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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with a nonautonomous three species food chain model with Crowley-Martin type functional response and time delay. Using the Mawhin's continuation theorem in theory of degree, sufficient conditions for existence of periodic solutions are obtained.


Keywords-Periodic solutions; coincidence degree; food chain model; Crowley-Martin functional response.

## I. Introduction

THE dynamic behaviors of food chain systems have received more and more attention due to their universal existence and importance. Many kinds of these models have been extensively investigated [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]. All these studies depend on the classical types of functional responses, such as Holling types, Mechaelies-Menten ratio-dependent type, Beddington-DeAngelis type, Hassell-Varley type and so on. As far as we know, there are very few literatures to discuss the population dynamics with Crowley-Martin type functional response [7], [8], [9], [10]. The Crowley-Martin type functional response is classified as one of predatordependent functional response. It is assumed that predatorfeeding rate decreases by higher predator density even when prey density is high, and therefore the effects of predator interference in feeding rate remain important all the time whether an individual predator is handling or searching for a prey at a given instant of time.
Recently, R.K. Upadhyay and R.K. Naji have studied a three species food chain model with Crowley-Martin type functional response in [7] in the form of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{X}(t)=a_{1} X\left(1-\frac{X}{K}\right)-\frac{w X Y}{X+D}  \tag{1}\\
\dot{Y}(t)=-a_{2} Y+\frac{w_{1} X Y}{X+w_{1}}-\frac{w_{2} Y Z}{1+d Y+b Z+b d Y Z} \\
\dot{Z}(t)=-c Z+\frac{w_{3} Y Z}{1+d Y+b Z+b d Y Z}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where all the parameters are positive constants. The prey $X$ grows with intrinsic growth rate $a_{1}$ and carrying capacity $K$ in the absence of predation; $D$ and $D_{1}$ measure the extent to which environment provide protection to prey $X$ and $Y$, respectively; $w$ is the maximum value which per capita reduction rate of $X$ can attain, $w_{1}$ has a similar meaning to $w$. The constants $w_{2}, w_{3}, b$ and $d$ are the saturating CrowleyMartin functional response parameters, in which $b$ measures

[^0]the magnitude of interference among predator. Besides, $a_{2}$ is the death rate of the intermediate predator and $c$ is the death rate of the top predator.
For system (1), the stability and persistence conditions were established and bifurcation diagrams were obtained in [7]. Further, chaotic behaviors have been derived with the help of numerical results [8]. In addition, local and global stability for a predator-prey model with Crowley-Martin function and stage structure was explicitly discussed [9]. It is apparent to all that time delay is an important factor in biological systems. Also, the effect of environmental changes cannot be ignored.

In this paper, we mainly focus on the following nonautonomous food chain system with Crowley-Martin functional response and time delay:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t)= & x(t)\left(a_{1}(t)-b_{1}(t) x(t)-\frac{w(t) y(t)}{x(t)+D(t)}\right)  \tag{2}\\
\dot{y}(t)= & y(t)\left(-a_{2}(t)+\frac{w_{1}(t) x(t)}{x(t)+D_{1}(t)}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{w_{2}(t) z(t)}{1+d(t) y(t)+b(t) z(t)+b(t) d(t) y(t) z(t)}\right) \\
\dot{z}(t)= & -c(t) z(t) \\
& +\frac{w_{3}(t) y(t-\tau) z(t)}{1+d(t) y(t-\tau)+b(t) z(t-\tau)+b(t) d(t) y(t-\tau) z(t-\tau)}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

here all the coefficients are the positive $\omega$-periodic functions and time delay $\tau$ is the positive constant. The main purpose of this paper is to explore the existence of periodic solutions for system (2).

