# Some Clopen sets in the Uniform Topology on BCI-algebras

A. Hasankhani, H. saadat, M.M. Zahedi

**Abstract**— In this paper some properties of the uniformity topology on a BCI-algebras are discussed.

*Keywords*—(Fuzzy) ideal, (Fuzzy) subalgebra, Uniformity, clopen sets.

### I. INTRODUCTION

IN 1966, K. Iseki introduced the concept of BCI-algebra [4]. In 1965, L.A. Zadeh [6] defined the concept of a fuzzy set, as a function from a non-empty set to [0,1]. In [1], B. Ahmad, apply this notion to BCI-algebra.

In this paper we will discuss some properties of the uniform topology on a BCI-algebra.

## **II. PRELIMINARIES**

**Definition 2.1.** By a BCI-algebra we mean an algebra (X;\*,0) of type (2,0) satisfying the axioms:

BCI-1) ((x \* y) \* (x \* z)) \* (z \* y) = 0, BCI-2) (x \* (x \* y)) \* y = 0, BCI-3) x \* x = 0, BCI-4) x \* y = y \* x = 0 implies x = y, BCI-5) x \* 0 = 0 implies x = 0. For all x, y and z in X.

From now on X = (X; \*, 0) is a BCI-algebra.

**Definition 2.2** [3]. A subset B of X is called: i) an ideal if for any x, y in X.

(1) 
$$0 \in B$$

(2) 
$$x * y$$
,  $y \in B$  imply  $x \in B$ .

ii) a subalgebra if for any x, y in B,  $x * y \in B$ .

**Definition 2.3** [1]. A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of X is called: i) a fuzzy ideal of X if for any  $x, y \in X$ , we have

(1) 
$$\mu(0) \ge \mu(x)$$
, for all  $x$  in  $X$ ,  
(1)  $\mu(0) \ge \mu(x)$ , for all  $x$  in  $X$ ,

(2) 
$$\mu(x) \ge \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\}.$$

ii) a fuzzy subalgebra of X if for any  $x, y \in X$  $\mu(x * y) \ge \min \{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}.$ 

Notation 2.4. The set of all (non-zero fuzzy) ideal of X is denoted by (FI(X))I(X).

Note that by non-zero fuzzy set of X we mean, there is  $x \in X$  such that  $\mu(x) > 0$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** (i)  $A \in I(X)$  iff  $\chi_A \in FI(X)$ , where  $\chi_A$  is the characteristic function of A.

(ii) If  $\mu, \eta \in FI(X)$ , then  $\mu \cap \eta \in FI(X)$ , where  $\mu \cap \eta$  is a fuzzy subset of X which is defined by  $\mu \cap \eta(x) = \min{\{\mu(x), \eta(x)\}}$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

(iii) If  $\mu \in FI(X)$ , then  $\mu(x * y) \ge \min \{\mu(x * z), \mu(z * y)\}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$ (iv) If  $\mu \in FI(X)$ , then  $\mu(0) > 0.$ 

(v) A is a subalgebra of X if and only if  $\chi_A$  is a fuzzy subalgebra.

**Proof.** The proofs of (i), (ii), (iv) and (v), are easy, and the proof of (iii) follows from BCI-1.

**Remark 2.6.** (i). By BCI-5,  $\{0\} \in I(X)$  and hence  $\chi_{\{0\}} \in FI(X)$ .

(ii) For all  $x \in X$ ,  $A \in I(X)$ ,  $\chi_A(x * x) = 1$ , by BCI-3.

**Definition 2.7** [2]. A BCI-algebra X is called medial if

$$(x * y) * (z * u) = (x * z) * (y * u), \quad \forall x, y, z, u \in X.$$

**Definition 2.8** [1]. A BCI-algebra X is called quasi right alternate if

$$x * (y * y) = (x * y) * y, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\mu \in FI(X)$ . We define the relation  $\sim_{\mu}$  on X as follows:

A. Hasankhania and M.M. Zahedia are with Dept. of Math., Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran (emails:abhasan@mail.uk.ac.ir, Zahedi\_mm@mail.uk.ac.ir).

H. saadatb is with Islamic Azad University Science and Research Computes Kerman, Kerman, Iran (e-mail:Saadat@iauk.ac.ir).

Therefore

 $x \sim_{\mu} y$  if and only if  $\min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y * x)\} > 0$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** The relation  $\sim_{\mu}$  is an equivalence relation on *X*.

