Adomian's Decomposition Method to Generalized Magneto-Thermoelasticity

Hamdy M. Youssef, Eman A. Al-Lehaibi

Abstract—Due to many applications and problems in the fields of plasma physics, geophysics, and other many topics, the interaction between the strain field and the magnetic field has to be considered. Adomian introduced the decomposition method for solving linear and nonlinear functional equations. This method leads to accurate, computable, approximately convergent solutions of linear and nonlinear partial and ordinary differential equations even the equations with variable coefficients. This paper is dealing with a mathematical model of generalized thermoelasticity of a half-space conducting medium. A magnetic field with constant intensity acts normal to the bounding plane has been assumed. Adomian's decomposition method has been used to solve the model when the bounding plane is taken to be traction free and thermally loaded by harmonic heating. The numerical results for the temperature increment, the stress, the strain, the displacement, the induced magnetic, and the electric fields have been represented in figures. The magnetic field, the relaxation time, and the angular thermal load have significant effects on all the studied fields.

Keywords—Adomian's Decomposition Method, magnetothermoelasticity, finite conductivity, iteration method, thermal load.

I. INTRODUCTION

Itake place according to the Lorentz forces embedded in the T was assumed that the interactions between the two fields equations of motion. By using Ohm's law, the electric field produced by the velocity of a material particle, moves in a magnetic field [1], [2]. The basics of the magnetoelasticity were introduced by Knopoff [3] and developed by Kaliski and Petykiewicz [4]. The most important types of thermoelasticity are Biot model of coupled thermoelasticity [5] and Lord-Shulman model of generalized thermoelasticity [6] in which authors considered the generalized magnetothermoelasticity equations [1], [2], [7]-[13]. Currently, more attention has been concentrated to the numerical methods which do not need discretization of time-space variables or to linearization of the nonlinear equations [14]-[17]. The solution can be verified to any degree of approximation. Adomian decomposition method has been used to get the formal solutions to a wide class of partial and ordinary differential equations [18]-[29]. Adomian modeled as systems of nonlinear differential equations by the ADM the dynamic interaction of immune response with a population of viruses, bacteria, antigens or tumor cells [17]. Adomian decomposition

Hamdy M. Youssef is with the Department of Mathematics-Faculty of Education-Alexandria University, Egypt (e-mail: youssefanne2005@gmail.com).

Eman A. Al-Lehaibi is with the Mathematics Department, Al-Lith College, Umm Al-Qura University, Al-Lith, KSA (e-mail: dremanallehaibi@gmail.com)

method (ADM) separates the given equation to linear and nonlinear parts, invert the highest-order derivative in both sides, and find the successive terms of the series solution by recurrence relation [14], [26]. Many modifications have been done to ADM to improve the accuracy or expand the application of the original method [23], [25], [29]. Recently, the decomposition method has been used in fractional differential equations [30]-[32].

II. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

The mathematical model of a thermoelastic half-space $0 \le x < \infty$ has been considered. A magnetic field with constant intensity H_0 acts normal to the bounding plane. All the quantities considered will be functions of the time variable t, and the distance x starts from the bounding plane. The medium is assumed initially quiescent. The primary magnetic field H_0 generates an induced magnetic field h and an induced electric field h. We assume that both h and h are small in magnitude by the assumptions of the linear theory of thermoelasticity [1], [2].

Thus, the displacement vector will take the components

$$u_x = u(x,t), u_y = u_z = 0$$
 (1)

The components of the magnetic intensity vector are

$$H_x = 0$$
, $H_y = H_0 + h(x,t)$, $H_z = 0$ (2)

The electric intensity vector is perpendicular to both the magnetic intensity and the displacement vectors. Thus, E has the following component:

$$E_x = E_y = 0$$
, $E_z = E(x,t)$ (3)

The current density vector J must be parallel to E, hence

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = 0, \ J_{z} = J(x,t)$$
 (4)

Maxwell's equations in vector form can be written as

$$curl h = J + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial E}{\partial t}$$
 (5)

$$curl E = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \tag{6}$$

$$div h = 0, \quad div E = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$B = \mu_0 (H_0 + h), \quad D = \varepsilon_0 E \tag{8}$$

where \mathcal{E}_0 and μ_0 are the electric and magnetic permeability's, respectively.

