

# Preparation and Characterization of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> Photocatalyst for the Conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> into Methanol under Visible Light

Md. Maksudur Rahman Khan, M. Rahim Uddin, Hamidah Abdullah, Kaykobad Md. Rezaul Karim, Abu Yousuf, Chin Kui Cheng, Huei Ruey Ong

## I. INTRODUCTION


**Abstract**—A systematic study was conducted to explore the photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) into methanol on TiO<sub>2</sub> loaded copper ferrite (CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) photocatalyst under visible light irradiation. The phases and crystallite size of the photocatalysts were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and it indicates CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> as tetragonal phase incorporation with anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> in CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> hetero-structure. The XRD results confirmed the formation of spinel type tetragonal CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> phases along with predominantly anatase phase of TiO<sub>2</sub> in the CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> hetero-structure. UV-Vis absorption spectrum suggested the formation of the hetero-junction with relatively lower band gap than that of TiO<sub>2</sub>. Photoluminescence (PL) technique was used to study the electron-hole (e<sup>-</sup>/h<sup>+</sup>) recombination process. PL spectra analysis confirmed the slow-down of the recombination of electron-hole (e<sup>-</sup>/h<sup>+</sup>) pairs in the CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> hetero-structure. The photocatalytic performance of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> was evaluated based on the methanol yield with varying amount of TiO<sub>2</sub> over CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (0.5:1, 1:1, and 2:1) and changing light intensity. The mechanism of the photocatalysis was proposed based on the fact that the predominant species of CO<sub>2</sub> in aqueous phase were dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at pH ~5.9. It was evident that the CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> could harvest the electrons under visible light irradiation, which could further be injected to the conduction band of TiO<sub>2</sub> to increase the life time of the electron and facilitating the reactions of CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol. The developed catalyst showed good recycle ability up to four cycles where the loss of activity was ~25%. Methanol was observed as the main product over CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, but loading with TiO<sub>2</sub> remarkably increased the methanol yield. Methanol yield over CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> was found to be about three times higher (651 μmol/g<sub>cat</sub> L) than that of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> photocatalyst. This occurs because the energy of the band excited electrons lies above the redox potentials of the reaction products CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH.

**Keywords**—Photocatalysis, CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>, band-gap energy, methanol.

COMBUSTION of fossil fuel can be considered as the main power source for the growth of human civilization and no wonder, fossil fuel is the largest single source of energy consumed by the world's population. The reliance on fossil fuel for rapid industrialization cause unavoidable CO<sub>2</sub> emission [1]-[3]. CO<sub>2</sub> is the most concentrated greenhouse gas emitted by many industries, e.g. urea fertilizer industry, produce 100% CO<sub>2</sub> in flue gas [4], [5]. Therefore, carbon capture, storage and conversion into hydrocarbon technologies are drawing the attention of many researchers [3], [6].

Numerous methods have been explored to convert CO<sub>2</sub>, such as hydrothermal, electrochemical and photochemical reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to hydrocarbons. Hydrothermal reduction process requires high temperature (~450°C) and pressure (25 MPa), hence it is energy intensive and costly [5], [7], [8]. The reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> by photocatalysts is one of the most promising methods for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction into methanol, especially under visible light irradiation [9-12].

Titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>), the most commonly used photocatalyst, is active under UV-light due to its large bandgap (~3.2 eV). Various methods have been studied to reduce the bandgap if TiO<sub>2</sub>, such as doping with metals, non-metals and composite semiconductors on to TiO<sub>2</sub> [2], [7], [13]. The pre-requisites for the visible light active catalyst for the selective conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol under visible light are as follows: increased visible light absorption by decreasing the band gap [1], efficient charge separation and most importantly, the shift of the conduction band (CB) to more negative regions than the standard potentials for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction reactions [1], [8], [14]. The following reactions occur in the aqueous phase during CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to methanol [3], [9], [11]:



So far, a variety of semiconductors including TiO<sub>2</sub> loaded composite and others catalysts like CdS/TiO<sub>2</sub>, FeTiO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>, CuO-TiO<sub>2</sub>, Pt-TiO<sub>2</sub>/MgO, TiO<sub>2</sub>/ZnO, AgBr/TiO<sub>2</sub>, Cu/TiO<sub>2</sub>, RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> etc. have been reported as photocatalysts and these

Md. Maksudur Rahman Khan is with the Faculty of Chemical and Natural Resources Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, 26300 Gambang, Pahang (phone: +609-549 2872; fax: +609-549 2889; e-mail: mrkhancep@yahoo.com).

