Fuzzy Subalgebras and Fuzzy Ideals of BCI-Algebras with Operators

Yuli Hu, Shaoquan Sun

Abstract—The aim of this paper is to introduce the concepts of fuzzy subalgebras, fuzzy ideals and fuzzy quotient algebras of BCI-algebras with operators, and to investigate their basic properties.

Keywords—BCI-algebras, BCI-algebras with operators, fuzzy subalgebras, fuzzy ideals, fuzzy quotient algebras.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE fuzzy set is a generalization of the classical set and it has been applied to many mathematical branches such as groups, rings, ideals and obtained many theories about fuzzy set since Zadeh [13] first raised the concept of fuzzy set in 1965.

BCK/BCI-algebras are two classes of logical algebras, which were introduced by Imai and Iseki [1], [2]. In 1991, Xi [3] applied the concept of fuzzy sets to BCK-algebras, since then fuzzy BCK/BCI-algebras have been extensively investigated by several researchers. Jun et al. [4], [5] introduced the concepts of fuzzy positive implicative ideals and fuzzy commutative ideals of BCK-algebras. Meng et al. [6] introduced the concept of fuzzy implicative ideals of BCKalgebras. Jun et al. [7] introduced the concept of commutative ideals of BCI-algebras, Liu and Meng [9], [10] introduced the concepts of fuzzy positive implicative ideals and fuzzy implicative ideals of BCI-algebras. In 1993, Zheng [8] defined operators in BCK-algebras and introduced the concept of BCIalgebras with operators and gave some isomorphism theorems of it. Next, Liu [12] introduced the university property of direct products of BCI-algebras. In 2002, Liu [11] introduced the concept of the fuzzy quotient algebras of BCI-algebras.

In this paper, we introduce the definitions of fuzzy subalgebras, fuzzy ideals and fuzzy quotient algebras of BCI-algebras with operators, Moreover, the basic properties were discussed and many results have been obtained, which enriches the theory of BCK/BCI-algebras.

II. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some definitions and propositions which will be needed

An algebra $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ of type (2,0) is called a BCI-algebra, if

Yuli Hu is with the College of Mathematics and Physics, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, China (phone: 178-06259520; e-mail:1198260194@qq.com).

Shaoquan Sun is with the College of Mathematics and Physics, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, China (phone: 185-61681686; e-mail: qdsunsaoquan@163.com).

it satisfies the following conditions:

$$BCI - (1)((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y) = 0,$$

 $BCI - (2)(x*(x*y))*y = 0, BCI - (3)x*x = 0,$
 $BCI - (4)x*y = 0 \text{ and } y*x = 0 \text{ imply } x = y,$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. We can define x * y = 0 if and only if $x \le y$, then the above conditions can be written as:

- 1. $(x*y)*(x*z) \le z*y$,
- $2. \quad x*(x*y) \le y,$
- 3. $x \le x$,
- 4. $x \le y$ and $y \le x$ imply x = y,

for all $x, y, z \in X$. If a BCI-algebra satisfies the identity 0 * x = 0, then it is called a BCK-algebra.

Definition 1. If $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ is a BCI-algebra, A is a non-empty subset of X, and $x * y \in A$ for all $x, y \in A$, then $\langle A; *, 0 \rangle$ is called a subalgebra of $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$.

Definition 2. [10] A fuzzy set in a set S is a function A from S into [0,1].

Definition 3. [4] If $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ is a BCI-algebra, a fuzzy set A of X is called a fuzzy subalgebra of X if for all $x, y \in X$, it satisfies:

$$A(x*y) \ge A(x) \land A(y)$$
.

Definition 4. [5] $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ is a BCI-algebra, a fuzzy subset A of X is called a fuzzy ideal of X if it satisfies:

- 1. $A(0) \ge A(x), \forall x \in X$,
- 2. $A(x) \ge A(x * y) \land A(y), \forall x, y \in X$.

Definition 5. [6] $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ is a BCI-algebra, M is a non-empty set, if there exists a mapping $(m, x) \rightarrow mx$ from $M \times X$ to X which satisfies

$$m(x*y)=(mx)*(my), \forall x, y \in X, m \in M.$$

then M is called a left operator of X, X is called a BCI-algebra with left operator M, or M – BCI-algebra for short.

