_2$	0.04925	0.01308	3.77	0.0131*
$x_3$	0.107875	0.01308	8.25	0.0004*
$x_1 \cdot x_2$	-0.07375	0.018498	-3.99	0.0105*
$x_1 \cdot x_3$	0.106	0.018498	5.73	0.0023*
$x_2 \cdot x_3$	0.00975	0.018498	0.53	0.6207
$x_1^2$	0.00375	0.019253	0.19	0.8532
$x_2^2$	-0.343	0.019253	-17.82	<.0001*
$x_3^2$	-0.05275	0.019253	-2.74	0.0408*

Table III shows that the linear term coefficients ( $\% CO_2$  and  $I$ ), coefficients of quadratic term ( $\%CO_2^2$  and  $I^2$ ), and coefficients of interactive term ( $Q$ ,  $\%CO_2$  and  $Q \cdot I$ ) significantly influence the CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate. In addition, CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate and total nitrogen removal efficiency were significantly impacted by gas flow rate, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and light intensity with a  $p$ -value less than 0.05 (Table III). The findings showed that the responses of CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate were significantly influenced by the synergistic effect of ( $Q$ ,  $\%CO_2$  and  $Q \cdot I$ ) with  $p$ -value  $< 0.05$ , while ( $\% CO_2 \cdot I$ ) synergistic effect was less significant ( $p$ -value = 0.62) on CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate.

**C. Box Behnken Design Analysis**

The impacts of gas flow rate, concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, and light intensity on CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate are shown in Fig. 2. Fig. 2 illustrates response surfaces (3D) and contours (2D) for CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate. These graphs clearly show the interaction impacts of all three variables on the responses. In Fig. 2, one factor was fixed at level zero while changing the other two factors according to the experiential ranges. Increasing corresponding variables led to enhance responses to a certain level after which the responses decreased, although there was an increase in corresponding variables. This behaviour was aligned with the results of the ANOVA shown in Table III, which demonstrated a significant influence of the quadratic terms of all three parameters on CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate.

Fig. 2 (a) shows the impact of concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> and gas flow rate on CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate. Increasing concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> led to an increase in the rate of CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation due to the microalgae cells consuming CO<sub>2</sub> in order to store and use it during times of nutrient deficiency [20]. Gas aeration rate had a significant influence on CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate due to its using aeration as a carbon source improved mixing that enhanced utilization of light by the cells [23]. CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate increased with increased gas aeration rate for all gas flow rates used in this study. However, Han et al. [24] reported that a gas flow rate higher than 9 L/min caused damage to cells due to higher turbulence. Fig. 2 (b) illustrates the influence of concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> and light intensity on CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate. And CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate increased with increased light intensity until it reached an optimal value. Cheah et al. [25] concluded that the photosynthetic process was enhanced by using low and moderate light intensity due to photoinhibition by higher high light intensity. Ho et al. [26] studied the optimization and characterization of the production of carbohydrate using *Chlorella vulgaris*. They found that the optimal light intensity was 450  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}$  and that any further increase in light intensity led to a decrease in biomass production. Present findings are in agreement with these previous findings. The present findings show that synergistic effect of concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, gas flow rate, and light intensity could enhance the CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation and microalgae growth.

**D. Model Validation**


Based on the Box Behnken Design results, the optimal

value of gas flow rate, concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, and light intensity were 7.5 L/min, 3.5%, and 400 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>.s, respectively at 0.904 desirability. The predicted values of CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate based on the optimal value of the three independent factors was 1.186 g.L<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>. For model validation and accuracy, a typical experiment was carried out in triplicate under the optimal parameters of independent factors. The results were compared with the model predicted values as shown in Table IV.

Fig. 3 shows the growth curve for *Chlorella vulgaris* and CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate. The adaption phase was observed from the beginning of the cultivation experiment until Day 4. The *Chlorella vulgaris* started to grow exponentially up until Day 8, after which it entered the stationary phase. The highest biomass concentration was 5.7 g/L and 1.23 g/L.d of CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate.