## II. Preliminaries

For convenience, we first present the preliminary results we shall use, more details can be found in [11], [12]. From the main theorem in [11], we can easily obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. Let $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, \omega]$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$. If $g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is $\omega$-periodic, then

$$
g(t) \leq g\left(t_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|g^{\prime}(t)\right| d t
$$

and

$$
g(t) \geq g\left(t_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|g^{\prime}(t)\right| d t
$$

where the constant factor $1 / 2$ is the best possible.
For simplicity, we use the following notations throughout this paper:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{\omega}=[0, \omega], \quad \bar{g}=\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{I_{\omega}} g(t) d t=\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} g(t) d t \\
f^{M}=\max _{t \in I_{\omega}} f(t), \quad f^{L}=\min _{t \in I_{\omega}} f(t) .
\end{gathered}
$$
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Now, we introduce some concepts and a useful result from [12]. Let $X, Z$ be normed vector spaces, $L: \operatorname{Dom} L \subset X \rightarrow$ $Z$ be a linear mapping, $N: X \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous mapping. The mapping $L$ will be called a Fredholm mapping of index zero if $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} L=\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} L<+\infty$ and $\operatorname{Im} L$ is closed in $Z$. If $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero and there exist continuous projections $P: X \rightarrow X$ and $Q: Z \rightarrow Z$ such that $\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{ker} L, \operatorname{Im} L=\operatorname{ker} Q=\operatorname{Im}(I-Q)$, then it follows that $L \mid \operatorname{Dom} L \cap \operatorname{ker} P:(I-P) X \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} L$ is invertible. We denote the inverse of that map by $K_{P}$. If $\Omega$ is an open bounded subset of $X$, the mapping $N$ will be called $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$ if $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_{P}(I-Q) N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ is compact. Since $\operatorname{Im} Q$ is isomorphic to ker $L$, there exists an isomorphism $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} L$.
Next, we state the Mawhin's continuation theorem, which is a main tool in the proof of our theorem.
Lemma 2.2.[12] (Continuation Theorem) Let $L$ be a Fredholm mapping of index zero and $N$ be $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$. Suppose
(a) for each $\lambda \in(0,1)$, every solution $u$ of $L u=\lambda N u$ is such that $u \notin \partial \Omega$;
(b) $Q N u \neq 0$ for each $u \in \partial \Omega \cap$ ker $L$ and the Brouwer degree $\operatorname{deg}\{J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} L, 0\} \neq 0$.
Then the operator equation $L u=N u$ has at least one solution lying in $\operatorname{Dom} L \cap \bar{\Omega}$.

## III. Existence of periodic solutions

Theorem 3.1. If the condition

$$
w_{1}^{L} \exp \left\{L_{1}\right\} /\left(\exp \left\{M_{1}\right\}+D_{1}^{M}\right)>a_{2}^{M}
$$

