

Evaluation of Fuel Properties of Six Tropical Hardwood Timber Species for Briquettes

S. J. Mitchual, K. Frimpong-Mensah, N. A. Darkwa

Abstract—The fuel potential of six tropical hardwood species namely: *Triplochiton scleroxylon*, *Ceiba pentandra*, *Aningeria robusta*, *Terminalia superba*, *Celtis mildbreadii* and *Piptadenia africana* were studied. Properties studied included species density, gross calorific value, volatile matter, ash content, organic carbon and elemental composition. Fuel properties were determined using standard laboratory methods. The result indicates that the gross calorific value (GCV) of the species ranged from 20.16 to 22.22 MJ/kg and they slightly varied from each other. Additionally, the GCV of the biomass materials were higher than that of other biomass materials like; wheat straw, rice straw, maize straw and sugar cane. The ash and volatile matter content varied from 0.6075 to 5.0407%, and 75.23% to 83.70% respectively. The overall rating of the properties of the six biomass materials suggested that *Piptadenia africana* has the best fuel property to be used as briquettes and *Aningeria robusta* the worse. This study therefore suggests that a holistic assessment of a biomass material needs to be done before selecting it for fuel purpose.

Keywords—Ash content, Briquette, Calorific value, Elemental composition, Species, Volatile matter.

I. INTRODUCTION

IN line with the annual increase in demand for energy globally, energy demand in Ghana has increased significantly as a result of population increase and urbanization [1]. The availability of energy for domestic use in Ghana continues to pose a formidable challenge, especially with the high cost of cooking gas and kerosene. The increase in price of fossil fuels has resulted in increased consumption of wood fuel, particularly wood charcoal [1]. According to [1], as indicated in Table I the consumption of wood fuel in Ghana increased from 20,678,000 m³ in 2004 to 35,363,400 m³ in 2008, whilst the consumption of wood charcoal increased from 752,000 m³ to 1,477,700 m³ during the same period. Additionally, it was estimated by [2] that wood for use as firewood and for the production of charcoal will increase from an average value of 37,600,000 tonnes in 2008 to 58,700,000 tonnes by 2020. Although consumption of biomass fuels like firewood and charcoal are not bad, in that, they result in less damaging effect of the environment [3], this trend of shift in energy consumption towards firewood and charcoal would

have serious consequence on the diminishing forest resources of Ghana. Besides, utilization of firewood and charcoal for cooking over open fires is highly inefficient in that it result in transfer of only 5 - 10% of the fuel's energy to the cooking pot [4]. The solution to this problem of increased reliance on firewood as domestic cooking fuels is the exploitation and development of biomass residue generated in Ghana.

TABLE I
CONSUMPTION OF WOOD FUEL AND WOOD CHARCOAL IN GHANA FROM 2004 – 2008 [1]

Year	Wood fuel (m ³)	Wood charcoal (t)
2004	20, 678, 000	752, 000
2005	20, 678, 000	752, 000
2006	33, 039, 530	1, 358, 977
2007	31, 477, 900	1, 418, 300
2008	35, 363, 400	1, 477, 700
Total	141, 236, 830	5, 758, 977

Biomass residue is generated in large quantities in Ghana annually and is available as a free, indigenous and environmentally friendly source of energy. It has been estimated that about 4,530,320 tonnes of agricultural residue was generated in Ghana in the year 2010 [5], [6]. Additionally, [7] indicated that about 142,080 m³ of sawdust was generated in Ghana in the year 2008. Sawdust and agricultural residues have become the most promising choice as cooking fuels due to their availability in substantial quantities as waste annually [4]. A study conducted by [8] indicated that the fuel properties of sawdust of tropical hardwood species could be significantly enhanced through the application of densification technology at room temperature (28°C) using low compacting pressure (10 - 50 MPa). Similar study indicated that a combination of agricultural waste materials like maize cobs and sawdust of low density species could significantly improve the physical and mechanical properties of briquettes produced from maize cobs [9]. However, for the efficient utilization of biomass residue as domestic and industrial fuel, they have to be characterized. According to [10], [11], the fuel properties of wood can be summarized by their elemental and proximate analyses and determination of heating value. These fuel properties include species density, calorific value, volatile matter, nitrogen, hydrogen, sulphur, arsenic, cadmium, copper and lead content of the raw material. Comparison of burning characteristics and some chemical elements in biomass materials show that the composition of samples varies considerably. Since briquettes can be made from wide varieties of biomass residues, selection of the best material for making briquettes has to be made based on the one that has

S. J. Mitchual is with the Construction and Wood Technology Department, University of Education, Winneba, Ghana, P. O. Box 1277, Kumasi, Ghana (phone: +233-20-3809967; e-mail: stephen.mitchual@yahoo.com).

