Microorganisms Isolated from Surgical Wounds Infection and Treatment with Different Natural Products and Medications

Amany S. Youssef, Suzan A.M. El Feky, Samy A. El-Asser, and Rasha A.M. Abd Allah

Abstract—Surgical site infections (SSIs) are the most common nosocomial infection in surgical patients resulting in significant increases in postoperative morbidity and mortality. The commonly causative bacteria developed resistance to virtually all antibiotics available. The aim of this study was to isolation and identification the most common bacteria that cause SSIs in Medical Research Institute, and to compare their sensitivity to selected group of antibiotics and natural products (garlic, oregano, olive, and *Nigella sativa* oils). The isolated pathogens collected from infected surgical wounds were identified, and their sensitivities to the antibiotics commonly available for clinical use, and also to the different concentrations of the used natural products were investigated. The results indicate to the potential therapeutic effect of the tested natural products in treatment of surgical wound infections.

Keywords—Surgical wounds, multi-resistant bacteria, bacterial sensitivity, natural oils.

I. INTRODUCTION

C URGICAL site infections (SSIs) are the most common Dnosocomial infection in surgical patients, accounting for 38% of all infections. They are a significant source of postoperative morbidity resulting in longer hospitalization, increased cost, and increased incidence of postoperative mortality [1]. Most SSIs are contaminated by the patient's own endogenous flora which is present on the skin, mucous membranes, or hollow viscera. Usual pathogens on skin and surface are Gram-positive cocci, mucosal mainly Staphylococcus aureus [2]. However, Gram-negative bacteria can contaminate skin wounds of the groin and perineal areas. The contaminating pathogens in gastrointestinal surgeries are the intrinsic bowel flora, which include Gram-negative bacilli and Gram-positive microbes, including enterococci and anaerobic organisms [3]. The most common microbiological cause of nosocomial infection is Gram-negative bacteria, Escherichia coli, Proteus mirabilis, and other members of family known as Enterobacteriaceae [4]. They spread via fecal contamination, instruments and other surfaces. Other

Suzan A.M. El Feky is with the Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt.

Gram-negative bacteria include members of the genera Pseudomonas and Acinetobacter [5], [6]. In general, nosocomial infections are more serious and dangerous than community-acquired infections. The most important factor responsible for the severity of nosocomial infections is that the causative bacteria are usually resistant to many antibiotics in common use [7]. In addition, poor health state which impairs the immune defenses and the use of invasive devices increase the vulnerability to and severity of nosocomial infections [8]. The search for new compounds which are effective for eradication of nosocomial infections is still going on. This was encouraging to investigate the effect of some natural products (garlic, oregano, olive, and Nigella sativa oils) with known antibacterial activity [9]-[12] for treatment of surgical wound infections caused by different types of bacteria commonly responsible for nosocomial infections.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Sample Collection and Preparation

Surgical wound swabs were collected from postoperative contaminated wounds from twenty patients in the Department of Surgery, Medical Research Institute, Alexandria University, Egypt, before the use of postoperative antibiotics. The written consent was obtained from all patients before starting the study. To identify the isolated pathogens, each swab was subjected to gram staining, and culturing on basal medium agar, blood agar, and MacConkey agar. Also, a series of biochemical reactions was applied (catalase test, citrate test, indole test, coagulase test, urease test, motility testing, and triple sugar iron agar).

B. Isolated Microorganisms

In the present work, the bacterial species isolated from surgical wound infections were Gram-negative bacilli (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella* spp., and *Acinetobacter* spp), and Gram-positive cocci (*Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus faecalis*).

C. Antibiotics Sensitivity Testing

Mueller-Hinton agar was used for determination of antibiotic sensitivity patterns by applying Bauer-Kirby technique [13]. A sterile cotton tipped swab was dipped and drained in the test culture, and streaked evenly over the prepared Mueller-Hinton agar plates dried at 37°C for 30min before use. The plates were allowed to dry for 5min, then

Amany S. Youssef is with the Department of Botany and Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt (Corresponding author to provide phone: 00201222835093, e-mail: amanyyoussef70@yahoo.com).

