

Mobile Ad Hoc Networks and It's Routing Protocols

Rakesh Kumar, Piush Verma, Yaduvir Singh

Abstract—A mobile ad hoc network (MANET) is a self configuring network, without any centralized control. The topology of this network is not always defined. The main objective of this paper is to introduce the fundamental concepts of MANETs to the researchers and practitioners, who are involved in the work in the area of modeling and simulation of MANETs. This paper begins with an overview of mobile ad hoc networks. Then it proceeds with the overview of routing protocols used in the MANETS, their properties and simulation methods. A brief tabular comparison between the routing protocols is also given in this paper considering different routing protocol parameters. This paper introduces a new routing scheme developed by the use of evolutionary algorithms (EA) and analytical hierarchy process (AHP) which will be used for getting the optimized output of MANET. In this paper cryptographic technique, ceaser cipher is also employed for making the optimized route secure.

Keywords—AHP, AODV, Cryptography, EA, MANET, Optimized output.

I. INTRODUCTION

A MANET is defined as autonomous collection of mobile users that communicate over relatively bandwidth constrained wireless links. As the nodes are mobile, the network topology may change rapidly and unpredictably over time. The origin of mobile adhoc networks can be traced back to DARPA packet radio network project in 1972. First generation of MANETs were used for different military scenarios for aiding combat operations around 1970 and packet radio networks was the first ad hoc networks. During the second generation from 1980 to mid 1990, named as survivable adaptive radio networks, developments were focused on next advancement of MANETs developed during their first generation. During the third generation of MANETs, notebook computers based on radio waves concept of commercial mobile ad hoc networks were invented. The network is decentralized, where all network activity including discovering the topology and delivering messages must be executed by the nodes themselves, i.e., routing functionality will be incorporated into mobile nodes. Node mobility in an ad hoc network causes frequent changes of the network topology. The insecurity of the wireless links, energy constraints, relatively poor physical protection of nodes in a hostile environment, and the vulnerability of statically configured security schemes have been identified as the major challenges.

Rakesh Kumar is with the Sant Baba Bhag Singh Institute of Engineering and Technology, Jalandhar, Punjab, India as Assistant Professor and Head (Phone: 9501029568; e-mail: rakesh_82_banga@yahoo.co.in).

Piush Verma is with Rayat and Bahra Group of Institutes, Patiala, Punjab, India as Campus Director (e-mail: pverma.19@Yahoo.co.uk).

Yaduvir Singh is with Electrical Engineering Department of Hartcourt Butler Technological Institute, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India as Professor and Head (e-mail: dryaduvirsingh@gmail.com).

No part of the network is dedicated to support individually any specific network functionality, with routing (topology discovery, data forwarding) being the most prominent example. Furthermore, performance issues such as delay constraints on acquiring responses from the assumed infrastructure would pose an additional challenge. MANETs operate in highly dynamic environment and due to which their topology can't be always defined and limitation poses a problem of security of the network and there is always a need for optimized and secured routing protocol. Till now a number of routing protocols have been developed for MANETs. The set of applications for MANETs is diverse, ranging from small, static networks that are constrained by power sources, to large-scale, mobile, highly dynamic networks [68], [49]. In ad hoc networks, nodes do not have a priori knowledge of topology of network around them, they have to discover it. Some ad-hoc network routing protocols are - Pro-active (Table-driven), Reactive (On-demand), Hybrid (Pro-active/Reactive), Hierarchical, Geographical, Power Aware, Multicast Geographical Multicast (Geocasting). Routing protocols select paths dynamically while the packets are being forwarded, or statically (in advance) as in source routing from a source node to a destination.

II. REVIEW OF MANETS

There are two different classes of failures named simple and Byzantine failure for MANETs which continue to operate but in incorrect way [2]. A loop free routing protocol optimizes the frequency at which it sends updates to the networks and correspondingly reduce the bandwidth and energy used, leading to fully distributed and self-optimizing system which always delivers more than 80% of the data messages by following the direction computed, without using any recovery procedure [3]. To build a highly available and highly secure key management service for MANETs, use of threshold cryptography to distribute trust among a set of servers was described and key management service employs share refreshing to achieve proactive security and adapt to changes in the network in a scalable way [4]. Another routing protocol was proposed which selects the routes and the corresponding power levels such that the time until the batteries of the nodes drain-out is maximized. The achievable lifetime was close to the optimal most of the time when there are multiple power levels [5]. A simple, efficient and scalable multi level fish eye scope routing algorithm reduced routing update overhead in large networks in which nodes exchange link state entries with their neighbors with a frequency which depends on distance to destination and from link state entries, nodes construct the topology map of the entire network and compute optimal routes [6]. Security aware routing protocol ensures that a node

can process the packet or forward it if the node itself can provide the required security. It also provides customizable security to the flow of routing protocol messages themselves in MANET [7]. A detailed survey on evaluation of energy consumption in ad hoc routing protocols, localized routing algorithms that optimize based on power and other metrics, network topology generation designed to optimize power consumption, routing algorithms that balance power consumption among all network nodes, and algorithms that maximize node life times can be found in [8]. For a MANETs, a robust route discovery protocol that mitigates the detrimental effects of such malicious behavior, as to provide correct connectivity information was described in [9] which guaranteed that fabricated, compromised, or replayed route replies would either be rejected or never reach back the querying node. This resultant protocol was capable of operating without the existence of an on-line certification authority or the complete knowledge of keys of all network nodes.

Always using lowest energy paths may not be optimal from the point of view of network lifetime and long-term connection and new scheme, that uses sub-optimal paths occasionally to provide substantial gains was presented in [10]. A routing scheme which is tunable and which also allowed for tradeoffs between setup overhead and delivery reliability was described in [12]. To protect the authenticity and integrity of the message in MANET, digital signatures can be used [11], [94]. For battery-powered nodes and externally powered nodes, an energy aware routing scheme was described which achieved better system lifetime compared to the conventional energy efficient routing protocols [13] [21]. The learning rule, reinforcement and rate at which forward ants are sent from a node to explore feasible paths to destination nodes in the network controlled the performance of the algorithm described in [14] and the scheme was compared with AODV by considering the throughput, delay and goodput and algorithm was robust against mobility and topology changes. An up to date survey on genetic algorithm based multicast routing in wireless sensor networks can be found in [101] in which a complete overview of the parameters such as localization, mobility, query based, energy efficiency, data aggregation and QoS for the GA based multicast routing in wireless sensor networks is provided

For MANET security, concept of a tunneling attack, in which collaborating malicious nodes can encapsulate messages between them to subvert routing metrics was described which provides authentication and non-repudiation services using pre-determined cryptographic certificates that guarantees end-to-end authentication [15]. The routing algorithms employed in Peer-to-Peer and mobile ad hoc networks are different, but some differences and similarities are there [16]. In Virtual Base station based protocol mobile station is elected from a set of nominees to act as temporary base stations and this routing utilized the mobility tracking mechanisms of virtual base station infrastructure creation protocol to route packets from source to destination node [17].