M. Rahim Uddin, Hamidah Abdullah, Kaykobad Md. Rezaul Karim, Chin Kui Cheng, and Huei Ruey Ong are with the Faculty of Chemical and Natural Resources Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, 26300 Gambang, Pahang (e-mail: rahimuddin.cep@gmail.com, hamidahyusoff81@gmail.com, kaykobad1@gmail.com, chinkui@ump.edu.my, roi\_rui86@hotmail.com).

Abu Yousuf is with the Faculty of Engineering Technology, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, 26300 Gambang, Pahang (e-mail: ayousufcep@yahoo.com).

types of hetero-junction helps to enhance the CO<sub>2</sub> reduction in aqueous phase reaction [7], [9], [15]-[17].

In our recent work, we demonstrated that the nanostructured CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and its further combination with TiO<sub>2</sub> can efficiently reduce CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol under visible light [18]. However, the effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> loading in the CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>, light intensity and catalyst loading on methanol yield has never been reported. In this study, CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts were synthesized with different CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> ratio and their photocatalytic activity for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction under visible light was evaluated. The recyclability of the catalysts has also been investigated.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

### A. Materials

Copper nitrate, Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O (99%), iron nitrate, Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O (99%), Nitric acid, HNO<sub>3</sub> (65%), KOH, Agar, commercial TiO<sub>2</sub>, KOH, and NaNO<sub>2</sub> were of analytical grade (R&M Marketing, Essex, UK) and used without further purification.

### B. Catalyst Synthesis

CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> photocatalyst was prepared using sol-gel method with slight modification of reaction conditions reported by [1]. For the preparation of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst, required amount of Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O and Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O were dissolved in 400 mL of water which contained HNO<sub>3</sub> (2M) and 4 g agar, and the solution was retained for 3 h under continuous stirring at room temperature. Thereafter, the temperature was raised to 90 °C and stirred for ~3 h, where a green gel was obtained. The gel was dried at 130 °C under vacuum for 24 h and grinded in a mortar. The powder was calcined at 900 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min for 14 h as recommended by [1], [8], [19]. To prepare CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst, CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was dispersed in 50 mL distilled water using ultrasound bath (Brand: Elmasonic S; Model: S10/S10H) and thereafter required amount of commercial TiO<sub>2</sub> was added. The ultrasonication was continued for another 1 h. In further, the suspension was dried overnight at 100 °C in an oven. Afterward, the mixture was grinded and calcined at 700 °C for 3 h in tubular furnace under N<sub>2</sub> gas atmosphere.

### C. Instrumentations

The XRD patterns of the powders were obtained at room temperature using Rigaku MiniFlex II at Bragg angle of 2θ = 3-80° with a scan step of 0.02°. The measurements were performed at 30 kV and 15 mA using Cu-Kα emission and a nickel filter. The crystallite size of the prepared nanocomposite was also determined from the XRD spectra and the size was calculated by using the Scherrer formula [20]:

$$D = \frac{K\lambda}{B \cos \theta} \quad (4)$$

where, D is the coherent scattering length (crystallite size), K is a constant related to crystallite shape whose value is

approximately 0.9 [20], λ is the X-ray wavelength of Cu-Kα radiation source = 0.15418 nm and B (in rad) is the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the peak, determined by Gaussian fitting. The morphologies of the prepared photocatalysts were observed by field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM: model JEOL JSM-5410LV, Japan). Energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDX) (5.0 kV) in connection with SEM was used to identify and analyze the elemental composition of photocatalysts. EDX patterns were also obtained using a JEOL JSM-7600, USA. UV-Vis absorption spectra of the samples were obtained by employing Shimadzu UV 2600 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer. The N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption experiments were conducted at 77 K in (Micromeritics ASAP 2020) Specific surface area (S<sub>BET</sub>) of monolayer coverage was determined using Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. Finally, the recombination rate of the photogenerated electron-hole pairs (e<sup>-</sup>/h<sup>+</sup>) was estimated using Perkin Elmer LS 55 Luminescence spectrophotometer. The catalysts are separated by centrifuging at 10000 rpm for 5 min using eppendorf centrifuge 5810 R.

