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#### Abstract

This paper proves that the problem of finding connected vertex cover in a 2 -connected planar graph ( CVC-2 ) with maximum degree 4 is NP-complete. The motivation for proving this result is to give a shorter and simpler proof of NP-Completeness of TRA-MLC (the Top Right Access point Minimum-Length Corridor) problem [1], by finding the reduction from CVC-2. TRA-MLC has many applications in laying optical fibre cables for data communication and electrical wiring in floor plans. The problem of finding connected vertex cover in any planar graph ( CVC ) with maximum degree 4 is NP-complete [2]. We first show that CVC-2 belongs to NP and then we find a polynomial reduction from CVC to CVC-2. Let a graph $G_{0}$ and an integer $K$ form an instance of CVC, where $G_{0}$ is a planar graph and $K$ is an upper bound on the size of the connected vertex cover in $G_{0}$. We construct a 2 -connected planar graph, say $G$, by identifying the blocks and cut vertices of $G_{0}$, and then finding the planar representation of all the blocks of $G_{0}$, leading to a plane graph $G_{1}$. We replace the cut vertices with cycles in such a way that the resultant graph $G$ is a 2 -connected planar graph with maximum degree 4. We consider $L=K-2 t+3 \sum_{i=1}^{t} d_{i}$ where $t$ is the number of cut vertices in $G_{1}$ and $d_{i}$ is the number of blocks for which $i^{t h}$ cut vertex is common. We prove that $G$ will have a connected vertex cover with size less than or equal to $L$ if and only if $G_{0}$ has a connected vertex cover of size less than or equal to $K$.
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## I. Introduction

A brief overview of the relevent definitions of graph theory ( [3],[4]) is presented in this section before introducing the problem.
Any graph $G$ is said to be planar or embeddable in the plane, if it can be drawn in the plane so that the vertices are distinct points in the plane and its edges intersect only at their end points. Such a drawing of a planar graph $G$ is called a planar embedding of $G$ or a plane graph. There are many polynomial time algorithms for finding a planar embedding of planar graph [5]. A subset $V_{2}$ of $V$ is said to be a vertex cut if the removal of the vertices in $V_{2}$ disconnects the graph. A cut vertex is a single vertex, removal of which disconnects the graph. A graph $G$ is said to be 2 -connected if and only if any two vertices of $G$ are connected by atleast two internallydisjoint paths. Any 2 -connected graph does not have a cut vertex. A block of a graph $G$ is a maximal connected subgraph of $G$ that has no cut vertex for itself. Any block of a graph $G$
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is an isolated vertex or a cut edge, or a maximal 2-connected component with more than 2 vertices. Every pair of blocks will have at the most one vertex in common and that will be a cut vertex. So, any cut vertex will be adjacent to a cut vertex in another block, or any other vertex in a 2 -connected component, or a pendent vertex. A Graph $G$ is planar if and only if each of its blocks is planar. There are polynomial time algorithms to identify the blocks in any planar graph and also to find out the cut vertices in a graph [3][6].
A vertex cover in a planar graph $G$ is a subset $V_{1}$ of $V$ such that every edge of $G$ has atleast one end in $V_{1}$ and it is said to be connected if the vertices in this subset are all connected in $G$. A vertex cover $V_{1}$ is said to be a Minimum Vertex Cover if G has no other vertex cover $\bar{V}$ with $|\bar{V}|<\left|V_{1}\right|$.
The problem of finding a Minimum vertex cover in a graph is NP-complete [7]. Garey and Johnson proved many restricted versions of this problem and specifically, the vertex cover in planar graphs to be NP-complete [2],[8]. They also proved that the problem of finding Minimum connected vertex cover in planar graphs with maximum degree 4 ( hereafter referred to as CVC ) is NP-complete [4] .
In this paper, we attempt to prove that the problem of connected vertex cover in 2-connected planar graph with maximum degree 4 (hereafter referred to as CVC-2) is NPcomplete. Given a 2 -connected planar graph with maximum degree 4 , the problem is to find a conneted vertex cover with minimum size. The decision version of this problem can be stated as follows:

