

# Practical Simulation Model of Floating-Gate MOS Transistor in Sub 100nm Technologies

Zina Saheb, Ezz El-Masry

**Abstract**—As the Silicon oxide scaled down in MOSFET technology to few nanometers, gate Direct Tunneling (DT) in Floating gate (FGMOSFET) devices has become a major concern for analog designers. FGMOSFET has been used in many low-voltage and low-power applications, however, there is no accurate model that account for DT gate leakage in nano-scale. This paper studied and analyzed different simulation models for FGMOSFET using TSMC 90-nm technology. The simulation results for FGMOSFET cascade current mirror shows the impact of DT on circuit performance in terms of current and voltage without the need for fabrication. This work shows the significance of using an accurate model for FGMOSFET in nan-scale technologies.

**Keywords**—CMOS transistor, direct-tunneling current, floating-gate, gate-leakage current, simulation model.

## I. INTRODUCTION

THE CMOS technology has been scaled down drastically in last decade to meet the industry demand. This scaling reduced the power dissipation, increased the speed and reduced the fabrication cost. However, the reduction of feature size has led to a dramatic shrink in silicon-oxide thickness ( $T_{ox}$ ) to few nanometers (nm). This in turn forces the voltage suppliers to below 1-V. unfortunately, the transistor's threshold voltage ( $V_T$ ) has not reduced by the same rate. Therefore, low-voltage (LV) analog circuit design technique capable of reducing  $V_T$  such as multiple input floating-gate (FG) MOS transistor (FGMOST) is still very valuable.

Having multiple inputs at the gate of FGMOST is one of the important advantages that provide the ability to tune the threshold voltage and reduce the headroom voltage to the minimum and makes it suitable for LV applications [1]-[3]. As a result of shrinking feature size, gate leakage current (GLC) presents many challenges at the transistor's performance. When  $T_{ox}$  is less than 3 nm, direct tunneling (DT) GLC becomes a dominant problem that can increase the power dissipation and degrades the FGMOST performance. FGMOST simulation models in the literature [4]-[6] are not viable for nm scale technologies; especially for analog design. This paper focuses on the impact of DT on nm FGMOST on circuit performance and the importance of having an accurate model.

Z. S is with the Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada (phone: 902.494.3996; fax: 902-422-7535; e-mail: zina.saheb@dal.ca).

E. E. M is with the Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada (e-mail: ezz.el-masry@dal.ca @dal.ca).

## II. FGMOS STRUCTURE AND OPERATION

A FGMOS is very similar to standard MOS transistor but it differs in a way that it has no resistive connections to its gate and the inputs are capacitive connected to the FG. FGMOS usually fabricated using double poly structure where the first poly is the FG and the second poly is the control gate as shown in Fig. 1 (A). The FG voltage is the weighted sum of all coupled inputs voltages connected to the gate as shown in Fig. 1 (B). With no DC path to ground at the gate and having multiple inputs has resulted into several changes in the DC characteristics from the standard MOSFET. Assuming there is no leakage current at the gate, the FG voltage is given by [4] :

$$V_G = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{C_i}{C_T} V_i + \frac{Q_{FG}}{C_T} \quad (1)$$

$$C_T = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i + C_{gs} + C_{gd} \quad (2)$$

$$V_{THFG} = \frac{C_T}{C_1} V_T - \frac{C_2}{C_1} V_2 - \frac{C_3}{C_1} V_3 - \dots - \frac{C_n}{C_1} V_n \quad (3)$$

where  $n$  is the number of inputs voltages at the gate,  $C_i$  are the input capacitors connected at the gate,  $V_i$  are input voltages,  $C_{gs}$  and  $C_{gd}$  are the parasitic capacitors,  $Q_{FG}$  refers to the charge trapped in FG during fabrication,  $V_T$  is the standard MOS transistor threshold voltage and  $V_{THFG}$  is the threshold voltage of the FGMOST.




Fig. 1 (A) N-type FGMOS using double poly structure (B) equivalent circuit for floating gate transistor

FGMOSFET behaves as a programmable threshold voltage device where the effective threshold voltage  $V_{THFG}$  can be reduced to zero or to a negative value compared to standard MOS threshold. This tuning capability enabled the FGMOSFET to be the best choice for low voltage

applications. Fig. 2 illustrates the tuning capability of the FG transistor with two inputs by increasing one and sweeping the other.