By Ohm's law, the current density is defined as [1]-[3], [11]:

$$J = \sigma_0 \left(E + \mu_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \times H \right) \tag{9}$$

where σ_{a} is the electric conductivity.

The strain components are given by,

$$e_{xx} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, e_{yy} = e_{zz} = e_{xz} = e_{yz} = 0$$
 (10)

The stress components are given by the relation:

$$\sigma_{ij} = 2\mu e_{ij} + \lambda e \,\delta_{ij} - \gamma (T - T_0) \delta_{ij} \tag{11}$$

$$\sigma_{xx} = \sigma = \left(\lambda + 2\mu\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \gamma \left(T - T_0\right) \tag{12}$$

$$\sigma_{yy} = \sigma_{zz} = \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \gamma (T - T_0)$$
 (13)

$$\sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{yz} = \sigma_{zx} = 0 \tag{14}$$

where λ and μ are Lame's constants, T is the absolute temperature of the medium, γ is a material constant given by $\gamma = (3\lambda + 2\mu)\alpha_T$, α_T being the coefficient of linear thermal expansion, is Dirac's delta function and T_0 is a reference temperature chosen such that $\left| \left(T - T_0 \right) / T_0 \right| \le I$.

Equations of motion take the form [6],

$$\sigma_{ij,j} + F_i = \rho \, \ddot{u}_i \tag{15}$$

where ρ is the density and F is the Lorentz force given by:

$$F = J \times B \tag{16}$$

After linearization, Ohm's law gives

$$J = \sigma_{o} \left(E + \mu_{o} H_{o} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \tag{17}$$

After linearization, (16) and (17), we get,

$$F_{x} = -\mu_{\theta} \sigma_{\theta} H_{\theta} \left(E + \mu_{\theta} H_{\theta} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right), F_{y} = F_{z} = 0$$
 (18)

Substituting (18) into (15), we obtain the equation of motion in the form,

$$\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}} = (\lambda + 2\mu) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} - \gamma \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$$

$$-\sigma_{\theta} \mu_{\theta} H_{\theta} E - \sigma_{\theta} \mu_{\theta}^{2} H_{\theta}^{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$$

$$(19)$$

The equation of heat conduction has the form [6],

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) \left(\frac{\rho C_E}{K} T + \frac{\gamma T_0}{K} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) \tag{20}$$

where K is the thermal conductivity of the medium C_E is the specific heat at constant strain and τ_0 is the relaxation time.

Equation (5) takes the form,

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = J + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} \tag{21}$$

Substituting from (17), we get

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = \varepsilon_{\theta} \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \sigma_{\theta} E + \sigma_{\theta} \mu_{\theta} H_{\theta} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}. \tag{22}$$

Equation (6) takes the form,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = \mu_0 \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}.$$
 (23)

For simplifications we shall use the following non-dimensional variables [1], [2]:

$$x' = {}_{C_0} \eta \, x, \ u' = {}_{C_0} \eta \, u \ , \ \sigma'_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\lambda + 2\mu} \ , \ \theta = \frac{\gamma \left(T - T_0\right)}{\lambda + 2\mu} \, ,$$

$$t' = {}_{C_0}^2 \eta \, t, \ \tau'_0 = {}_{C_0}^2 \eta \, \tau_0 \ , \ E' = \frac{\eta}{\sigma_0 \, \mu_0^2 H_0 \, C_0} \, E \ , \ h' = \frac{\eta}{\sigma_0 \, \mu_0 \, H_0} \, h$$
(24)

where
$$\eta = \frac{\rho C_E}{K}$$
, $c_0^2 = \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\rho}$.