**Notations.** Let X be a non-empty set and U, V be subsets of  $X \times X$ . We let

i) 
$$U \circ V = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid \exists z \in X \text{ such that} \\ (x, z) \in V \text{ and } (z, y) \in U\};$$
  
ii)  $U^{-1} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid (y, x) \in U\};$   
iii)  $\Delta = \{(x, x) \in X \times X \mid x \in X\}.$ 

**Definition 2.10** [5]. By a uniformity on X we shall mean a non-empty collection K of subsets of  $X \times X$  which satisfies the following conditions:

(U<sub>1</sub>)  $\Delta \subseteq U$ , for any  $U \in K$ ;

(U<sub>2</sub>) If  $U \in K$ , then  $U^{-1} \in K$ ;

(U<sub>3</sub>) If  $U \in K$ , then there exist a  $V \in K$ , such that  $V \circ V \subseteq U$ ;

- (U<sub>4</sub>) If  $U, V \in K$ , then  $U \cap V \in K$ ;
- (U<sub>5</sub>) If  $U \in K$ , and  $U \subseteq V \subseteq X \times X$ , then  $V \in K$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $\mu \in FI(X)$  and

$$U_{\mu} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \sim_{\mu} y\}.$$

If

$$K^* = \{ U_{\mu} \mid \mu \in FI(X) \},\$$

then  $K^*$  satisfies the conditions (U<sub>1</sub>)- (U<sub>4</sub>).

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $K = \{U \subseteq X \times X \mid U_{\mu} \subseteq U, \text{ for some } \mu \in FI(X)\}$ .

Then K satisfies a uniformly on X and the pair (X, K) is a uniform structure.

**Notation.** Let  $x \in X$  , and  $U \in K$  , we define

$$U[x] := \{ y \in X \mid (x, y) \in U \}.$$

Theorem 2.13. Let  $u = \{G \subseteq X \mid \forall x \in G, \exists U \in K, U[x] \subseteq G\}$ . The u is a

open neighborhood of x.

topology on X. Remark 2.14. Note that for any x in X, U[x] is an

**Definition 2.15.** Let (X, K) be a uniform space. Then the topology u is called the uniform topology on X induced by K.

## III. MAIN RESULTS

**Proposition 3.1.** Every ideal I of X is a clopen set in (X, u).

**Proof.** Let *I* be an ideal of *X*. To prove that *I* is closed, we shall show that  $I^{C} = \bigcup_{x \notin I} U_{\chi_{I}}[x]$ . Indeed, assume  $y \in I^{C}$ , then from  $y \in U_{\chi_{I}}[y]$  it follows that

 $y \in \bigcup_{x \notin I} U_{\chi_I}[x]$ . Hence  $I^C \subset [U_{II}[x]].$ 

$$I^{\circ} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \notin I} U_{\chi_{I}}[x]. \tag{1}$$

Conversely, let  $y \in \bigcup_{x \notin I} U_{\chi_I}[x]$ . Then there is  $z \in I^C$  such that  $y \in U_{\chi_I}[z]$ . Hence y \* z and  $z * y \in I$ . Now we show that  $y \notin I$ . On the contrary, let  $y \in I$ . Then from  $z * y \in I$ , we get that  $z \in I$ , which is contradiction.

$$\bigcup_{x \notin I} U_{\chi_I}[x] \subseteq I^C \tag{2}$$

consequently from (1) and (2) we obtain that I is closed. To prove that I is open we show that

$$I = \bigcup_{x \in I} U_{\chi_I}[x].$$
(3)

Clearly  $y \in U_{\chi_I}[y]$ ,  $\forall y \in X$ . Hence,  $I \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in I} U_{\chi_I}[x]$ .

On the other hand, let  $y \in \bigcup_{x \in I} U_{\chi_I}[x]$ , then there is  $z \in I$ such that  $y \in U_{\chi_I}[z]$ . Thus  $y * z \in I$  and  $z * y \in I$ . Now by BCI-2 we have

$$(y * (y * z)) * z = 0 \in I$$
.

Since  $z \in I$  and  $z * y \in I$  we get that  $y \in I$ . Thus

$$\bigcup_{x\in I} U_{\chi_I}[x] \subseteq I.$$

Therefore (3) holds, and hence I is open.

**Theorem 3.2.** Each  $U_{\mu}[x]$  is a clopen set for all  $\mu \in FI(X)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu \in FI(X)$ ,  $x \in X$ . We want to show that  $U_{\mu}[x]$  is a closed subset of X. Let  $y \in (U_{\mu}[x])^{c}$ . We claim that for the given element y we have

$$U_{\mu}[y] \subseteq (U_{\mu}[x])^{c}. \tag{4}$$

Let  $z \in U_{\mu}[y]$ , then  $\mu(z * y) > 0$  and  $\mu(y * z) > 0$ . If  $z \in U_{\mu}[x]$ , then  $\mu(x * z) > 0$  and  $\mu(z * x) > 0$ . By Lemma 2.5 (iii),  $\mu(x * y) > 0$  and  $\mu(y * x) > 0$ . It follows that  $y \in U_{\mu}[x]$ , which is a contradiction. Hence

 $z \in (U_{\mu}[x])^c$ , and (4) holds. Therefore  $(U_{\mu}[x])^c$  is open, that is  $U_{\mu}[x]$  is closed.