Methanol in aqueous phase was analyzed by using Agilent gas chromatography (GC) with a flame ionization detector (FID) and the investigation was performed with Shimadzu, column DB-WAX 123-7033 (30 m×0.32 mm, 0.50 μm) and injected with a 7694 E headspace auto sampler.

### D. Photocatalytic Activity

The photocatalytic reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> was performed in a continuous-flow reactor system as presented in Fig. 1. A reaction chamber was irradiated with a 500 W xenon lamp (light intensity 240 W/m<sup>2</sup>) located in the middle of a quartz cool trap. Sodium nitrite solution (2M) was circulated through the quartz trap to cut the UV light in the range of 320 nm and 400 nm [9], [21], [22]. Firstly, 300 mL of distilled water was poured into the reactor and 1.2 g of KOH was dissolved in it to raise the pH to 12. Required amount of catalyst was added into the reactor to maintain the catalyst loading in the range of 0.5-2 g/L. Ultrapure CO<sub>2</sub> gas was bubbled through the solution for at least 1 h to ensure that all dissolved oxygen was eliminated and the pH of the solution was recorded as ~5.9. Thereafter, the lamp was switched on to start the photoreaction. The CO<sub>2</sub> was continuously bubbled throughout the process (8 h). The liquid sample was withdrawn using the vacuum pump and centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 5 min using Eppendorf centrifuge 5810 R. The supernatant was analyzed by GC-FID method.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. XRD Analysis

The XRD patterns of as-prepared CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. Fig. 2 illustrates that the diffraction patterns of the CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> can be readily indexed as CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> that has a good matching with JCPDS database (peak position of 101,112, 200, 202, 211, 220, 321, 224, 400, and 422). The spectra of the sample also indicate the presence of trace

amount of CuO (JCPDS 110, 200) phases. Fig. 3 illustrates the diffraction peaks of the  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  photocatalysts that were calcined at  $700^\circ\text{C}$  and it also represents tetragonal,

anatase  $\text{TiO}_2$  (JCPDS 111, 102, 021, 022, 230, 620, 502, 532), and tetragonal  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  (JCPDS 112, 202, 402, 221, 200, 312, 321, 224, 116 and 422).




Fig. 1 Experimental setup for the photocatalytic conversion of  $\text{CO}_2$  into methanol




Fig. 2 XRD of as-prepared  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$




Fig. 3 XRD of as-prepared  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4\text{-TiO}_2$

TABLE I  
CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC PARAMETERS OF AS-PREPARED  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  AND  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  EXTRACTED FROM RIETVELD ANALYSIS

Sample	Phases	Composition (wt%)	symmetry	Space group	Lattice constant (Å)			Crystallite size (nm)	Microstrain	R%
					a	b	c			
$\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$	$\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$	85.33	Tetragonal	I41/amd:1	5.839	--	8.656	59	15.37E-4	16.65
	CuO	14.67	Monoclinic	C2/c:b1	4.688	3.425	5.135	67	4.02E-4	
	$\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$	18.06	Tetragonal	I41/amd:1	5.834	--	8.677	64	12.21E-4	
$\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$	CuO	9.34	Monoclinic	C2/c:b1	4.688	3.426	5.134	98	3.38E-4	19.55
	Anatase $\text{TiO}_2$	72.60	Tetragonal	I41/AMDS	3.786	--	9.514	108	2.21E-4	

According to the Scherrer formula in (4) the crystallite size of  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  photocatalysts were to be  $\sim 59$  nm and  $\sim 108$  nm ( $\text{TiO}_2$ ) evaluated at  $2\theta = 36.161^\circ$  (maximum intense peak). The crystallite size of  $\text{TiO}_2$  in prepared  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  is higher ( $\sim 19\%$ ) than that of  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ . A detailed description of phase constitution, lattice constants and micro structural parameters for as prepared samples is reported in Table I, as obtained from Rietveld refinement with a R% of about 16–20%. Together with the presence of tetragonal  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  (85.33 wt%), the formation of a small

amount of monoclinic CuO (14.67 wt%) is observed. In both cases, the lattice constants of  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  are very similar to those reported in the crystallographic database (ICDD 340425) and that of CuO (ICDD 10706830) is reported as  $a_0 = 4.684$ ,  $b_0 = 3.425$  and  $c_0 = 5.129$  Å. Anatase phase of  $\text{TiO}_2$  of space group I41/AMDS observed at room temperature diffraction pattern and crystallographic parameters are very close to available database (ICDD 211272,  $a_0 = 3.789$  and  $c_0 = 9.537$  Å). Rietveld refinement shows that the as-prepared nanocomposite is free from more stable rutile  $\text{TiO}_2$ . It is, in

general, accepted that anatase shows more activity than rutile, in most photocatalytic reaction systems [23], may be due to the fact that the Fermi level of anatase is higher than that of rutile and slow recombination of  $e^-$  and  $h^+$  [24].