An authenticated routing scheme and secure node to node

key agreement protocol was described for MANETs with use of symmetric cryptography with small communication cost [18]. To provide end-to-end quality of service support in mobile ad hoc networks with parameters bandwidth and end-to-end delay, a resource reservation-based routing was introduced for MANETs and results was justified that traffic measurements and admission decisions are accurate and provide high channel utilization [19]. To analyze the impact of mobility pattern on routing performance of mobile ad hoc network in a systematic manner, a framework was introduced and found that the mobility pattern does influence the performance of MANET routing protocols [20]. The first ad-hoc algorithm to be both asymptotically optimal and average-case efficient was described which combined greedy and face routing and results showed that it provides not only worst-case guarantees but is also average-case efficient [22]. In mobile ad hoc networks route optimality can be obtained using metrics like path length and energy consumption along the path which enhances the performance in terms of bandwidth and latency without incurring any significant additional cost and also optimizes residual battery power [23].

For efficient route discovery in mobile ad hoc networks, a technique that uses iterated fresher encounter searches was described [24] which does not assume any hardware add-ons such as GPS receivers and performance depended on the nodes' mobility processes.

First Routing

A protocol that avoids congestion by reducing contentions was introduced [25] that takes advantage of channel spatial reuse to reduce contention and is able to deliver more than 80% data packets even under heavy traffic load. Bluetooth devices forms the basis of Bluetooth routing protocol which considered the capabilities of the node within the network's range and protocol made decisions by taking signal strength, power constraints, memory and location into account [26]. In MANET intermediate nodes are also called as redirectors elects to forward packets on behalf of source destination pairs thus reducing the aggregate transmission power consumed by wireless devices. This algorithm was compared in performance to MLSR and found that this algorithm consumed less power in order to find power efficient routes compared to MLSR due to its point-to-point on-demand design [27]. For MANET a new agent based ad hoc routing protocol was also introduced and which achieves better performance under more dynamic conditions and shows improvement in terms of delay and number of received packets compared to DSDV [28].

For MANET, AntHocNet hybrid algorithm for routing in mobile ad hoc networks based on the nature-inspired Ant Colony Optimization framework was introduced which was more scalable than AODV [32]. A novel distributed routing protocol which guarantees security, anonymity and high reliability of the established route in a hostile environment, by encrypting routing packet header and abstaining from using unreliable intermediate node was described with its main features as non-source-based routing, flexible and reliable

route selection, and resilience against path hijacking [33]. Several routing algorithms that find near-optimal solutions for the joint optimization problem was presented in [34] and the routing objective is to minimize communication cost, while maximizing information gain, differing from routing considerations for more general ad hoc networks and information-directed routing is a significant improvement over reported greedy algorithm [34], [41], [73].

For high node mobility and low traffic loads, simple changes like limiting replies by destination, one route per destination and preferring fresher routes over short ones improve the performance [35]. In MANET for provision of asynchronous communication in partially-connected mobile ad hoc networks, based on the intelligent placement of messages, a new routing protocol can be designed. Results showed that it is possible for nodes to exploit context information in making local decisions that lead to good delivery ratios and latencies with small overheads [36]. MANET routing protocols relies only on symmetric cryptographic techniques and dynamically discover the routes between nodes when needed and design is based on basic operation of DSR protocol. Ariadane performs better on some metrics than unoptimized DSR [37]. Genetic Algorithms (GA) can be used for route discovery for QoS routing in MANETS based on reinforcement learning (RL) based on route discovery and GA based route expansion. RL techniques can play an important role in controlling flooding in route searching to improve performance of network in environments in which the route selection is only based on local network information [38], [50], [59], [65], [66], [89]. Biometrics and Genetic algorithm provide data security in MANET to strengthen the encryption algorithm and key [69], [85]. Genetic algorithms and dijkstra algorithm can be used to better the optimization problems in MANETs [71], [98]. The soft techniques like neural network, genetic algorithms and fuzzy logic based more routing techniques are described in [79], [80], [87] and to improve the routing mechanism in MANETs with genetic algorithms, buffer size, end to end delay and shortest path as the parameters for GA in route discovery can be used and alternative path or backup path to avoid reroute discovery in the case of link failure or node failure can be obtained [91].

AOMDV protocol achieve best performance in high mobility case, whereas AODV Multipath performs better in case of low mobility and higher node density and total routing overhead gets lower [39]. To ensure the complete data secrecy between communicating nodes in MANETs, three anonymity guarantee identity anonymity, route anonymity and location anonymity can be used and asymmetric key cryptographic schemes are practicable for wireless ad hoc networks [40]. A newly developed MANET routing protocol AODV-LRT decreased both of the routing message overhead and the average end to end delay than the well known AODV routing protocol and increased the throughput. This routing protocol enhances the network performance than the AODV routing for a network sizes ranging from 50 up to 300 nodes [42].

To adapt to network topology variations Adaptive Backup Route technique can be used in MANETs named as AODV-

ABL, it can solve the collision and congestion problems of packets in AODV-BR by choosing a backup route among many backup routes [43]. Genetic algorithm can be used to find a very good path between source and destination in ad-hoc network nodes and can optimize the route in MANET. GA can reduce the number of clusters, cluster heads and loads among clusters can be evenly balanced [44], [55]. A fully localized algorithm that efficiently delivers multicast data messages to multiple destinations in which each node propagating a multicast data message needs to select a subset of its neighbors as relay nodes towards destinations was described which optimizes the cost over progress ratio where the cost is equal to the number of neighbors selected for relaying and the progress is the overall reduction of the remaining distances to destinations [45].

Temperature can play important role in routing data packets towards internet gateways and this protocol does not require flooding of control messages, but every node in the network determines its temperature considering only the temperature of its direct neighbors, which makes the protocol scalable to the network size [46]. For sparse and dense environments in MANETs a new routing protocol which does not require location information and insensitive to void and obstacles was described in [47]. For Interoperation among MANETs can be established with IDRM (Inter-Domain Routing Protocol for MANETs) routing protocol which is challenge for inter domain routing [48]. For MANETs position based routing was devised and which tackled the local minimum problem by constructing a virtual small world network and this was the first geometric routing protocol applicable in 3D networks [51]. A robust protocol against attackers trying to create incorrect routing state in the other node was described in [53] and nodes exchange their routing tables periodically and broadcast their hash value to their neighbors, so that the neighbors can verify the correctness of the value by one way hash function [53]. For MANETs a multipath routing protocol with extended security schemes was developed and which was better in discovering and maintaining the new routes than other protocols [54]. Mobility prediction can improve routing protocol performance of MANET [56]. With the use of temporary secret keys and pseudonyms, an adversary in MANET can't trace the packet flow and parameters like Privacy of the Location of Source and Destination Nodes and Privacy of the Route and Impossibility of Packet Tracing may be considered for designing the new routing protocol [57]. The GPS enable nodes in MANET can wake up periodically to listen for changes and go back to the sleep mode to conserve energy. Lower dependence on specialized GPS hardware can reduce the cost of implementing a new routing protocol [58]. The problem of crashing a node can also be handled in MANET routing protocols [60]. Trusting a node is a very important factor, while thinking about the security in MANETs and accordingly the routing information can be low-level, medium level, high level encrypted and using the same kind of encryption for all the information exchanged will not provide the security. Battery value is also one of the metric in designing a routing algorithm. Fuzzy logic controller can be

used to evaluate the trust level of nodes based on packet forwarding status of nodes [62], [78], [82]. In MANETs parameters like Distance-Velocity and power level of nodes deciding about to which neighbor, the given packet should be forwarded [63]. For MANETs a novel opportunistic routing protocol which incorporated adaptive rate control to dynamically adjust sending rates according to network conditions and recovers lost packets using efficient local feedback and recovery was described in [61].