**Theorem 3.3** [1]. In a quasi right alternate BCI-algebra, fuzzy ideals and fuzzy subalgebra coincide.

Corollary 3.4. Let X be a quasi right alternate BCI-algebra, then

i) Every subalgebra of X is clopen set in (X, u).

ii) If 
$$\mu$$
 is a fuzzy subalgebra of X , then  $U_{\mu}[x]$  is a

clopen set in (X, u).

**Proof.** The proof follows from Theorems 3.12, 3.13 and Proposition 3.11.

**Proposition 3.1.** K is a discrete topology.

**Proof.** Let x be an arbitrary element of X. Then  $\{x\} = \{y \in X \mid y = x\}$ 

 $= \{ y \in X \mid x * y = 0, \ y * x = 0 \}$ 

$$= \{ y \in X \quad \chi_{\{0\}}(x * y) > 0 \quad \chi_{\{0\}}(y * x) > 0 \}$$

 $= U_{\chi_{\{0\}}}[x].$ 

Now, the proof follows from Theorem 3.2.

**Remark.** Clearly  $(X \times X, \otimes, (0,0))$  is a BCK-algebra, where

$$\otimes : (X \times X) \times (X \times X) \to X \times X$$
$$((x, y), (x', y')) \mapsto (x * x', y * y').$$

Now, by  $\boldsymbol{u}_{X \times X}$  and  $\boldsymbol{u}_X$  we mean the uniform Topology on  $X \times X$  and X respectively.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let X be a medial BCI-algebra. Then the operation  $*: X \times X \to X$  is continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $f: X \times X \to X$  be defined by

$$f(x, y) = x * y, \forall x, y \in X, G \in u_x$$
 and

$$(x, y) \in f^{-1}(G)$$

Then there is  $U \in K_x$  such that  $U[x * y] \subseteq G$  . Hence

 $U_{\mu} \subseteq U$ , for some  $\mu \in FI(X)$ . Now we define fuzzy

subset  $\eta$  of  $X \times X$  by

$$\eta(x, y) = \mu(x * y)$$

we show that  $\eta \in FI(X \times X)$ .

 $\eta(0,0) = \mu(0*0) = \mu(0) \ge \mu(x*y) = \eta(x,y), \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ . On the other hand}$ 

$$\min\{\eta((x, y) * (z, u)), \eta(z, u)\} = \\\min\{\mu((x * z) * (y * u)), \mu(z * u)\} \\= \\\min\{\mu((x * y) * (z, u)), \mu(z, u)\} \\\leq \\\mu(x * y) \\= \\\eta(x, y), \quad \forall x, y, z, u \in X .$$

Therefore  $\eta \in FI(X \times X)$ . Now consider  $U_{\eta}$  in  $K^*_{X \times X}$ .

We show that  $U_n[(x, y)] \subseteq f^{-1}(G)$ . Let

$$(z,u) \in U_n[(x,y)],$$

then

 $\min \left\{ \eta((x, y) \otimes (z, u)), \eta((z, u) \otimes (x, y)) \right\} > 0.$ So,

 $\min\{\eta(x * z, y * u), \eta(z * x, u * y)\} > 0.$ 

μ

In other words,  $\min\{\mu((x*z)*(y*u)), \mu((z*x)*(u*y))\} > 0.$ Hence

Tienec

and

$$((x * y) * (z * u)) > 0$$

 $\mu((z * u), (x * y)) > 0.$ 

.It follows that,

$$(x * y, z * u) \in U_{\mu} \subseteq U$$
 and so  $z * u \in U[x * y] \subseteq G$ .  
It means that  $(z * u) = f(z, u) \in G$  or  $(z, u) \in f^{-1}(G)$ .  
Consequently,  $f^{-1}(G) \in u_{X \times X}$ .

#### REFERENCES

 B. Ahmad, Fuzzy BCI-algebras, Journal of Fuzzy Math. Vol. 1, No. 2 (1993), 445-452.

- [2] W.A. Dudek, On medial BCI-algebra, Prace Naukowe WSP Czestochowie, 1985.
- [3] K. Iseki, On BCI-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes, 8 (1980), 125-130.
- [4] K. Iseki, An algebra related with a propositional calculus, Proc. Japan Acad. 42 (1966), 26-29.
- [5] K.D. Joshi, Introduction to general topology, New Age International Publisher, India, 1997.
- [6] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.