### B. UV-Vis Spectroscopy

The UV-Vis spectroscopy of the as-prepared  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  and commercial  $\text{TiO}_2$  in the wavelength range of 250-1400 nm has been presented in Fig. 4 (a). Fig. 4 (a) shows transparency for wavelengths above 400, 480, 1010 nm which represents the visible light activity of the prepared photocatalysts. Plotting  $(\alpha h\nu)^2$  versus  $h\nu$  (Catalysts are presented as a direct transition) [25] based on the spectral response from Fig. 4 (a) gives the extrapolated intercept corresponding to the band gap energy value as shown in Fig. 4 (b), and Plotting  $(\alpha h\nu)^{1/2}$  versus  $h\nu$  (indirect transition) as shown in Fig. 4 (c). Figs. 4 (b) and (c) depict the band-gap of commercial  $\text{TiO}_2$  (3.1 eV),  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  (2.61 eV), and  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  (1.24 eV). The optical band gap energy of  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  is 2.61 eV which also display lower value compared to  $\text{TiO}_2$  (3.1 eV). Kezzim et al. [1] reported the band gap of  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  synthesized via sol-gel approach as 1.42 eV. Ahmed et al. [26] studied the suitable UV-visible light region (300-430 nm) for photocatalytic reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  into methanol. When a metal or composite is doped or loaded to the other composite, the previous band-gap was shifted to a new band-gap [2], [7]. In our prepared  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  catalyst, the absorption edge of  $\text{TiO}_2$  shifted from 400 to 480 nm due to the loading effect. A small shoulder exists at 350 to 367 nm as shown in Fig. 4 (a) for the  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  photocatalyst; it may be due to the interaction of  $\text{TiO}_2$  with  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$ . The band gap of the recycled (after 4-time use, e.g. 4<sup>th</sup> recycle) catalyst was found to be shifted towards higher band gap (2.71 eV), might be due to the leaching of  $\text{CuO}$  or  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  from the hetero-junction, which could not be confirmed in the present paper.




Fig. 4 (a) UV-Vis spectrum of prepared photocatalysts, the wavelength range was 250–1400 nm




Fig. 4 (b) Band gap energy calculation of prepared photocatalysts (direct transition)




Fig. 4 (c) Band gap energy calculation of prepared photocatalysts (indirect transition)

### C. PL Spectroscopy

The electron-hole ( $e^-/h^+$ ) recombination process studied by PL spectroscopy. Fig. 5 compares PL spectra for  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$ , commercial  $\text{TiO}_2$  and recycled  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  catalyst (after four cycle of recycling process).  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  photocatalyst exhibited a wide and strong PL signals in the range of 400-480 nm with the excited wavelength of 350 nm. The spectral peak located at 421 and 475 nm corresponds to anatase  $\text{TiO}_2$  and effect of  $\text{TiO}_2$  loading on  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  while two peaks at 450 and 466 nm are attributed to the transition from the oxygen vacancies with two and one trapped electron to the  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  conduction band (CB), respectively. However,  $\text{TiO}_2$  band is more intense while the  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  band intensities gradually weakened suggesting the lowering of  $e^-/h^+$  recombination due to the  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  loading with  $\text{TiO}_2$ . Furthermore, the recycled (after 4-time use, e.g. 4<sup>th</sup> recycle)

catalyst showed low PL intensity, but needed longer wavelength (very close to the TiO<sub>2</sub> spectrum), suggesting the loss of visible light active CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> or CuO from the system. The result is consistent with the UV-Vis finding.




