

Polymorphism of HMW-GS in Collection of Wheat Genotypes

M. Chňapek, M. Tomka, R. Peroutková, Z. Gálová

Abstract—Processes of plant breeding, testing and licensing of new varieties, patent protection in seed production, relations in trade and protection of copyright are dependent on identification, differentiation and characterization of plant genotypes. Therefore, we focused our research on utilization of wheat storage proteins as genetic markers suitable not only for differentiation of individual genotypes, but also for identification and characterization of their considerable properties. We analyzed a collection of 102 genotypes of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), 41 genotypes of spelt wheat (*Triticum spelta* L.), and 35 genotypes of durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.), in this study. Our results show, that genotypes of bread wheat and durum wheat were homogenous and single line, but spelt wheat genotypes were heterogenous. We observed variability of HMW-GS composition according to environmental factors and level of breeding and predict technological quality on the basis of Glu-score calculation.

Keywords—Genotype identification, HMW-GS, wheat quality.

I. INTRODUCTION

CEREALS are the most important source of the food all over the world. They are also important as a basic product for animal nutrition, oil production, beverages etc. Wheat has the major position among many cultivated cereal species (rice, barley, maize, oat etc.).

Plant samples stored as genetic resources in specialized facilities - gene banks, belong to the cultural richness and heritage of each nation and all mankind. Biological diversity represented by genetic resources, is and will be the starting point for the creation of improved plant genotypes and also for the production of sufficient amount of food and other raw materials for the rapidly growing human population.

Identification and verification of genetic resources are essential tools when working with plant genetic resources. The main and basic means of identification and verification of plant genotypes are genetic markers that offer the ability to identify and verify the sample based on characters derived from their genetic basis. The most commonly used markers are fractions of cereal seed storage proteins (prolamins and glutelins), which are suitable not only for the identification and differentiation between the genotypes, but also for the prediction of some economically important characteristics and

This work was co-funded by European Community under project No. 26220220180: Building Research Centre „AgroBioTech“ (50 %) and VEGA project No. 1/0513/13 (50 %).

Milan Chňapek, Marián Tomka, Renata Peroutková, and Zdenka Gálová are with the Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Faculty of Biotechnology and Food Sciences, Slovak University of Agriculture, 949 76 Nitra, Slovak Republic (phone: +421 37 641 4277; e-mail: chnapek.m@gmail.com; ing.mariantomka@gmail.com; zdenka.galova@uniag.sk).

properties.

The glutenin fraction is formed by highly polymerized protein molecules and can be classified according to molecular weight to two groups: high and low molecular weight glutenin subunits (HMW-GS and LMW-GS). HMW-GS represent about 10 % of glutenin fraction and these subunits are encoded by Glu-A1, Glu-B1 and Glu-D1 loci [1], [2]. Quantity and type of HMW-GS has strong influence on dough properties [1].

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. Plant Material

102 genotypes of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), 41 genotypes of spelt wheat (*Triticum spelta* L.) and 35 genotypes of durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) were obtained from the Gene Bank of the Slovak Republic Piešťany. Detailed genotypes with origin are described in Tables I-III in chapter Results and Discussion.

B. Sample Preparation

Proteins were extracted from individual grains according to standard ISTA method [3]. Seed storage proteins were isolated from whole, dry and mature grains. There were analyzed 20 individual grains from each genotype. Each grain was measured and mechanical homogenized. After homogenization was added 8 μ L of extraction solution per 1 mg of grain.

Composition of extraction solution: 12.5 ml 1 mol.dm⁻³Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 20 ml glycerol, 24.1 ml water, 4 g SDS, 20 mg Pyronin Y.

Extraction of storage proteins was performed by 30 min. shaking in 100°C following by 10 min. centrifugation (15000 rpm). Supernatant was transferred to a new tube.

C. Electrophoretic Separation of Protein

Seed storage proteins electrophoretic separation was realized in vertical discontinual electrophoretic system Hoefer SE 600 DeLuxe. Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was used as a separation medium according to standard ISTA method [3]. 5 μ l of each sample was loaded into gel. Seed storage proteins separation was running for 20 hours with constant current 10 mA.