The above equations reduce to (dropping the primes for simplicity):

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} - v^2 \varepsilon_2 E - v \varepsilon_2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}$$
 (25)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) \left(\theta + \varepsilon_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) \tag{26}$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = V^2 \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + v E + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$$
(27)

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \tag{28}$$

where
$$_{V}=\frac{\sigma_{0}\,\mu_{0}}{\eta}$$
, $_{\mathcal{E}_{2}}=\frac{\mu_{0}\,H_{0}^{2}}{\lambda+2\,\mu}$, $_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}=\frac{T_{0}\,\gamma^{2}}{C_{E}\,\rho^{2}\,c_{0}^{2}}$, $_{V}=\frac{c_{0}}{c}$, $_{c}^{2}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\,\mu_{0}}$.

The constitutive equations reduce to:

$$\sigma_{xx} = e - \theta \tag{29}$$

$$\sigma_{yy} = \sigma_{zz} = \left(I - \frac{2}{\beta^2}\right)e - \theta \tag{30}$$

where
$$\beta^2 = \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\mu}$$
.

Re-writing (25)-(27) by using (10), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} - v^2 \varepsilon_2 \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} - v \varepsilon_2 \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial t^2}$$
(31)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) \left(\theta + \varepsilon_1 e\right) \tag{32}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} = V^2 \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t \partial x} + V \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial e}{\partial t}$$
(33)

Eliminating E by substituting from (28) into (31) and (33), we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial t^2} + v \varepsilon_2 \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + v^2 \varepsilon_2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2}$$
(34)

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} = V^2 \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial t^2} + V \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial e}{\partial t}$$
(35)

III. ADM

The ADM usually defines the equation in an operator form by considering the highest-ordered derivative in the problem. We define the differential operator "L" in terms of the two derivatives contained in the problem [15]-[17], [30].

Consider (32), (34), and (35) in the operator form as:

$$L_{xx}\theta(x,t) = (L_t + \tau_0 L_{tt})\theta(x,t) + \varepsilon_1 (L_t + \tau_0 L_{tt})e(x,t)$$
(36)

$$L_{xx}e(x,t) = L_{tt}e(x,t) + v\varepsilon_{2}L_{t}e(x,t) + V^{2}\varepsilon_{2}L_{t}h(x,t) + L_{xx}\theta(x,t)$$

$$(37)$$

$$L_{xx}h(x,t) = V^{2}L_{tt}h(x,t) + VL_{tt}h(x,t) + L_{tt}e(x,t)$$
(38)

where the operators which appeared in the above equations are defined as:

(28)
$$L_{t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, L_{tt} = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}, L_{x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, L_{xx} = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}$$

Assuming that the inverse of the operator " L_x^{-1} , L_{xx}^{-1} " exist and can conveniently are taken as definite integral with respect to "x" from " θ " to "x" as following [15]-[17], [30]:

$$L_{x}^{-l}f(x) = \int_{0}^{x} f(x) dx, \quad L_{xx}^{-l}f(x) = \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} f(x) dx dx$$
 (40)

Thus, applying the inverse operator on both the sides of (36)-(38), we obtain

$$\theta(x,t) = \theta(0,t) + \frac{\partial \theta(x,t)}{\partial x}\bigg|_{x=0} + L_{xx}^{-1} \left[\left(L_{t} + \tau_{0} L_{t} \right) \theta(x,t) + \varepsilon_{1} \left(L_{t} + \tau_{0} L_{t} \right) e(x,t) \right]$$

$$(41)$$

$$e(x,t) = e(0,t) + \frac{\partial e(x,t)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} + \frac{L_{xx}^{-1} \left[L_{tx} e(x,t) + v \varepsilon_{2} L_{t} e(x,t) + V \varepsilon_{2} L_{tx} e(x,t) + V \varepsilon_{2} L_{tx} e(x,t) \right]}{V^{2} \varepsilon_{2} L_{t} h(x,t) + L_{xx} \theta(x,t)}$$

$$(42)$$

$$h(x,t) = h(0,t) + \frac{\partial h(x,t)}{\partial x}\bigg|_{x=0} +$$

$$L_{xx}^{-1} \left[V^2 L_{tx} h(x,t) + \nu L_{tx} h(x,t) + L_{tx} e(x,t) \right]$$