Fig. 5 PL emission spectra of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>, commercial TiO<sub>2</sub> and recycled CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (after four cycle of recycling process) photocatalysts; Excitation at 350 nm

#### D. Adsorption Isotherms, Surface Area (BET) and EDX Analysis

BET and EDX analysis of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> is presented in Table II. The BET method is widely used for measuring the specific surface area of catalyst as presented in Fig. 6. Fig. 6 exhibits the N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts. The mesoporous structure of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples without surface directing agents were evidently due to the controlled hydrolysis process. Furthermore, the initial part of the isotherms (at low P/P<sub>0</sub>) is related to the monolayer-multilayer adsorption on the internal surface. However, at higher P/P<sub>0</sub>, the steep increment in the adsorption volume is attributed to the capillary condensation as the pores were saturated with liquid. This finding indicated that capillary condensation of nitrogen was occurred within the pores of the catalyst. The obtained BET surface area, average pore diameter and specific pore volume of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> were 1.49 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>, 33.62 Å and, 0.0012 cm<sup>3</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>, and the BET surface area, average pore diameter and specific pore volume of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> were 1.98 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>, 255.24 Å, and 0.013 cm<sup>3</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The low surface area for metal ferrites was also reported in literatures. The BET surface area of NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was 2.13 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> and Co and Mn ferrite BET surface area was 2 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> [27]-[29].

TABLE II  
SURFACE AREA AND EDX ANALYSIS OF BARE CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> AND TiO<sub>2</sub> LOADED CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> SAMPLES

Sample	Surface area(m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	Average pore diameter (Å)	Specific pore volume (cm <sup>3</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	Surface elemental contents <sup>a</sup> (wt.%)			
				Cu	Fe	O	Ti
CuFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	1.48	33.62	0.0012	21	57	22	-
CuFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> (1:1 wt. ratio)	1.98	255.24	0.013	15.1	48.2	30.5	6.2

<sup>a</sup> Surface elemental contents calculated using EDX





Fig. 6 N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherm of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst


#### E. FE-SEM Analysis

Figs. 7 (a) and (b) portray the FE-SEM micrographs of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts. The uniform shape

of the mesoporous spherical particles can be attributed to the CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> crystal growth due to controlled gel formation process. EDX analysis confirmed the appearance of peaks of Cu, Fe, O, Ti in the CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> loaded CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> sample.



(a)



(b)

Fig. 7 FE-SEM micrograph of (a)  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  and (b)  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  prepared by sol-gel method

#### F. Photocatalytic Reduction of $\text{CO}_2$ : Effect of $\text{TiO}_2$ Loading on $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$

The photocatalytic reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  and successive formation of methanol was investigated over a period of 8 h irradiation on  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  and  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  photocatalysts as shown in Fig. 8. The experimental result showed the methanol as the main product in the liquid phase. Hydrogen, CO, formaldehyde, ethane and ethylene could also be formed according to the reports in the literature [6], [9], [26], but they were undetectable in our case. Fig. 8 depicts that the highest methanol yield ( $651 \mu\text{mol}/\text{g}_{\text{cat}} \text{L}$ ) was obtained for  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  (1:1 weight ratio) photocatalyst, compare to that of ( $220 \mu\text{mol}/\text{g}_{\text{cat}}$ )  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  after 8 h of reaction. A slight decline of the catalyst activity after 6 h of reaction, both catalysts indicated the unavailability of the active sites or the deactivation of the catalyst. The yield of methanol with  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  for the photocatalytic reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  under visible light was significantly higher than the results presented in literature [6].

#### G. Photocatalytic Reduction of $\text{CO}_2$ : Effect of Catalyst Loading for $\text{CO}_2$ Reduction

To study the effect of catalyst loading on methanol yield, the catalyst loading was varied from 0.5 to 2 g/L. The results are presented in Fig. 9. From the figure, the methanol yield was increased with the increase in catalyst loading. The highest yield of methanol for  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  photocatalyst was  $695 \mu\text{mol}/\text{g}_{\text{cat}}\text{L}$  at 2 g/L catalyst loading after 6 h irradiation. In comparison with the 1 g/L catalyst loading the methanol yield was increased only 6.8% by doubling the catalyst amount into the solution. According to the figure, in case of increasing the catalyst loading from 0.5 g/L to 1 g/L, methanol production was drastically raised to around 70% but further increasing of catalyst loading yield was not significant might be due to the exhaustion of the active sites. The result is consistent with the literature finding where 1 g/L showed the

optimum methanol yield [7], [22], [30].