K. Frimpong-Mensah, is with Department of Wood Science and Technology, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana (e-mail: Frimpongmensahk@yahoo.com).

N. A. Darkwa is with the Department of Wood Science and Technology, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana (e-mail: Nicdarkwa@yahoo.com).

better fuel properties or positive fuel attributes [4]. Thus, this study which forms part of a broader research on briquetting characteristics of sawdust of six tropical hardwood species sought to investigate the fuel properties of sawdust of six species frequently sawn in Ghanaian sawmills.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Materials and Material Preparation

Sawdust and wood samples from the following tropical hardwood species: *Triplochiton scleroxylon*, *Ceiba pentandra*, *Aningeria robusta*, *Terminalia superba*, *Celtis mildbreadii* and *Piptadenia africana* were used for the study. The sawdust was sun dried at an average relative humidity and environmental temperature of 75% and 28°C respectively for between 5 to 7 days.

B. Density of Timber Species

Densities of the six timber species from which sawdust was collected for the study were determined in accordance with [12]. Fifteen clear specimens with dimensions 20mm x 20mm x 30mm were prepared for each species. The oven-dried masses of the specimens were determined. Thereafter, they were dipped one by one in a paraffin wax and then kept in a desiccator. The volume displacement method which employs the use of Eureka can and a measuring cylinder was used to determine the volumes of the specimen. The density of each specimen was then computed as:

$$\text{Density} = \frac{\text{Mass of specimen}}{\text{Volume of specimen}} \quad (1)$$

C. Gross Calorific Value

The gross calorific value of the samples of biomass materials was determined in accordance with [13]. This was done using an adiabatic bomb calorimeter. About 0.4g of each sample was burnt in the bomb calorimeter until complete combustion was obtained. The difference between the maximum and minimum temperatures obtained was used to compute the gross calorific values of the biomass materials as:

$$Q = \frac{(C_{\text{water}} + C_{\text{cal}})(T_2 - T_1)^\circ\text{C}}{W_f}$$

where: Q = Calorific value of species (kJ/kg); W_f = Weight of the biomass material sample (kg); C_{cal} = Heat capacity of the bomb calorimeter; $T_2 - T_1$ = Rise in temperature ; C_{water} = Heat capacity of water.

D. Proximate Analysis

1. Percentage (%) Ash Content (PAC)

PAC of the biomass materials was determined in accordance with [14]. This was done by heating approximately 2g of oven-dried mass of each biomass material with particle size of 425 μm , in an electric furnace at a temperature of 600°C for four hours. Thereafter, it was cooled in a desiccator and weighted to represent the ash content of the sample. The percentage ash content was calculated as:

$$\text{Ash content}(\%) = \frac{M_{\text{ash}}}{M_{\text{oven-dry}}} \times 100$$

where M_{ash} is the mass of the ash and $M_{\text{oven-dry}}$ is the mass of oven-dried sample

2. Percentage (%) Volatile Matter

The percentage volatile matter of the biomass materials was determined in accordance with [15]. Approximately 2g of each of the biomass materials, particle size 425 μm , was placed in a porcelain crucible. Each sample was first oven-dried and then kept in a furnace at a temperature of 550°C for 10 min and weighed after cooling in a desiccator. The percentage volatile matter was then calculated as:

$$\text{Percentage volatile matter}(\%) = \frac{A - B}{A} \times 100$$

where A is the weight of the oven-dried sample and B is the weight of the sample after 10 min in the furnace at 550°C.