Modified ant colony optimization framework can also be used in designing the proactive routing algorithms for MANETs and algorithm exhibits superior performance with respect to reactive AODV routing algorithm in terms of packet delivery ration and end-to-end delay [67], [93], [99], [100]. Mobile ad hoc networks can be made more effective and efficient with Listen First, Broadcast Later (LFBL), a multi-hop wireless protocol comprising of a distributed forwarding capability with essentially no routing protocol [70]. SNAODV (Secure node-AODV) protocol provide node to node authentication and ensures the authenticity of user of peer node in MANET [72]. Neural network based approach can also be used in designing routing protocols for MANETs which is based on two things, one is routing metric and finding optimal path based on multi criteria optimization and second is detecting and sharing all routing information which were changed during the time [74], [95]. A protocol which used comprehensive neighborhood trust model to provide efficient and confidential ad hoc network environments for military applications was described in [75] and which was having the advantages like use of trust monitoring to mitigate internal attacks, securing of control messages to prevent topology information leakage and confidential neighborhood authentication to protect anonymity and prevent leakage of command hierarchy. A method which exploits local broadcasting to contact multiple neighboring nodes was described in [64] and the results of which showed that it

exhibits very low end to end packet delays and offers good immunity to rapidly changing topologies.

Trustful authenticated routing for MANETs was described in [77] which uses cryptographic certificates to prevent and detect most of the security attacks that most of the ad hoc networks face and it guarantees end to end authentication and only authorized nodes participate at each hop between source and destination. A low energy consumption adaptive clustering routing protocol facilitated by its orthogonality and incoherence was described in [83], [92] which effectively reduces network delay and improves the node energy consumption efficiency, and then prolongs the network lifetime. The performance of conventional Dynamic source routing (DSR) protocol can be increased by incorporating a new technique into it which was described in [81] which increases the power saving and throughput performance.

For MANETs, to compute available bandwidth of the nodes in distributed way and QoS reservation mechanism, a new method was developed in [84] and which provided the enhancement on overall performance of reactive routing mechanisms on ad hoc networks [96].

For Unmanned Aerial Vehicles mobile Ad- Hoc networks Directional Optimized Link State Routing (DOLSR) protocol was described in [86] which has the capacity of reducing the number of the multipoint relays in the network and accordingly end-to-end delay is reduced and the overall throughput is increased. Basic concepts of geographic routing in vehicular ad hoc networks can be demonstrated by greedy perimeter stateless routing (GPSR) which is a purely local decision strategy as no route setup or maintenance is required [88]. For MANETs, a position based geometric routing technique can be found in [102] which can be used to determine the routes having same delay though they may differ in terms of geographical distances and data rates and can be efficient for multimedia transmission over parallel links with minimum delay jitters.

TABLE I
BRIEF COMPARISON BETWEEN PREVIOUSLY DEVELOPED MANET ROUTING PROTOCOLS

Protocol	ARAN	ARIADNE	SAODV	SEAD	SRP
Type	Reactive	Reactive	Reactive	proactive	Reactive
Encryption Algorithms	Asymmetric	Symmetric	Asymmetric	Symmetric	Symmetric
MANET protocol Synchronization	AODV/DSR	DSR	AODV	DSDV	DSR/ZRP
Authentication	X	√	X	√X	X
Confidentiality	√	X	X	X	X
Integrity	√	√	√	X	√
Non Repudiation	√	X	√	X	X
Anti Spoofing	√	√	√	X	√
DOS Attacks	X	√	X	√	√
Optimized output based on Multi Decision Criteria	X	X	X	X	X

III. PROPOSED MODEL

A. Problem Formulation

The main objective of our proposed routing model is to select an optimized and secured route among the different zones and each zone having certain number of nodes. When the optimized route gets selected with its respective cost

function (high throughput route), the message is sent through the route using ceaser encryption with a message divided into various packets (letters) and then the encrypted message will be transmitted through the selected route. On the other side each letter is decrypted according to the agent code and the original message is retrieved. In this, we have taken up a

MANET between two places on a map and have divided the region into various zones consisting in each region, so as to standardize input data for normalization [76]. After that we have taken up the shortest path as the backbone and assigned it the highest priority. In order to achieve the desired result, evolutionary algorithms and analytical hierarchy process will be used for optimizing and securing the route [30], [90].

B. Evolutionary Algorithm: (EA)

An evolutionary algorithms is a search technique used in computing to find the true or approximate solutions to optimization [52] and search problems and the evolution usually starts from a population of randomly generated individuals and happens in generations [1], [31], [97]. The various steps involved in evolutionary algorithm are as follows –

1. [Start] Generate random population of n chromosomes (suitable solutions for the problem)
2. [Fitness] Evaluate the fitness f (x) of each chromosome x in the population.
3. [New population] create a new population by repeating following steps until the new population is complete.
 - a. [Selection] Select two parent chromosomes from a population according to their fitness (the better fitness, the bigger chance to be selected)
 - b. [Crossover] With a crossover probability cross-over the parents to form new offspring (children). If no crossover was performed offspring is the exact copy of parents.
 - c. [Mutation] with a mutation probability mutates new offspring at each locus (position in chromosome).
4. [Replace] Use newly generated population for a further run of the program.
5. [Test] If the end condition is satisfied ,stops ,and returns the best solution in the current population
6. [Loop] go to step 2.




Fig. 1 Evolutionary Algorithm Flow Chart

C. Analytical Hierarchy Process

AHP is a method for comparing a list of objectives or alternatives. It is comprehensive, logical and structured framework. The incorporation of all the relevant decision criteria and their pairwise comparison allows the decision maker to determine tradeoffs among objectives [29]. AHP is based on three principles: decomposition of decision problem,

comparative judgment of elements and synthesis of priorities, which are briefly written in steps as below




Fig. 2 AHP Multiobjective Selection Criteria

Step 1. Creating hierarchies to resolve the problem

Step 2. Comparison of alternatives and the criteria

Step 3. Synthesize the comparisons to get the priorities of alternatives w.r.t. each criteria and weights to each criterion with respect to the goal.

D. Encryption Algorithm

Following are steps involved in encryption –

- a. Enter the agent key which will decide the encryption pattern of the message at the transmitting side.
- b. Once the agent key is entered, the message is passed to the transmitting end which gets encrypted according to the agent key (caesar encryption). This sort of encryption is safe from brute force attack as the message gets randomly shuffled infinite no of times which makes the information more secure.
- c. Once each of the packet reaches to the receiving side it gets decrypted and the original message is received

IV. SIMULATIONS AND RESULTS

The simulation is done for various zones (the user defines the number of zones) and the optimized routing is determined by the value of cost function. The optimized route is selected with the use of analytical hierarchy process and genetic algorithm. Once the optimized route is selected the encryption algorithm is applied to make the routing secure.

$$\text{Cost Function} = A_1\lambda_1 + A_2\lambda_2 + A_3\lambda_3 \quad (1)$$

where λ 's are weights and will be calculated using comparison matrix and A1-A3 are local constants and the values of local constants are given as below:-

$$A_1 = 0.45, A_2 = 0.25, A_3 = 0.30$$

Enter the agent code: - a,

Enter the message: - YOU,

Enter the encrypted message: - QWR

1. For the transfer of the first alphabet 'Q' the optimized path is to be decided with the help of analytical hierarchy process and evolutionary algorithm. Since we have taken 3 zones with each zone consisting of 3 nodes, in total there are 27 values.