Fig. 8 Effect of  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  ratio for photocatalytic reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  to methanol under visible light irradiation for 8 h




Fig. 9 Effect of catalyst loading for  $\text{CO}_2$  conversion at 6 h irradiation (Light intensity =  $240 \text{ W}/\text{m}^2$ )

#### H. Photocatalytic Reduction of $\text{CO}_2$ : Effect of Light Intensity for $\text{CO}_2$ Reduction

The effect of visible light intensity on photocatalytic  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction process is presented in Fig. 10. Fig. 10 illustrates the direct effect of the light intensity on photocatalytic reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  into methanol for 8 h irradiation period. After increasing the light intensity methanol yield was significantly increased about 14% (at 8 h irradiation). The light intensity of  $249 \text{ W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$  was chosen as optimum, as further increase in light intensity could not increase the methanol yield.




Fig. 10 Effect of light intensity on CO<sub>2</sub> conversion to methanol under visible light irradiation for 8 h (catalyst loading = 1 gL<sup>-1</sup>)

### I. Recycling of Catalyst for CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction

The catalyst recycling for four different cycles was performed and presented at Fig. 11. After each run, the whole reaction mixture was centrifuged, before reuse in the second reaction cycle; the recovered catalyst was dried over night at 100 °C and subsequently used for new cycle under the optimum operating conditions. It was observed that the catalyst activity gradually decreased during 8 h irradiation (Fig. 11) and the activity was decreased about 25.6% after four cycles of operation compare to the first cycle. The UV-visible and PL data suggested the loss of active phase in the recycled catalysts might be the reason for the reduction of the activity.




Fig. 11 Effect of catalyst recycles on methanol production over CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (each cycle duration = 8 h; Light intensity = 240 Wm<sup>-2</sup>; room temperature)

### J. Mechanism of Methanol Formation

The mechanism of methanol formation over prepared CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts are shown in Fig.

11. At pH ~ 5.9, CO<sub>2</sub> may exist as dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, but the predominant species at low pH are the dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> [31].

As shown in Fig. 12, the reduction potential of the possible reactions of these species of CO<sub>2</sub> falls in between the VB and CB of both CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> suggesting that the reaction can occur on both photocatalysts [9], [32]. Comparing the band gap, CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (1.24 eV) is more visible light active than the TiO<sub>2</sub> (3.1 eV). According to the standard reaction potential ( $E^{0_{ox}} = -0.39$  V), the of methanol formation alone on CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is low due to the large difference between the potential of the CB (-1.03 V) of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and the redox couple (-0.38 V). Under visible light, excited e<sup>-</sup> could move from VB to CB of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> whereas CB (-1.03 V) edge of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is higher than that of the TiO<sub>2</sub> CB (-0.97 V), the excited e<sup>-</sup> can easily transferred to the CB of TiO<sub>2</sub> in CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> hetero-structure where the CO<sub>2</sub> reduction can take place. The hetero-structure should increase the e<sup>-</sup> life time by suppressing the e<sup>-</sup>/h<sup>+</sup> recombination. The reduction of the e<sup>-</sup>/h<sup>+</sup> recombination rate in the hetero-structure was evident from Fig. 5. Therefore, CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> hetero-structure can promote the charge pair separation and prolong the recombination of e<sup>-</sup>/h<sup>+</sup> pairs resulting in higher CO<sub>2</sub> reduction efficiency.




Fig. 12 The mechanism of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to methanol over prepared CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, significant enhancement of the photocatalytic reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> under visible light irradiation was observed when TiO<sub>2</sub> was deposited on CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The catalyst composition and the reaction parameters were studied for methanol production and optimum TiO<sub>2</sub>/CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ratio, catalysts loading and light intensity were found as 1/1, 1 gL<sup>-1</sup> and 240 Wm<sup>-2</sup> respectively. The XRD patterns of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> confirmed their tetragonal structure, and crystallite sizes of ~59 nm (CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) and ~108 nm (TiO<sub>2</sub>), respectively. The modification of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> with TiO<sub>2</sub>, enhanced its photocatalytic activity by shifting the band-gap of commercial TiO<sub>2</sub> (3.1 eV) into a new band-gap of CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (2.61 eV) photocatalyst, enabling the generation of photo electron under visible light. CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> showed lower e<sup>-</sup>/h<sup>+</sup> recombination compared to CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The

maximum yield of methanol over  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  photocatalysts under visible light irradiation were 220 and 651  $\mu\text{mol/g}_{\text{cat}}\text{L}$ , respectively.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the Malaysian Ministry of Education for Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (RDU150118) and Universiti Malaysia Pahang for funding (GRS140330).