3. Percentage (%) Organic Carbon (POC)

POC content of the biomass materials was determined using [14] and [16]. This was done by subtracting the mass (g) of ash from the oven-dry mass of the sample to obtain the mass (g) of organic matter component. The percentage organic carbon content was then estimated as:

$$\text{Organic carbon content}(\%) = \frac{M_{\text{organic matter}} * 0.58}{M_{\text{oven-dry}}} \times 100$$

where $M_{\text{organic matter}}$ is mass of organic matter and $M_{\text{oven-dry}}$ is the mass of oven-dried sample

4. Ultimate Analysis

The important chemical constituents of the biomass materials namely: nitrogen, sulphur, hydrogen, arsenic, cadmium, copper and lead were determined through ultimate analysis. The nitrogen content of the samples was determined using the Kjeldahl method for quantitative determination of nitrogen in chemical substances [17]. The sulphur content was determined by turbidimetric method [17]. The hydrogen content was determined using exchangeable acidity titrimetric method [18]. The arsenic, cadmium, copper and lead content of the biomass materials were determined by wet digestion followed by estimation of element in the resulting solution using atomic absorption spectroscopy method [19].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Fuel Characteristics of Six Tropical Hardwood Timber Species

1. Wood Density

The density of biomass material plays an important role in the determination of its fuel value. Denser wood contains more heat per unit volume in that they tend to burn for longer periods of time. In Table II column 2 is showed the densities of six hardwood species used for this study. The density of the species ranged from 409.22 kg/m³ (*C. pentandra*) to 764.11

kg/m³ (*C. mildbreadii*). This result therefore suggest that *C. mildbreadii* is more likely to have a higher energy per unit volume than the other species, in that, all things being equal it will burn for a longer period of time than the other species. Additionally, in the area of densification, the density of timber species was found to have a significant negative correlation with the compressive strength in cleft, impact resistance index and water resistance quality of briquettes produced at room temperature (28°C) using low compacting pressure (10-50

MPa) [20]. Besides, species with higher densities are likely to produce briquettes with higher densities when the biomass material is pressed at room temperature (28°C) using low compacting pressure [20]. Therefore, briquettes produced from low density wood species (*C. pentandra*) at room temperature using low compacting pressure is likely to produce briquettes with higher mechanical properties but lower density than that produced from higher density wood species.

TABLE II
FUEL PROPERTIES OF SIX TROPICAL HARDWOOD SPECIES AND, AUSTRIA AND GERMANY STANDARDS FOR FUEL PELLETS

Species	Wood density (kg/m ³)	Gross calorific value (MJ/kg)	Volatile matter (%)	Ash content (%)	Organic carbon (%)	N (%)	H (%)	S (%)
<i>C. pentandra</i>	409.22	20.33	82.43	4.7248	55.26	0.4817	5.30	0.0458
<i>T. scleroxylon</i>	450.62	21.60	80.97	2.0119	56.83	0.5600	4.08	0.0919
<i>A. robusta</i>	572.64	20.89	75.23	5.0407	55.08	0.4813	3.83	0.2142
<i>T. superba</i>	570.08	22.22	79.64	2.9574	56.29	0.6213	3.88	0.0561
<i>P. africana</i>	744.89	22.17	80.60	0.6075	57.65	0.7133	4.20	0.0475
<i>C. mildbreadii</i>	764.11	20.16	83.70	3.7077	55.85	0.6917	4.21	0.0572
Austria ÖNORM M7135		≥18.0		≤ 6.0	-	≤ 0.6		≤ 0.08
Germany DIN 51731 / DINplus		17.5-19.5		< 1.5%	-	< 0.3		< 0.08

Source of information for national standards for fuel pellets (Austria and Germany): [21]