is satisfied, where $M_{1}=\ln \left(a_{1}^{M} / b_{1}^{L}\right)+\omega \bar{a}_{1}$ and $L_{1}=$ $\ln \left(a_{2}^{L} D_{1}^{L} / w_{1}^{M}\right)-\omega \bar{a}_{1}$. Then system (2) has at least one $\omega$-periodic solution.
Proof Set $x(t)=\exp \left\{u_{1}(t)\right\}, y(t)=\exp \left\{u_{2}(t)\right\}, z(t)=$ $\exp \left\{u_{3}(t)\right\}$, then system (2) can be reduced to the following form,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& \dot{u}_{1}(t)= a_{1}(t)-b_{1}(t) e^{u_{1}(t)}-\frac{w(t) e^{u_{2}(t)}}{e^{u_{1}(t)}+D(t)}  \tag{3}\\
& \dot{u}_{2}(t)=-a_{2}(t)+\frac{w_{1}(t) e^{u_{1}(t)}}{e^{u_{1}(t)}+D_{1}(t)} \\
&-\frac{w_{2}(t) e^{u_{3}(t)}}{1+d(t) e^{u_{2}(t)}+b(t) e^{u_{3}(t)}+b(t) d(t) e^{u_{2}(t)+u_{3}(t)}} \\
& \dot{u}_{3}(t)=-c(t)+ \\
& \frac{w_{3}(t) e^{u_{2}(t-\tau)}}{1+d(t) e^{u_{2}(t-\tau)}+b(t) e^{u_{3}(t-\tau)}+b(t) d(t) e^{u_{2}(t-\tau)+u_{3}(t-\tau)}}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Then we only need to prove the existence of periodic solutions for system (3).
Let $X=Z=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)^{T} \in C\left(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{3}\right): u_{i}(t+\right.$ $\left.\omega)=u_{i}(t), \quad i=1,2,3, \forall t \in \mathbf{R}\right\},\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)^{T}\right\|=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max _{t \in I_{\omega}}\left|u_{i}(t)\right|, \quad\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)^{T} \in X \quad($ or in $Z)$. Then $X$ and $Z$ are both Banach spaces when they are endowed
with the above norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
N\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
=\left[\begin{array}{c}
a_{1}(t)-b_{1}(t) e^{u_{1}(t)}-\frac{w(t) e^{u_{2}(t)}}{e^{u_{1}(t)}+D(t)} \\
-a_{2}(t)+\frac{w_{1}(t) e^{u_{1}(t)}}{e^{u_{1}(t)}+D_{1}(t)}-\frac{e^{u_{3}(t)}}{1+d(t) e^{u_{2}(t)}+b(t) e^{u_{3}(t)}+b(t) d(t) e^{u_{2}(t)+u_{3}(t)}} \\
-c(t)+\frac{w_{3}(t) e^{u_{2}(t-\tau)}}{1+d(t) e^{u_{2}(t-\tau)}+b(t) e^{u_{3}(t-\tau)}+b(t) d(t) e^{u_{2}(t-\tau)+u_{3}(t-\tau)}}
\end{array}\right] \\
:=\left[\begin{array}{l}
N_{1}(t) \\
N_{2}(t) \\
N_{3}(t)
\end{array}\right], \\
L\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{u}_{1}(t) \\
\dot{u}_{2}(t) \\
\dot{u}_{3}(t)
\end{array}\right], \quad P\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right]=Q\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} u_{1}(t) d t \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} u_{2}(t) d t \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} u_{3}(t) d t
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Then it follows that $\operatorname{ker} L=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)^{T} \in X:\right.$ $\left.\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), u_{3}(t)\right)^{T}=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}\right)^{T} \in \mathbf{R}^{3}, t \in \mathbf{R}\right\}, \operatorname{Im} L=$ $\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)^{T} \in Z: \bar{u}_{1}=\bar{u}_{2}=\bar{u}_{3}=0, t \in \mathbf{R}\right\}, \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} L=$ $3=\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} L$.

Since $\operatorname{Im} L$ is closed in $Z$, then $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. It is easy to show that $P$ and $Q$ are continuous projections such that $\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{ker} L$ and $\operatorname{Im} L=\operatorname{ker} Q=$ $\operatorname{Im}(I-Q)$. Furthermore, the generalized inverse (of $L$ ) $K_{P}$ : $\operatorname{Im} L \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} P \cap \operatorname{Dom} L$ exists and is given by

$$
K_{P}\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\int_{0}^{t} u_{1}(s) d s-\frac{1}{\omega} & \int_{0}^{\omega} & \int_{0}^{t} u_{1}(s) d s d t \\
\int_{0}^{t} u_{2}(s) d s-\frac{1}{\omega} & \int_{0}^{\omega} & \int_{0}^{t} u_{2}(s) d s d t \\
\int_{0}^{t} u_{3}(s) d s-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} & \int_{0}^{t} u_{3}(s) d s d t
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus

$$
Q N\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left(N_{1}(s)\right) d s \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left(N_{2}(s)\right) d s \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left(N_{3}(s)\right) d s
\end{array}\right]
$$

and
$K_{P}(I-Q) N\left[\begin{array}{l}u_{1} \\ u_{2} \\ u_{3}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{r}\int_{0}^{\omega} N_{1}(s) d s-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{t} N_{1}(s) d s d t \\ -\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\omega}\right) \int_{0}^{t} N_{1}(s) d s, \\ \int_{0}^{\omega} N_{2}(s) d s-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{t} N_{2}(s) d s d t \\ -\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\omega}\right) \int_{0}^{t} N_{2}(s) d s, \\ \int_{0}^{\omega} N_{3}(s) d s-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{t} N_{3}(s) d s d t \\ -\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\omega}\right) \int_{0}^{t} N_{3}(s) d s,\end{array}\right]$
Clearly, $Q N$ and $K_{P}(I-Q) N$ are continuous. According to the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, it is not difficulty to show that $K_{P}(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})$ is compact for any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$ and $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded. Thus, $N$ is $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$.