Samy A. El-Asser and Rasha A.M. Abd Allah are with the Department of Botany and Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt.

using a fine point forceps, the filter paper discs containing standard quantity of antibiotics to be tested were distributed on the plates, pressing each disc down firmly. The plates were immediately incubated at 37°C overnight, then the diameters of zones of growth inhibition around the antibiotic discs to which the organism being tested were measured in millimeter using metric rulers viewing from the back of the Petri-dish. Each isolate was kept in glycerol broth 10% at - 20°C until further processing with natural products. For Gram-positive bacteria (Staphylococcus aureus, and Enterococcus faecalis), the tested antibiotics were vancomycin (30µg/disc), levofloxacin (5µg/disc), ciprofloxacin (5µg/disc), ofloxacin (5µg/disc), penicillin G (10µg/disc), imipenem (10µg/disc), cefepime (30µg/disc), meropenem (10µg/disc), ceftriaxone (30µg/disc), ceftazidime (30µg/disc), aztreonam (30µg/disc), piperacillin (100µg/disc), cefuroxime sodium (30µg/disc), piperacillin/tazoabctam (110µg/disc), amoxicillin/clavulanic gentamicin acid (30µg/disc), amikacin $(30\mu g/disc),$ (10µg/disc), azithromycin (15µg/disc), chloramphnicol (30µg/disc), gatifloxcin-oxacillin (1µg/disc), cefoperazone (75µg/disc). For Gram-negative bacteria (Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter spp., and Klebsilla spp.), the tested antibiotics were penicillin G (10µg/disc), imipenem (10µg/disc), cefepime (30µg/disc), meropenem (10µg/disc), ceftriaxone (30µg/disc), ceftazidime (30µg/disc), aztreonam $(30\mu g/disc),$ piperacillin (100µg/disc), cefuroxime piperacillin/tazoabctam $(30\mu g/disc),$ $(110\mu g/disc),$ amoxicillin/ acid amikacin clavulanic $(30\mu g/disc),$ (30µg/disc), gentamicin (10µg/disc), ciprofloxacin (5µg/disc), ofloxacin (5µg/disc), chloramphenicol (30µg/disc).

D. Processing of Pure Oils

Garlic, oregano, olive, and *Nigella sativa* pure oils were obtained from herbal drug shops. The oils were diluted using ethylene glycol to the concentrations of 30 and 70% (v/v). Also, concentrations of 100% (without dilution) were tested. Disc susceptibility testing was carried out. Sterile filter paper discs of 6mm diameter were immersed in solutions of different concentrations of the tested oils. Sterile filter paper discs were placed aseptically over the Mueller-Hinton agar of bacterial cultures and incubated at 37°C, and the diameters of inhibition zones were measured after 24h, and 2, and 3 days. A disc soaked in ethylene glycol as negative control.

III. RESULTS

A. Identification of the Isolated Pathogens

The isolated pathogens collected from infected surgical wound were cultured on blood and MacConkey agar to identify Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. MacConkey agar is selective and differential media for Gram negative bacteria because its contain crystal violet which is inhibitory to Gram positive. Klebsiella spp. formed typical red colonies indicating fermentation of lactose and acid production on MacConkey agar, and on blood agar, mediumsize, grev colonies. Pseudomonas aeruginosa formed medium size grey or bluish colonies on blood agar. In area of confluent growth the colonies and agar dark due to production of pigments pyoverdin and pyocyanin, and MacConkey agar showed non-lactose fermenting colonies with yellow-green pigment in medium. Acinetobacter spp. formed small, grey, smooth colonies that caused no alternation of the blood was observed when grown on blood agar, on MacConkey agar the pale color, indicating the absence of lactose. Staphylococcus aureus forms medium-sized, raised, glistening colonies. The colonies are pigmented and the color varied from grey-white to golden yellow. Yellow haloes will surrounded colonies of pathogenic Staphylococcus aureus due to acid formation. Enterococcus faecalis formed pinpoint small, smooth, round, white, entire colonies on blood agar. Gram stain was also used for identification of the isolated bacteria. Microscopically, Gram-positive bacteria (Enterococcus faecalis, and Staphylococcus aureus) appear purple, while Gram-negative bacteria appear red (Acinetobacter spp, Klebsiella spp, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa).

B. Antibiotic Sensitivity of Isolated Bacterial Strains

Most isolated *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella*, *Staphylococcus aureus* strains were found to be multi-resistant to the examined antibiotics (Tables I-V).