2. Calorific Value

The standard measure of the energy content of a fuel is its heating value or calorific value. In the second column of Table II is presented the gross calorific value (GCV) of the six tropical hardwood species used for the study. The least GCV of the species studied was 20.16 MJ/kg (*C. mildbreadii*) whilst the highest was 22.22 MJ/kg (*T. superba*). The GCV of the species studied could be considered adequate since they are greater than the minimum values suggested by the Austria and German standards for fuel pellets and briquettes (Austria ÖNORM M7135, Calorific value ≥18.0 MJ/kg; Germany DIN 51731 / DINplus, Calorific value 17.5 - 19.5 MJ/kg). Additionally, the GCV of all the species studied were higher than that suggested by [22] and [23] for woody biomass. In a study on fuel characteristics of wood and bark and factors affecting heat recovery, [22] concluded that woody biomass has an average heating density of 19.8 MJ/kg. The heating values of the species studied, besides being higher than that of other woody biomass were greater than that of other biomass fuels like: wheat straw = 17.51 MJ/kg; rice straw = 14.56 MJ/kg; maize straw = 17.70 MJ/kg and sugarcane bagasse = 17.33 MJ/kg [24]. Even though the species studied comparatively had higher heating values, their heating values were lower than that of fossil fuels like kerosene (46.5MJ/kg), natural gas (37.3 MJ/kg) and hard coal (31.80 MJ/kg) [25]. In spite of the lower heating values of the biomass fuels studied compared to that of fossil fuels they would increasingly continue to be an important source of energy because of their long term sustainability as a source of energy as well as their enormous social and environmental benefits.

3. Volatile Matter

Volatile matter refers to the part of a biomass material that is released as volatile gases when it is heated up to 400°C to

500°C. Biomass generally has high volatile matter content of around 70 to 86% and low char content. The high volatile matter content of a biomass material indicates that during combustion, most of it will volatilise and burn as gas in the cookstove [26]. The volatile matter of the six wood species studied varied from 75.23% (*A. robusta*) to 83.70% (*C. mildbreadii*). With the exception of *A. robusta*, the volatile matter of all the species studied was greater than 78%. According to [27] biomass materials with volatile contents up to 78% indicated ignition temperatures between 236 and 270°C, while the lignite with volatile content 53% ignited at 274°C.

4. Ash Content

Ash deposit on heat transfer surfaces in boilers and internal surfaces in gasifiers accelerate corrosion of hot heat exchanging tubes and also reduce their efficiency [28]. The ash content (Column 5 of Table II) of the sawdust of the species studied varied from 0.6075% (*P. africana*) to 5.0407% (*A. robusta*). The high ash content of *A. robusta* indicates that the species has high mineral matter. The ash content of all the species studied was lower than 6%, that is, the value beyond which the ash content of the biomass fuel is considered not adequate according to the Austria ÖNORM M7135 as cited in [21]. With the exception of *A. robusta* and *C. mildbreadii* which had comparatively higher percentage ash content, all the other species had ash content less than 4%, the level beyond which slagging of ash usually would occur with biomass fuels [28]. Thus, it is likely that when sawdust of species like *P. africana*, *T. scleroxylon*, *T. superba* and *C. mildbreadii* are used as fuel no slagging would occur. On the contrary, slagging may occur when *A. robusta* and *C. pentandra* are used as biomass fuel.

5. Organic Carbon, Nitrogen, Hydrogen and Sulphur Content of Six Tropical Hardwood Species

Indicated in Table II, Columns 6, 7, 8 and 9 are the organic carbon, nitrogen, hydrogen and sulphur content of the species studied. The organic carbon content of the biomass materials ranged from 55.26% for *C. pentandra* to 57.65% for *P. africana*. Fixed carbon gives a rough estimate of the heating value of a fuel and acts as the main heat generator during burning [26]. Thus, the higher the carbon content of a biomass fuel the more likely that the species would have higher heating value. The nitrogen content of the species studied ranged from 0.4813% (*A. robusta*) to 0.7133% (*P. africana*). Nitrogen content is a good indicator of the amount of nitrogen-based toxic components that can be formed during combustion. During combustion of wood fuel, nitrogen is oxidized into nitrogen oxide (NO_x). When emitted from combustion facilities at relatively low levels, NO_x may have a useful fertilizing effect on forests. However, as emission levels increase, NO_x produces adverse health effects and increases the acidification of water and soils [29]. Exposure to nitrogen oxides increases the risk of respiratory infections as it is highly toxic and irritating to the respiratory system [30]. When NO_x and volatile organic compounds react in the presence of sunlight, they form a photochemical smog, which is a significant form of air pollution [30]. The nitrogen content of *A. robusta* (0.4813%), *C. pentandra* (0.4817%) and *T. scleroxylon* (0.5600%) are lower than the limit set by the Austria national standard for pellet and briquettes, Austria ÖNORM M7135 (i.e. Nitrogen content ≤ 0.6%) but higher than the limit set by the German national standard for fuel pellet, Germany DIN 51731 / DINplus (i.e. Nitrogen content ≤ 0.3%). However, the nitrogen content of *T. superba* (0.6213%), *C. mildbreadii* (0.6917%) and *P. africana* (0.7133%) were higher than the limits set by both the Austria and German standards for fuel pellet and briquettes.

