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#### Abstract

In this paper, we first give the representation of the general solution of the following inverse eigenvalue problem (IEP): Given $X \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times p}$ and a diagonal matrix $\Lambda \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times p}$, find nontrivial real-valued symmetric arrow-head matrices $A$ and $B$ such that $A X \Lambda=B X$. We then consider an optimal approximation problem: Given real-valued symmetric arrow-head matrices $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \in$ $\mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, find $(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) \in \mathcal{S}_{E}$ such that $\|\hat{A}-\tilde{A}\|^{2}+\|\hat{B}-\tilde{B}\|^{2}=$ $\min _{(A, B) \in \mathcal{S}_{E}}\left(\|A-\tilde{A}\|^{2}+\|B-\tilde{B}\|^{2}\right)$, where $\mathcal{S}_{E}$ is the solution set of IEP. We show that the optimal approximation solution $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$ is unique and derive an explicit formula for it.
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## I. Introduction

THROUGHOUT this paper, we denote the real $m \times n$ matrix space by $\mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$, the set of all symmetric matrices in $\mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ by $\mathbf{S R}^{n \times n}$, the transpose and the MoorePenrose generalized inverse of a real matrix $A$ by $A^{T}$ and $A^{+}$, respectively. $I_{n}$ represents the identity matrix of size $n$. For $A, B \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$, an inner product in $\mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ is defined by $(A, B)=\operatorname{trace}\left(B^{T} A\right)$, then $\mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ is a Hilbert space. The matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ induced by the inner product is the Frobenius norm. Given two matrices $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ and $B=\left[b_{i j}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times q}$, the Kronecker product of $A$ and $B$ is defined by $A \otimes B=\left[a_{i j} B\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{m p \times n q}$. Also, for an $m \times n$ matrix $A=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right]$, where $a_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n$, is the $i$-th column vector of $A$, the stretching function $\operatorname{Vec}(A)$ is defined by $\operatorname{Vec}(A)=\left[a_{1}^{T}, a_{2}^{T}, \cdots, a_{n}^{T}\right]^{T}$.

Definition 1 An $n \times n$ matrix $A$ is called an arrow-head matrix if

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & b_{1} & b_{2} & \cdots & b_{n-1} \\
c_{1} & a_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
c_{2} & 0 & a_{3} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
c_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

If $b_{i}=c_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n-1$, then $A$ is a symmetric arrow-head matrix.
The application background and the computations of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of this kind of matrices can see
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$[1,2,3,4]$. The inverse problem of constructing the symmetric arrow-head matrix from spectral data has been investigated by Peng et al. [5], and Borges et al. [6]. In this paper we will further consider generalized inverse eigenvalue problems for symmetric arrow-head matrices, which can be described as follows:

Problem IEP. Given $X \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times p}$ and a diagonal matrix $\Lambda \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times p}$, find nontrivial real-valued symmetric arrow-head matrices $A$ and $B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A X \Lambda=B X \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Problem II. Given real-valued symmetric arrow-head matrices $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, find $(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) \in \mathcal{S}_{E}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\hat{A}-\tilde{A}\|^{2}+\|\hat{B}-\tilde{B}\|^{2}=\min _{(A, B) \in \mathcal{S}_{E}}\left(\|A-\tilde{A}\|^{2}+\|B-\tilde{B}\|^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{E}$ is the solution set of IEP.
The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, using the Kronecker product and stretching function $\operatorname{Vec}(\cdot)$ of matrices, we give an explicit representation of the solution set $\mathcal{S}_{E}$ of Problem IEP. In Section 3, we show that there exists a unique solution in Problem II and present the expression of the unique solution $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$ of Problem II. Finally, in Section 4, a numerical algorithm to acquire the optimal approximation solution under the Frobenius norm sense is described and a numerical example is provided.

## II. The solution of Problem IEP

To begin with, we introduce two lemmas.
Lemma 1: ${ }^{[7]}$ If $L \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times q}, b \in \mathbf{R}^{m}$, then $L y=b$ has a solution $y \in \mathbf{R}^{q}$ if and only if $L L^{+} b=b$. In this case, the general solution of the equation can be described as $y=$ $L^{+} b+\left(I_{q}-L^{+} L\right) z$, where $z \in \mathbf{R}^{q}$ is an arbitrary vector.