Instance: A 2-connected planar graph $G(V, E)$ with all the vertices having degree less than or equal to 4 and an integer $L$.
Question: Does there exist a subset $V_{2}$ of $V$, with $\left|V_{2}\right|=$ $c_{2}$, such that $c_{2} \leq L, V_{2}$ is connected and it covers all the edges in $G$.
The motivation behind giving the proof of the complexity of CVC-2 is to give a proof of NP-completeness of Top Right Access point Minimum-Length Corridor (TRA-MLC )problem. In the Minimum-Length Corridor (MLC) problem [1], a rectangular boundary partitioned into rectilinear polygons is given and the problem is to find a corridor of least total length. A corridor is a tree containing a set of line segments lying along the outer rectangular boundary and/or on the boundary of the rectilinear polygons. The corridor must contain at least one point from the boundaries of the outer rectangle and also the rectilinear polygons. An access point of a cooridor is any point on the rectangular boundary. If this access point is constrained to be at the top right corner of the outer rectangular boundary, then this problem is referred to as TRA-MLC. In
the MLC problem, and in its variants, it is assumed that the rectangular boundary and the partitions are orthogonal.

This problem has many applications in laying optical fibre cables for data communication and electrical wiring in floor plans.There are many other applcations which include signal communication in circuit layout design [1]. We are going to work towards finding a polynomial reduction from CVC-2 to TRA-MLC thereby proving TRA-MLC is NP-complete.

To prove that any problem $P$ to be NP-complete we need to show that

1. $P \in N P: x$ is a yes instance of $P$ if and only if there exists a concise certificate $c(x)$, and it is verifiable by a polynomial time algorithm.
2. Some known NP-complete problem $P^{\prime}$ is polynomially reducible to $P$ : For any given instance $x$ of $P^{\prime}$, we should be able to construct an instance $y$ of $P$ within polynomial in $|x|$ time, such that $x$ is a yes instance of $P^{\prime}$ if and only if $y$ is a yes instance of $P$.
For more explanation on NP-completeness, reader is referred to [7][9]. In the next section, we give a proof of NPcompleteness of CVC-2 by giving a polynomial reduction from CVC to CVC-2.

## II. The proof

Theorem:"Connected vertex cover in 2-connected planar graph with maximum degree 4" is NP-complete.

Proof: Inorder to prove that CVC-2 is NP-complete, first we need to show that CVC-2 $\in$ NP. For any instance of CVC2 given by a 2 -connected planar graph $G$ with maximum degree 4 and an integer $K$, assume that a certificate $V_{2}$ which is a subset of vertices of $G$ is given. We can find whether the vertices of $V_{2}$ are connected and whether they cover all the edges of $G$ in polynomial time. Also we can find, in polynomial time, whether the size of $V_{2}$ is less than or equal to $K$ or not. So it is obvious to say that $\mathrm{CVC}-2 \in \mathrm{NP}$.

Now, we give a polynomial reduction from CVC to CVC2. Assume that an instance of the decision version of CVC is given by a connected planar graph $G_{0}=\left(V_{0}, E_{0}\right)$ ( as the required vertex cover is connected, the given graph should obviously be connected ), in which the vertex degree is at the most 4 and an integer $K$, which is the upper bound on the size of the connected vertex cover. We restrict our problem to graphs with more than 2 vertices.
We construct an instance of our problem from $G_{0}$. First we find the blocks and cut vertices of $G_{0}$, and let the number of cut vertices be $t$. As $G_{0}$ is connected, it does not have isolated vertices as blocks. Let $C=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots c_{t}\right\}$ be the set of cut vertices in $G_{0}$. For any cut vertex $c_{i}$, let $d_{i}$ be the number of blocks having $c_{i}$ as common vertex for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Now, we find planar representation of each block in $G_{0}$, by using any polynomial time algorithm, thereby finding a plane graph $G_{1}$ of $G_{0}$.