Now, we shall search an appropriate open bounded subset $\Omega$ for the application of the continuation theorem, Lemma 2.2. For the operator equation $L u=\lambda N u$, where $\lambda \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{u}_{1}(t)= & \lambda\left(a_{1}(t)-b_{1}(t) e^{u_{1}(t)}-\frac{w(t) e^{u_{2}(t)}}{e^{u_{1}(t)}+D(t)}\right)  \tag{4}\\
\dot{u}_{2}(t)= & \lambda\left(-a_{2}(t)+\frac{w_{1}(t) e^{u_{1}(t)}}{e^{u_{1}(t)}+D_{1}(t)}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{w_{2}(t) e^{u_{3}(t)}}{1+d(t) e^{u_{2}(t)}+b(t) e^{u_{3}(t)}+b(t) d(t) e^{u_{2}(t)+u_{3}(t)}}\right) \\
\dot{u}_{3}(t)= & -\lambda c(t)+ \\
& \frac{\lambda w_{3}(t) e^{u_{2}(t-\tau)}}{1+d(t) e^{u_{2}(t-\tau)}+b(t) e^{u_{3}(t-\tau)}+b(t) d(t) e^{u_{2}(t-\tau)+u_{3}(t-\tau)}}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Assume that $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)^{T} \in X$ is a solution of system (4) for a certain $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Integrating (4) on both sides from 0 to $\omega$, we obtain
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\int_{0}^{\omega}\left(b_{1}(t) e^{u_{1}(t)}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{w(t) e^{u_{2}(t)}}{e^{u_{1}(t)}+D(t)} d t=\bar{a}_{1} \omega, \\ \int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{w_{1}(t) e^{u_{1}(t)}}{e^{u_{1}(t)}+D_{1}(t)} d t=\bar{a}_{2} \omega \\ \quad+\int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{w_{2}(t) e^{u_{3}(t)}}{1+d(t) e^{u_{2}(t)}+b(t) e^{u_{3}(t)}+b(t) d(t) e^{u_{2}(t)+u_{3}(t)}} d t, \\ \int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{w_{3}(t) e^{u_{u}(t-\tau)}}{1+d(t) e^{u_{2}(t-\tau)}+b(t) e^{u_{3}(t-\tau)}+b(t) d(t) e^{u_{2}(t-\tau)+u_{3}(t-\tau)}} d t=\bar{c} \omega .\end{array}\right.$
Since $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)^{T} \in X$, there exist $\xi_{i}, \eta_{i} \in I_{\omega}, i=1,2,3$, such that

$$
u_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\min _{t \in I_{\omega}}\left\{u_{i}(t)\right\}, u_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)=\max _{t \in I_{\omega}}\left\{u_{i}(t)\right\}, i=1,2,3
$$

From (4) and (5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right| d t \leq 2 \bar{a}_{1} \omega, \\
& \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right| d t \leq 2 \bar{w}_{1} \omega, \\
& \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|\dot{u}_{3}(t)\right| d t \leq 2 \bar{c} \omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the third equation of (5) and (6), we have

$$
\omega c\left(\eta_{3}\right) \leq \int_{0}^{\omega} w_{3}\left(\eta_{3}\right) e^{u_{2}\left(\eta_{3}-\tau\right)} d t
$$

and

$$
\omega c\left(\xi_{3}\right) \leq \int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{w_{3}\left(\xi_{3}\right) e^{u_{2}\left(\xi_{3}-\tau\right)}}{b\left(\xi_{3}\right) d\left(\xi_{3}\right) e^{u_{2}\left(\xi_{3}-\tau\right)+u_{3}\left(\xi_{3}-\tau\right)}} d t
$$

thus,

$$
u_{2}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \geq u_{2}\left(\eta_{3}-\tau\right) \geq \ln \frac{c^{L}}{w_{3}^{M}}
$$

and

$$
u_{3}\left(\xi_{3}\right) \leq u_{3}\left(\xi_{3}-\tau\right) \leq \ln \frac{w_{3}^{M}}{c^{L} b^{L} d^{L}}
$$