C. Antibacterial Activity of Natural Products against Isolated Pathogens

The *in vitro* antibacterial activities of olive, oregano, garlic, and *Nigella sativa* oils in different concentrations (30, 70, and 100%), were tested against the Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria isolated from surgical wound infections. The results obtained are shown in Tables VI-IX.

	AN	FIBIOTIC	Sensitiv	'ITY OF ISOLATE	D PSEUDOMONAL	S AERUGINO	$OSA (\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E})$	ESISTANT,	S = SENS	SITIVE, I :	= INTERN	MEDIATE)		
N <u>o</u> of	Amik	Cefu	Gent	Pipracillin-	Amoxicillin-	Imipen	Ceftazi	Ceftr	Cefo	Mer	Pipr	Ciprofl	Aztr	Cefepi
Isolated	acin	roxi	amic	Tazobacta	Clavulanic	em	dime	iaxon	peraz	open	acilli	oxacin	eona	me
Pathogen		me	in	m	acid			e	one	em	n		m	
N <u>o</u> 6	Ι	R	R	S	R	S	S	R	S	R	R	R	S	Ι
N <u>o</u> 8	Ι	R	R	S	R	S	S	R	S	R	R	R	S	Ι
N <u>o</u> 9	Ι	R	R	S	R	S	S	R	S	R	R	R	S	Ι
N <u>o</u> 12	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	Ι
N <u>o</u> 13	S	R	Ι	S	R	S	S	Ι	S	S	S	S	S	S
No 15	S	R	R	S	R	S	S	R	R	R	R	R	S	R

International Journal of Medical, Medicine and Health Sciences ISSN: 2517-9969 Vol:7, No:6, 2013