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Kezzim, N. Nasrallah, A. Abdi, M. Trari, "Visible light induced hydrogen on the novel hetero-system  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$ ," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 52, no. 8-9, 2011, pp. 2800-2806.
- [2] Y. Izumi, "Recent advances in the photocatalytic conversion of carbon dioxide to fuels with water and/or hydrogen using solar energy and beyond," *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 257, no. 1, 2013, pp. 171-186.
- [3] S. C. Roy, O. K. Varghese, M. Paulose, C. A. Grimes, "Toward solar fuels: photocatalytic conversion of carbon dioxide to hydrocarbons," *ACS Nano*, vol. 4, no. 3, 2010, pp. 1259-1278.
- [4] Q. Zhang, C. F. Lin, B. Y. Chen, T. Ouyang, C. T. Chang, "Deciphering Visible Light Photoreductive Conversion of  $\text{CO}_2$  to Formic Acid and Methanol Using Waste Prepared Material," *Environ Sci Technol*, vol. 49, no. 4, 2015, pp. 2405-2417.
- [5] J. Mao, T. Peng, X. Zhang, K. Li, L. Zan, "Selective methanol production from photocatalytic reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  on  $\text{BiVO}_4$  under visible light irradiation," *Catalysis Communications*, vol. 28, no. 2012, pp. 38-41.
- [6] K. Kočí, K. Matějů, L. Obalová, S. Krejčíková, Z. Lacný, D. Plachá, L. Čapek, A. Hospodková, O. Šolcová, "Effect of silver doping on the  $\text{TiO}_2$  for photocatalytic reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$ ," *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, vol. 96, no. 3-4, 2010, pp. 239-244.
- [7] M. Tahir, N. S. Amin, "Advances in visible light responsive titanium oxide-based photocatalysts for  $\text{CO}_2$  conversion to hydrocarbon fuels," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 76, no. 2013, pp. 194-214.
- [8] H. Yang, J. Yan, Z. Lu, X. Cheng, Y. Tang, "Photocatalytic activity evaluation of tetragonal  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles for the  $\text{H}_2$  evolution under visible light irradiation," *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, vol. 476, no. 1-2, 2009, pp. 715-719.
- [9] X. Li, J. Chen, H. Li, J. Li, Y. Xu, Y. Liu, J. Zhou, "Photoreduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  to methanol over  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3/\text{CdS}$  photocatalyst under visible light irradiation," *Journal of Natural Gas Chemistry*, vol. 20, no. 4, 2011, pp. 413-417.
- [10] A. Di Paola, M. Bellardita, L. Palmisano, "Brookite, the Least Known  $\text{TiO}_2$  Photocatalyst," *Catalysts*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2013, pp. 36-73.
- [11] A. Di Paola, E. García-López, G. Marci, L. Palmisano, "A survey of photocatalytic materials for environmental remediation," *J Hazard Mater*, vol. 211, no. 2012, pp. 3-29.
- [12] W. Hou, W. H. Hung, P. Pavaskar, A. Goepfert, M. Aykol, S. B. Cronin, "Photocatalytic Conversion of  $\text{CO}_2$  to Hydrocarbon Fuels via Plasmon-Enhanced Absorption and Metallic Interband Transitions," *ACS Catalysis*, vol. 1, no. 8, 2011, pp. 929-936.
- [13] W.-N. Wang, J. Soulis, Y. J. Yang, P. Biswas, "Comparison of  $\text{CO}_2$  photoreduction systems: A review," *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*, vol. 14, no. 2, 2014, pp. 533-549.
- [14] M. L. P. Dalida, K. M. S. Amer, C.-C. Su, M.-C. Lu, "Photocatalytic degradation of acetaminophen in modified  $\text{TiO}_2$  under visible irradiation," *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, vol. 21, no. 2, 2014, pp. 1208-1216.
- [15] H. Fan, H. Li, B. Liu, Y. Lu, T. Xie, D. Wang, "Photoinduced charge transfer properties and photocatalytic activity in  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3/\text{BaTiO}_3$  composite photocatalyst," *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, vol. 4, no. 9, 2012, pp. 4853-4857.
- [16] D. Monllor-Satoca, R. Gomez, W. Choi, "Concentration-dependent photoredox conversion of As(III)/As(V) on illuminated titanium dioxide electrodes," *Environ Sci Technol*, vol. 46, no. 10, 2012, pp. 5519-5527.