Proposition 1. Let $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ be a M-BCI-algebra, if A is a

fuzzy ideal of it, and $x * y \le z$, then $A(x) \ge A(y) \land A(z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Definition 6. Let A and B be fuzzy sets of set X, then the direct product $A \times B$ of A and B is a fuzzy subset of $X \times X$, define $A \times B$ by

$$A \times B(x, y) = A(x) \wedge B(y), \forall x, y \in X.$$

Definition 7. [6] Let $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ and $\langle \overline{X}; *, 0 \rangle$ be two M – BCI-algebras, if f is a homomorphism from $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ to $\langle \overline{X}; *, 0 \rangle$, and f(mx) = mf(x) for all $x \in X$, $m \in M$, then f is called a homomorphism with operators.

Definition 8. $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ is a M –BCI-algebra, let B be a fuzzy set of X, and A be a fuzzy relation of B, if

$$A_B(x, y) = B(x) \wedge B(y)$$
 for all $x, y \in X$,

then A is called a strong fuzzy relation of B. In the following parts, X always means an M – BCI-algebra unless otherwise specified.

III. FUZZY SUBALGEBRAS OF BCI-ALGEBRAS WITH OPERATORS

Definition 9. If $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ is an M-BCI-algebra, A is a non-empty subset of X, and $mx \in A$ for all $x \in A, m \in M$, then $\langle A; *, 0 \rangle$ is called an M-subalgebra of $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$.

Definition 10. $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ is a M-BCI-algebra, A is a fuzzy subalgebra of X, if $A(mx) \ge A(x)$ for all $x \in X, m \in M$, then A is called an M-fuzzy subalgebra of X.

Example 1. If A is an M – fuzzy subalgebra of X, then X_A is an M – fuzzy subalgebra of X, define X_A by

$$X_A: X \to [0,1], X_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, x \in A \\ 0, x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) For all $x, y \in X$, if $x, y \in A$, then $x * y \in A$, therefore

$$X_A(x*y) = 1 \ge X_A(x) \land X_A(y),$$

if there exists at least one which does not belong to A between x and y, for example $x \notin A$, thus

$$X_A(x*y) \ge 0 = X_A(x) \wedge X_A(y),$$

therefore X_A is a fuzzy subalgebra of X. (2) For all $x \in X$, $m \in M$, if $x \in A$, then $mx \in A$, therefore

$$X_{A}(mx) = 1 \ge X_{A}(x),$$

if $x \notin A$, then

$$X_{A}(mx) \ge 0 = X_{A}(x),$$

therefore X_A is an M – fuzzy subalgebra of X.

Proposition 3. A is an M – fuzzy subalgebra of X if only if A_t is an M – subalgebra of X, where A_t is a non-empty set, define X_A by

$$A_t = \{x \mid x \in X, A(x) \ge t\}, \forall t \in [0,1].$$

Proof. Suppose A is an M – fuzzy subalgebra of X, A_t is a non-empty set, $t \in [0,1]$, then we have

$$A(x*y) \ge A(x) \land A(y)$$
.

If $x \in A_t$, $y \in A_t$, then

$$A(x) \ge t, A(y) \ge t,$$

thus

$$A(x*y) \ge A(x) \land A(y) \ge t$$
,

thus we have

$$x * y \in A_{t}$$
.

For all $x \in X$, $m \in M$, if A is an M – fuzzy subalgebra of X, hence

$$A(mx) \ge A(x) \ge t$$
,

thus

$$mx \in A_{t}$$
,

therefore A_i is an M – subalgebra of X. Conversely, suppose A_i is an M – subalgebra of X, then we have $x * y \in A_i$. Let A(x) = t, then

$$A(x*y) \ge t = A(x) \ge A(x) \land A(y).$$

For all $x \in X$, $m \in M$, if A_i is an M-subalgebra of X, then we have

$$A(mx) \ge t = A(x),$$

therefore A is an M – fuzzy subalgebra of X.