			ANTIBIC	TIC SENSITIV	TTY OF ISOL	ATED ACL	TABL Netobac		TRAINS (R = RESI	STANT. S	= Sensi	TIVE)			
N <u>o</u> of Isolated Pathogen	Ami kacin	Cefu roxi me	Gent amic in	Pipracilli n- Tazobact	Amoxicillin -Clavulanic acid	ı Im	Cefta zidi me	Ceftr iaxo ne	Cefo peraz one	Chlo ramp henic	Peni cillin G	Merop enem	Pipra cillin	Cipr oflox acin	Aztr eona m	Cefe pime
1 attiogen		me	III	am	aciu	m	me	lic	one	ol	U			aciii		
N <u>o</u> 3	S	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
N <u>o</u> 4 No 11	S S	R R	R R	R R	R R	S S	R R	R R	R R	R R	R R	R R	R R	R R	R R	R R
11 <u>0</u> 11	5	K	ĸ	K	K	5	K	ĸ	ĸ	ĸ	K	K	K	K	K	K
		Δ.	NTIDIOTIC	SENSITIVITY	OF THE ISOL	ATED KI	TABL		DECISTA	NT $S = S$	ENGTEINE		T DONE)			
No of	Ami	Cefu	Gent	Pipracilli	Amoxicil	Imip	Ceft	Ceftri	Cefop	Chlor	Peni	Mero	Pipra	Ciprof	f Aztr	Cefe
Isolated	kaci	roxi	amic	n-	lin-	enem	azid	axone	erazo	amph	cillin	pene	cillin	loxaci		pime
Pathogen	n	me	in	Tazobact am	Clavulani c acid		ime		ne	enicol	G	m		n	am	
N <u>o</u> 7	S	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	S	×	S	R	R	R	R
N <u>o</u> 10 No 16	S S	R R	R R	R R	R R	S S	R R	R R	R R	S S	×	S S	R R	R R	R R	R R
N <u>o</u> 16 N <u>o</u> 20	S	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	S	× ×	S	R	R	R	R
		ANTIB	IOTIC SEN	SITIVITY OF I	SOLATED STA	PHYLOCO	TABL DCCUS AU		= RESIST	ANT, $S = S$	Sensitiv	E, $I = IN^2$	FERMEDI	ATE)		
N <u>o</u> of	Ami	Cefu	Pipracil			Ceftri	Cefop		Peni	Mero	Pipr	Cipro	Aztr	Cefe	Oflo	Oxac
Isolated	kaci	roxi	n-	lin-	. enem	axone	erazo	amph	cillin	pene	acill	floxa	eona	pime	xacin	illin
Pathogen	n	me	Tazoba am	ct Clavula c acid			ne	enicol	G	m	in	cin	m			
N <u>o</u> 14	S	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	Ι	R	R	Ι	R
N <u>o</u> 17 No 18	S S	R R	R R	R R	R S	R R	R R	S S	R R	R S	R R	R R	R R	R R	R R	R R
N <u>o</u> 19	S	R	R	R	S	R	R	S	R	R	R	I	R	R	I	R
N <u>o</u> of Isolated Pathogen	Ami kacin	Cefu roxi me	Gent amic in	ENSITIVITY OI Pipracillin- Tazobacta m	Imip	Chlora npheni col	Peni cillin G	Mero pene m	Pipra cillin	Cipr oflox acin	Aztr eona m	Cefe pime	Levo floxa cin	Vanc omy cin	Gatifl oxaci n	Azithr omyci n
N <u>o</u> 3	×	×	×	×	R	S	R	×	×	R	×	R	R	S	R	R
N <u>o</u> 5	×	×	×	×	R	S	R	×	×	R	×	R	R	S	R	R
			ILUTIONS	LE VI OF OLIVE OI	A CAINET D						TA	ABLE V	III			
Pathoge Isolate			2 30 %	THE MEAN D DNES 70%	IAMETERS OI	F INHIBIT Ethylen glycol	ION ne	ISOLA Co Pathogen Isolate	ncentrati	ACTERIA,	ДАТА А 30 ч	RE THE M ZONES % 70	MEAN DI. 0% 1		OF INHIB Ethylene glycol	
Isolate Aci	inetobacte	r spp	2 30 % Nil	70% 38	IAMETERS OI 100% 46	F INHIBIT Ethylen glycol Nil	ION ne	ISOLA Co Pathoger Isolate Acir	ncentration	ACTERIA,	ДАТА А 30 ч 37	RE THE M ZONES % 70	MEAN DI. 0% 1 27	AMETERS 00% 43	OF INHIB Ethylene glycol Nil	
Isolate Acia Enter	en	r spp aecalis	2 30 %	70% 70% 38 17 34	IAMETERS OF 100% 46 13 25	F INHIBIT Ethylen glycol Nil Nil Nil	ION ne	ISOLA Pathogen Isolate Acir Entere	ncentrati	ACTERIA, on/disc er spp faecalis	ДАТА А 30 ч	RE THE Market M	MEAN DL 0% 1 27 43 2 32	AMETERS	OF INHIB Ethylene glycol	
Isolate Acia Enter Staph Pseudo	inetobacter rococcus fa pylococcus pmonas ae	r spp aecalis aureus ruginosa	2 30 % Nil > 46 27 > 46	70% 70% 38 17 34 >46	100% 100% 46 13 25 > 46	Ethylen glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil	ION ne	ISOLA Co Pathoger Isolate Acin Enter Staphy Pseudor	ncentration netobacte pococcus j plococcus monas ae	ACTERIA, on/disc er spp faecalis s aureus eruginosa	DATA A 30 37 Ni 29 > 4	RE THE N ZONES % 70 7 2 7 2 1 > 3 >	MEAN DL 0% 1 27 43 32 43 23 43 23	AMETERS 00% 43 > 43 25 > 43	OF INHIB Ethylene glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil	