According to Lemma 2.1, we have the following estimations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{2}(t) & \geq u_{2}\left(\eta_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right| d t \\
& \geq \ln \frac{c^{L}}{w_{3}^{M}}-\omega \bar{w}_{1}:=L_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{3}(t) & \leq u_{3}\left(\xi_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|\dot{u}_{3}(t)\right| d t \\
& \leq \ln \frac{w_{3}^{M}}{c^{L} b^{L} d^{L}}+\omega \bar{c}:=M_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the first equation of (5), it follows that

$$
b_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) e^{u_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)} \leq a_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{u_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right)} & \leq e^{u_{2}\left(\eta_{1}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{a_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\left(e^{u_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)}+D_{\left.\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right)}\right.}{w\left(\eta_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which imply

$$
u_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \leq \ln \frac{a_{1}^{M}}{b_{1}^{L}}
$$

and

$$
u_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \leq \ln \frac{a_{1}^{M}\left(e^{M_{1}}+D^{M}\right)}{w^{L}}
$$

Therefore, we have
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{2}(t) & \leq u_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right| d t \\
& \leq \ln \frac{a_{1}^{M}\left(e^{M_{1}}+D^{M}\right)}{w^{L}}+\omega \bar{w}_{1}:=M_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the second equation of (5), we obtain

$$
a_{2}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \leq \frac{w_{1}\left(\eta_{2}\right) e^{u_{1}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}}{e^{u_{1}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}+D_{1}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}<\frac{w_{1}(\eta) e^{u_{1}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}}{D_{1}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\frac{w_{1}\left(\xi_{2}\right) e^{u_{1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)}}{e^{u_{1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)}+D_{1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)} \leq a_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+w_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right) e^{u_{3}\left(\xi_{2}\right)}
$$

which reduce to

$$
u_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \geq u_{1}\left(\eta_{2}\right)>\ln \frac{a_{2}^{L} D_{1}^{L}}{w_{1}^{M}}
$$

and

$$
u_{3}\left(\eta_{3}\right) \geq u_{3}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \geq \ln \frac{\frac{w_{1}^{L} e^{L_{1}}}{e^{M_{1}}+D_{1}^{M}}-a_{2}^{M}}{w_{2}^{M}}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}(t) & \geq u_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right| d t \\
& \geq \ln \frac{a_{2}^{L} D_{1}^{L}}{w_{1}^{M}}-\omega \bar{a}_{1}:=L_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{3}(t) & \geq u_{3}\left(\eta_{3}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|\dot{u}_{3}(t)\right| d t \\
& \geq \ln \frac{\frac{w_{1}^{L} e^{L_{1}}}{e^{M_{1}}+D_{1}^{M}}-a_{2}^{M}}{w_{2}^{M}}-\omega \bar{c}:=L_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

From above, we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{t \in[0, \omega]}\left|u_{1}(t)\right| & \leq \max \left\{\left|M_{1}\right|,\left|L_{1}\right|\right\}:=R_{1}, \\
\max _{t \in[0, \omega]}\left|u_{2}(t)\right| & \leq \max \left\{\left|M_{2}\right|,\left|L_{2}\right|\right\}:=R_{2}, \\
\max _{t \in[0, \omega]}\left|u_{3}(t)\right| & \leq \max \left\{\left|M_{3}\right|,\left|L_{3}\right|\right\}:=R_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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Clearly, $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ are independent of $\lambda$. Let $R=R_{1}+$ $R_{2}+R_{3}+R_{0}$, where $R_{0}$ is taken sufficiently large such that for for the following algebraic equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{a}_{1}-\bar{b}_{1} e^{u_{1}}-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{w(t) e^{u_{2}}}{\omega} d t=0, \\
\bar{a}_{2}-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{\left.w_{1}(t)\right)^{u_{1}}}{e^{u_{1}}+D_{1}(t)} d t \\
e^{u_{1}(t)}+D_{1}(t)
\end{array}\right.  \tag{7}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\quad+\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{w_{2}(t) e^{u_{3}}}{1+d(t) e^{u_{2}}+b(t)(t) e_{3}^{u_{3}}+b(t) d(t) e^{u_{2}+u_{3}}} d t=0, \\
\bar{c}-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{w_{3}}{1+d(t) e^{u_{2}}+b(t) e^{u_{3}}+b(t) d(t) e^{u_{2}+u_{3}}} d t=0,
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