The findings of this study are comparable with those of

Shabani [27] who investigated the ability of *Spirulina platensis* and *Chlorella vulgaris* for CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation under different concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> and salinity levels. They reported values of 4.84 g/L and 0.95 g/L.d for biomass concentration and CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate, respectively. Assunção et al. [28] studied the growth of three different microalgae cells under different CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (2.5-15%), light intensity of 74 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>.s and gas flow rate of 1 vvm. They stated that higher biomass concentration and biofixation rate were achieved by cultivation of *Scenedesmus obliquus* under 15% CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Kuo et al. [29] investigated the growth performance of *Chlorella* sp. in wastewater and boiler flue gas under different gas flow rates from 0.05 to 0.3 vvm, concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> of 2% and light intensity of 300 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>.s. The maximum biomass concentration achieved was 2.46 g/L.






Fig. 2 3D response surface for CO<sub>2</sub> bio-fixation, (a) CO<sub>2</sub> conc. and gas flow rate, (b) light intensity and gas flow rate, and (c) light intensity and CO<sub>2</sub> conc

TABLE IV  
COMPARISON OF PREDICTED AND EXPERIMENTAL RESPONSE VALUES AT OPTIMUM

Optimal condition	Response	Experimental	predicted	Error (%)
Gas flow rate (L/min)	$R_{CO_2}$	1.23	1.19	3.36
CO <sub>2</sub> conc. (%)	TN removal efficiency	0.839	0.866	3.12
I (μmol/m <sup>2</sup> .s)	TP removal efficiency	1	0.995	0.5




Fig. 3 *Chlorella vulgaris* growth and CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate at optimum conditions

The essential reasons for the different results are likely to be due to the different photobioreactor geometry, gas flow rate, and different light intensity used.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the optimal values for CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate in wastewater as a medium for *Chlorella vulgaris* were predicted

by applying Response Surface Methodology and validated by laboratory experiments. No significant difference was observed between the predicted and experimental values that were achieved by validation experiments, confirming the accuracy of the models. Under optimum conditions, the maximum biomass produced and CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate were 5.7 g/L, 1.23 gL<sup>-1</sup>d<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Optimization was based on



gas flow rate (1-8 L/min), concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> (0.03-7%), and light intensity (150-400 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>.s). The analysis using the Box-Behnken Design and Response Surface Methodology showed that the three independent factors (gas flow rate, concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, and light intensity) have a crucial influence on CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation rate while concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> -

light intensity was less significant for CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation. As a result, these strategies could be a good reference for the enhancement of biomass production through microalgae cultivation and its application to large-scale of CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation and wastewater treatment in controllable environments.

TABLE V  
PREVIOUS STUDIES FOR BIOMASS PRODUCTION, CO<sub>2</sub> BIOFIXATION, AND NUTRIENT REMOVAL

Conditions		I medium		Microalgae	Biomass conc. (g/L)	R <sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>	reference
Gas flow rate	CO <sub>2</sub> conc. (%)						
0.03 L/min	15	4500 lux	Bristol's solution	<i>Chlorella PY-ZU1</i>	4.84	0.95	[30]
1.4 L/min	5	-	Municipal WW	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	0.94	1.4	[27]
0.25 L/min	0.03-10	60 μmol/m <sup>2</sup> .s	Domestic WW	<i>Scenedesmus</i> sp.	0.43	0.368	[31]
1 vvm	2.5-15	74 μmol/m <sup>2</sup> .s	Bristol's medium	<i>Scenedesmus obliquus</i>	2.51	0.47	[28]
0.3 L/min	0.04-30	450 μmol/m <sup>2</sup> .s	Modified medium	Tetraselmis	0.72	0.111	[32]
0.05-0.3 vvm	2	300 μmol/m <sup>2</sup> .s	Aquaculture WW	<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	2.46	-	[29]
0.2 L/min	3-10	163.5±9.4 μmol/m <sup>2</sup> .s	WW	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	1.01	0.127±0.008	[33]
0.5 L/min	10	-	Brewery WW	<i>Scenedesmus obliquus</i>	0.95±0.07	-	[34]