D. Gel Staining and Image Analysis

Fractions of separated seed storage proteins were stained in solution of Commae Brilliant Blue R 250 in ethanol and 10 % TCA. Image analysis of SDS-PAGE gels were carried out using DocIt-LS software (Ultraviolet Products) using an automated process supplemented with occasional manual

adjustments. Each lane was first defined where the average intensity across the width of these lanes was depicted as a function of the distance in pixels from the top of the image. The background was subtracted from each profile (parameters adjusted on a case-by-case basis), after which the bands were identified. The DocIt-LS software was also used for statistical interpretation of the electrophoreograms.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cereals are the most important source of food protein. Quality and quantity of cereal seed storage proteins are the major factor, which influenced technological quality of wheat flour [4]. Quality of cereal seed is influenced in positive and negative way by presence of individual high molecular weight glutenin subunits (HMW-GS). HMW-GS named 5+10 localized on Glu-D1 loci influenced technological quality in positive way and presence of subunit named 2+12 has a negative effect on technological quality of wheat grain [5].

Electrophoretic analysis of wheat grain glutenin proteins is utilized for identification of phenotypic effect of individual alleles of each gene. Separation of storage protein by electrophoresis is suitable for genetic analysis, which can be applicable in genetic studies and breeding.

We focused on detection of genotypes and variability of electrophoretic spectra of individual HMW-GS in relationship to technological quality of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), spelt wheat (*Triticum spelta* L.) and durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.).

Genotypes of bread wheat and durum wheat which we analyzed were homogenous and single line, in contrast with genotypes of spelt wheat, which were heterogeneous and multiline. We detected from one to five lines in collection of spelt wheat genotypes. The highest number of lines we observed in genotype H-92-20 (5 lines) and H-92-27 (3 lines). Heterogeneity and higher number of lines per genotypes is the intrinsic property of cereals, which provide them better adaptability to grown conditions. Based on the above, we can conclude that genotypes of spelt wheat have better adaptability.

We observed 16 electrophoretic profiles in analysis of 102 genotypes of bread wheat (Table I). The dominant composition of HMW-GS was 0, 7+9, 5+10 (35.3%) and 1, 7+9, 5+10 (16.7%), respectively. Electrophoretic analysis of 51 lines of spelt wheat showed presence of 14 electrophoretic profiles (Table II) and we detected major composition of HMW-GS 1, 6+8, 2+12 (49%). We also observed 6 profiles in analysis of 35 genotypes of durum wheat (Table III) and HMW-GS composition 0, 7+8 (54.3%) was the dominating one. Our results show that the lowest level of breeding we detected by analysis of spelt wheat cultivars were we observed approximately 3.6 line per one electrophoretic profile which indicates the highest resistance to environmental conditions. Higher level of breeding we noticed in bread wheat genotypes and durum wheat genotypes which showed average number of genotypes per electrophoretic profile 6.4 and 5, respectively. On the basis of this, we can assumed that bread wheat and durum wheat are on the one hand less adaptable to

environmental condition, but on the other hand provide better technological parameters.

Gene's analysis of bread wheat genotypes collection, which we performed on HMW-GS coding loci showed similar results between 85 genotypes originated from the former Czechoslovakia and 15 genotypes originated from Europe (Tables I-III).

We determined 3 HMW-GS named 0, 1, and 2* in whole collection on the loci Glu-A1. The most frequent HMW-GS in collection of bread wheat was allele 0, which we identified in 60% of genotypes originated from former Czechoslovakia and in 53% of genotypes originated from Europe. Analysis of spelt wheat genotypes originated from Western Europe showed us the highest frequency of allele 1 (78%) and analysis of durum wheat cultivars originated mainly from Eastern Europe provide us similar results as in bread wheat collection. We observed allele 0 as the most frequent HMW-GS allele (94.3%) coding by locus Glu-A1 in collection of durum wheat.