Now the construction of the instance begins with $G_{1}$. For every integer $i$ from 1 to $t$, we construct a plane graph $G_{i+1}$ from $G_{i}$. Consider the cut vertex $c_{i}$ which is a common vertex for $d_{i}$ blocks and let $b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots . b_{d_{i}-1}$ be the blocks in clockwise order around $c_{i}$ in $G_{i}$. Replace
$c_{i}$ with a cycle consisting of $3 d_{i}$ vertices namely $v_{i(j)}$ for $0 \leq j \leq\left(3 d_{i}-1\right)$. There will be $3 d_{i}$ edges in this cycle and they are $\left(v_{i(j)}, v_{i(j+1)}\right)$ for $0 \leq j \leq\left(3 d_{i}-2\right)$ and the edge $\left(v_{i\left(3 d_{i}-1\right)}, v_{i(1)}\right)$. For any block $b_{k}\left(0 \leq k \leq d_{i}-1\right.$ ) containing $c_{i}$, assume that there are $p$ vertices $(p>1)$, $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{p}$ adjacent to $c_{i}$ in clockwise order around $c_{i}$ within $b_{k}$. We replace these edges, $\left(c_{i}, v_{1}\right),\left(c_{i}, v_{2}\right), \ldots\left(c_{i}, v_{p-1}\right)$ with $\left(v_{i(3 k)}, v_{1}\right),\left(v_{i(3 k)}, v_{2}\right), \ldots\left(v_{i(3 k)}, v_{p-1}\right)$ and $\left(v_{i(3 k+1)}, v_{p}\right)$. Any cut vertex is a common vertex for atleast two blocks. As the degree of any cut vertex in $G_{1}$ can not exceed 4, there can be at the most three vertices in a block, which are adjacent to the cut vertex ie. $p \leq 3$. So the degree of the vertex $v_{i(3 k)}$, will be at the most 4 and the degree of $v_{i(3 k+1)}$ will be at the most 3. If $p=1$, then the block is a cut edge, ie. $c_{i}$ is adjacent to only one vertex $v_{1}$ in that block which is either a pendent vertex or another cut vertex. In this case we replace the edge $\left(c_{i}, v_{1}\right)$ with two edges namely $\left(v_{i(3 k)}, v_{1}\right)$ and $\left(v_{i(3 k+1)}, v_{1}\right)$. Here, the degrees of $v_{i(3 k)}$ and $v_{i(3 k+1)}$ becomes equal to 3 . The degrees of all other vertices in the cycle will be equal to 2.

Fig.1(a), $1(b), 1(c)$ give an example of this construction. The resultant graph after $t$ steps, $G_{t+1}=G$, is a 2 -connected graph as there will be atleast two paths between every pair of vertices. maximum degree in $G$ is 4 , as we are replacing only the cut vertices of $G_{1}$ with cycles in such a way that the degrees of all the vertices in each cycle does not exceed 4. It is also a planar graph as the individual blocks are planar [1] and we are replacing only cut vertices of $G_{0}$ with cycles. This construction can obviously be done in Polynomial time.


Fig 1(a): Given plane graph $G_{1}$


Fig 1(b): $G_{2}$ (After first interation)


Fig 1(c): $G_{3}$ (After second iteration) ( 2-connected plane graph )