Fig. 2 FGMOS threshold voltage programmability when  $V_{DS}$  swept from 0V to 1V

### III. NANO-SCALE TECHNOLOGY CHALLENGES

There are some problems had faced the analog designers when using FGMOST in nano-scale technologies. The first problem is the DT gate leakage current results from the direct tunneling of carriers (electrons and holes) from the gate through the very thin oxide to the bulk and source/drain regions. This leakage current degrades the FGMOST performance. It should be included in the simulation model. In the following sections previous simulation models for FGMOST and the GLC are discussed.

#### A. Gate Direct Tunneling in Sub 100-nm Technologies

Gate DT current results from tunneling of carriers (electrons and holes) from the gate through the oxide to the bulk and source/drain regions.

DT gate current is a strong exponential function of silicon oxide thickness ( $T_{ox}$ ) and the potential across it with respect to the transistor dimensions in a way that DT increase exponentially as  $T_{ox}$  decrease and when the gate voltage increase. In [7] an empirical gate leakage model was incorporated in 100nm BSIM3v3 (level 49) and was suggested for circuit simulation where the DT gate leakage adjusted to fit 0.13um technology. The gate to source current ( $I_{gs}$ ) and gate to drain current ( $I_{gd}$ ) were described using voltage dependent current sources (VCCS) between gate to source and gate to drain as shown in Fig. 3. Equations (4) and (5) were extracted from simulation results and they show the dependency of  $I_{gs}$  and  $I_{gd}$  on  $T_{ox}$ ,  $V_{gs}$  and  $V_{gd}$  respectively.

$$I_{gs} = \frac{127.04 \times L_{eff} \times e^{(5.606.25 \times V_{gs} - 10.6 \times T_{ox}^{-2.5})}}{2} \quad (4)$$

$$I_{gd} = \frac{127.04 \times L_{eff} \times e^{(5.606.25 \times V_{gd} - 10.6 \times T_{ox}^{-2.5})}}{2} \quad (5)$$

Fig. 4 illustrates another simulation model suggested in [8]. The model includes three terminals with the parasitic capacitors  $C_{gs}$ ,  $C_{gd}$  and  $C_{gb}$  with the gate tunneling. The gate current in the model partitioned to  $I_{gs}$ ,  $I_{gd}$  and  $I_{ch}$  and they were represented by VCCS.




Fig. 3 DT gate current micro model proposed in [7]




Fig. 4 Micro model for gate tunneling for circuit simulation proposed in [8]

Fig. 5 shows a micro model for the gate DT leakage current proposed in [9]. The model used a voltage dependent current sources as a function of the terminal voltages and the partitioning of the channel current ( $I_{gs}$ ,  $I_{gd}$ ) using variable resistors. The resistors and the gate current can be found in (6) and (7).

$$I_{gc} = I_{gs} + I_{gd} \quad (6)$$

$$R_{cd} = \frac{V_{cd}}{I_{cd}}, \quad R_{cs} = \frac{V_{cs}}{I_{cs}} \quad (7)$$




Fig. 5 Gate DT model for circuit simulation suggested in [9]

#### B. Simulation Model

Due to the nature of FGMOST (no DC path to ground), a dedicated simulation model is needed. A simulation model was suggested by [4] in which a standard MOSFET with multiple inputs capacitively coupled to FG was used. A large resistor and voltage-control-voltage-source (VCVS) were added to MOSFET to provide a DC path to ground as shown in Fig. 6.

In the FG simulation model proposed in [5], a resistor is connected in parallel with each input capacitor as shown in Fig. 7. These resistors were selected in a way that the RC product of each pair is equal. Furthermore, these resistors must be very large in order not to have any effect at AC simulation.




Fig. 6 Ramirez-Angulo model [4] for simulation of the FGMOS




Fig. 7 FGMOS simulation model in [5]

The previous models were suggested to model the functionality of FGMMOS and to solve the simulation convergence problem. However, the DT effect in FG devices had not been explored enough.

In [10], the author investigates the impact of DT on FGMOS performance and proposed a new model for circuit in sub 100nm technologies. It was found that FG voltage decreases gradually as a function of time as can be found in (8)-(10). The reduction in gate voltage results from the direct tunneling of electrons or holes from gate to the source and from the gate to the drain respectively. The FG voltage change rate is directly related to the gate current and the input capacitance ratio seen at the gate.

$$I_{Leakage} = C_T \cdot \frac{dV_{FG}}{dt} \quad (8)$$

$$V_{FG} = \frac{C_1}{C_T} V_1 + \frac{C_2}{C_T} V_2 + \dots + \frac{C_n}{C_T} V_n \mp V_{leakage} \quad (9)$$

$$V_{THFG} = \frac{C_T}{C_1} V_T - \frac{C_2}{C_1} V_2 - \frac{C_3}{C_1} V_3 - \frac{C_n}{C_1} V_n \mp \frac{C_T}{C_1} V_{leakage} \quad (10)$$