Proposition 4. Suppose X,Y are M-BCI-algebra, f is a mapping from X to Y, if A is an M-fuzzy subalgebra of

the Y, then $f^{-1}(A)$ is an M – fuzzy subalgebra of X.

Proof. Let $y \in Y$, suppose f is a epimorphism, then there exists x in X, we have y = f(x). If A is an M – fuzzy subalgebra of Y, then we have

$$A(x*y) \ge A(x) \land A(y), A(mx) \ge A(x).$$

For all $x, y \in X, m \in M$,

$$(1)f^{-1}(A)(x*y) = A(f(x)*f(y)) \ge A(f(x)) \land A(f(Y))$$

$$= f^{-1}(A)(x) \land f^{-1}(A)(y);$$

$$(2)f^{-1}(A)(mx) = A(f(mx)) = A(mf(x)) \ge A(f(x))$$

$$= f^{-1}(A)(x).$$

Therefore $f^{-1}(A)$ is an M – fuzzy subalgebra of X

IV. FUZZY IDEALS OF BCI-ALGEBRAS WITH OPERATORS

Definition 11. $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ is an M-BCI-algebra, A is a fuzzy ideal of X, if $A(mx) \ge A(x)$ for all $x \in X, m \in M$, then A is called an M-fuzzy ideal of X.

Example 2. If A is an M – fuzzy ideal of X, then X_A is an M – fuzzy ideal of X, define X_A by

$$X_A: X \to [0,1], X_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, x \in A \\ 0, x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) For all $x, y \in X$, if $x, y \in A$, then $x * y \in A$, therefore

$$X_A(0) = 1 \ge X_A(x), \ X_A(x) = 1 \ge X_A(x * y) \land X_A(y),$$

if there exists at least one which does not belong to A between x and y, for example $x \notin A$, thus

$$X_{A}(0) = 1 \ge X_{A}(x), X_{A}(x) \ge X_{A}(x * y) \land X_{A}(y) = 0,$$

therefore X_A is a fuzzy ideal of X.

(2) For all $x \in X$, $m \in M$, if $x \in A$, then $mx \in A$, therefore

$$X_A(mx) = 1 \ge X_A(x).$$

If $x \notin A$, then

$$X_A(mx) \ge 0 = X_A(x),$$

therefore X_A is an M -fuzzy ideal of X.

Proposition 5. A is an M – fuzzy ideal of X if only if A_i is an M – ideal of X, where A_i is non-empty set, define A_i by

$$A_t = \{x \mid x \in X, A(x) \ge t\}, \forall t \in [0,1].$$

Proof. Suppose A is an M – fuzzy ideal of X, A_t is non-empty set, $t \in [0,1]$, then we have

$$A(0) \ge A(x) \ge t$$
,

thus $0 \in A_i$. If $x * y \in A_i$, $y \in A_i$, then

$$A(x*y) \ge t, A(y) \ge t$$

thus

$$A(x) \ge A(x * y) \land A(y) \ge t$$

thus we have

$$x \in A$$
.

For all $x \in X$, $m \in M$, if A is an M – fuzzy ideal of X, hence

$$A(mx) \ge A(x) \ge t$$

thus

$$mx \in A_{t}$$

therefore A_i is an M-ideal of X. Conversely, suppose A_i is an M-ideal of X, then we have $0 \in A_i$, $A(0) \ge t$. Let A(x) = t, thus $x \in A_i$, we have

$$A(0) \ge t = A(x),$$

suppose there is no

$$A(x) \ge A(x * y) \wedge A(y)$$

then there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$, we have

$$A(x_0) < A(x_0 * y_0) \wedge A(y_0),$$

let $t_0 = A(x_0 * y_0) \wedge A(y_0)$, then

$$A(x_0) < t_0 = A(x_0 * y_0) \wedge A(y_0),$$

if $x_0 * y_0 \in A_{t_0}$, $y_0 \in A_{t_0}$, then we have

$$x_0 \in A_{t_0}$$

then

$$A(x_0) \ge t_0$$

which is inconsistent with $A(x_0) < t_0 = A(x_0 * y_0) \wedge A(y_0)$, then we have

$$A(x) \ge A(x * y) \wedge A(y)$$
.