Performance indices for AHP: -

- 1 - Equally important
- 2 - Moderately important
- 4- Strongly important
- 6- More strongly important
- 8- Most strongly important

Following tables will generate the weights associated for each node in the three regions:-

For zone 1:-

NODE 1:

TABLE II
COMPARISON MATRIX FOR NODE 1 IN ZONE 1

Attributes	Latency	Power Consumption	Network Congestion
Latency	1	2	4
Power Consumption	0.5	1	4
Network Congestion	0.25	0.25	1

NODE 2:

TABLE III
COMPARISON MATRIX FOR NODE 2 IN ZONE 1

Attributes	Latency	Power Consumption	Network Congestion
Latency	1	4	6
Power Consumption	0.25	1	2
Network Congestion	0.16	0.5	1

NODE 3:

TABLE IV
COMPARISON MATRIX FOR NODE 3 IN ZONE 1

Attributes	Latency	Power Consumption	Network Congestion
Latency	1	6	8
Power Consumption	0.16	1	4
Network Congestion	0.25	0.125	1

For ZONE 2

NODE 1:

TABLE V
COMPARISON MATRIX FOR NODE 1 IN ZONE 2

Attributes	Latency	Power Consumption	Network Congestion
Latency	1	1	1
Power Consumption	1	1	1
Network Congestion	1	1	1

NODE 2:

TABLE VI
COMPARISON MATRIX FOR NODE 2 IN ZONE 2

Attributes	Latency	Power Consumption	Network Congestion
Latency	1	1	1
Power Consumption	1	1	1
Network Congestion	1	1	1

NODE 3:

TABLE VII
COMPARISON MATRIX FOR NODE 3 IN ZONE 2

Attributes	Latency	Power Consumption	Network Congestion
Latency	1	4	2
Power Consumption	0.25	1	8
Network Congestion	0.5	0.125	1

In a similar way, comparison matrix for all nodes in remaining zone can be obtained.

Cost functions in the binary form for the first alphabet:-

00100011
00100111
00101000
00100001
00100001
00100011
00100111
00100001
00100001

No of generations: - 1

Crossover point: - 2

Cost functions after the cross over are:-

00100111
00100011
00101000
00100001
00100011
00100001
00100111
00100001
00100001

Evolutionary Algorithm Result:-

The optimized route for the encrypted message transmission is

Zone1 node3 (source node: - value 40) then zone 2 node3 (intermediate node:- value 35) zone 3 node 1(destination node :- value 39)

So the letter which reaches the destination node: - Q

In a similar way the optimized route for the next word can also be determined. The screenshots given below shows the result of simulations.

Simulations:

```

DOSBox 0.74, Cpu speed: max 100% cycles, Frameskip 0, Program: TC

Please enter the message: you

The encrypted data is: QRW
A1 and 1 corresponds to Latency
A2 and 2 corresponds to power consumption
A3 and 3 corresponds to network congestion
Enter the values of A1 , A2 and A3: 0.45 0.25 0.30

For 1th alphabet

We have made an assumption that there are 3 nodes and 3 zones that means in
total 9 nodes

Please enter the values of values parameters related a particular node:
Please enter the values between 0-9 only
Enter 1 if two objectives are equal in importance
Enter 2 if objective 1 is weakly more important than 2
Enter 4 if objective 1 is strongly more important than 2
Enter 6 if objective 1 is very strongly more important than 2
Enter 8 if objective 1 is absolutely important than 2

zone 1 node 1 enter the attribute indices R1 : _

```

Fig. 3 Simulations results showing AHP Multiobjective criteria importances

```

DOSBox 0.74, Cpu speed: max 100% cycles, Frameskip 0, Program: TC

For 1th alphabet

We have made an assumption that there are 3 nodes and 3 zones that means in
total 9 nodes

Please enter the values of values parameters related a particular node:
Please enter the values between 0-9 only
Enter 1 if two objectives are equal in importance
Enter 2 if objective 1 is weakly more important than 2
Enter 4 if objective 1 is strongly more important than 2
Enter 6 if objective 1 is very strongly more important than 2
Enter 8 if objective 1 is absolutely important than 2

zone 1 node 1 enter the attribute indices R1 : 1 2 4
enter the attribute indices R2 : 0.5 1 4
enter the attribute indices R3 : 0.25 0.25 1
node 2 enter the attribute indices R1 : 1 4 6
enter the attribute indices R2 : 0.25 1 2
enter the attribute indices R3 : .16 0.5 1
node 3 enter the attribute indices R1 : 1 6 8
enter the attribute indices R2 : 0.16 1 4
enter the attribute indices R3 : 0.125 0.25 1

```

Fig. 4 Simulations results showing the input values for all nodes in zone 1 to make comparison matrix

```

DOSBox 0.74, Cpu speed: max 100% cycles, Frameskip 0, Program: TC

enter the attribute indices R2 : 0.25 1 2
enter the attribute indices R3 : 0.16 0.5 1
node 3 enter the attribute indices R1 : 1 6 8
enter the attribute indices R2 : 0.16 1 4
enter the attribute indices R3 : 0.125 0.25 1

zone 2 node 1 enter the attribute indices R1 : 1 1 1
enter the attribute indices R2 : 1 1 1
enter the attribute indices R3 : 1 1 1
node 2 enter the attribute indices R1 : 1 1 1
enter the attribute indices R2 : 1 1 1
enter the attribute indices R3 : 1 1 1
node 3 enter the attribute indices R1 : 1 4 2
enter the attribute indices R2 : 0.25 1 8
enter the attribute indices R3 : 0.5 0.125 1

zone 3 node 1 enter the attribute indices R1 : 1 8 6
enter the attribute indices R2 : 0.125 1 4
enter the attribute indices R3 : 0.167 0.25 1
node 2 enter the attribute indices R1 : 1 1 1
enter the attribute indices R2 : 1 1 1
enter the attribute indices R3 : 1 1 1
node 3 enter the attribute indices R1 : 1 1 1
enter the attribute indices R2 : 1 1 1
enter the attribute indices R3 : 1 1 1

```

Fig. 5 Simulations results showing the input values for all nodes in zone 2 and 3 to make comparison matrix

```

DOSBox 0.74, Cpu speed: max 100% cycles, Frameskip 0, Program: TC

enter the attribute indices R3 : 1 1 1

The respective cost functions are:

0.364265
0.395469
0.401557
0.333333
0.333333
0.358933
0.399741
0.333333
0.333333

*****The GA begins*****
The cost function in binary form is :
00100100
00100111
00101000
00100001
00100001
00100011
00100111
00100001
00100001

Please enter the number of generations:

```

Fig. 6 Simulation results showing Cost functions of comparison matrix in binary form

```

DOSBox 0.74, Cpu speed: max 100% cycles, Frameskip 0, Program: TC

00100011
00100001
00100111
00100001
00100001

The optimized cost functions are:

00100001
00100001
The optimum cost functions in decimal form are:
228
132
132

The optimized route is:

Zone 1 node 3 value 40
Zone 2 node 3 value 35
Zone 3 node 1 value 39

The source node is node number 3 in zone 1
The intermediate node in zone 2 is 3
The destination node is node number 1 in zone 3
The character that reached the destination on 1 th delivery is Q_

```

Fig. 7 Delivery of 1st character of encrypted message

V.DISCUSSIONS

Simulation results using Evolutionary algorithms and Analytical Hierarchy Process along with cipher encryption show an average throughput gain (where throughput gain is the amount of information passing from input to output) of 55% to 75%, depending on network density, over traditional minimum hop route selection in 802.11b networks. Also in this case the message is more secure as the message is encrypted and sent through the optimized route. If the traffic patterns are not clear in a large network, even an optimal routing algorithm will achieve low throughput. The definition of realistic mobility models is one of the most critical and, at the same time, difficult aspects of the simulations of applications and systems designed for mobile environments. Currently, there is no publicly available data capturing node movement in real large-scale mobile ad hoc environments. Taken together, for those systems in which mobility is important and for which a synthetic mobility model is an essential ingredient, it would appear to be important to consider the influence of the human-level social network as something that informs likely individual and group mobility patterns.




Fig. 8 Throughput Vs Node density characteristics

VI. CONCLUSIONS

From the results obtained it is concluded that the use of adaptive techniques in combination with the mathematical tools such as AHP, brings a pronounced throughput improvement in ad-hoc networks which results in a more secured and protected message transmission. By using Evolutionary Algorithms and Analytical Hierarchy Process for routing, the MANET throughput has shown an improvement of 65% to 75% in comparison to the existing routing algorithms. Also in this algorithm the message that is sent through the optimized route is secured from hacking, as the security concern has been taken care of cipher encryption. Also, by using EA and AHP we found that the chance of a particular node appears once in 3 iterations. These results in probability of 33.33% resulting in saving of near about 70% (of the particular characteristic of node) we have been very pessimistic about our results so we have reduced it to 50-60%.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zayegh, A. and Kalam, A, "Modelling and Simulation: Keys to Technological Advances", Victoria University of Technology, 2 volumes, ISBN 1 86272 4229 and 186272 4237, year 1993
- [2] Bradley R Smith, Shree Murthy and J.J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves "Securing Distance Vector Routing Protocol": *Proceedings of the Symposium on Network and Distributed System Security, SNDSS '97*, Page 85, IEEE Computer Society Washington, DC, USA ©1997.
- [3] Stefano Basagni, Imrich Chlamtac, Violet R. Syrotiuk and Barry A. Woodward "A Distance Routing Effect Algorithm for Mobility (DREAM)": *Proceeding of the 4th annual ACM/IEEE International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking, MobiCom '98*, Pages 76-84, ACM New York.
- [4] Lidong Zhou and Zygmunt J. Haas "Securing ad hoc networks *IEEE Network Magazine*, Vol. 13, no.6, November/December in Nov/Dec 1999
- [5] Jae-Hwan Chang and Leandros Tassiulas "Energy Conserving Routing in Wireless Ad-hoc Networks" *IEEE INFOCOM*, Vol. 1, pp. 22-31, 2000.
- [6] Guangyu Pei, Mario Gerla and Tsu-Wei Chen "Fisheye State Routing: A Routing Scheme for Ad Hoc Wireless Networks", *IEEE International Conference on Communications, ICC2000*, Vol 1, pp 70-74
- [7] Seung Yi, Prasad Naldurg and Robin Kravets "Security Aware Ad hoc Routing in Wireless Networks" *Proceedings of the 2nd ACM International Symposium on Mobile Ad hoc Networking & Computing, MobiHoc '01*, Pages 299-302.
- [8] Lindsey, K. Sivalingam and C. S. Rahgavendra "Draft on Power optimization in routing protocols for wireless and mobile networks", 29th March 2001. Department of Electrical Engineering Systems, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089.
- [9] Panagiotis Papadimitratos and Zygmunt J. Haas "Secure Routing for Mobile Ad hoc Networks" *Proceedings of the SCS Communication Networks and Distributed Systems Modeling and Simulation Conference (CNDSS 2002)*, San Antonio, TX, January 27-31, 2002.
- [10] Rahul C. Shah and Jan M. Rabaey "Energy Aware Routing for Low Energy Ad Hoc Sensor Networks": *Wireless Communications and Networking Conference, 2002. WCNC2002. IEEE*, 17-21 March 2002, pp 350 - 355, Vol.1
- [11] Huaizhi Li, Zhenliu Chen, Xiangyang Qin, Chengdong Li and Hui Tan "Secure Routing in Wired Networks and Wireless Ad Hoc Networks" April 2002. Department of Computer Science, University of Kentucky, pp 1-34.
- [12] David Braginsky and Deborah Estri "Rumor Routing Algorithm For Sensor Networks" *WSNA '02, September 28, 2002*, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, Copyright 2002 ACM, pp 22-31
- [13] Arun Avudainayagam, Wenjing Lou, and Yuguang Fang "DEAR: A Device and Energy Aware Routing protocol for Heterogeneous Ad hoc Networks" October 2002 (accepted). *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing - Special issue on Routing in Mobile and Wireless Ad hoc Networks*, Volume 63 Issue 2, February 2003 (published) Pages 228 - 236.
- [14] Harsh Sharma submitted a thesis on Dynamic Adaptive Routing in Mobile Adhoc Networks" to the Faculty of the Graduate School of the University of Maryland, College Park in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science 2002.
- [15] Kimaya Sanzgiri, Bridget Dahill, Brian Neil Levine, Clay Shields and Elizabeth M. Belding-Royer "A Secure Routing Protocol for Ad Hoc Networks" *Proceedings of the 10th IEEE International Conference on Network Protocols, ICNP '02*, Pages 78-89, IEEE Computer Society Washington, DC, USA ©2002
- [16] Rudiger Schollmeier, Ingo Gruber and Michael Finkenzeller: Routing "Mobile Ad Hoc and Peer-to-Peer Networks. A Comparison" *International Workshop on Peer-to-Peer Computing in Networking 2002. Proceedings of Workshop on Web Engineering and Peer to Peer Computing*, Pages 172-186, Springer-Verlag London, UK ©2002
- [17] Ahmed Safwat and Hossam Hassanien "Infrastructure Based Routing in Wireless Mobile Ad hoc Networks" *Computer communications 25 (2002)* 210-224. Elsevier Science, B.V
- [18] Adrian Perrig, Robert Szewczyk, J. D. Tygar, Vitoewen and David E. Culler "SPINS: Security Protocols for Sensor Networks" *ACM Journal of Wireless Networks*, 8:5, September 2002, pp. 521-534
- [19] Qi Xue and Aura Ganz "Ad hoc QoS on-Demand Routing (AQOR) in Mobile Ad hoc Network" *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing - Special issue on Routing in Mobile and Wireless Ad hoc Networks*, Volume 63 Issue 2, February 2003, pp 154 - 165
- [20] Fan Bai, Narayanan Sadagopan and Ahmed Helmy "IMPORTANT: A framework to Systematically Analyze the Impact of Mobility on Performance of Routing protocols for Adhoc Networks" *IEEE INFOCOM 2003. 30 March-3 April 2003. 22nd annual Joint Conference of IEEE Computers and Communications*, Volume: 2, Page(s): 825 - 835
- [21] Kemal Akkaya and Mohamed Younis "An Energy-Aware QoS Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks" *Proceedings of 23rd International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems Workshop*, pp 710-715, 19-22 May 2003.
- [22] Fabian Kuhn, Roger Wattenhofer and Aaron Zollinger "Worst Case Optimal and Average Case Efficient Geometric AdHoc Routing" *MobiHoc '03, June 1-3, 2003*, Annapolis, Maryland, USA, Copyright 2003 ACM.
- [23] Chao Gui and Prasant Mohapatra "SHORT: Self-Healing and Optimizing Routing Techniques for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks", pp 279-290, *MobiHoc '03, June 1-3, 2003*, Annapolis, Maryland, USA.
- [24] Henri Dubois Ferriere, Matthias Grossglauser and Martin Vetterli "Age Matters: Efficient Route Discovery in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks Using Encounter Ages". *MobiHoc '03, June 1-3, 2003*, Annapolis, Maryland, USA
- [25] Yi Lu & Bharat Bhargava "Self-Adjusting Congestion Avoidance Routing Protocol for Ad Hoc Networks" : *Department of Computer Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN*, June 2003.
- [26] Nathaniel Gemelli, Peter LaMonica, Paul Petzke and John Spina "Capabilities Aware Routing for Dynamic Ad-hoc Networks" *KIMAS 2003, October 1-3, 2003*, Boston, MA, USA, 2003 IEEE
- [27] Javier Gomez, Andrew T. Campbell, Mahmoud Naghshineh and Chatschik Bisdikian "PARO: Supporting Dynamic Power Controlled Routing in Wireless Ad Hoc Networks. Wireless Networks", 443-460, 2003 Kluwer Academic Publishers. Manufactured in the Netherlands