Fig. 8 illustrates the model implemented in TSMC90nm. The model includes two cells from analog hardware description language AHDL library and one block using Verilog A code to describe the gate tunneling. This model can be used for transient and DC simulations by adding initial condition to the leakage current integrator. Equations (11)-(13) represent DT current and gate effective voltage.

$$I_G = A \cdot e^{B \cdot V_{fg}} \quad (11)$$

$$V_{leakage} = \frac{1}{C_T} \int_0^t (A \cdot e^{B \cdot V_{fg}}) dt \quad (12)$$

$$V'_{fg} = V_{fg} - V_{leakage} \quad (13)$$




Fig. 8 The proposed FGMOST's simulation model in [10]

#### IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

Current mirror (CM) is one of the simplest yet the important building blocks in integrated circuit design. It is used to copy or multiply the input current precisely to other circuits as shown in Fig. 9 (A). Cascode current mirror is used to improve the output impedance in CM where multiple FG stacked together as shown in Fig. 9 (B). The output current is a function of the transistor aspect ratio (W/L). The CM output current can be found in (11) and (12) respectively.

$$I_{out} = K I_{ref} \quad (11)$$

$$k = \frac{I_{out}}{I_{ref}} = \frac{W_2/L_2 (1 + \lambda V_{DS2})}{W_1/L_1 (1 + \lambda V_{DS1})} \quad (12)$$

In order to examine and compare the performance of FG devices implemented in sub 100nm, a comparison carried out between a FG simulation model that counts for the DT and another simulation model that does not accounts for DT gate current. FG cascode CM was implemented in TSMC 90nm and the simulation carried out using CAD tools from Cadence / Spectre to simulate the circuit. Fig. 10 shows the dimensions (W/L) for the FG cascode CM that's used in as test bench in the comparison.

The simulation result for the FG cascode CM using Ramirez-Angulo simulation model in [4] is shown in Fig. 11. As can be seen, the required voltage to reach the required current was 227mV. Next, the same FG base CM was implemented using a simulation model proposed in [10]. This model accounts for DT in FGMOS in sub 100nm technologies. The simulation result for the output characteristic of CM was shown in Fig. 12.

We can observe that, applying the same DC voltage to the FG 227mV wasn't enough to generate the required output current 5mA. Higher gate voltage was needed 280 mV to get the required output current. This is very realistic and agrees with what reported before for gate leakages in FG devices in

[10]. This increasing in voltage was needed to compensate for gate voltage reduction that results from gate current as it is seen in (10).




Fig. 9 FG current mirror (A) simple FG cell (B) cascade cell

$V_{DD}$	$I_{ref}$	$M_1$	$M_2$	$M_3$	$M_4$	$I_{out}$
1.2V	50uA	$W=50\mu m$ $L=1\mu m$	$W=500\mu m$ $L=1\mu m$	$W=80\mu m$ $L=1\mu m$	$W=80\mu m$ $L=1\mu m$	5mA

Fig. 10 FG current mirror transistors dimensions




Fig. 11 The output current verse output voltages for FG cascode current mirror implemented with Ramirez model

The FG cascode CM using Ramirez model didn't account for that DT therefore, the result was not accurate. The importance of these results arises from the need to have an accurate and realistic simulation results for FGMOSFET in deep nanotechnologies without the need to fabricate.




Fig. 12 The output current against output voltage for FG cascode current mirror implemented with the model in [10]