Hereafter, in any cycle of $G$ representing a cut vertex of $G_{0}$, let us call the vertices connected to the other vertices of blocks, as $B$-type vertices. All other vertices ( in the form $v_{i(3 k-1)}$ ) which have degree 2, will be called as Connector-type vertices for further reference.
Let us take an integer $L=K-2 t+3 \sum_{i=1}^{t} d_{i}$. Now, we show that graph $G$ will have a connected vertex cover of size $c_{2} \leq L$ if and only if $G_{0}$ has a connected vertex cover of size $c_{1} \leq K$.
First assume that $G_{0}$ has a subset of vertices $V_{1}$, which is a connected vertex cover of size $c_{1} \leq K . V_{1}$ must contain all the cut vertices of $G_{0}$, because the blocks of $G_{0}$ are connected only through their common cut vertex. Let us construct a subset $V_{2}$ of vertices of $G$ initially starting with $\left(c_{1}-t\right)$ vertices corresponding to the vertices of the set $\left(V_{1}-C\right)$ in $G_{0}$. From any cycle in $G$, corresponding to a cut vertex $c_{i}$, except $v_{i(2)}$ ( a connector-type vertex ), we add all other $3 d_{i}-1$ vertices to $V_{2}$ and they cover all the edges of the cycle. There are $t$ cycles of this type and the number of vertices added to $V_{2}$ will be $\left(3 \sum_{i=1}^{t} d_{i}\right)-t$. It can easily be understood that the set $V_{2}$ will cover all the edges of $G$ and it is connected. The size of the set $V_{2}$, given by $c_{2}$, will be $(c 1-t)+\left(3 \sum_{i=1}^{t} d_{i}\right)-t$ and we can say that $\quad c_{2} \leq K-2 t+\left(3 \sum_{i=1}^{t} d_{i}\right) \quad$ because $c_{1} \leq K$. So, we proved that $G$ will have a connected vertex cover of size less than or equal to $L$ if $G_{0}$ has a connected vertex cover of size less than or equal to $K$.

Conversely, suppose $G$ has a connected vertex cover $V_{2}$ of size $c_{2} \leq L$. We have to prove that $G_{0}$ will also have a connected vertex cover of size $c_{1} \leq K$. First let us consider the cycles in $G$ corresponding to cut vertices in $G_{0}$. $V_{2}$ should contain $r-1$ vertices from any of these cycles containing $r$ vertices ie.only one vertex can be absent from these cycles in $V_{2}$. If possible, let us assume that two vertices $v_{i(j)}, v_{i(k)}$, in any cycle $S_{i}$ corresponding to a cut vertex $c_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, are not present in $V_{2}$ and also without loss of generality we can assume that $j<k$. If those 2 vertices are adjacent, then the edge between them will not be covered by $V_{2}$, so they will not be adjacent. Now let us consider vertices of the cycle
$S_{i}$ as a union of four subsets : $A=\left\{v_{i(j)}\right\}, B=\left\{v_{i(k)}\right\}$, $C=\left\{v_{i(l)} / j<l<k\right\}$ and $D=\left\{v_{i(l)} / 0 \leq l \leq\left(3 d_{i}-\right.\right.$ 1) $\left.\wedge v_{i(l)} \notin(A \cup B \cup C)\right\}$. We know that a cut vertex is a common vertex for atleast two blocks in any graph. So, we can assume that the vertices other than the cut vertex in atleast two blocks $b_{1}, b_{2}$, in $G_{0}$, are connected to $S_{i}$ representing the cut vertex $c_{i}$. Also let us recollect that the vertices from different blocks in $G_{0}$ are connected to each other only through cut vertices and there will not be any other path between them. Now let us consider the cases that can arise.