Lemma 2: ${ }^{[8]}$ Let $D \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}, H \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times l}, J \in \mathbf{R}^{l \times s}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Vec}(D H J)=\left(J^{T} \otimes D\right) \operatorname{Vec}(H)
$$

Let $S_{0}$ be the set of all real-valued symmetric arrow-head matrices, then $S_{0}$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbf{S R}^{n \times n}$, and the dimension of $S_{0}$ is $d=2 n-1$.
Define $Y_{i j}$ as

$$
Y_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(e_{i} e_{j}^{T}+e_{j} e_{i}^{T}\right), \quad i=1, \quad j=2, \cdots, n  \tag{3}\\
e_{i} e_{i}^{T}, \quad i=j=1, \cdots, n
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $e_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n$, is the $i$-th column vector of the identity matrix $I_{n}$. It is easy to verify that $\left\{Y_{i j}\right\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of the subspace $S_{0}$, that is,

$$
\left(Y_{i j}, Y_{k l}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, i \neq k \text { or } j \neq l,  \tag{4}\\
1, i=k \text { and } j=l .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, if $A, B \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ are symmetric arrow-head matrices, then $A, B$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum_{i, j} \alpha_{i j} Y_{i j}, B=\sum_{i, j} \beta_{i j} Y_{i j} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the real numbers $\alpha_{i j}, \beta_{i j},\left\{\begin{array}{c}i=1, j=2, \cdots, n ; \\ i=j=1, \cdots, n,\end{array}\right.$ are yet to be determined. Substituting (5) into (1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j} \alpha_{i j} Y_{i j} X \Lambda-\sum_{i, j} \beta_{i j} Y_{i j} X=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha=\left[\alpha_{11}, \cdots, \alpha_{n, n}, \alpha_{12}, \cdots, \alpha_{1, n}\right]^{T}, \\
\beta=\left[\beta_{11}, \cdots, \beta_{n, n}, \beta_{12}, \cdots, \beta_{1, n}\right]^{T}, \\
G=\left[\operatorname{Vec}\left(Y_{11}\right), \cdots, \operatorname{Vec}\left(Y_{n, n}\right),\right. \\
\left.\operatorname{Vec}\left(Y_{12}\right), \cdots, \operatorname{Vec}\left(Y_{1, n}\right)\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{2} \times d} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\left(\Lambda^{T} X^{T} \otimes I_{n}\right) G, N=\left(X^{T} \otimes I_{n}\right) G \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2, we see that the equation of (6) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \alpha-N \beta=0 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that the equation of (9) with unknown vector $\alpha$ has a solution if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{M} N \beta=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{M}=I_{n p}-M M^{+}$. Using Lemma 1 again, we know that the equation of (10) with respect to $\beta$ is always solvable and the general solution to the equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\left(I_{d}-\left(E_{M} N\right)^{+} E_{M} N\right) u, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$ is an arbitrary vector.
Substituting (11) into (9) and applying Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=M^{+} N\left(I_{d}-\left(E_{M} N\right)^{+} E_{M} N\right) u+F_{M} v \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{M}=I_{d}-M^{+} M$, and $v \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$ is an arbitrary vector.
In summary of above discussion, we have proved the following result.

Theorem 1: Suppose that $X \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times p}, \Lambda \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times p}$, and $\Lambda$ is a diagonal matrix. Let $\left\{Y_{i j}\right\}, G, M, N$ be given as in (3), (7) and (8). Write $d=2 n-1, E_{M}=I_{n p}-M M^{+}, F_{M}=$
$I_{d}-M^{+} M$. Then the solution set $\mathcal{S}_{E}$ of Problem IEP can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{S}_{E}=\left\{(A, B) \in \mathbf{S R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbf{S R}^{n \times n}:\right.  \tag{13}\\
& \left.A=K\left(\alpha \otimes I_{n}\right), B=K\left(\beta \otimes I_{n}\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left[Y_{11}, \cdots, Y_{n, n}, Y_{12}, \cdots, Y_{1, n}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n d} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\alpha, \beta$ are, respectively, given by (12) and (11) with $u, v \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$ being arbitrary vectors.