Hydrogen is the third major constituent of the organic component in biomass. Typically, it comprises 5 to 6% of the biomass dry matter. A higher hydrogen content leads to a higher heating value [31]. The hydrogen content of the species studied ranged from 3.83% (*C. pentandra*) to 5.30% (*A. robusta*). With the exception of *C. pentandra*, the hydrogen content of the species studied was below the expected range of 5 to 6%. Sulphur emissions from combustion of fuels cause extensive damage to ecosystems and buildings. As with nitrogen, sulphur is oxidized during combustion to form sulphur oxide (SO_x). This compound can have serious environmental effects and can cause acidification of soils and water [29]. The sulphur content of the species studied ranged from 0.0458% (*C. pentandra*) to 0.2142% (*A. robusta*). The sulphur content of *C. pentandra*, *P. africana*, *T. superba* and *C. mildbreadii* were lower than the limits set by the Austria and German national standards for fuel pellet and briquettes (Sulphur content ≤ 0.08%). However, *T. scleroxylon* and *A.*

robusta had sulphur contents more than the limits set by the Austria and German national standards for fuel pellet and briquettes. This means that it is likely that when sawdust *T. scleroxylon* and *A. robusta* are used for making fuel briquettes they, would emit sulphur compounds more than the accepted limits into the atmosphere. This would have adverse effects on both the environment and human health.

6. Toxic Metals in Selected Wood Species

All biomass fuels contain heavy or toxic metals to some degree. The composition of these toxic metals varies from species to species. Figs. 1 and 2 show the composition of copper, lead, arsenic and cadmium in the biomass materials studied as well as the German standard for pellet and briquettes for these metals. The composition of copper ranged from 6.07 mg/kg for *T. scleroxylon* to 8.03 mg/kg for both *T. superba* and *A. robusta*. Additionally, the lead content of the biomass materials ranged from 0.40 mg/kg (*P. africana*) to 5.67 mg/kg (*T. superba*). The levels of copper in the species studied were higher than the maximum value of 5 mg/kg recommended by the German standard for biomass pellets and briquettes. On the contrary, the amount of lead in the species considered were lower than the maximum value of 10 mg/kg recommended by German standard for biomass pellets and briquettes [21]. Arsenic composition in the six biomass materials also ranged from 0.13 mg/kg (*P. africana*) to 0.97 mg/kg for *A. robusta* whilst that of cadmium ranged from 0.33 mg/kg (*C. pentandra*) to 0.95 mg/kg (*T. superba*). These values are significantly higher than the maximum values recommended by the German standard for fuel briquettes and pellet. Heavy metals in wood are noted to have adverse effects on human health as well as the environment. Prolonged exposure to heavy metals such as cadmium, copper, lead, nickel and zinc can cause deleterious health effects in humans [32].

B. Rating of Fuel Properties of Six Tropical Hardwood Species

Table III indicates the ratings of fuel properties of the six hardwood species used for the studies. Each property was assigned a value between 1 and 6, with 1 being the best and 6 being the worst. The overall rating was the mean rating of all the properties measured for each species [33]. The ratings suggest that sawdust from *P. africana* has the best fuel properties (2.25) and the worst is *A. robusta* (4.50). Even though *C. pentandra* was fifth in the rating of the calorific value of the species, the overall rating indicated that it has the second best fuel properties. The above results suggest that calorific value should not be the single factor to be used to determine the suitability of a biomass material for fuel briquettes. Other factors such as those studied which have effect on burning characteristics and also impact the environment need to be considered.