For all $x \in X, m \in M$, if A_i is an M-ideal of X, then we have

$$A(mx) \ge t = A(x),$$

therefore A is an M – fuzzy ideal of X.

Proposition 6. Suppose X,Y are M-BCI-algebras, f is a mapping from X to Y, A is an M-fuzzy ideal of Y, then $f^{-1}(A)$ is an M-fuzzy ideal of X.

Proof. Let $y \in Y$, suppose f is an epimorphism, then there exists $x \in X$, we have y = f(x). If A is an M – fuzzy ideal of Y, then we have

$$A(0) \ge A(y)$$
 or $A(f(0)) \ge A(y)$.

For all $x, y \in X, m \in M$,

$$(1)f^{-1}(A)(0) = A(f(0)) = A(0) \ge A(f(x)) = f^{-1}(A)(x);$$

$$(2)f^{-1}(A)(x) = A(f(x))$$

$$\ge A(f(x)*f(y)) \land A(f(y)) = A(f(x*y)) \land A(f(y))$$

$$= f^{-1}(A)(x*y) \land f^{-1}(A)(y);$$

$$(3)f^{-1}(A)(mx) = A(f(mx)) = A(mf(x)) \ge A(f(x)) = f^{-1}(A)(x).$$

Therefore $f^{-1}(A)$ is an M – fuzzy ideal of X.

V. FUZZY QUOTIENT BCI-ALGEBRAS WITH OPERATORS **Definition 12.** Let A be an M – fuzzy ideal of X, for all $a \in X$, fuzzy set A_a on X defined as:

$$A_a: X \to [0,1]$$

$$A_a(x) = A(a*x) \land A(x*a), \forall x \in X.$$

Denote $X/A = \{A_a : a \in X\}.$

Proposition 7. Let $A_a, A_b \in X/A$, then $A_a = A_b$ if only if A(a*b) = A(b*a) = A(0).

Proof. Let $A_a = A_b$, then we have $A_a(b) = A_b(b)$, thus

$$A(a*b) \wedge A(b*a) = A(b*b) \wedge A(b*b) = A(0).$$

That is A(a*b) = A(b*a) = A(0). Conversely, suppose that A(a*b) = A(b*a) = A(0). For all $x \in X$, since

$$(a*x)*(b*x) \le a*b, (x*a)*(x*b) \le b*a.$$

It follows from Proposition 1 that

$$A(a*x) \ge A(b*x) \land A(a*b), A(x*a) \ge A(x*b) \land A(b*a).$$

Hence

$$A_a(x) = A(a*x) \wedge A(x*a) \ge A(b*x) \wedge A(x*b) = A_b(x).$$

That is $A_a \ge A_b$. Similarly, for all $x \in X$, since

$$(b*x)*A(a*x) \le b*a,(x*b)*A(x*a) \le a*b.$$

It follows from Proposition 1 that

$$A(b*x) \ge A(a*x) \wedge A(b*a), A(x*b) \ge A(x*a) \wedge A(a*b).$$

Hence

$$A_b(x) = A(b*x) \land A(x*b) \ge A(a*x) \land A(x*a) = A_a(x).$$

That is $A_b \ge A_a$. Therefore, $A_a = A_b$, we complete the proof.

Proposition 8. Let $A_a = A_{a'}, A_b = A_{b'}$, then $A_{a*b} = A_{a'*b'}$. **Proof.** Since

$$((a*b)*(a'*b'))*(a*a') = ((a*b)*(a*a'))*(a'*b')$$

$$\leq (a'*b)*(a'*b') \leq b'*b,$$

$$((a'*b')*(a*b))*(b*b') = ((a'*b')*(b*b'))*(a*b)$$

$$\leq (a'*b)*(a*b) \leq a'*a.$$

Hence

$$A((a*b)*(a'*b')) \ge A(a*a') \land A(b'*b) = A(0),$$

$$A((a'*b')*(a*b)) \ge A(b*b') \land A(a'*a) = A(0).$$

Therefore

$$A((a*b)*(a'*b')) = A((a'*b')*(a*b)) = A(0),$$

it follows from Proposition 7 that $A_{a*b} = A_{a'*b'}$ we completed the proof.