$$(43)$$

Now, we will decompose the unknown functions $\theta(x,t)$, e(x,t) and h(x,t) by a sum of components defined by the following series:

$$\theta(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_k(x,t) = \theta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta_k(x,t)$$
(44)

$$e(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k(x,t) = e_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k(x,t)$$

$$\tag{45}$$

$$h(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(x,t) = h_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(x,t)$$

$$\tag{46}$$

The zero-components are defined by the terms that arise from the boundary conditions on the bounding plane x = 0, which give

$$e_{o} = e(0,t) + \frac{\partial e(x,t)}{\partial x}$$
(47)

$$\theta_{0} = \theta(0, t) + \frac{\partial \theta(x, t)}{\partial x}$$
(48)

$$h_0 = h(0,t) + \frac{\partial h(x,t)}{\partial x}$$
(49) $h(0,t) = h(0,t) + \frac{\partial h(x,t)}{\partial x}$

Substituting from (44)-(46) in (41)-(43), we get

$$\theta(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_{k}(x,t) = \theta(0,t) + \frac{\partial \theta(x,t)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} + L_{xx}^{-1} \left[(L_{t} + \tau_{0}L_{tt}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_{k}(x,t) + \right]$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{xx}^{-1} \left[(L_{t} + \tau_{0}L_{tt}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{k}(x,t) + \right]$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{t}(L_{t} + \tau_{0}L_{tt}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{k}(x,t)$$
(50)

$$e(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k(x,t) = e(0,t) + \frac{\partial e(x,t)}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0} + \theta_1(x,t) = \frac{\omega(1+\varepsilon_1)}{2}(\cos(\omega t) - \omega\sin(\omega t))$$

$$L_{xx}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} L_{\mu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k(x,t) + v\varepsilon_2 L_{\mu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k(x,t) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(51)$$

$$e_1(x,t) = \frac{\omega(1+\varepsilon_1)}{2}(\cos(\omega t) - \omega\sin(\omega t))x^2$$

$$e_2(x,t) = \frac{\omega(x,t)}{2}(\varepsilon_2 v \cos(\omega t) - \omega\sin(\omega t))x^2$$

and

$$h(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(x,t) = h(0,t) + \frac{\partial h(x,t)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} +$$

$$L_{xx}^{-l} \left[V^2 L_{tt} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(x,t) + \nu L_{tt} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(x,t) + L_{tt} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k(x,t) \right]$$

$$(52)$$

We obtain these components by $e_k(x,t)$, $\theta_k(x,t)$ and h(x,t) the recursive formulas [15]-[17], [30]:

$$\theta_{k+l}(x,t) = L_{xx}^{-l} \begin{bmatrix} (L_t + \tau_0 L_n) \theta_k(x,t) + \\ \varepsilon_L(L_t + \tau_0 L_n) \theta_k(x,t) \end{bmatrix}$$
(53)

$$e_{k+l}(x,t) = L_{xx}^{-l} \begin{bmatrix} L_{tt}e_{k}(x,t) + \nu \varepsilon_{2} L_{t}e_{k}(x,t) + \\ \nu^{2} \varepsilon_{2} L_{t}h_{k}(x,t) + L_{xx}\theta_{k}(x,t) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(54)$$

$$h_{k+l}\left(x,t\right) = L_{xx}^{-l} \left[V^{2}L_{tt}h_{k}\left(x,t\right) + \nu L_{t}h_{k}\left(x,t\right) + L_{t}e_{k}\left(x,t\right)\right]$$
 (55)

We assume that the bounding plane to the surface x = 0 of the body is thermally loaded by harmonic heat and traction free while the induced magnetic field h is vanished in the free space. Hence, we have:

$$\theta(0,t) = \theta^{0} \sin(\omega t), \quad \frac{\partial \theta(x,t)}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0} = 0$$
 (56)

$$\sigma(\theta,t) = \theta \to e(\theta,t) = \theta(\theta,t), \qquad \frac{\partial e(x,t)}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=\theta} = 0$$
 (57)

(49)
$$h(0,t) = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial h(x,t)}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0} = 0$$
 (58)

where θ^{θ} is constant and ω is the angular thermal load and assumed to be constant. Thus, we have

$$\theta_0 = \theta^0 \sin(\omega t), \ e_0 = \theta^0 \sin(\omega t), \ h_0 = 0$$
 (59)

Substituting from (59) into (50)-(52), we get the complete of the iteration formulas.