1. Both $v_{i(j)}, v_{i(k)}$ are of B-type :

As they can not be adjacent, $v_{i(j)}, v_{i(k)}$ will not be connected to the vertices of a single block. Without loss of generality, assume that $v_{i(j)}$ is connected to the vertices of a block $b_{1}$ and $v_{i(k)}$ to that of $b_{2}$. The vertices of $b_{1}$, present in $V_{2}$, will be connected to the cycle through only one vertex ( either $v_{i(j-1)}$ or $v_{i(j+1)}$ ). In the same way, the vertices of $b_{2}$, present in $V_{2}$, will be connected to the cycle through only one vertex ( either $v_{i(k-1)}$ or $v_{i(k+1)}$ ). Now , two cases arise.
(a) Either $C$ or $D$, consists of only one vertex which is of connector-type :
If either $C$ or $D$ has only one vertex which is of connector-type, then it should be in $V_{2}$. On either side of this vertex, there will be $v_{i(j)} \& v_{i(k)}$ and hence it will not be connected to the vertices of $V_{2}$ from $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$.
(b) Atleast two vertices are present in each set $C \& D$ : By the way we constructed $G$, we can say that atleast one block each will be connected to vertices of each set, and the veritices of $V_{2}$ from these two blocks are not connected because of the absence of $v_{i(j)}, v_{i(k)}$ in $V_{2}$.
2. Both $v_{i(j)}, v_{i(k)}$ are of connector-type :

By the way of construction of $G$, the vertices of $V_{2}$ from atleast one block each will be connected to the vertices of $C$ and $D$. So, the absence of $v_{i(j)}, v_{i(k)}$ will disconnect $V_{2}$.
3. one vertex is of connector-type, and another is of B-type: Atleast one vertex of B-type, connected to a block say $b_{1}$, will be present in either $C$ or $D$, say $C$, as $v_{i(j)}$ and $v_{i(k)}$ are not adjacent. The vertices of $V_{2}$ from $b_{1}$ are connected to the cycle through this vertex. Atleast one more block will be connected to the other set $D$, and the vertices of $V_{2}$ from that block are not connected to that of $b_{1}$ as $v_{i(j)}, v_{i(k)}$ are not present in $V_{2}$.
From the above discussion, we can say that any cycle with $r$ vertices, corresponding to the cut vertex in $G_{0}$, either $r-1$ or $r$ vertices should be present in $V_{2}$. Even with the absence of one vertex of connector-type (in some cases $B$-type vertex can be absent ) in $V_{2}$, all the edges of the cycle will be covered and the set $V_{2}$ will be connected. So for any cycle $S_{i}$ corresponding to cut vertex $c_{i}$ in $G_{0}$, only $r-1$ vertices are sufficient to be present in $V_{2}$. Let $v_{i(j)}$ in $S_{i}$ be the single vertex not present in $V_{2}$, and if it is not of connector type, check whether there is a subgraph $K_{3}$, formed by $v_{i(j)}, v_{i(j+1)}, v_{k}$ or $v_{i(j-1)}, v_{i(j)}, v_{k}$ and $v_{k}$ is of degree 2. If yes, $v_{k}$ must be present in $V_{2}$. This
implies that $v_{k}$ is a pendent vertex in $G_{0}$. We can replace $v_{k}$ with $v_{i(j)}$ without affecting the covering. Consider the cycles corresponding to the cut vertices and which are having all the $r$ vertices in $V_{2}$. We can take out a connector-type vertex, of these cycles, from $V_{2}$ without affecting the covering and reducing the size of the vertex cover. Now, from each cycle $S_{i}$ corresponding to $c_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t, d_{i}-1$ vertices are present in $V_{2}$, implying that $\left(3 \sum_{i=1}^{t} d_{i}\right)-t$ vertices of $V_{2}$ will be from the cycles corresponding to cut vertices of $G_{0}$. So the number of vertices in $V_{2}$, which are outside these cycles will be at the most $K-t$. These $K-t$ vertices will cover the edges in all the blocks, in $G_{0}$, probably excepting those incident on cut vertices. If we consider a subset $V_{1}$ of $V_{0}$ in $G_{0}$ containing these $K-t$ vertices along with $t$ cut vertices, it will cover all the edges in $G_{0}$ and is connected. The size of $V_{1}$ will be at the most $K$. Hence the proof.

## III. Conclusions

As we have already mentioned, The inspiration to prove this result is to next prove that TRA-MLC is NP-complete. A.Gonzalez-Gutierrez \& T.F.Gonzalez have proved this problem and many of its varients to be NP-complete [1]. But we are going to work towards a shorter proof and also by using most commonly known graph theory concepts.
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