We detected presence of 7 HMW-GS named 20, 6+8, 7+8, 7+9, 13+16, 14+15, and 17+18 on Glu-B1 loci in all wheat genotypes collection. Our results showed that variability on Glu-B1 loci was the highest. We investigated that HMW-GS allele 7+9 was the most frequent in collection of bread wheat. 71.7% of genotypes originated from former Czechoslovakia and 80% of genotypes originated from Europe which we analyzed showed presence of this allele. The highest occurrence of HMW-GS allele 6+8 we observed in 80.3% of spelt wheat genotypes. Analysis of durum wheat genotypes indicated that 54.3% of genotypes showed presence of HMW-GS allele 7+8.

Technological quality of wheat grain flour is the most influenced by alleles localized on Glu-D1 loci [6]. We detected 3 HMW-GS alleles named 5+10, 2+12, and 3+12. Positive affected HMW-GS 5+10 we detected in 77.6% of bread wheat genotypes originated from former Czechoslovakia and in 86.6% of genotypes originated from Europe. Detection of HMW-GS alleles, which we performed in collection of spelt wheat genotypes on Glu-D1 loci provide different results compared to analysis of bread wheat genotypes collection. We observed the most frequent presence of HMW-GS allele 2+12 with negative effect on technological quality of wheat flour, which we detected in 82% of spelt wheat genotypes. Collection of durum wheat genotypes does not contain genes on Glu-D1 loci, because of tetraploidy.

Our results are consistent with research of other authors, who confirmed, that variability of HMW-GS coding by individual Glu-loci is based mainly from geographical attributes. Reference [7] analyzes collection of 200 genotypes of bread wheat originated from France and proved majority (69.5%) of allele named 0 coded on Glu-A1 loci. Dominancy of 7+9 allele coded by Glu-B1 loci demonstrated by our research was not in conformity with analysis of French wheat. Reference [7] identifies flatter distribution of individual HMW-GS alleles encoded by Glu-B1 loci, with highest incidence of HMW-GS allele 7+8 only at the level of 30.5%. High polymorphism of French wheat confirmed occurrence of rare HMW-GS allele named 18 (0.5% of genotypes). Research

realized on French wheat also indicated other results on alleles coded by Glu-D1 loci. Positive affected HMW-GS allele 5+10 which we recognized in 79.4% of the entire collection of bread wheat was not in agreement of HMW-GS composition coded by Glu-D1 loci in the collection of French wheat, where the HMW-GS allele 2+12 was the dominating one (53%).