The first components of the iteration take the forms:

$$\theta_{I}(x,t) = \frac{\omega(1+\varepsilon_{I})}{2}(\cos(\omega t) - \omega \sin(\omega t))x^{2}$$
 (60)

$$e_{i}(x,t) = \frac{\omega}{2} (\varepsilon_{2} v \cos(\omega t) - \omega \sin(\omega t)) x^{2}$$
 (61)

$$h_{i}(x,t) = \frac{\omega \cos(\omega t)}{2} x^{2} \tag{62}$$

The rest components of the iteration formulas (53)-(55) have been calculated by using the MAPLE 17. Moreover, the decomposition series solutions (53)-(55) are convergent in real physical problems very rapidly [23]-[26].

In an algorithmic form, the ADM can be expressed and implemented in linear generalized magneto-thermoelasticity models with the suitable value for the tolerance $Tol = 10^{-6}$ and k is the iteration index, as follows [23]-[26]:

Algorithm

Step 1: Compute the initial approximations $\theta_0 = \theta(0,t)$, $e_0 = e(0,t)$ and $h_0 = h(0,t)$ given by (59).

Step 2: Use the calculated values of θ_k , e_k , and h_k to compute θ_{k+l} , e_{k+l} and h_{k+l} from (53) -(55).

Step 3: If $max |\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k| < Tol$, $max |e_{k+1} - e_k| < Tol$ $max |h_{k+l} - h_k| < Tol$ stop, otherwise continue and go back to

Step 4: Calculating the stress from (29), (50)-(52) as follows:

$$\sigma(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k(x,t) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_k(x,t)$$
(63)

Step 5: Calculating the displacement from (10) and (51) as:

(56)
$$u(x,t) = \int_0^x e(\xi,t) d\xi = \int_0^x \sum_{k=0}^\infty e_k(\xi,t) d\xi$$
 (64)

IV. THE NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The copper material has been chosen for the numerical evaluations, and the material constants were taken as follows

[1], [2]:

$$K = 386 \ W / (mK) , \alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle T} = 1.78 \times 10^{-5} \ K^{-1}, C_{\scriptscriptstyle E} = 383.1 \ J / (kg \ K) ,$$

$$\eta = 8886.73 \ s / m^2, T_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = 293 \ K, \ \mu = 3.86 \times 10^{10} \ N / m^2 ,$$

$$\lambda = 7.76 \times 10^{10} \ N / m^2, \ \rho = 8954 \ kg/m^3, \ \varepsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = 10^{-9} / 36\pi ,$$

$$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = 5.7 \times 10^7, \ \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}, \ \tau_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = 0.35 \times 10^{-14} .$$

Thus, the following non-dimensional parameters have been obtained;

$$\varepsilon_1 = 0.0168$$
, $\varepsilon_2 = 80.0$, $V = 0.000014$, $v = 0.008$, $\tau_0 = 0.05$

We calculate the numerical solutions when the non-dimensional value of the time is t = 2.0, the non-dimensional value of the distance is $0 \le x \le 1.0$, $\omega = \pi$, and $\theta^0 = 1.0$.

According to the above algorithm, we stopped the calculation on the 10^{th} component $\theta_{I0}(x,t)$, $e_{I0}(x,t)$, and $h_{I0}(x,t)$.