## III. The solution of Problem II

It follows from Theorem 1 that the set $\mathcal{S}_{E}$ is always nonempty. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{S}_{E}$ is a closed convex subset of $\mathbf{S R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbf{S R}^{n \times n}$. From the best approximation theorem [9], we know there exists a unique solution $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$ in $\mathcal{S}_{E}$ such that (2) holds.

We now focus our attention on seeking the unique solution $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$ in $\mathcal{S}_{E}$. For the real-valued symmetric arrow-head matrices $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$, it is easily seen that $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}$ can be expressed as the linear combinations of the orthonormal basis $\left\{Y_{i j}\right\}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}=\sum_{i, j} \gamma_{i j} Y_{i j}, \quad \tilde{B}=\sum_{i, j} \delta_{i j} Y_{i j}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{i j}, \delta_{i j},\left\{\begin{array}{c}i=1, j=2, \cdots, n ; \\ i=j=1, \cdots, n,\end{array}\right.$ are uniquely determined by the elements of $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$. Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
\gamma=\left[\gamma_{11}, \cdots, \gamma_{n, n}, \gamma_{12}, \cdots, \gamma_{1, n}\right]^{T}  \tag{16}\\
\delta=\left[\delta_{11}, \cdots, \delta_{1, n}, \delta_{12}, \cdots, \delta_{1, n}\right]^{T} \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, for any pair of matrices $(A, B) \in \mathcal{S}_{E}$ in (13), by the relations of (4) and (15) we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =\|A-\tilde{A}\|^{2}+\|B-\tilde{B}\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\sum_{i, j}\left(\alpha_{i j}-\gamma_{i j}\right) Y_{i j}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\sum_{i, j}\left(\beta_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\right) Y_{i j}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i, j}\left(\alpha_{i j}-\gamma_{i j}\right) Y_{i j}, \sum_{i, j}\left(\alpha_{i j}-\gamma_{i j}\right) Y_{i j}\right) \\
& +\left(\sum_{i, j}\left(\beta_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\right) Y_{i j}, \sum_{i, j}\left(\beta_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\right) Y_{i j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j}\left(\alpha_{i j}-\gamma_{i j}\right)\left(Y_{i j}, \sum_{i, j}\left(\alpha_{i j}-\gamma_{i j}\right) Y_{i j}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i, j}\left(\beta_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\right)\left(Y_{i j}, \sum_{i, j}\left(\beta_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\right) Y_{i j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j}\left(\alpha_{i j}-\gamma_{i j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i, j}\left(\beta_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\right)^{2} \\
& =\|\alpha-\gamma\|^{2}+\|\beta-\delta\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (11) and (12) into the relation of $f$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =\left\|M^{+} N W u+F_{M} v-\gamma\right\|^{2}+\|W u-\delta\|^{2} \\
& =u^{T} W N^{T}\left(M M^{T}\right)^{+} N W u-2 \gamma^{T} M^{+} N W u \\
& -2 \gamma^{T} F_{M} v+v^{T} F_{M} v+\gamma^{T} \gamma \\
& +u^{T} W u-2 u^{T} W \delta+\delta^{T} \delta,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $W=I_{d}-\left(E_{M} N\right)^{+} E_{M} N$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}= & 2 W N^{T}\left(M M^{T}\right)^{+} N W u \\
& -2 W N^{T}\left(M^{+}\right)^{T} \gamma+2 W u-2 W \delta, \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}= & 2 F_{M} v-2 F_{M} \gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\|A-\tilde{A}\|^{2}+\|B-\tilde{B}\|^{2}=\min$ if and only if

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}=0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}=0
$$

which yields
$W u=\left(I_{d}+W N^{T}\left(M M^{T}\right)^{+} N W\right)^{-1} W\left(\delta+N^{T}\left(M^{+}\right)^{T} \gamma\right)$,