- [28] Y. Zhou and A. N. Zincir-Heywood "Intelligent Agents for Routing on Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks" *Second Annual Conference on Communication Networks and Services Research*, 2004. Date of Conference: 19-21 May 2004, Page(s): 249 - 254
- [29] Y.S. Brar, J.S. Dhillon and D.P. Kothari, "Interactive fuzzy satisfying multiobjective generation scheduling" *Asian Journal of Information Technology*, vol. 3, no. 11, pp. 973-982, 2004
- [30] Dr Chanan Singh, "Optimal Service Restoration and Reconfiguration of Network Using Genetic-Tabu Algorithm", J. O. Kim, *Electric Power Systems Research*, 71(2004),145-162
- [31] Gaganpreet Chawla, Mohinder S. Sachdev and G. Ramakrishna "Artificial Neural Network Applications for Power System Protection", *Proceedings of IEEE Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering*, May 1-4, Saskatoon, Canada, pp. 1954-1957, 2005
- [32] Gianni Di Caro, Frederick Ducatelle and Luca Maria Gambardella: AntHocNet "An Adaptive Nature-Inspired Algorithm for Routing in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks" September 2004. *European Transactions on Telecommunications, Euro. Trans. Telecomms*.2005;16: pp 443-455 Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI: 10.1002/ett.1062.
- [33] Azzedine Boukerche, Khalil El-Khatib and Li Xu and Larry Korba published "SDAR: A Secure Distributed Anonymous Routing Protocol for Wireless and Mobile Ad Hoc Networks" *Proceedings of the 29th Annual IEEE International Conference on Local Computer Networks (LCN'04)*, 2004
- [34] Juan Liu, Feng Zhao, and Dragan Petrovic "Information-Directed Routing in Ad Hoc Sensor Networks", *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, pp 851-861, Vol. 23, No. 4, April 2005
- [35] Rajendra V. Boppana and Anket Mathur "Analysis of the Dynamic Source Routing Protocol for Ad Hoc Networks" *Workshop on Next Generation Wireless Networks*, December 2005.
- [36] Mirco Musolesi, Stephen Hailes and Cecilia Mascolo "Adaptive Routing for Intermittently Connected Mobile Ad Hoc Networks" *WOWMOM '05 Proceedings of the 6th IEEE International Symposium on World of Wireless Mobile and Multimedia Networks*, Pages 183-189. IEEE Computer Society Washington, DC, USA ©2005.
- [37] Yih Chun hu, Adrian Perrig and David B. Johnson "Ariadne: A Secure On-Demand Routing Protocol for Ad Hoc Networks in. *Wireless Networks*" 11, pp 21-38, 2005 *Springer Science + Business Media, Inc.* Manufactured in the Netherlands.
- [38] Fu Peng and Zhang Deyun "Hybrid Optimize Strategy based QoS Route Algorithm for Mobile Ad hoc Networks" *Journal of Computer Science* 2 (2): pp 160-165, 2006, ISSN 1549-3636, © 2006 Science Publications.
- [39] Georgios Parissidis, Vincent Lenders, Martin May, and Bernhard Plattner "Multi-path Routing Protocols in Wireless Mobile Ad Hoc Networks: A Quantitative Comparison" Y. Koucheryavy, J. Harju, and V.B. Iversen (Eds.): *NEW2AN 2006, LNCS 4003*, pp. 313-326, 2006, *Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg*.
- [40] Amal Banerjee, Christine Julien and Vitaly Shmatikov "Certificate Free Anonymous Routing for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks" Copyright 2006 *The University of Texas at Austin, TR-UTEDGE-2005-005*, pp 1-14.
- [41] Cong Liu and Jie Wu "SWING: A Small World Iterative Navigation Greedy Routing Protocol in MANETs" *Proceeding of 15th International Conference on Computer Communications and Networks*, ICCCN 2006, Date 9-11 Oct. 2006, pp:339 - 350.
- [42] Maged Salah Eldin Solimana, Sherine Mohamed Abd El-kaderb, Hussein Sherif Eissac and Hoda Anis Barakad "New Adaptive Routing Protocol for MANET" *Ubiquitous Computing and Communication Journal*, Vol 2, No 3, pp 16-23, Year 2006.
- [43] Wei Kuang Lai a, Sheng-Yu Hsiao a and Yuh-Chung Lin "Adaptive Backup Routing for Ad-hoc Networks", *Computer Communications* 30, pp 453-464, 2006 Elsevier.
- [44] Mustafa Al-Ghazal, Ayman El-Sayed (IEEE Member) and Hamedy Kelash "Routing Optimization using Genetic Algorithm in Ad Hoc Networks", *International Symposium on Signal Processing and Information Technology*, December 2007 IEEE, Page(s): 497 - 503
- [45] Juan A. Sanchez, Pedro M. Ruiz, Member Jennifer Liu, and Ivan Stojmenovic "Bandwidth-Efficient Geographic Multicast Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks" *IEEE Sensors Journal*, Vol. 7, No. 5, May 2007, pp 627-636.
- [46] Rainer Baumann, Simon Heimlicher, Vincent Lenders and Martin May "HEAT: Scalable Routing in Wireless Mesh Networks Using Temperature Fields" *IEEE International Symposium on Mobile and Multimedia Networks, WoWMoM*, 18-21 June 2007, pp 1-9.