Figs. 1-6 show the distribution of the temperature increment, the strain, the induced magnetic field, the electrical field, the stress, and the displacement, respectively, with various cases of the magnetic field when $H_o=0.0$ and $H_o\neq0.0$ to stand on the effect of the magnetic field on all the studied functions. The temperature increment is the only function that received a limited effect due to the magnetic field while all the rest functions received significant effects. For non-zero magnetic field, the absolute values of the strain, the induced magnetic field, the electrical field, the stress, and the displacement have increased.

Figs. 7-12 show the distribution of the temperature increment, th distribution of all the functions received significant effects due to the changing of this parameter. The absolute values of all the studied functions have decreased for $\tau_0 = 0.05$.

Figs. 13-18 show the distribution of the temperature increment, to of this parameter. The numbers of peaks have increased when the value of the angular thermal load parameter has increased.

V.Conclusion

A mathematical model of generalized thermoelasticity with one relaxation time of a half-space conducting medium has been constructed taking into account a constant magnetic field acts normal to the bounding plane. ADM has been used to solve the model. The results show that; the magnetic field, the relaxation time, and the angular thermal load have significant effects on all the studied fields, and ADM is a useful method and very suitable for that types of mathematical models.




Fig. 1 The temperature increment distribution with various cases of magnetic field




Fig. 2 The strain distribution with various cases of magnetic field




Fig. 3 The induced magnetic field distribution with various cases of magnetic field




Fig. 4 The electric field distribution with various cases of magnetic field




Fig. 5 The stress distribution with various cases of magnetic field




Fig. 6 The displacement distribution with various cases of magnetic field




Fig. 7 The temperature increment distribution with various values of relaxation time




Fig. 8 The strain distribution with various values of relaxation time




Fig. 9 The induced magnetic field distribution with various values of relaxation time




Fig. 10 The electric field distribution with various values of relaxation time




Fig. 11 The stress distribution with various values of relaxation time




Fig. 12 The displacement distribution with various values of relaxation time




Fig. 13 The temperature increment distribution with various values of angular thermal load




Fig. 14 The strain distribution with various values of angular thermal load




Fig. 15 The induced magnetic field distribution with various values of angular thermal load




Fig. 16 The electric field distribution with various values of angular thermal load




Fig. 17 The stress distribution with various values of angular thermal load




Fig. 18 The displacement distribution with various values of angular thermal load

REFERENCES

- M. A. Ezzat and H. M. Youssef, "Generalized magneto-thermoelasticity in a perfectly conducting medium," International Journal of Solids and Structures, vol. 42, pp. 6319-6334, 2005.
- H. Youssef, "Generalized magneto-thermoelasticity in a conducting medium with variable material properties," Applied mathematics and computation, vol. 173, pp. 822-833, 2006.
- L. Knopoff, "The interaction between elastic wave motions and a [3] magnetic field in electrical conductors," Journal of Geophysical Research, vol. 60, pp. 441-456, 1955.
- S. Kaliski and J. Petykiewicz, "Equation of motion coupled with the field of temperature in a magnetic field involving mechanical and electrical relaxation for anisotropic bodies," in Proc. Vibr. Probl, 1959.
- M. A. Biot, "Thermoelasticity and irreversible thermodynamics," **[51**
- Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 27, pp. 240-253, 1956. H. W. Lord and Y. Shulman, "A generalized dynamical theory of [6] thermoelasticity," Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, vol. 15, pp. 299-309, 1967.
- S. R. Choudhuri, "Electro-magneto-thermo-elastic plane waves in rotating media with thermal relaxation," International journal of engineering science, vol. 22, pp. 519-530, 1984.
- M. Ezzat, M. Othman, and A. El-Karamany, "Electromagnetothermoelastic plane waves with thermal relaxation in a medium of perfect conductivity," Journal of Thermal Stresses, vol. 24, pp. 411-432, 2001.
- M. A. Ezzat, "State space approach to generalized magnetothermoelasticity with two relaxation times in a medium of perfect conductivity," International journal of engineering science, vol. 35, pp. 741-752, 1997.
- [10] M. A. Ezzat and M. I. Othman, "State-space approach to generalized magnetothermoelasticity with thermal relaxation in a medium of perfect conductivity," Journal of thermal stresses, vol. 25, pp. 409-429, 2002.
- [11] A. H. Nayfeh and S. Nemat-Nasser, "Electromagneto-thermoelastic plane waves in solids with thermal relaxation," Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 39, pp. 108-113, 1972.
- [12] H. H. Sherief, "Problem in electromagneto thermoelasticity for an infinitely long solid conducting circular cylinder with thermal