Let A be an M-fuzzy ideal of X. The operation "*" of R/A is defined as:

$$\forall A_a, A_b \in R/A, A_a * A_b = A_{a*b}.$$

By Proposition 7, the above operation is reasonable.

Proposition 9. Let A be an M-fuzzy ideal of X, then

Vol:11, No:6, 2017

 $R/A = \{R/A; *, A_0\}$ is an M - BCI-algebra.

Proof. For all $A_x, A_y, A_z \in R/A$,

$$\begin{split} & \left(\left(A_x * A_y \right) * \left(A_x * A_z \right) \right) * \left(A_z * A_y \right) = A_{\left((x*y) * (x*z) \right) * (z*y)} = A_0; \\ & \left(A_x * \left(A_x * A_y \right) \right) * A_y = A_{\left(x* (x*y) \right) * y} = A_0; \ A_x * A_x = A_{x*x} = A_0; \end{split}$$

if
$$A_x * A_y = A_0, A_y * A_x = A_0$$
, then

$$A_{x*y} = A_0, A_{y*x} = A_0,$$

it follows from Proposition 7 that

$$A(x*y) = A(0), A(y*x) = A(0),$$

hence

$$A_{\rm r} = A_{\rm v}$$
.

Therefore $R/A = \{R/A; *, A_0\}$ is a BCI-algebra. For all $A_x \in R/A$, $m \in M$, we define $mA_x = A_{mx}$. Firstly, we verify that $mA_x = A_{mx}$ is reasonable. If $A_x = A_y$, then we verify

$$mA_{v} = mA_{v}$$
,

that is to verify

$$A_{mx} = A_{my}$$
.

We have

$$A(mx*my) = A(m(x*y)) \ge A(x*y) = A(0)$$

and

$$A(my*mx) = A(m(y*x)) \ge A(y*x) = A(0),$$

so we have

$$A(mx*my) = A(my*mx) = A(0),$$

that is, $A_{mx} = A_{my}$. In addition, for all $m \in M$, A_x , $A_y \in R/A$,

$$m(A_x * A_y) = mA_{x*y} = A_{m(x*y)} = A_{(mx)*(my)} = A_{mx} * A_{my} = mA_x * mA_y.$$

Therefore $R/A = \{R/A; *, A_0\}$ is an M - BCI-algebra.

Definition 13. Let μ be an M – fuzzy subalgebra of X, and A be an M – fuzzy ideal of X, we define a fuzzy set of X/A as:

$$\mu/A: X/A \rightarrow [0,1], \quad \mu/A(A_i) = \sup_{A_i = A_i} \mu(x), \forall A_i \in X/A.$$

Proposition 10. μ/A is an M – fuzzy subalgebea of X/A.

Proof. For all $A_{y}, A_{y} \in X/A$,

$$\mu/A(A_x * A_y) = \mu/A(A_{x*y})$$

$$= \sup_{A_z = A_{x*y}} \mu(z) \ge \sup_{A_z = A_x, A_z = A_y} \mu(s * t) \ge \sup_{A_z = A_x, A_z = A_y} \mu(s) \wedge \mu(t)$$

$$= \sup_{A_z = A_x, \dots} \mu(s) \wedge \sup_{A_z = A_y, \dots} \mu(t) = \mu/A(A_x) \wedge \mu/A(A_y).$$

For all $m \in M$, $A_r \in R/A$,

$$\mu/A(A_{mx}) = \sup_{A_{mx} = A_{mx}} \mu(mz) \ge \sup_{A_x = A_x} \mu(z) = \mu/A(A_x).$$

Therefore, μ/A is an M – fuzzy subalgebra of X/A.

VI. DIRECT PRODUCTS OF FUZZY IDEALS IN BCI-ALGEBRAS WITH OPERATORS

Proposition 11. Suppose A and B are M – fuzzy ideals of X, then $A \times B$ is an M – fuzzy ideal of $X \times X$.