## REFERENCES

- [1] Razzak, S.A., et al., *Integrated CO<sub>2</sub> capture, wastewater treatment and biofuel production by microalgae culturing—A review*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2013. 27: p. 622-653.
- [2] Barclay, W. and K. Apt, *Strategies for bioprospecting microalgae for potential commercial applications*. Handbook of microalgal culture: applied phycology and biotechnology, 2nd edn. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, 2013: p. 69-79.
- [3] Cheng, J., et al., *Improving CO<sub>2</sub> fixation efficiency by optimizing Chlorella PY-ZU1 culture conditions in sequential bioreactors*. Bioresource technology, 2013. 144: p. 321-327.
- [4] Al Ketife, A.M., S. Judd, and H. Znad, *Optimization of cultivation conditions for combined nutrient removal and CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in a batch photobioreactor*. Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology, 2017. 92(5): p. 1085-1093.
- [5] Tebbani, S., et al., *CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation by Microalgae: automation process*. 2014: Wiley-ISTE.
- [6] Naderi, G., M.O. Tade, and H. Znad, *Modified photobioreactor for biofixation of carbon dioxide by Chlorella vulgaris at different light intensities*. Chemical Engineering & Technology, 2015. 38(8): p. 1371-1379.
- [7] Cheng, L., et al., *Carbon dioxide removal from air by microalgae cultured in a membrane-photobioreactor*. Separation and purification technology, 2006. 50(3): p. 324-329.
- [8] Cheng, J., et al., *Improving the CO<sub>2</sub> fixation rate by increasing flow rate of the flue gas from microalgae in a raceway pond*. Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering, 2018. 35(2): p. 498-502.
- [9] Yoo, C., et al., *Selection of microalgae for lipid production under high levels carbon dioxide*. Bioresource technology, 2010. 101(1): p. S71-S74.
- [10] Gouveia, L., et al., *Microalgae biomass production using wastewater: treatment and costs: scale-up considerations*. Algal Research, 2016. 16: p. 167-176.
- [11] Maity, J.P., et al., *Microalgae for third generation biofuel production, mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions and wastewater treatment: Present and future perspectives—A mini review*. Energy, 2014. 78: p. 104-113.
- [12] De-Bashan, L.E., et al., *Removal of ammonium and phosphorus ions from synthetic wastewater by the microalgae Chlorella vulgaris coimmobilized in alginate beads with the microalgae growth-promoting bacterium Azospirillum brasilense*. Water research, 2002. 36(12): p. 2941-2948.
- [13] Bayramoğlu, G., et al., *Biosorption of mercury (II), cadmium (II) and lead (II) ions from aqueous system by microalgae Chlamydomonas reinhardtii immobilized in alginate beads*. International Journal of Mineral Processing, 2006. 81(1): p. 35-43.
- [14] Hernandez, J.-P., L.E. de-Bashan, and Y. Bashan, *Starvation enhances phosphorus removal from wastewater by the microalga Chlorella spp. co-immobilized with Azospirillum brasilense*. Enzyme and Microbial Technology, 2006. 38(1-2): p. 190-198.
- [15] Park, J. and R. Craggs, *Wastewater treatment and algal production in high rate algal ponds with carbon dioxide addition*. Water Science and Technology, 2010: p. 633-639.
- [16] Beuckels, A., E. Smolders, and K. Muylaert, *Nitrogen availability influences phosphorus removal in microalgae-based wastewater treatment*. Water research, 2015. 77: p. 98-106.
- [17] Hodges, A., et al., *Nutrient and suspended solids removal from petrochemical wastewater via microalgal biofilm cultivation*. Chemosphere, 2017. 174: p. 46-48.