TABLE I
ALLELIC COMPOSITION OF BREAD WHEAT GENOTYPES AT GLU-LOCI AND
GLU SCORE

| Genotype | Origin | HMW-GS | | | Glu Score |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|
| | | GLU-A1 | GLU-B1 | GLU-D1 | |
| Agra | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Arnold | CAN | 2* | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Arida | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Armelis | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Cornelius | AUT | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Astela | SVK | 2* | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Auburn | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Axis | SVK | 2* | 7+8 | 5+10 | 10 |
| Bardotka K. | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | 5+10 | 8 |
| Barma | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Bertold | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | 5+10 | 8 |
| Favorit | GER | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Impulsiv | AUT | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Bonita | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Brea | CZE | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Bučianská 106 | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Bučianská 202 | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 3+12 | 7 |
| Butín | SVK | 2* | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Cálovská | CSK | 1 | 7+9 | 2+12 | 7 |
| Lukullus | AUT | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Diana I. | CZE | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Eva | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Faustina | CZE | 0 | 7+9 | 2+12 | 5 |
| Magvas | HUN | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Midas | AUT | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Fulvio | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Gallus | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Hana | CZE | 0 | 7+8 | 5+10 | 8 |
| Apache | FRA | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Betty | USA | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Ilava | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Ilias K | SVK | 1 | 20 | 5+10 | 8 |
| Ilona K | SVK | 2* | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Blasius | AUT | 1 | 7+9 | 2+12 | 7 |
| Is Apage | SVK | 2* | 7+8 | 5+10 | 10 |
| Is Ezopus | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Is Jarissa/1 | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Is Jarissa/2 | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 2+12 | 7 |
| Is Jarissa/3 | SVK | 1 | 14+15 | 5+10 | 8 |
| Is Median | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | 5+10 | 8 |
| Is Questor | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Istar (Venistar) | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Istra | SVK | 1 | 7+8 | 5+10 | 10 |
| Klea | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Košútka | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Krajová Brestovec | SVK | 2* | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Krajová Chmelnica | SVK | 2* | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Livia | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Favorit | RUS | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Madejka | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Matador | HUN | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Malé Karpaty | SVK | 0 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 6 |
| Malyska | SVK | 0 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 6 |
| Pannonikus | AUT | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Rába | HUN | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Míla | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | 5+10 | 8 |
| Ms 1588 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 2+12 | 5 |
| Ms 1744 | SVK | 0 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 6 |
| Ms 1752 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Niagara | CZE | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Nový Život | CSK | 1 | 7+9 | 2+12 | 7 |
| Helmut | AUT | 0 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 6 |
| Petrana | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Petur | HUN | 0 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 6 |
| Pinta | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | 5+10 | 8 |
| Ps 28/08 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Charger | GBR | 0 | 20 | 2+12 | 4 |
| Rada | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Radošínská Karola | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Radošínská Norma | SVK | 2* | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Sana | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 2+12 | 5 |
| Silvanus | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 8 |
| Sk 47 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 2+12 | 5 |
| Sk 53 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Sk 60 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Sk 69 | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Sk 75 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Sk 76 | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Sk 77 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Sk 81 | SVK | 0 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 4 |
| Sk 87 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Sk 95 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Slovenská 2 | SVK | 0 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 4 |
| Slovenská 200 | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Slovenská 777 | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 3+12 | 7 |
| Slovenská B | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 3+12 | 7 |
| Slovenská Intenzívna | SVK | 2* | 7+9 | 2+12 | 7 |
| Solara | SVK | 1 | 7+8 | 5+10 | 10 |
| Solaris | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Solida | SVK | 2* | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Torysa K | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | 2+12 | 8 |
| Vanda | SVK | 2* | 7+9 | 5+10 | 9 |
| Venistar K | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Viator (Viador) | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Viginta | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Viglanka | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Viglašská | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |
| Viglašská | SVK | 1 | 7+9 | 3+12 | 7 |
| Červenoklasá | SVK | 1 | 7+8 | 5+10 | 10 |
| Viola | SVK | 1 | 7+8 | 5+10 | 10 |
| Vrakúnska | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Zaira | SVK | 0 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 6 |
| Zerda | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | 5+10 | 7 |

SVK–Slovakia, CZE–Czech Republic, AUT–Austria, GER–Germany, CSK–Czechoslovakia, CAN–Canada, USA–The United States of America, HUN–Hungary, FRA–France, GBR–The Great Britain, RUS–Russia