Isolate Acia Enter Staph Pseudo	inetobacter rococcus fa rylococcus	r spp aecalis aureus ruginosa	2 30 % Nil > 46 27	70% 70% 38 17 34	IAMETERS OF 100% 46 13 25	F INHIBIT Ethylen glycol Nil Nil Nil	ION ne	ISOLA Co Pathoger Isolate Acin Enter Staphy Pseudor	ncentration netobacte pococcus j	ACTERIA, on/disc er spp faecalis s aureus eruginosa	DATA A 30 37 Ni 29	RE THE N ZONES % 70 7 2 7 2 1 > 3 >	MEAN DL 0% 1 27 43 32 43 23 43 23	AMETERS 00% 43 > 43 25	OF INHIB Ethylene glycol Nil Nil Nil	
Isolate Aci. Enter Staph Pseudo K	n inetobacted rococcus fa ylococcus omonas ael (lebsiella s	r spp aecalis aureus ruginosa spp	2 30 % Nil > 46 27 > 46 20 TAB	70% 70% 38 17 34 >46	100% 46 13 25 > 46 27	Ethylen glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil	ION le	ISOLA Pathoger Isolate Acin Entero Staphy Pseudor K	res of B ncentratiin netobacte pococcus j vlococcus monas ae lebsiella	ACTERIA, on/disc er spp faecalis s aureus eruginosa spp	DATA A 30 ' 37 Ni 29 > 4 > 4 > 4	RE THE N ZONES 2000 70 2000 20 200	MEAN DI. 27 43 32 43 243 232 X	AMETERS 00% 43 > 43 25 > 43	OF INHIB Ethylene glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil	ITION
Isolate Aci. Enter Staph Pseudo K EFFECTS ISOLATI	inetobacter rococcus fa ylococcus omonas aet (lebsiella s s OF DIFFEI ES OF BAC	r spp aecalis aureus ruginosa spp rent Dil teria, D	2 30 % Nil > 46 27 > 46 20 TAB .UTIONS O	70% 70% 38 17 34 > 46 29 LE VII	IAMETERS OI 100% 46 13 25 > 46 27 DIL AGAINST	Ethyler glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil	ION Ie	ISOLA Pathoger Isolate Acin Entero Staphy Pseudoo Ki	res of B ncentratin netobacte polococcus j volococcus nonas ae lebsiella	ACTERIA, on/disc er spp faecalis s aureus eruginosa spp DIFFERE	DATA A 30 ⁻ 37 Ni 29 > 4 > 4 X T NT DILU F BACTE	RE THE N ZONES % 70 1 >> 3 >> 3 >> CABLE E FIONS OF RIA, DAT	MEAN DI. 0% 1 27 43 32 43 23 43 23 43 23 43 23 43 23 43 23 43 25 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 4	AMETERS 00% 43 > 43 25 > 43 > 43 > 43	OF INHIB Ethylene glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil	ITION
Isolate Aci. Enter Staph Pseudo K EFFECTS ISOLATI Concen Pathogen	inetobacter rococcus fa pylococcus omonas aer Clebsiella s G OF DIFFER ES OF BAC htration/dis	r spp aecalis aureus ruginosa spp rent Dil teria, D	2 30 % Nil > 46 27 > 46 20 TAB .UTIONS O	70% 38 17 34 > 46 29 LE VII F OREGANO O	100% 46 13 25 > 46 27 DIL AGAINST IAMETERS O	Ethylen glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil PATHOGI INHIBIT Ethy	ION Ie	ISOLA Pathoger Isolate Acin Entero Staphy Pseudoo Ki	TES OF B ncentratiin netobacte pococcus j vlococcus monas ae lebsiella FECTS OF GENIC ISU Conc	ACTERIA, on/disc er spp faecalis s aureus eruginosa spp DIFFERE	DATA A 30 37 Ni 29 > 4 > 4 T T NT DILU F BACTE INHII	RE THE I ZONES ZONES % 70 ZONES ZONES ZONES ZONES ZON	MEAN DI. 0% 1 27 43 32 43 23 43 23 43 23 43 23 43 23 43 23 43 25 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 4	AMETERS 00% 43 > 43 25 > 43 > 43 > 43 <i>sativa</i> O	OF INHIB Ethylene glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil	ST ERS OF ene