Fig. 1 Concentration of Copper and Lead in sawdust of six tropical hardwood species




Fig. 2 Concentration of Arsenic and Cadmium in sawdust of six tropical hardwood species

TABLE III
RATING OF FUEL PROPERTIES OF SIX TROPICAL HARDWOOD SPECIES (1 = BEST, 6 = WORST)

Property	Species					
	<i>Ceiba pentandra</i>	<i>Triplochiton scleroxylon</i>	<i>Aningeria robusta</i>	<i>Terminalia superba</i>	<i>Piptadenia africana</i>	<i>Celtis mildbreadii</i>
Wood density	6	5	3	4	2	1
Calorific value	5	3	4	1	2	6
Volatile matter	2	3	6	5	4	1
Ash	5	2	6	3	1	4
Carbon	5	2	6	3	1	4
Nitrogen	2	3	1	4	6	5
Sulphur	1	5	6	3	2	4
Cu	3	2	5	6	1	4
AS	2	4	6	5	1	3
Pb	2	4	3	6	1	5
Cd	1	4	2	6	3	5
H	1	4	6	5	3	2
Rating (Average)	2.92	3.42	4.50	4.25	2.25	3.67

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This study assessed the fuel properties of six tropical hardwood species. It can be concluded from the results that all the species studied had adequate gross calorific value ranging between 20.16 to 22.22 MJ/kg. Besides, the ash content of the biomass materials ranged from 0.6075 to 5.0407%. This value is lower than 6%, that is, the value beyond which the ash content of the biomass fuel is considered not adequate according to the Austria standard for fuel pellet and briquettes. The results further indicated that *Piptadenia africana* has the best fuel property with fuel rating of 2.25 and *Aningeria robusta* the worse with fuel rating of 4.50. It could further be concluded from the study that, an assessment of fuel properties of biomass materials need to be done holistically taking into consideration all the factors that will impact on the energy value and environment as well as health issues.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Civil Engineering Department of the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana and Forest Research Institute of Ghana for providing laboratory support for this study. Special thanks also go to Miss Agnes Ankomah of the Crops Research Institute of Ghana for providing statistical software for this research work.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. H. Duku, S. Gu and E. B. Hagan, "A comprehensive review of biomass resources and biofuels potential in Ghana," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 15, 2011, pp. 404-415.
- [2] Energy Commission, "Strategic National Energy Plan 2006-2020 - Energy supply to the economy," Energy Commission, 2006. Website <http://energycom.gov.gh/files/snep/WOOD%20FUEL%20final%20PD.pdf>
- [3] K. Nendel, B. Clauß and U. Böttger, "The preconditioning of biomass by briquetting technology and the influence on the combustion behaviour," The 10th European Conference on Biomass for Energy and industry, June 1998, Würzburg, Germany.
- [4] J.T. Oladeji, "Fuel Characterization of Briquettes Produced from Corn cob and Rice Husk Residues," *The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2010, pp. 101-106.
- [5] FAOSTAT, "Crop production in Ghana in 2010," Food and Agriculture Organisation of the UN, Rome, Italy (2010). Web site <http://faostat.fao.org/site/339/default.aspx>
- [6] OECD/IEA: Sustainable production of second-generation biofuels, potential and perspectives in major economies and developing countries. Information paper, Paris (2010). Web site http://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/biofuels_exec_summary.pdf
- [7] ITTO, "Annual review and assessment of the world timber situation," *International Tropical Timber Organisation*, 2008.
- [8] S. J. Mitchual, K. Frimpong-Mensah and N. A. Darkwa, "Effect of species, particle size and compacting pressure on relaxed density and compressive strength of fuel," *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering*, Vol. 4, No. 30, 2013, 6 pages. doi: 10.1186/2251-6832-4-30
- [9] S. J. Mitchual, K. Frimpong-Mensah, N. A. Darkwa and J. O. Akowuah, "Briquettes from combination of maize cobs and *Ceiba pentandra* at room temperature and low compacting pressure without a binder," *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering*. Vol. 4, No. 38, 2013, 7 pages. Doi: 10.1186/2251-6832-4-38
- [10] T. Demirbas and C. Demirbas, "Fuel properties of wood species," *Energy Sources*, Vol. 31, No. 16, 2009, pp. 1464-1472. DOI: 10.1080/15567030802093153.
- [11] V. Saravanan, K. T. Parthiban, P. Kumar, P. V Anbu. and P. P. Ganesh, "Evaluation of Fuel Wood Properties of *Melia dubia* at Different Age Gradation," *Research Journal of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences*, Vol. 1, No. 6, 2013, pp. 8-11.
- [12] ASTM International, ASTM standard D2395-2007a: Standard test methods for specific gravity of wood and wood-based materials. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, 2008.
- [13] ASTM International, ASTM standard E711-87, Standard test method for gross calorific value of refuse-derived fuel by the bomb calorimeter, 2012. Web site <http://ia600806.us.archive.org/23/items/gov.law.astm.e711.1987/astm>.
- [14] ASTM International, ASTM D 1102 - 84 (2007), "Test method for ash in wood," *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, 2008, pp. 153-154.
- [15] ASTM International, ASTM D3175 - 11, "Standard Test Method for Volatile Matter in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke," *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, 2008, 153-154.
- [16] FAO guide to laboratory test, 2008.
- [17] M. R. Motsara and N. R. Roy, "Guide to Laboratory establishment for plant nutrient analysis," 19th Edition, FAO-Rome, Italy, 2008, pp. 42-88.
- [18] E.O. Mclean, "Aluminium in methods of Soil Analysis," *America Science Agronomy*, Madisori, Wisconsin, 1965, pp. 978-998.
- [19] W. Horwitz and G. W. Latimer, "Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International," Association of official Analytical Chemistry International, 18th Edition, Maryland USA, 2005.
- [20] S. J. Mitchual, "Densification of sawdust of tropical hardwoods and maize cobs at room temperature using low compacting pressure without a binder," PhD Thesis submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana, 2013.