Upon substituting (18) and (19) into (11) and (12), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{\alpha}=M^{+} N W\left(I_{d}+W N^{T}\left(M M^{T}\right)^{+} N W\right)^{-1} \\
W\left(\delta+N^{T}\left(M^{+}\right)^{T} \gamma\right)+F_{M} \gamma, \\
\hat{\beta}=W\left(I_{d}+W N^{T}\left(M M^{T}\right)^{+} N W\right)^{-1} W\left(\delta+N^{T}\left(M^{+}\right)^{T} \gamma\right) . \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

By now, we have proved the following result.
Theorem 2: Let the real-valued symmetric arrow-head matrices $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ be given. Then Problem II has a unique solution and the unique solution of Problem II can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{A}=K\left(\hat{\alpha} \otimes I_{n}\right),  \tag{22}\\
& \hat{B}=K\left(\hat{\beta} \otimes I_{n}\right), \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$ are given by (20) and (21), respectively.

## IV. A numerical example

Based on Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we can describe an algorithm for solving Problem IEP and Problem II as follows.

## Algorithm 1.

1) Input $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \Lambda, X$.
2) Form the orthonormal basis $\left\{Y_{i j}\right\}$ by (3).
3) Compute $G, M, N$ according to (7) and (8), respectively.
4) Compute $E_{M}=I_{n p}-M M^{+}, F_{M}=I_{d}-M^{+} M, W=$ $I_{d}-\left(E_{M} N\right)^{+} E_{M} N$.
5) Form vectors $\gamma, \delta$ by (15), (16) and (17).
6) Compute $K, \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$ by (14), (20) and (21), respectively.
7) Compute the unique solution $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$ of Problem II by (22) and (23).

Example 1. Given

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A} & =\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-4 & 2 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 11 \\
2 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
5 & 0 & -6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 \\
11 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -44
\end{array}\right), \\
\tilde{B} & =\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-7 & 2 & 19 & 9 & 3 & 15 \\
2 & -13 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
19 & 0 & -8 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
9 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 \\
15 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -28
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda & =\operatorname{diag}\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{diag}\{2.1709,0.84882,0.73245\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
-0.27362 & 0.019321 & -0.090308 \\
0.071468 & 0.00087224 & -0.0056621 \\
0.70165 & 0.085116 & -0.3485 \\
-0.91 & 0.035512 & -0.16064 \\
-0.050465 & -0.91 & -0.39781 \\
-0.030195 & -0.024079 & 0.91
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Algorithm 1, we obtain the unique solution of Problem II as follows.

$$
\hat{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
-3.8904 & 1.8001 & 4.7078 \\
1.8001 & -2.7001 & 0 \\
4.7078 & 0 & -5.6494 \\
0.90628 & 0 & 0 \\
1.8874 & 0 & 0 \\
10.383 & 0 & 0 \\
0.90628 & 1.8874 & 10.383 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1.8126 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -3.7749 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -41.531
\end{array}\right],
$$

$$
\hat{B}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
-6.6982 & 2.0161 & 20.037 \\
2.0161 & -13.105 & 0 \\
20.037 & 0 & -8.4365 \\
9.1354 & 0 & 0 \\
3.1709 & 0 & 0 \\
15.857 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right.
$$
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$\left.\begin{array}{rrr}9.1354 & 3.1709 & 15.857 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -6.0902 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3.1709 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -29.6\end{array}\right]$,

We define the residual as

$$
\operatorname{res}\left(\lambda_{i}, x_{i}\right)=\left\|\left(\lambda_{i} \hat{A}-\hat{B}\right) x_{i}\right\|,
$$

and the numerical results shown as follows.

| $\left(\lambda_{i}, x_{i}\right)$ | $\left(\lambda_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ | $\left(\lambda_{2}, x_{2}\right)$ | $\left(\lambda_{3}, x_{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Res}\left(\lambda_{i}, x_{i}\right)$ | $1.7468 \mathrm{e}-014$ | $1.0116 \mathrm{e}-014$ | $3.6636 \mathrm{e}-014$ |

Furthermore, we can figure out

$$
\|\hat{A}-\tilde{A}\|=2.7319, \quad\|\hat{B}-\tilde{B}\|=2.57
$$
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