- [47] Yao Zhao, Yan Chen, Bo Li, Qian Zhang published "Hop ID : A Virtual Coordinate Based Routing for Sparse Mobile Adhoc Networks", *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, Vol 6, No 9, 2007, pp 1075-1089
- [48] Chi-Kin Chau, Jon Crowcroft, Kang-Won Lee, Starsky H.Y. Wong, technical report "IDRM: Inter-Domain Routing Protocol for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks" ,January 2008, *UCAM-CL-TR-708*, ISSN 1476-2986
- [49] Gursewak Brar, Yadwinder S. Brar and Yaduvir Singh, "Comparison and deployment of various data clustering techniques to a process control data", *Current Trends in Electrical Engineering, CTEE-2008, GZS College of Engineering & Technology Bathinda*, 14 February 2008.
- [50] Yun-Sheng Yen, Yi-Kung Chan, Han-Chieh Chao and Jong Hyuk Park "A Genetic Algorithm for Energy-Efficient Based Multicast Routing on MANETs" *Journal on Computer Communication*, Volume 31, Issue 4, March, 2008, Pages 858-869. Elsevier Science Publishers B. V. Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- [51] Cong Liu and Jie Wu, Fellow "Virtual-Force-Based Geometric Routing Protocol in MANETs" ,June 2008. *IEEE transactions on parallel and distributed systems* Vol. 20 No. 4, 2008, pp. 433-445.
- [52] Kuljit Kaur, Hardeep Singh, "A Metrics Based Approach to Evaluate Design of Software Components" *proceedings of 3rd IEEE International Conference on Global Software Engineering*, Bangalore, India, August, 2008.
- [53] Wei-Shen Lail, Chu-Hsing Lin, Jung-Chun Liu, Yen-Lin Huang and Mei-Chun Chou "I-SEAD: A Secure Routing Protocol for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks" *International Journal of Multimedia Ubiquitous Engineering*, Vol. 3, No. 4, October, 2008, pp 45-54.
- [54] Binod Vaidya, Sang-Soo Yeo, Dong-You Choi and SeungJo Han "Robust and Secure Routing Scheme for Wireless Multihop Networks" *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing* October 2009, Volume 13, Issue 7, pp 457-469.
- [55] Vijay Banga, Dinesh Kumar and Y.S Brar, "Multicast Optimization Techniques using Best Effort Genetic Algorithms" *WCSET 2009: World Congress on Science, Engineering and Technology*, Penang, Malaysia, Feb. 25-27, 2009.
- [56] S Arvind and DR.T. Adilakshmi "Power Aware Routing for Mobile Agent in Ad-hoc Networks" April 2009, *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, © 2005-2009 JATIT, pp 486-492, Vol 5, No 4.
- [57] Ehsan Bagherian and Siavash Khorsandi "ARMAN: A New Anonymous Routing Protocol for Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks", 19 June 2009 *IEEE*
- [58] Dipankar Deb, Srijita Barman Roy and Nabendu Chaki "LACBER: A New Location Aided Routing Protocol for GPS Scarce MANET" *International Journal of Wireless & Mobile Networks (IJWMN)*, Vol 1, No 1, August 2009, pp 22-34.
- [59] K. Saleem, N. Fisal, S. Hafizah, S. Kamilah, and R. A. Rashid "A Self-Optimized Multipath Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks" *International Journal of Recent Trends in Engineering*, Vol 2, No. 1, November 2009, pp 93-97.
- [60] Sharvani G S, Cauvery N K, and Dr. Rangaswamy T "Adaptive Routing Algorithm for MANET: TERMITE" *International Journal of Next-Generation Networks (IJNGN)*, Vol. 1, No. 1, December 2009, pp 38-43
- [61] Eric Rozner, Jayesh Seshadri, Yogita Ashok Mehta and Lili Qiu "SOAR: Simple Opportunistic Adaptive Routing Protocol for Wireless Mesh Networks" *IEEE transactions on mobile computing* December 2009, Vol. 8 No. 12, pp. 1622-1635.
- [62] K. Seshadri Ramana, Dr. A.A. Chari and Prof. N. Kasiviswanath "A Trust-Based Secured Routing Protocol for Mobile Ad hoc Networks", *Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology*. Year 2009, pp 121-126.
- [63] Mahboobeh Abdoos, Karim Faez, and Masoud Sabaei "Position Based Routing Protocol with More Reliability in Mobile Ad Hoc Network" *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 25 2009, pp 304-307.
- [64] Robert D. Poor: "Gradient Routing in Ad Hoc Networks", *MIT Media Laboratory*, 2009.
- [65] J. Abdullah "Design and Performance of QOSRGA Protocol for Mobile Ad hoc Networks" *International Journal of Integrated Engineering (Issue on Electrical and Electronic Engineering)*, Vol 1, No 3, year 2009, pp 19-31.
- [66] Gihan Nagib and Wahied G. Ali "Network Routing Protocol using Genetic Algorithms" *International Journal of Electrical & Computer Sciences IJECS-IJENS* Vol:10 No:02, pp 40-44, March 2010.
- [67] Mamoun Hussein Mamoun "A New Proactive Routing Algorithm for MANET" *International Journal of Academic Research*, Vol. 2, No. 2, March 2010.
- [68] P. Parikh, M. G. Kanabar and T. S. Sidhu "Opportunities and Challenges