- [21] B. Hahn, "Existing Guidelines and Quality Assurance for Fuel Pellets-Pellets for Europe Project," UMBERA, Umweltorientierte Betriebsberatungs-, Forschungs- und Entsorgungs-Gesellschaft m.b.H, 2004.
- [22] S. E. Corder, "Fuel characteristics of wood and bark and factors affecting heat recovery," Madison, WI. USDA Forest Products Laboratory, 1976.
- [23] R. Stahl, E. Henrich, H. J. Gehrman, S. Vodegel and M. Koch, "Definition of a standard biomass," RENEW - Renewable fuels for advanced power trains, 2004.
- [24] J. M. Ebeling, and B. M. Jenkins, "Physical and chemical properties of biomass fuels," Transaction of the ASAE. Vol. 28, No. 3, 1985, pp. 898-902.
- [25] G. A. Payne, "The energy managers handbook," Guildford, Surrey, UK: Westbury House, 1980.
- [26] J. O. Akowuah, F. Kemausuor, and S. J. Mitchual, "Physico-chemical characteristics and market potential of sawdust charcoal briquettes," *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering*, Vol. 3, No. 30, 2012, 6 pages. Doi:10.1186/2251-6832-3-20.
- [27] D. Vamvuka, N. E. Chatib and S. Sfakiotakis, "Measurements of Ignition Point and Combustion Characteristics of Biomass Fuels and their Blends with Lignite," Proceedings of the European Combustion Meeting, 2011.
- [28] P. D. Grover and S. K. Mishra, "Biomass briquetting: Technology and practice," Regional wood energy development programme in Asia. Food and agricultural organization. Field document No. 46, 1996.
- [29] Extension (Unpublished) Woody biomass properties. Web site: www.extension.org/pages/26517/woody-biomass-properties.
- [30] S. Sillman, "Tropospheric ozone and photochemical smog, in B. Sherwood Lollar, ed., Treatise on Geochemistry, *Environmental Geochemistry*, Ch. 11, Elsevier, Vol. 9, 2003. <http://www.TreatiseOnGeochemistry.com>
- [31] B. M. Jenkins, L. L. Baxter, T. R. Miles Jr, and T. R. Miles, "Combustion properties of biomass," *Fuel Processing Technology*, Vol. 54, 1998, pp. 17- 46.
- [32] R. Singh, N. Gautam, A. Mishra, and R. Gupta, "Heavy metals and living systems: An overview," *Indian Journal of Pharmacology*, Vol. 43, No. 3, 2011, pp. 246-253, doi:10.4103/0253-7613.81505
- [33] P. Abbot, J. Lowore, C. Khofi and M. Werren, "Defining firewood quality: a comparison of quantitative and rapid appraisal techniques to evaluate firewood species from a Southern African savannah," *Biomass and Bioenergy*, Vol. 12, No. 6, 1997, pp. 429-437.