- [18] Anjos, M., et al., *Optimization of CO<sub>2</sub> bio-mitigation by Chlorella vulgaris*. Bioresource technology, 2013. 139: p. 149-154.
- [19] Zhang, K., N. Kurano, and S. Miyachi, *Optimized aeration by carbon dioxide gas for microalgal production and mass transfer characterization in a vertical flat-plate photobioreactor*. Bioprocess and biosystems engineering, 2002. 25(2): p. 97-101.
- [20] Kasiri, S., et al., *Optimization of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation by Chlorella kessleri using response surface methodology*. Chemical Engineering Science, 2015. 127: p. 31-39.
- [21] Chinnasamy, S., et al., *Biomass production potential of a wastewater alga Chlorella vulgaris ARC 1 under elevated levels of CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature*. International journal of molecular sciences, 2009. 10(2): p. 518-532.
- [22] Hulatt, C.J. and D.N. Thomas, *Productivity, carbon dioxide uptake and net energy return of microalgal bubble column photobioreactors*. Bioresource technology, 2011. 102(10): p. 5775-5787.
- [23] Fan, L.H., et al., *Optimization of carbon dioxide fixation by Chlorella vulgaris cultivated in a membrane-photobioreactor*. Chemical Engineering & Technology: Industrial Chemistry-Plant Equipment-Process Engineering-Biotechnology, 2007. 30(8): p. 1094-1099.
- [24] Han, F., et al., *Optimization and lipid production enhancement of microalgae culture by efficiently changing the conditions along with the growth-state*. Energy Conversion and Management, 2015. 90: p. 315-322.
- [25] Cheah, W.Y., et al., *Biosequestration of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and flue gas-containing CO<sub>2</sub> by microalgae*. Bioresource technology, 2015. 184: p. 190-201.
- [26] Ho, S.-H., et al., *Characterization and optimization of carbohydrate production from an indigenous microalga Chlorella vulgaris FSP-E*. Bioresource Technology, 2013. 135: p. 157-165.
- [27] Shabani, M., *CO<sub>2</sub> bio-sequestration by Chlorella vulgaris and Spirulina platensis in response to different levels of salinity and CO<sub>2</sub>*. Proceedings of the International Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, 2016. 6(2): p. 53.
- [28] Assunção, J., et al., *CO<sub>2</sub> utilization in the production of biomass and biocompounds by three different microalgae*. Engineering in Life Sciences, 2017. 17(10): p. 1126-1135.
- [29] Kuo, C.-M., et al., *Simultaneous microalgal biomass production and CO<sub>2</sub> fixation by cultivating Chlorella sp. GD with aquaculture wastewater and boiler flue gas*. Bioresource technology, 2016. 221: p.

241-250.

- [30] Chaudhary, R., A.K. Dikshit, and Y.W. Tong, *Carbon-dioxide biofixation and phycoremediation of municipal wastewater using Chlorella vulgaris and Scenedesmus obliquus*. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2017: p. 1-8.
- [31] Nayak, M., A. Karemore, and R. Sen, *Performance evaluation of microalgae for concomitant wastewater bioremediation, CO2 biofixation and lipid biosynthesis for biodiesel application*. Algal Research, 2016. 16: p. 216-223.
- [32] Kassim, M.A. and T.K. Meng, *Carbon dioxide (CO2) biofixation by microalgae and its potential for biorefinery and biofuel production*. Science of the Total Environment, 2017. 584: p. 1121-1129.
- [33] Gonçalves, A.L., et al., *The effect of increasing CO2 concentrations on its capture, biomass production and wastewater bioremediation by microalgae and cyanobacteria*. Algal research, 2016. 14: p. 127-136.
- [34] Ferreira, A., et al., *Scenedesmus obliquus mediated brewery wastewater remediation and CO2 biofixation for green energy purposes*. Journal of cleaner production, 2017. 165: p. 1316-1327.