Isolate Acia Enter Staph Pseudo K EFFECTS ISOLATI Concen Pathogen Isolate Acineto	inetobacte: rocococus fi rylococcus monas ae: (lebsiella s G OF DIFFEI ES OF BAC atration/dis	r spp aecalis aureus ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa ruginosa	30 % Nil > 46 27 > 46 20 TAB UUTIONS O VATA ARE 30 % 40	70% 38 17 34 > 46 29 LE VII F OREGANO O THE MEAN D 70% 33 34 34 34 36 29	IAMETERS OI 100% 46 13 25 > 46 27 DIL AGAINST IAMETERS OF 6 100% 26	Ethyler glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil PATHOGI INHIBIT	ENIC ION ylene ycol	ISOLA Pathogen Isolate Acir Entere Staphy Pseudoo Kr PATHOO Patho Isola	TES OF B ncentratii n n n n n n n n n n n n n	ACTERIA, on/disc er spp faecalis s aureus eruginosa spp DIFFERE OLATES C entration.	DATA A 30 37 Ni 29 > 4 > 4 T T NT DILU F BACTE INHII	RE THE N ZONES % 70 7 2 1 > 0 3 > 3 3 > 2 7 ABLE E FIONS OF RIA, DAT BITION Z 30 %	MEAN DI 0% 1 27 43 32 43 243 243 243 243 243 2	AMETERS 00% 43 > 43 25 > 43 > 43 > 43 <i>sativa</i> O HE MEAN 100%	OF INHIB Ethylene glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil OIL AGAIN DIAMET Ethyl glyc	ST ERS OF ene ol
Isolate Acia Enter Staph Pseudo EFFECTS ISOLATI Concen Pathogen Isolate Acineta Enteroco	in inetobacte: rocococus fi rylococcus monas ae: Clebsiella s G OF DIFFEI ES OF BAC tration/dis obacter sp. occus faeco	r spp aecalis aureus ruginosa pp RENT DIL TERIA, D rc	2 30 % Nil > 46 27 > 46 20 TAB .UTIONS 0 ATA ARE 30 % 40 37	70% 38 17 34 > 46 29 29 LE VII F OREGANO (THE MEAN D 709 30 34 <t< td=""><td>IAMETERS OF 100% 46 13 25 > 46 27 DIL AGAINST IAMETERS OF 6 100% 26 28</td><td>Ethylen glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil PATHOGI TINHIBIT Eth gl</td><td>ENIC ION ylene ycol Vil</td><td>ISOLA Pathogen Isolate Acir Enter Staphy Pseudon Kn EFF PATHO Patho Isola</td><td>res of B ncentratiin netobacte pococcus pococus pococ</td><td>ACTERIA, on/disc er spp faecalis s aureus rruginosa spp DIFFERE OLATES C entration. acter spp</td><td>DATA A 30 ' 37 Ni 29 > 4 > 4 > 4 T T NT DILU' F BACTE INHII /disc</td><td>RE THE N ZONES % 70 7 2 1 > 0 3 > 3 3 > 2 7 ABLE E FIONS OF RIA, DAT BITION Z 30 % Nil</td><td>MEAN DI. 0% 1 27 43 32 43 243 243 243 243 243 2</td><td>AMETERS 00% 43 > 43 25 > 43 > 43 > 43 SATIVA O HE MEAN 100% 32</td><td>OF INHIB Ethylene glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil DIL AGAIN I DIAMET Ethyl glyc</td><td>ST ST ERS OF ene ol</td></t<>	IAMETERS OF 100% 46 13 25 > 46 27 DIL AGAINST IAMETERS OF 6 100% 26 28	Ethylen glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil PATHOGI TINHIBIT Eth gl	ENIC ION ylene ycol Vil	ISOLA Pathogen Isolate Acir Enter Staphy Pseudon Kn EFF PATHO Patho Isola	res of B ncentratiin netobacte pococcus pococus pococ	ACTERIA, on/disc er spp faecalis s aureus rruginosa spp DIFFERE OLATES C entration. acter spp	DATA A 30 ' 37 Ni 29 > 4 > 4 > 4 T T NT DILU' F BACTE INHII /disc	RE THE N ZONES % 70 7 2 1 > 0 3 > 3 3 > 2 7 ABLE E FIONS OF RIA, DAT BITION Z 30 % Nil	MEAN DI. 0% 1 27 43 32 43 243 243 243 243 243 2	AMETERS 00% 43 > 43 25 > 43 > 43 > 43 SATIVA O HE MEAN 100% 32	OF INHIB Ethylene glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil DIL AGAIN I DIAMET Ethyl glyc	ST ST ERS OF ene ol
Isolate Acia Enter Staph Pseudo EFFECTS ISOLATI Concen Pathogen Isolate Acinetic Enteroco Staphyloc	inetobacte: rocococus fi rylococcus monas ae: (lebsiella s G OF DIFFEI ES OF BAC atration/dis	r spp aecalis aureus ruginosa pp RENT DIL TERIA, D rc	30 % Nil > 46 27 > 46 20 TAB UUTIONS O MATA ARE 30 % 40 37 27	70% 38 17 34 > 46 29 LE VII F OREGANO C THE MEAN D 70% 30 34 29	IAMETERS OI 100% 46 13 25 > 46 27 DIL AGAINST IAMETERS OF 6 100% 26 28 32	Ethyler glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil PATHOGI INHIBIT Ethy gly N	ENIC ION Vilene Vil Vil Vil	ISOLA Pathage Isolat Acin Enter Staphy Pseudoo Kn EFFI PATHOO Patho Isola	res of B ncentration netobacte pococcus y pococcus y pococcus monas aa hebsiella FECTS OF GENIC ISO Conco ogen te Acinetob	ACTERIA, on/disc er spp faecalis s aureus eruginosa spp DIFFERE OLATES C entration.	