International Journal of Engineering, Mathematical and Physical Sciences

ISSN: 2517-9934 Vol:12, No:12, 2018

- relaxation," International Journal of Engineering Science, vol. 32, pp. 1137-1149, 1994.
- [13] H. H. Sherief and M. A. Ezzat, "A thermal-shock problem in magnetothermoelasticity with thermal relaxation," *International Journal of solids* and structures, vol. 33, pp. 4449-4459, 1996.
- [14] N. Sweilam, "Harmonic wave generation in non linear thermoelasticity by variational iteration method and Adomian's method," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 207, pp. 64-72, 2007.
- [15] G. Adomian, "Solving Frontier Problems of Physics: The Decomposition Method. 1994," Klumer, Boston.
- [16] G. Adomian, Nonlinear stochastic systems theory and applications to physics vol. 46: Springer Science & Business Media, 1988.
- [17] G. Adomian, Y. Cherruault, and K. Abbaoui, "A nonperturbative analytical solution of immune response with time-delays and possible generalization," *Mathematical and computer modelling*, vol. 24, pp. 89-96, 1996.
- [18] P. Ciarlet, E. Jamelot, and F. Kpadonou, "Domain decomposition methods for the diffusion equation with low-regularity solution," 2016.
- [19] M. Duz, "Solutions Of Complex Equations With Adomian Decomposition Method," Twms Journal Of Applied And Engineering Mathematics, vol. 7, pp. 66-73, 2017.
- [20] S. M. El-Sayed and D. Kaya, "On the numerical solution of the system of two-dimensional Burgers' equations by the decomposition method," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 158, pp. 101-109, 2004.
- [21] H. Górecki and M. Zaczyk, "Decomposition method and its application to the extremal problems," *Archives of Control Sciences*, vol. 26, 2016.
- [22] D. Kaya and S. M. El-Sayed, "On the solution of the coupled Schrödinger-KdV equation by the decomposition method," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 313, pp. 82-88, 2003.
- [23] D. Kaya and I. E. Inan, "A convergence analysis of the ADM and an application," *Applied Mathematics and computation*, vol. 161, pp. 1015-1025, 2005.
- [24] D. Kaya and A. Yokus, "A decomposition method for finding solitary and periodic solutions for a coupled higher-dimensional Burgers equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 164, pp. 857-864, 2005.
- [25] D. Lesnic, "Convergence of Adomian's decomposition method: periodic temperatures," Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 44, pp. 13-24, 2002
- [26] D. Lesnic, "Decomposition methods for non-linear, non-characteristic Cauchy heat problems," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 10, pp. 581-596, 2005.
- [27] L. Li, L. Jiao, R. Stolkin, and F. Liu, "Mixed second order partial derivatives decomposition method for large scale optimization," *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 61, pp. 1013-1021, 2017.
- [28] I. Mustafa, "Decomposition method for solving parabolic equations in finite domains," *Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE A*, vol. 6, pp. 1058-1064, 2005.
- [29] P. Vadasz and S. Olek, "Convergence and accuracy of Adomian's decomposition method for the solution of Lorenz equations," *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 43, pp. 1715-1734, 2000.
- [30] V. Daftardar-Gejji and H. Jafari, "Adomian decomposition: a tool for solving a system of fractional differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 301, pp. 508-518, 2005.
- [31] S. S. Ray and R. Bera, "An approximate solution of a nonlinear fractional differential equation by Adomian decomposition method," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 167, pp. 561-571, 2005.
- [32] N. T. Shawagfeh, "Analytical approximate solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equations," Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 131, pp. 517-129, 2002.