every solution $\left(u_{1}^{*}, u_{2}^{*}, u_{3}^{*}\right)^{T}$ of (7) satisfies $\left\|\left(u_{1}^{*}, u_{2}^{*}, u_{3}^{*}\right)^{T}\right\|<$ $R$. Now, we define

$$
\Omega=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)^{T} \in X:\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)^{T}\right\|<R\right\} .
$$

Then it is clear that $\Omega$ verifies the requirement (a) of Lemma 2.2. If $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)^{T} \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} L=\partial \Omega \cap$ $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, then $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)^{T}$ is a constant vector in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)^{T}\right\|=\left|u_{1}\right|+\left|u_{2}\right|+\left|u_{3}\right|=R$, so we have

$$
Q N\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right] \neq\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

By the assumption in Theorem 3.1 and the definition of topological degree, the invariance of homotopy produces $\operatorname{deg}(J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} L, 0) \neq 0$. We have verified that $\Omega$ satisfies all requirements of Lemma 2.2; therefore, system (2) has at least one $\omega$-periodic solution in $\operatorname{Dom} L \cap \bar{\Omega}$. This completes the proof.

## IV. Conclusion

This paper has introduced a novel nonautonomous food chain system with Crowley-Martin type functional response and time delay. The existence of periodic solutions has been explored in detail, by means of coincidence degree theory. The main results show that the three species will vary periodically under certain conditions.

## References

[1] B. Mukhopadhyay, R. Bhattacharyya. Bifurcation analysis of an ecological food-chain model with switching predator. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 201(2008) 260-271.
[2] R.K. Naji, R.K. Upadhyay, V. Rai. Dynamical consequences of predator interference in a tri-trophic model food chain. Nonlinear Analysis: RWA, 11(2010) 809-818.
[3] A. Maiti, A.K. Pal, G.P. Samanta. Effect of time-delay on a food chain model. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 200(2008) 189-203.
[4] S. Pathak, A. Maiti, G.P. Samanta. Rich dynamics of a food chain model with Hassell-Varley type functional responses. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 208(2009) 303-317.
[5] K. Zhuang, Z. Wen. Dynamics of a discrete three species food chain system. International Journal of Computational and Mathematical Sciences, 5(2011) 13-15.
[6] C. Shen. Permanence and global attractivity of the food-chain system with Holling IV type functional response. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 194(2007) 179-185.
[7] R.K. Upadhyay, R. K. Naji. Dynamics of a three species food chain model with Crowley-Martin type functional response. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 42(2009) 1337-1346.
[8] R.K. Upadhyay, S.N. Raw, V. Rai. Dynamical complexities in a tritrophic hybrid food chain model with Holling type II and CrowleyMartin functional responses. Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control, 15(2010) 361-375.
[9] X. Shi, X. Zhou, X. Song. Analysis of a stage-structured predatorprey model with Crowley-Martin function. J. Appl. Math. Comput., DOI 10.1007/s12190-010-0413-8.
[10] G.T. Skalski, J.F. Gilliam. Functional responses with predator interference: viable alternatives to the Holling type II model. Ecology, 82(2001) 3083-3092.
[11] B. Zhang and M. Fan, A remark on the application of coincidence degree to periodicity of dynamic equations on time scales, J. Northeast Normal University (Natural Science Edition), 39(2007) 1-3. (in Chinese)
[12] R. Gaines and J. Mawhin, Coincidence degree and nonlinear differential equations, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1977.


[^0]:    Kejun Zhuang is with the Institute of Applied Mathematics, School of Statistics and Applied Mathematics, Anhui University of Finance and Economics, Bengbu 233030, P.R.China, e-mail: zhkj123@163.com
    Zhaohui Wen is the corresponding author. He is with the Institute of Applied Mathematics, School of Statistics and Applied Mathematics, Anhui University of Finance and Economics, Bengbu 233030, P.R.China, e-mail: wzh590624@sina.com