Proof. (1)Let $(x, y) \in X \times X$, then

$$A \times B(0,0) = A(0) \wedge B(0) \ge A(x) \wedge B(y) = A \times B(x,y),$$

thus for all $(x, y) \in X \times X$, $A \times B(0, 0) \ge A \times B(x, y)$;

(2) For all $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in X \times X$, we have

$$A \times B((x_1, x_2) * (y_1, y_2)) \wedge A \times B(y_1, y_2)$$

$$= A \times B(x_1 * y_1, x_2 * y_2) \wedge A \times B(y_1, y_2)$$

$$= (A(x_1 * y_1) \wedge B(x_2 * y_2)) \wedge A(y_1) \wedge B(y_2)$$

$$= (A(x_1 * y_1) \wedge A(y_1)) \wedge (B(x_2 * y_2) \wedge B(y_2))$$

$$\leq A(x_1) \wedge B(x_2)$$

$$= A \times B(x_1, x_2),$$

thus for all (x_1, x_2) , $(y_1, y_2) \in X \times X$, we have

$$A \times B(x_1, x_2) \ge A \times B((x_1, x_2) * (y_1, y_2)) \wedge A \times B(y_1, y_2);$$

(3) For all $(x, y) \in X \times X$, we have

$$A \times B(m(x, y)) = A \times B(mx, my) = A(mx) \wedge B(my)$$

$$\geq A(x) \wedge B(y) = A \times B(x, y),$$

thus for all $\forall (x, y) \in X \times X$, we have

$$A \times B(m(x, y)) \ge A \times B(x, y).$$

Therefore $A \times B$ is an M - fuzzy ideal of $X \times X$.

Proposition 12. Suppose A and B are fuzzy sets of X, if

 $A \times B$ is an M – fuzzy ideal of $X \times X$, then A or B is an M – fuzzy ideal of X.

Proof. Suppose A and B are M – fuzzy ideals of X, then for all $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in X \times X$, we have

$$A \times B(x_1, x_2) \ge A \times B((x_1, x_2) * (y_1, y_2)) \wedge A \times B(y_1, y_2)$$

= $A \times B((x_1 * y_1), (x_2 * y_2)) \wedge A \times B(y_1, y_2),$

if $x_1 = y_1 = 0$, then

$$A \times B(0, x_2) \ge A \times B(0, x_2 * y_2) \wedge A \times B(0, y_2),$$

we have $A \times B(0,x) = A(0) \wedge B(x) = B(x)$, so $B(x_2) \ge B(x_2 * y_2) \wedge B(y_2)$. If $A \times B$ is an M-fuzzy ideal of X, then

$$A \times B(m(x, y)) \ge A \times B(x, y), \forall (x, y) \in X \times X,$$

let x = 0, then

$$A \times B(m(x, y)) = A \times B(mx, my) = A(mx) \wedge B(my) = B(my)$$

$$\geq A(x) \wedge B(y) = A(0) \wedge B(y) = B(y),$$

thus we have $B(my) \ge B(y)$ for all $y \in X, m \in M$. Therefore B is an M – fuzzy ideal of X.

Proposition 13. If B is a fuzzy set, A is a strong fuzzy relation A_B of B, then B is a M – fuzzy ideal of X if only if A_B is an M – fuzzy ideal of $X \times X$.

Proof. If B is an M-fuzzy ideals of X, then for all $(x, y) \in X \times X$, we have

$$A_{R}(0,0) = B(0) \wedge B(0) \ge B(x) \wedge B(y) = A_{R}(x,y);$$

for all $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in X \times X$, we have

$$A_{B}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = B(x_{1}) \wedge B(x_{2})$$

$$\geq (B(x_{1} * y_{1}) \wedge B(y_{1})) \wedge (B(x_{2} * y_{2}) \wedge B(y_{2}))$$

$$= (B(x_{1} * y_{1}) \wedge B(x_{2} * y_{2})) \wedge (B(y_{1}) \wedge B(y_{2}))$$

$$= A_{B}(x_{1} * y_{1}, x_{2} * y_{2}) \wedge A_{B}(y_{1}, y_{2})$$

$$= A_{B}((x_{1}, x_{2}) * (y_{1}, y_{2})) \wedge A_{B}(y_{1}, y_{2});$$

for all $(x, y) \in X \times X, m \in M$,

$$A_B(m(x, y)) = A_B(mx, my) = B(mx) \wedge B(my)$$

$$\geq B(x) \wedge B(y) = A_B(x, y).$$

Therefore, if B is an M-fuzzy ideal of X, then A_B is an M-fuzzy ideal of $X \times X$. Conversely, suppose A_B is an M-fuzzy ideal of $X \times X$, then $\forall (x_1, x_2) \in X \times X$, we have