DATA A 30 ' 37 Ni 25 > 4 > 4 > 4 T T T T T T DILU' F BACTE INHII 'disc	RE THE N ZONES % 70 7 2 1 > 0 3 > 3 3 > 2 7 ABLE E FIONS OF RIA, DAT BITION Z 30 %	MEAN DI 0% 1 27 43 32 43 243 243 243 243 243 2	AMETERS 00% 43 > 43 25 > 43 > 43 > 43 <i>sativa</i> O HE MEAN 100%	OF INHIB Ethylene glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil OIL AGAIN DIAMET Ethyl glyc	ST ERS OF
Isolate Aci, Enter Staph, Pseudo K EFFECTS IsoLATI Concen Pathogen Isolate Acineto Enteroco Staphyloc Pseudo	in inetobacte: rococcus fi ylococcus monas aex Clebsiella s G OF DIFFEI ES OF BAC trration/dis obacter sp occus faec coccus aur	r spp aecalis aureus ruginosa pp RENT DIL TERIA, D rc	2 30 % Nil > 46 27 > 46 20 TAB .UTIONS 0 ATA ARE 30 % 40 37	70% 38 17 34 > 46 29 29 LE VII F OREGANO (THE MEAN D 709 30 34 <t< td=""><td>IAMETERS OF 100% 46 13 25 > 46 27 DIL AGAINST IAMETERS OF 6 100% 26 28 32 0 > 40</td><td>Ethyler glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Ethy gly N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N</td><td>ENIC ION ylene ycol Vil</td><td>ISOLA Co Pathogei Isolate Aciti Enter Staphy Pseudon K Pathon Isola En Sta</td><td>TES OF B Incentration Interobacte procecus y procecus y procecus monas action debsiella FECTS OF GENIC Iso Conc ogen te Actinetob therecoccus phylococus</td><td>ACTERIA, on/disc rr spp faecalis s aureus rruginosa spp DIFFERE DLATES C entration. acter spp rus faecar s aerugin</td><td>DATA A 30 ' 37 Ni 29 > 4 > 4 T T NT DILU' F BACTE INHII 'disc</td><td>RE THE N ZONES % 70 7 2 1 > <math>7 3</math> > <math>3 3</math> > 3 > <math>3 3</math> > 3 > <math>3 3</math> > <math>3 3</math> > 3 ></td><td>MEAN DI 0% 1 27 43 2 32 43 2 43 2 43 2 43 2 X <i>NIGELLA</i> FA ARE T ONES 70% 44 31</td><td>AMETERS 00% 43 > 43 25 > 43 25 > 43 > 43 <i>SATIVA</i> O HE MEAN 100% 32 5</td><td>OF INHIB Ethylene glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil OIL AGAIN DIL AGAIN DIL AGAIN DIL AGAIN DIL AGAIN DIL AGAIN DIL MET DIAMET</td><td>ST ERS OF ene ol</td></t<>	IAMETERS OF 100% 46 13 25 > 46 27 DIL AGAINST IAMETERS OF 6 100% 26 28 32 0 > 40	Ethyler glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Ethy gly N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	ENIC ION ylene ycol Vil	ISOLA Co Pathogei Isolate Aciti Enter Staphy Pseudon K Pathon Isola En Sta	TES OF B Incentration Interobacte procecus y procecus y procecus monas action debsiella FECTS OF GENIC Iso Conc ogen te Actinetob therecoccus phylococus	ACTERIA, on/disc rr spp faecalis s aureus rruginosa spp DIFFERE DLATES C entration. acter spp rus faecar s aerugin	DATA A 30 ' 37 Ni 29 > 4 > 4 T T NT DILU' F BACTE INHII 'disc	RE THE N ZONES % 70 7 2 1 > 73 > 3 > 33 > 3 > 33 > 33 > 3 > 33 > 3 > 33 > 3 > 33 > 3 > 33 > 3 > 33 > 3 > 33 > 3 > 33 > 3 > 33 > 3 > 33 > 3 > 33 > 3 > 3 > 33 > 3 > 3 > 33 > 3 > 3 > 33 > 3 >	MEAN DI 0% 1 27 43 2 32 43 2 43 2 43 2 43 2 X <i>NIGELLA</i> FA ARE T ONES 70% 44 31	AMETERS 00% 43 > 43 25 > 43 25 > 43 > 43 <i>SATIVA</i> O HE MEAN 100% 32 5	OF INHIB Ethylene glycol Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil OIL AGAIN DIL AGAIN DIL AGAIN DIL AGAIN DIL AGAIN DIL AGAIN DIL MET DIAMET	ST ERS OF ene ol