TABLE II
ALLELIC COMPOSITION OF SPELT WHEAT GENOTYPES AT GLU-LOCI AND GLU SCORE

| Genotype | Origin | HMW-GS | | | Glu Score |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|
| | | GLU-A1 | GLU-B1 | GLU-D1 | |
| Altgold | CHE | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Ardenne | BEL | 1 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 8 |
| Baetting Niederwill | GER | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Bauländer Spelz | GER | 1 | 13+16 | 2+12 | 8 |
| Burgdorf | GER | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Franckenkorn | GER | 1 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 8 |
| Fuggers Babenhauser | GER | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| | | 0 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 4 |
| | | 0 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 6 |
| H 92-20 (P. Kunz) | CHE | 0 | 7+9 | 2+12 | 5 |
| | | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| | | 1 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 8 |
| | | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| H 92-27 (P. Kunz) | CHE | 1 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 8 |
| | | 1 | 13+16 | 2+12 | 8 |
| Hercule | BEL | 0 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 4 |
| Holstenkorn | GER | 1 | 7+8 | 2+12 | 8 |
| Kipperhaus Weisser Spelz | GER | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| | | 0 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 6 |
| Kr 489-11-15 (P. Kunz) | CHE | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| | | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Lueg | CHE | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| | | 1 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 8 |
| | | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Lw 12 (Nuertingen) | GER | 1 | 13+16 | 2+12 | 8 |
| | | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Lw 13 (Nuertingen) | GER | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Oberkulmer Rotkorn | CHE | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Oberkulmer Schwarzer | CHE | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Ostro | CHE | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Ostro Schwarzer | CHE | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Renval | BEL | 1 | 20 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Roter Kolbendinkel | AUT | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Rotthweller Fruhkorn | GER | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Rouquin | BEL | 1 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 8 |
| Schwabenkorn | GER | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| | | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Schwabenkorn (Dinkel) | GER | 1 | 13+16 | 2+12 | 8 |
| | | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Landrace 1-96 | - | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Steiner Roter Laupheimer | AUT | 0 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 4 |
| T. Spelta (Uhrineves) | - | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Tri 2400 (Gatersleben) | GER | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Waggershauser Kolbendinkel | GER | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Weisser Kolbenspelz | GER | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Weisser Winter Granendinkel | AUT | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Cv.Baetting Niederwill | GER | 2* | 7+8 | 2+12 | 8 |
| Cv.Fuggers Babenhauser Zuchtveessen | GER | 2* | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Cv.Weisser Winter-Granendinkel Aus Hohen | AUT | 2* | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Line 3/96 | SVK | 0 | 17+18 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Ostro | CHE | 2* | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |
| Renval | BEL | 1 | 7+9 | 2+12 | 7 |
| Rotweil Fruhkorn | GER | 1 | 6+8 | 5+10 | 8 |
| Schwabenkorn | GER | 1 | 6+8 | 2+12 | 6 |

CHE–Switzerland, BEL–Belgium, GER–Germany, AUT–Austria, SVK–Slovakia

TABLE III
ALLELIC COMPOSITION OF SPELT WHEAT GENOTYPES AT GLU-LOCI AND GLU SCORE

| Genotype | Origin | HMW-GS | | | Glu Score |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|
| | | GLU-A1 | GLU-B1 | GLU-D1 | |
| Istrodur | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| Martondur | HUN | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| Soldur | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| Ajsberg Odesskij | UKR | 0 | 20 | - | 2 |
| Armet | FRA | 0 | 20 | - | 2 |
| Capetti | ITA | 0 | 20 | - | 2 |
| Ciccio | ITA | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| Df 69680/82 | ROM | 0 | 20 | - | 2 |
| Df 51/71 | ROM | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| Dwh | - | 0 | 20 | - | 2 |
| Gordeiforme 1124/82 | RUS | 0 | 20 | - | 2 |
| Gordeiforme 440/75 | RUS | 1 | 20 | - | 4 |
| Makaronka | SVK | 2* | 20 | - | 4 |
| Macoun | CAN | 0 | 6+8 | - | 2 |
| Platani | ITA | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| Soldur | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| Waakoma | CAN | 0 | 6+8 | - | 2 |
| Wascana | CAN | 0 | 6+8 | - | 2 |
| SO-90-D-55 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | - | 3 |
| SO-93-D-126 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | - | 3 |
| SO-94-D-166 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | - | 3 |
| SO-94-D-168 | SVK | 0 | 7+9 | - | 3 |
| SO-94-D-169 | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| SO-94-D-170 | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| SO-94-D-201 | SVK | 0 | 6+8 | - | 2 |
| SO-94-D-205 | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| SO-94-D-57 | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| SO-94-D-64 | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| SO-94-D-66 | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| N | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| SO-94-D-70 | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| SO-D-90-112 | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| SO-D-90-31 | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| Soldur | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |
| Vendur | SVK | 0 | 7+8 | - | 4 |

HUN–Hungary, UKR–Ukraine, ROM–Romania

Differences in HMW-GS composition affected by environmental factors were confirmed by analysis of 44 genotypes of bread wheat originated from Turkey and Russia [8]. Reference [8] detects the highest level of presence of HMW-GS allele 2* encoded by Glu-A1 loci, while HMW-GS allele 7+8 was the most frequent on Glu-B1 loci and loci Glu-D1 encoded mainly HMW-GS allele 5+10.