- [17] P. Roy, A. P. Periasamy, C. T. Liang, H. T. Chang, "Synthesis of graphene-ZnO-Au nanocomposites for efficient photocatalytic reduction of nitrobenzene," *Environ Sci Technol*, vol. 47, no. 12, 2013, pp. 6688-6695.
- [18] M. R. Uddin, M. R. Khan, M. W. Rahman, A. Yousuf, C. K. Cheng, "Photocatalytic reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  into methanol over  $\text{CuFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{TiO}_2$  under visible light irradiation," *Reaction Kinetics, Mechanisms and Catalysis*, vol. 116, no. 2, 2015, pp. 589-604.
- [19] J. Yan, H. Yang, Y. Tang, Z. Lu, S. Zheng, M. Yao, Y. Han, "Synthesis and photocatalytic activity of  $\text{CuYyFe}_2-y\text{O}_4-\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4$  nanocomposites for  $\text{H}_2$  evolution under visible light irradiation," *Renewable Energy*, vol. 34, no. 11, 2009, pp. 2399-2403.
- [20] O. Lemine, "Microstructural characterisation of nanoparticles using XRD line profiles analysis, FE-SEM and FT-IR," *Superlattices and Microstructures*, vol. 45, no. 6, 2009, pp. 576-582.
- [21] L. Huang, F. Peng, H. Wang, H. Yu, Z. Li, "Preparation and characterization of  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}/\text{TiO}_2$  nano-nano heterostructure photocatalysts," *Catalysis Communications*, vol. 10, no. 14, 2009, pp. 1839-1843.
- [22] X. Li, H. Liu, D. Luo, J. Li, Y. Huang, H. Li, Y. Fang, Y. Xu, L. Zhu, "Adsorption of  $\text{CO}_2$  on heterostructure  $\text{CdS}(\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3)/\text{TiO}_2$  nanotube photocatalysts and their photocatalytic activities in the reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  to methanol under visible light irradiation," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 180, no. 2012, pp. 151-158.
- [23] M. Hussain, N. Russo, G. Saracco, "Photocatalytic abatement of VOCs by novel optimized  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanoparticles," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 166, no. 1, 2011, pp. 138-149.
- [24] J. T. Cameiro, T. J. Savenije, J. A. Moulijn, G. Mul, "How phase composition influences optoelectronic and photocatalytic properties of  $\text{TiO}_2$ ," *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, vol. 115, no. 5, 2011, pp. 2211-2217.
- [25] M. Tahir, N. S. Amin, "Indium-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanoparticles for photocatalytic  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  vapors to  $\text{CH}_4$ ," *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, vol. 162, no. 2015, pp. 98-109.
- [26] N. Ahmed, M. Morikawa, Y. Izumi, "Photocatalytic conversion of carbon dioxide into methanol using optimized layered double hydroxide catalysts," *Catalysis Today*, vol. 185, no. 1, 2012, pp. 263-269.
- [27] D.-H. Chen, X.-R. He, "Synthesis of nickel ferrite nanoparticles by sol-gel method," *Materials Research Bulletin*, vol. 36, no. 7, 2001, pp. 1369-1377.
- [28] C. G. Reddy, S. Manorama, V. Rao, "Preparation and characterization of ferrites as gas sensor materials," *Journal of materials science letters*, vol. 19, no. 9, 2000, pp. 775-778.
- [29] I. Sandu, L. Presmanes, P. Alphonse, P. Tailhades, "Nanostructured cobalt manganese ferrite thin films for gas sensor application," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 495, no. 1, 2006, pp. 130-133.
- [30] L. L. Ma, H. Z. Sun, Y. G. Zhang, Y. L. Lin, J. L. Li, E. K. Wang, Y. Yu, M. Tan, J. B. Wang, "Preparation, characterization and photocatalytic properties of  $\text{CdS}$  nanoparticles dotted on the surface of carbon nanotubes," *Nanotechnology*, vol. 19, no. 11, 2008, pp. 115709.
- [31] S. K. Lower, Carbonate equilibria in natural waters, in: Simon Fraser University, 1999.
- [32] L. Liu, "Understanding the Reaction Mechanism of Photocatalytic Reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  on  $\text{TiO}_2$ -Based Photocatalysts: A Review," *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*, vol. no. 2014, pp.