IV. CONCLUSION

The natural oils used in the present work (garlic, oregano, olive, and *Nigella sativa* oils) were effective against the pathogenic bacteria isolated from surgical wound infections.

International Journal of Medical, Medicine and Health Sciences ISSN: 2517-9969 Vol:7, No:6, 2013

Therefore, these oils represent feasible candidates to treat these infections which are usually multi-resistant to commonly used antibiotics.

References

- D.L. Malone, T. Genuit, J.K. Tracy, C. Gannon, L.M. Napolitano, "Surgical site infection: reanalysis of risk factors," *J. Surg. Res.*, vol. 103, pp. 89-95, 2002.
- [2] W. Bereket, K. Hemalatha, B. Getenet, T. Wondwossen, A. Solomon, A. Zeynudin, S. Kannan, "Update on bacterial nosocomial infections," *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.*, vol. 16, pp. 1039-1044, 2012.
- [3] A. Röhrborn, H. Wacha, U. Schöffel, A. Billing, P. Aeberhard, B. Gebhard, I. Böcker, V. Schäfer, C. Ohmann, "Coverage of enterococci in community acquired secondary peritonitis: results of a randomized trial," *Surg. Infect. (Larchmt)*, vol. 1, pp. 95-107, 2000.
- [4] R. Podschun, H. Acktun, J. Okpara, O. Linderkamp, U. Ullmann, M. Borneff-Lipp, "Isolation of *Klebsiella planticola* from newborns in a neonatal ward," *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, Vol. 36, pp. 2331-2332, 1998.
- [5] H. Fazeli, R. Akbari, S. Moghim, T. Narimani, M.R. Arabestani, A.R. Ghoddousi, "Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections in patients, hospital means, and personnel's specimens," *J. Res. Med. Sci.*, vol. 17, pp. 332-337, 2012.
- [6] K. Rit, R. Saha R, "Multidrug-resistant acinetobacter infection and their susceptibility patterns in a tertiary care hospital," *Niger. Med. J.*, vol. 53, pp. 126-128, 2012.
 [7] D.R. Schaberg, D.H. Culver, R.P. Gaynes, "Major trends in the
- [7] D.R. Schaberg, D.H. Culver, R.P. Gaynes, "Major trends in the microbial etiology of nosocomial infection," Am. J. Med., vol. 91, pp. 72S-75S, 1991.
- [8] R. Schwarzkopf, T.A. Russell, M. Shea, J.D. Slover, "Correlation between nutritional status and Staphylococcus colonization in hip and knee replacement patients," *Bull. N.Y.U. Hosp. Jt. Dis.*, vol. 69, pp. 308-311, 2011.
- [9] H.M. El-Fatatry, "Isolation and structure assignment of an antimicrobial principle from the volatile oil of *Nigella sativa* L. seeds," *Pharmazie*, vol. 30, pp. 109-111, 1975.
- [10] R. Di Pasqua, V. De Feo, F. Villani, G. Mauriello, "In vitro antimicrobial activity of essential oils from Mediterranean apiaceae, Verbenaceae and Lamiaceae against food borne pathogens and spoilage bacteria," Ann. Microbiol., vol. 55, pp. 139-143, 2005.
- [11] S. Cicerale, L. Lucas, R. Keast, "Biological activities of phenolic compounds present in virgin olive oil," *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, vol. 11, pp. 458-479, 2010.
- [12] A. Ivanova, B. Mikhova, H. Najdenski, I. Tsvetkova, I. Kostova, "Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of wild garlic Allium ursinum of Bulgarian origin," *Nat. Prod. Commun.*, vol. 4, pp. 1059-1062, 2009.
- [13] A.W. Bauer, W.M.M. Kirby, J.C. Sherris, M. Turck, "Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method," Am. J. Clin. Pathol., vol. 36, pp. 493-496, 1966.