Percentages of individual alleles also differ in analysis of 97 bread wheat genotypes originated from Iberian Peninsula [9].

HMW-GS composition of individual genotypes of bread wheat is mainly affected by environmental conditions during evolution and by level of breeding, which is in accordance of others and our results. References [10], [11] monitor composition of storage proteins of bread wheat ancestors and detected new and rare combination of HMW-GS alleles, which confirmed hypothesis about close correlation of composition of HMW-GS alleles and origin of bread wheat

genotypes. Environmental influence on composition of HMW-GS allele showed investigation of old genotypes Bánkúti 1201 originated from Hungary [12]. Reference [12] recognizes heterogeneity and multiline character of this genotype which is typical for landraces. Results indicate needs for detailed research of old genotypes and landraces as potential donors of specific features which can be utilized in bread wheat breeding program.

Composition of individual HMW-GS localized in wheat grain can provide possibility to predict technological quality of wheat flour by calculation of Glu-score (Table IV) [13]. The highest possible calculated Glu-score value is 10. The lowest calculated value for good technological quality is 8.

TABLE IV
PREDICTION VALUE OF INDIVIDUAL ALLELES

| Locí | Allele | Points |
|------|--------|--------|
| 1A | 0 | 1 |
| | 1 | 3 |
| | 2* | 3 |
| | 6+8 | 1 |
| | 7 | 1 |
| 1B | 7+8 | 3 |
| | 7+9 | 2 |
| | 13+16 | 3 |
| | 14+15 | 1 |
| | 17+18 | 3 |
| | 20 | 1 |
| 1D | 2+12 | 2 |
| | 3+12 | 2 |
| | 4+12 | 1 |
| | 5+10 | 4 |

We determined average Glu-score 7.5 in collection of Czechoslovakian bread wheat cultivars, with highest value 10, reached by genotypes AXIS, IS APAGE, ISTRÁ, SOLARA, and VIOLA, as well as 31.8% of genotypes achieved Glu-score 9. Analyzed genotypes of 15 European bread wheat cultivars provided us the same average Glu-score 7.5, and highest Glu-score value 9 reached 77.8% of genotypes.

Heterogeneity of spelt wheat genotypes caused problems with Glu-score calculation, especially for multiline genotypes. Because of this, is possible to calculate Glu-score only for single line cultivar, or for individual lines. On the basis of this, we established the average calculated Glu-score for all lines of spelt wheat genotypes 6.4 and only 25.5% of spelt wheat lines reached Glu-score 8.

Durum wheat genotypes does not contain D-genome and because of this we observed the highest calculated Glu-score value 4 which was monitored in 60% of genotypes. Low Glu-score value of durum wheat genotypes indicates suitability for pasta production.

Our findings are consistent with the extensive work devoted to the impact of the storage proteins on the technological quality realized by [14], [15]. Reference [15] monitors correlation between predicted and real technological quality of bread wheat and postulated, that essential element necessary