## V.CONCLUSION

In this paper, a discussion has been presented to show the importance of using an accurate model for FGMOSFET in nano-scale technologies. Different models for FGMOSFET available in the literature have been compared. The simulation results had shown the gate DT impacts on circuit performance in terms of current and voltage.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge CMC Microsystems for the provision of products and services that facilitated this research.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Ramirez-Angulo, S. C. Choi and G. Gonzalez-Altamirano. Low-voltage circuits building blocks using multiple-input floating-gate transistors. *Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications, IEEE Transactions on* 42(11), pp. 971-974. 1995.
- [2] S. Sharma, S. S. Rajput, L. K. Magotra and S. S. Jamuar. FGMOS based wide range low voltage current mirror and its applications. Presented at Circuits and Systems, 2002. APCCAS '02. 2002 Asia-Pacific Conference On. 2002., DOI: 10.1109/APCCAS.2002.1115251.
- [3] D. I. R. Chavez, J. de la Cruz Alejo and J. C. S. Garcia. Design of S? Modulators using FGMOS transistors. Presented at Electrical Engineering Computing Science and Automatic Control (CCE), 2011 8th International Conference On. 2011, DOI: 10.1109/ICEEE.2011.6106599.
- [4] J. Ramirez-Angulo, G. Gonzalez-Altamirano and S. C. Choi. Modeling multiple-input floating-gate transistors for analog signal processing. Presented at Circuits and Systems, 1997. ISCAS '97. Proceedings of 1997 IEEE International Symposium On. 1997.
- [5] Liming Yin, S. H. K. Embabi and E. Sanchez-Sinencio. A floating-gate MOSFET D/A converter. Presented at Circuits and Systems, 1997. ISCAS '97. Proceedings of 1997 IEEE International Symposium On. 1997.
- [6] Ai Chen Low and P. Hasler. Cadence-based simulation of floating-gate circuits using the EKV model. Presented at Circuits and Systems, 1999. 42nd Midwest Symposium On. 1999, DOI: 10.1109/MWSCAS.1999.867228.
- [7] Dongwoo Lee, W. Kwong, D. Blaauw and D. Sylvester. Simultaneous subthreshold and gate-oxide tunneling leakage current analysis in nanometer CMOS design. Presented at Quality Electronic Design, 2003. Proceedings. Fourth International Symposium On. 2003. DOI: 10.1109/ISQED.2003.1194747.
- [8] C. Choi, Kwang-Hoon Oh, Jung-Suk Goo, Zhiping Yu and R. W. Dutton. Direct tunneling current model for circuit simulation. Presented

- at Electron Devices Meeting, 1999. IEDM '99. Technical Digest. International. 1999. DOI: 10.1109/IEDM.1999.824256.
- [9] C. Choi, Ki-Young Nam, Zhiping Yu and R. W. Dutton. Impact of gate direct tunneling current on circuit performance: A simulation study. *Electron Devices, IEEE Transactions On* 48(12), pp. 2823-2829. 2001. . DOI: 10.1109/16.974710.
- [10] Z. Saheb and E. El-Masry, "Modelling of direct tunneling gate leakage current of floating-gate CMOS transistor in sub 100 nm technologies," *Analog Integrated Circuits and Signal Processing*, 2015.

**Zina Saheb** (B'97–M'13), was born in Baghdad / Iraq. She received the B. Sc. (Eng.) degree in Electrical Engineering from University of Technology, Iraq in 1997. She received the Master degree in Electrical Engineering from Dalhousie University, NS, Canada in 2013.

She is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering at Dalhousie University. Her research focuses on analog and mixed signal CMOS design. Also, she is interested in low-power and low voltage circuit at deep-nanometer CMOS technologies for wireless sensors network.

**Ezz El-Masry** received the B. Sc. (Eng.) degree and the M. A. Sc. (Eng.) degree in Electrical Engineering from Alexandria University, Egypt in 1967 and 1972; respectively and the Ph. D. degree in Electrical Engineering from University of Manitoba, Canada in 1977.

He was a member of the scientific Staff at the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada in Ottawa. In 1978 he joined the Department of Electrical Engineering and the Coordinated Science Laboratory at the University of Illinois at Urbana, Illinois. In 1983 he joined the Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering at Dalhousie University Canada. He was the Head of the Department from 2003 to 2011. He is presently a Professor. He was a Visiting Professor at the University of Kuwait in 1989-1990 and at KFUPM in Saudi Arabia in 1997-1998. He is the founder and President of the EEC Engineering Consultant in Dartmouth, NS, Canada. He has served as a consultant to industries, Research Laboratories (in USA, Canada, and overseas) and the United Nation UNESCO Office. His main research interests are in the area of analog and mixed-signal microelectronics. He has been appointed member of the Grant Selection Committee on Communications, Computers and Components (GSC334) of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). He serves as a consultant for NSERC's most prestigious Award: the Hertzberg Canada Gold Medal of Science and Engineering. He serves as a member of NSERC selection committee of Doctoral Prizes in Engineering and Computer Science. He serves as a Scientific Liaison Officer for NSERC University/Industry Grants. He has authored and coauthored over 200 publications. He is the recipient of the Myril B. Reed Best Paper Awards (twice) for papers presented at the Midwest Symposia on Circuits and Systems. He is a member of the Steering and Technical Committees of the Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems since 1989. He is a member of the Technical Program Committees of the NEWCAS, JIEEEEC and IMC. Has served as a Chairperson, Organizer of many technical sessions and member of the Technical Program Committee of IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, the Midwest Symposia on Circuits and Systems and the Canadian Conference on Engineering Education.