- of Wireless Communication Technologies for Smart Grid Applications", *IEEE PES General Meeting*, Minnesota, Jul. 25-29, 2010, USA
- [69] B. Shanthini and S. Swamynathan "Data Security in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks using Genetic Based Biometrics" (IJCSIS) *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security*, Vol. 8, No. 6, September 2010
- [70] Michael Meisel, Vasileios Pappas and Lixia Zhang "Ad Hoc Networking via Named Data" *MobiArch'10*, September 24, 2010, Chicago, Illinois, USA
- [71] Yousra Ahmed Fadil "Routing using Genetic Algorithms for Large Network" *Diyala Journal of Engineering Sciences*, Vol 03, No 02, pp 53-70, December 2010, ISSN :1999-8716.
- [72] Virendra Singh Kushwah and Gaurav Sharma "Implementation of New Routing Protocol for Node Security in a Mobile Ad Hoc Network" *International Journal of Computer Science and Security*, ISSN 1985-1553, Vol 4 Issue 6, December 2010
- [73] J.Arunadevi and Dr.V.Rajamani "Optimized Routing in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks using Evolutionary Location Intelligence" *IJCA Special Issue on " Mobile Ad-hoc Networks "* MANETs, 2010
- [74] Nenad S. Kojić, Marija B. Zajeganović Ivančić, Irini S. Reljin and Branimir D. Reljin "New Algorithm for Packet Routing in Mobile Ad-hoc Networks" *Journal of Automatic Control*, University of Belgrade, Vol. 20: pp 9-16, 2010
- [75] Ronggong Song and Peter C. Mason "ROLSR: A Robust Optimized Link State Routing Protocol for Military Ad-Hoc Networks", pp 1113-1121. The 2010 *Military Communication Conference-Unclassified Program .Networking Protocols and Performance Track, IEEE*
- [76] Rahul Malhotra, Dr R K Bansal and Vikas Gupta, "Simulation & Performance Analysis of Wired and Wireless Computer Networks" *International Journal of Computer Applications* (0975 –8887), Volume 14–No.7, February 2011
- [77] Mahendra Kumar Mishra "A Trustful Routing Protocol for Ad-hoc Network" *Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, Vol 11, Issue 8, Version 1.0, May 2011, ISSN 0975-4172 & Print ISSN 0975-4350
- [78] Arash Dana1, Golnoosh Ghalavand, Azadeh Ghalavand and Fardad Farokhi "A Reliable routing algorithm for Mobile Adhoc Networks based on fuzzy logic" *International Journal of Computer Science Issues*, May 2011, Vol 8, Issue 3, pp 128-133
- [79] Ayon Chakraborty, Swarup Kumar Mitra and Mrinal Kanti Naskar "A Genetic Algorithm Inspired Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks (GROUP)" in June 2011.
- [80] Sidesh G.K, K.N.Muralidhara, Manjula.N.Harihar "Routing in Ad Hoc Wireless Networks using Soft Computing Techniques and Performance Evaluation using Hypernet Simulator", *International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering* (IJSCE) ISSN: 2231-2307, Volume-1, Issue-3, July 2011.
- [81] Lawal Bello, Panos Bakalis, Predrag Rapajic, Kwashie A. Anang and Titus I. Eneh "Adaptive Receiver Power Routing Protocol for Mobile Ad Hoc Wireless Network" *Journal of Communications*, Vol 6, No. 7, October 2011, pp 571-580.
- [82] R.Puspalakshmi, A.Vincent Antony Kumar "A Secure Dominating set Based Routing and Key Management Scheme in Mobile Ad hoc Network" Issue 10, Volume 10, October 2011, ISSN: 1109-2742, *WSEAS Transactions on Communications*
- [83] Dilli Ravilla, V.Sumalatha and Dr Chandra Shekar Reddy Putta "Hybrid Routing Protocols for Ad hoc Wireless Networks" *International Journal of Ad hoc, Sensor & Ubiquitous Computing* (IJASUC) Vol.2, No.4, December 2011.
- [84] Himansha Sharma, Yogendra Kumar Jain and Geetika S. Pandey: "QOS Oriented Reservation Based Routing Mechanisms for Wireless Ad hoc networks" *Journal of Global Research in Computer Sciences Networks*, Volume 2, No.12, December 2011, pp 22-28
- [85] B. Shanthini and S. Swamynathan "A Secured Authentication System for MANETs using Voice and Fingerprint Biometrics" *European Journal of Scientific Research*, Vol 59, No.4, 2011, pp 533-546. ISSN 1450-216X
- [86] Abdel Ilah Alshabtat, Liang Dong "Low Latency Routing Algorithm for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles Ad-Hoc Networks" :pp 705-711, *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology* 56, 2011.
- [87] Zhongwei Zhang "A Novel Secure Routing Protocol for MANETs" : Wang, Xin, (ed.) *Mobile Ad-hoc Networks: Protocol Design. In Tech*, Rijeka, Croatia, pp. 455-466. ISBN 978-953-307-402-3.
- [88] Reinaldo Bezerra Braga and Hervé Martin "Understanding Geographic Routing in Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks, GEOProcessing" *The Third International Conference on Advanced Geographic Information Systems, Applications, and Services*, pp 17-22, IARIA, 2011. ISBN: 978-1-61208-118-2
- [89] Anjum A. Mohammed and Gihan Nagib "Optimal Routing in Ad-Hoc Network Using Genetic Algorithm" *International Journal of Computer Science & Engineering Survey (IJCSSES)* Vol.3, No.1, February 2012
- [90] Rachid Haboub and Mohammed Ouzzif "Secure and Reliable Routing in Mobile Ad hoc Networks" *International Journal of Computer Science & Engineering Survey (IJCSSES)* Vol.3, No.1, February 2012
- [91] Niraj Kumar and Aseem Gupta "Routing Optimization using Genetic Algorithm in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks" *International Journal of Mobile Ad hoc Network*, Vol.2, Issue 1, Feb 2012, pp 1-6.
- [92] Rajendiran M and Srivatsa S. K "An Improved Routing Algorithm to Enhance Energy Efficiency in Multicast Ad Hoc Networks" *European Journal of Scientific Research (EJSR)* Vol 72, Issue 1, March 2012, pp 97-106.
- [93] K. G. Santhiya and Dr.N.Arumugam "Energy Aware Reliable Routing Protocol (EARRP) for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks Using Bee Foraging Behavior and Ant Colony Optimization" *IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues*, Vol. 9, Issue 2, No 1, March 2012, ISSN (Online): 1694-0814, pp 171-175.
- [94] K. Sahadevaiah, Prasad Reddy P.V.G.D. and G. Narsimha "A New Security Protocol for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks" *Special Issue of International Journal of Computer Applications* (0975 – 8887) on *Communication Security*, No.3. Mar.2012, pp 9-15.
- [95] Adamu Murtala Zungeru a,n, Li-MinnAng b and KahPhooiSeng "Classical and Swarm Intelligence Based Routing Protocols for Wireless Sensor Networks" *A Survey and Comparison . Journal of Network and Computer Applications* 35 (2012) pp1508–1536, March 2012 Elsevier.
- [96] Kilhung Lee "A Backup Path Routing for Guaranteeing Bandwidth in Mobile Ad hoc Networks for Multimedia Applications" *Journal of Multimedia Tools and Applications*, Vol 57, Issue 2, March 2012, pp 439-451.
- [97] Anju Sharma and Madhavi Sinha "A Differential Evaluation Algorithm for Routing Optimization in Mobile Ad-hoc Networks:" *International Journal of Computer Science and Network (IJCSN)*, Volume 1, Issue 4, August 2012, ISSN 2277-5420, pp 109-115
- [98] Vikas Siwach, Dr. Yudhvir Singh, Seema Dheer and Dhawaj Barak: "An Approach to Optimize QOS Routing Protocol Using Genetic Algorithm in MANET" *International Conference on Emerging engineering Trends and Management*, September 2012
- [99] Snehal Sarangi and Biju Thankchan "A Novel Routing Algorithm for Wireless Sensor Network Based on Particle Swarm Optimization" *International Journal of Research in Engineering, IT and Social Sciences IJREISS* Volume 2, Issue 11, November 2012, ISSN: 2250-0588, pp 277-286.
- [100] J.Suresh Kumar and E.Babu Raj "Genetic Algorithm Based Multicast Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks-A Research Framework" *International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)* Volume 2, Issue 6, December 2012, ISSN : 2277 -3754, pp 240-246.
- [101] R.Vadivel and B.Narasimhan "Reliable Geographic Routing Protocol (RGRP) towards Improving Quality of Service (QoS) in Heterogeneous Mobile Ad Hoc Networks" *International Journal of Computer Applications* (0975 – 8887) Volume 60, No.15, December 2012, pp 43-48
- [102] Khaja Anwar Ali Siddiqui and Yousuf Khan Afroz "Minimum Delay Routing Protocol with Enhanced Multimedia Transmission over Heterogeneous MANETs" *Trends in Innovative Computing 2012 - Intelligent Systems Design*.