for production of optimal dough is presence of insoluble protein matrix mainly consist of glutenin proteins. Glutenin proteins are the most important part of wheat grain proteins affected dough production. Their composition mainly depends on genetic relationship [16]-[18]. References [19]-[21] investigate suitability of Glu-score as a universal tool for simple and rapid prediction of qualitative parameters of wheat genotypes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Faculty of Biotechnology and Food science, Slovak university of Agriculture in Nitra for realization of this research work.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. R. Shewry, A. S. Tatham: *Biochem. J.* 1990, 267, pp. 1-12
- [2] M.C. Gianibelli, O.R. Larroque, F. MacRitchie, C. W. Wrigley, *Cereal chemistry*. 2001, Online review article.
- [3] C. W. Wrigley, *Identification of cereal varieties by gel electrophoresis of the grain proteins*. In *Seed Analysis*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1992, pp. 17–41.
- [4] P. I. Payne, G. J. Lawrence, *Catalogue of alleles for the complex gene loci, Glu-A1, Glu-B1, Glu-D1, which code for high molecular weight subunits of glutenin in hexaploid wheat*. *Cereal Res. Commun.*, 11, 1983, pp. 29-35.
- [5] J. C. Autran, B. Laiglelet, M. H. Morel, *Characterization and qualification of low molecular weight glutenins in durum wheats*. *Biochimie*, 69, 1990, pp. 669-711.
- [6] P. Kolster, *High molecular weight glutenin subunits of wheat*. Dissertation thesis, Wageningen university, 1992, pp. 135.
- [7] G. Branlard, M. Dardever, N. Amieur, G. Igrejas, *Allelic diversity of HMW and LMW glutenin subunits and omega-gliadins in French bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)*. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, 50, Kluwer Academic Publisher, 2003, pp. 669-679.
- [8] Z. Demir, A. Atli, I. Baran, *Glutenin subunits composition of some old and new wheat varieties in winter wheat growing regions of Turkey*, in 9th International Wheat Genetics Symposium Saskatoon, 2004.
- [9] L. A. Pflüger, L. M. Martin, J. B. Alvarez, *Variation in the HMW and LMW glutenin subunits from Spanish accessions of emmer wheat (Triticum turgidum ssp. dicoccum Schrank)*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 102, 2001, pp. 767-772.
- [10] Y. Yan, S. L. K. Hsam, J. Yu, F. J. Zeller, *Allelic variation of the HMW glutenin subunits in Aegilops tauschii accessions detected by sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS-PAGE), acid polyacrylamide gel (A-PAGE) and capillary electrophoresis*. *Euphytica*, 130, 2003, pp. 377-385.
- [11] X. Sun, S. Hu, X. Liu, W. Qian, *Characterization of the HMW glutenin subunits from Aegilops searsii L. and identification of a novel variant HMW glutenin subunit*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 113, 2006, pp. 631-641.
- [12] A. Juhász, O. R. Larroque, L. Tamás, S. L. K. Hsam, *Bánkúti 1201 – an old Hungarian wheat variety with special storage protein composition*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 107, 2003, pp. 697-704.
- [13] R. Lasztity, *Prediction of wheat quality – success and doubts*. *Periodica Polytechnica Ser. Chem. Eng.*, 46, 2003, pp. 39-49.
- [14] W. S. Veraverbeke, J. A. Delcour, *Wheat protein composition and properties of wheat glutenin in relation to breadmaking functionality*. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 42, 2002, pp. 179-208.
- [15] R. Lasztity, *The Chemistry of cereal proteins*, 2-nd ed. CRC Press Inc., Boca Raton, 1996.
- [16] B. J. Mifflin, A. S. Tatham, P. R. Shewry, *The β -turn conformation in wheat gluten proteins: relationship to gluten elasticity*. *Cereal Chem.*, 62, 1985, pp. 405–412.
- [17] P. R. Shewry, A. S. Tatham, P. Lazzeri, *Biotechnology of wheat quality*. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 73, 1997, pp. 397-406.
- [18] S. Müller, W. H. Vensel, D. D. Kasarda, P. Köhler, H. Wieser, *Disulphide bonds of adjacent cysteine residues in low molecular weight subunits of wheat glutenin*. *J. Cereal Sci.*, 27, 1998, pp. 109-116.
- [19] C. Brites, J. M. Carrillo, *Influence of high molecular weight (HMW) and low molecular weight (LMW) glutenin subunits controlled by Glu-1 and*

- Glu-3 loci on durum wheat quality*. Cereal Chemistry, 78, 2001, pp. 59-63.
- [20] J. Zhu, K. Khan, *Characterization of glutenin protein fractions from sequential extraction of hard red spring wheats of different breadmaking quality*. Cereal Chemistry, 81, 2004, pp. 681-685.
- [21] Z. H. He, L. Liu, X. C. Xia, J. J. Liu, R. J. Peña, *Composition of HMW and LMW glutenin subunits and their effects on dough properties, pan bread and noodle quality of chinese bread wheats*. Cereal Chemistry, 82, 2005, pp. 345-350.