$$B(0) \wedge B(0) = A_R(0,0) \ge A_R(x,x) = B(x) \wedge B(x);$$

for all $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in X \times X$, we have

$$B(x_{1}) \wedge B(x_{2}) = A_{B}(x_{1}, x_{2})$$

$$\geq A_{B}((x_{1}, x_{2}) * (y_{1}, y_{2})) \wedge A_{B}(y_{1}, y_{2})$$

$$= A_{B}(x_{1} * y_{1}, x_{2} * y_{2}) \wedge A_{B}(y_{1}, y_{2})$$

$$= (B(x_{1} * y_{1}) \wedge B(x_{2} * y_{2})) \wedge (B(y_{1}) \wedge B(y_{2}))$$

$$= (B(x_{1} * y_{1}) \wedge B(y_{1})) \wedge (B(x_{2} * y_{2}) \wedge B(y_{2}));$$

let $x_2 = y_2 = 0$, then

$$B(x_1) \wedge B(0) \ge (B(x_1 * y_1) \wedge B(y_1)) \wedge B(0),$$

if A_B is an M – fuzzy ideal of $X \times X$, then

$$A_{B}(m(x,y)) \ge A_{B}(x,y), \forall x, y \in X \times X, m \in M,$$

$$B(mx) \land B(my) = A_{R}(mx,my) \ge A_{R}(x,y) = B(x) \land B(y),$$

if x = 0, then

$$B(0) \wedge B(my) = A_B(0, my) \ge A_B(0, y) = B(0) \wedge B(y),$$

namely, $B(my) \ge B(y)$. Therefore B is an M – fuzzy ideal of X.

REFERENCES

- Y. Imai and K. Iseki, "On axiom system of propositional calculus," Proc Aapan Academy, vol. 42, pp. 26-29, 1966.
- [2] K. Iseki, "On BCI-algebras," Math. Sem. Notes, vol. 8, pp.125-130, 1980.
- [3] O.G. Xi, "Fuzzy BCK-algebras," Math Japon, vol. 36, pp. 935-942, 1991.
- [4] Y.B. Jun, S.M. Hong, J. Meng and X.L. Xin, "Characterizations of fuzzy positives implicative ideals in BCK-algebras", Math. Japon, vol. 40, pp.503-507, 1994.
- [5] Y.B. Jun and E.H. Roh, "Fuzzy commutative ideals of BCK-algebras," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 64, pp. 401-405, 1994.
- [6] J. Meng, Y.B. Jun and H.S. Kim, "Fuzzy implicative ideals of BCK-Algebras," Fuzzy sets syst, vol. 89, pp. 243-248, 1997.
- [7] Y.B. Jun and J. Meng, "Fuzzy commutative ideals in BCI-algebras," Comm. Korean Math. Soc, vol. 9, pp. 19-25,1994.
- [8] W. X. Zheng, "On BCI-algebras with operators and their isomorphism theorems," Journal of Qingdao University, vol. 6, pp. 17-22, 1993.
- [9] Y.L. Liu and J. Meng, "Fuzzy ideals in BCI-algebras," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 123, pp. 227-237, 2001.
- [10] J. Meng, "Fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras," S EA Bull. math, vol. 18, pp. 401-405, 1994.
- [11] Y. L. Liu, "Characterizations of some classes of quotient BCI-algebras" Journal of Quan zhou Normal College (Natural Science Edition),vol. 20, pp. 16-20, 2002.
- [12] J.L, "Universal property of direct products of BCI-Algebra" Journal of

International Journal of Engineering, Mathematical and Physical Sciences

ISSN: 2517-9934 Vol:11, No:6, 2017

Jianghan University, vol. 18, pp. 36-38, 2001. [13] L.A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," Information and Control, vol. 8, pp. 338-353, 1965.