Durability Enhancement of CaSO₄ in Repetitive Operation of Chemical Heat Pump

Y. Shiren, M. Masuzawa, H. Ohkura, T. Yamagata, Y. Aman, N. Kobayashi

Abstract—An important problem for the CaSO₄/CaSO₄ · 1/2H₂O Chemical heat pump (CHP) is that the material is deactivated through repetitive reaction between hydration and dehydration in which the crystal phase of the material is transformed from III-CaSO₄ to II-CaSO₄. We investigated suppression on the phase change by adding a sulfated compound. The most effective material was MgSO₄. MgSO₄ doping increased the durability of CaSO₄ in the actual CHP repetitive cycle of hydration/dehydration to 3.6 times that of undoped CaSO₄. The MgSO₄-doped CaSO₄ showed a higher phase transition temperature and activation energy for crystal transformation from III-CaSO₄ to II-CaSO₄. MgSO₄ doping decreased the crystal lattice size of CaSO₄ · 1/2H₂O and II-CaSO₄ to smaller than that of undoped CaSO₄. Modification of the crystal structure is considered to be related to the durability change in CaSO₄ resulting from MgSO₄ doping.

Keywords—CaSO₄, chemical heat pump, durability of chemical heat storage material, heat storage.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, we have recognized the need to deal with environmental problems and energy depletion. Of the primary energy consumed in Japan, 45% is discarded as waste heat [1]. Regarding the exhaust heat from industry, 70% of the waste heat is below 200°C [2]. Low-temperature waste heat can be used as a countermeasure to the environmental and energy problem. Expectations are that chemical heat pumps (CHPs) can run on waste heat.

CHP is a heat pump system that uses reversible exothermic reaction, mainly between the chemical heat storage material and condensable gases. In operation, CHP has three modes: the temperature-increasing mode, in which high temperature heat is obtained using middle temperature waste heat; the heat-enhance mode, in which inputting high temperature waste heat and environmental heat to CHP makes more middle heat output than the input of high temperature heat; and the cooling mode in which CHP generates cold heat from high or middle temperature waste heat.

We can choose chemical heat storage materials for the CHP system, of which the $CaSO_4/CaSO_4 \cdot 1/2H_2O$ CHP system that uses a vapor-solid reaction of (1) is suitable for low-temperature waste heat.

$$III - CaSO_4 + 1/2H_2O(g)$$
(1)
= $\beta - CaSO_4 \cdot 1/2H_2O + 32.886 \ kJ / mol$

Y. Shiren, M. Masuzawa, H. Ohkura, T. Yamagata, and Y. Aman are with the Ricoh Institute of Technology, Ricoh ltd., Japan (phone: +81-50-3817-4895 e-mail: yohhei.shren@nts.ricoh.co.jp).

N. Kobayashi is with the Chemical and Biological Engineering Department, Nagoya University, Japan, (e-mail: kobayashi@energy.gr.jp). This system can generate about 180° C heat in temperature-increasing mode or about 5° C heat in the cooling mode from waste heat of around 130° C. Further, this system has the advantages that gypsum is inexpensive, the system uses no toxicants, and it is easy to design the reactor vessel because the change in volume of the CaSO₄ is small between the III-CaSO₄ and β -CaSO₄ $\cdot 1/2$ H₂O.

We must overcome deactivation of the $CaSO_4$ through repetitive reaction to put the $CaSO_4/CaSO_4 \cdot 1/2H_2O$ CHP system into practical use. This is needed because the output of the CHP decreases with the deactivation. The deactivation of $CaSO_4$ is a change in crystal structure from III-CaSO_4 to II-CaSO_4. II-CaSO_4 does not react with water vapor and it is difficult to regenerate it to III-CaSO_4. For that reason, the phase change to II-CaSO_4 should be avoided.

The highest reaction temperature of the $CaSO_4/CaSO_4 \cdot 1/2H_2O$ CHP system, which is 191°C when the system is run under 101.3kPa water vapor, is lower than 250°C, the temperature at which III-CaSO₄ starts to change to II-CaSO₄ in dry air [3]. However, Gardet et al. showed that the phase change temperature to II-CaSO₄ decreases in water vapor [4]; in CHP operation, Lee et al. also reported a phase change to II-CaSO₄ [5]. The II-CaSO₄ phase change is enhanced at higher temperature and water vapor pressure posing a serious problem, especially in the temperature-increasing mode.

When we took into account that the inertness of II-CaSO₄ comes from stability of the crystal structure, we expected that lattice distortion of the CaSO₄ that results from substituting other atoms for Ca-cites would inhibit the phase change resulting from stabilization of III-CaSO₄ or destabilization of II-CaSO₄.

In this study, we investigated suppression on the phase change from III-CaSO₄ to II-CaSO₄ by adding a sulfated compound based on that expectation. The purpose was to inhibit the reduction of heat output in the CHP operation.

II. EXPERIMENT

First, the most effective additive for the phase change inhibition to II-CaSO4 was examined using various sulfated compounds by screening experiments. $MgSO_4$ was then added to $CaSO_4$, which was best in the screening experiments; this was examined by repeating the hydration/dehydration cycle to confirm suppression with the additive on phase change to II-CaSO₄ in the CHP operation. We also investigated the changes of thermal property and crystal structure when $MgSO_4$ is added.

A. Screening Experiment

1. Specimen Preparation

Aqueous solutions were prepared for mixing with β -CaSO₄· 1/2H₂O so that the additive amount of 0.5, 1.0, 5.0mol% per 1mol of β -CaSO₄· 1/2H₂O was mixed with water; water weight was at 74% of β -CaSO₄· 1/2H₂O. Tried additive was MgSO₄· 7H₂O, K₂SO₄, Na₂SO₄, FeSO₄· 7H₂O, AlK(SO₄)₂· 12H₂O, and AlNa(SO₄)₂· 12H₂O. The aqueous solution and β -CaSO₄· 1/2H₂O powder was mixed and stirred, and then casted into a silicone-mold of 25mm × 25mm × 5mm and cured. The samples were dehydrated by heating at 150°C for 5hrs in a vacuum and stored in a laboratory environment. In the laboratory environment, CaSO₄ was fully hemihydrated by reacting with water vapor in the atmosphere.

2. Batch Process to Turn into II-CaSO₄

Fig. 1 shows the experimental apparatus for turning into $II-CaSO_4$ by heating in water vapor in a batch process.

The sample cell holding the sample and the evaporator half filled by water are connected via pipes and valve V1. Constant water vapor pressure is applied to the sample by opening valve V1. Temperature of the sample cell was kept at 180°C and that of the evaporator was at 100°C; pressure of the water vapor was 101.3kPa. The piping section was heated to 120°C by the heater to prevent condensation of the vapor.

Samples were set on the sample holder and placed in the sample cell preheated to 180°C and evacuated for 30 min. In that time the samples were dehydrated from hemihydrate gypsum. After evacuation, valve V3 was closed. The samples were exposed to 101.3kPa water vapor by opening valve V1 for 150 min. The samples were dehydrated by evacuating for 15 min after closing valve V1 and opening valve V3. The samples were kept in the laboratory environment for more than one day.





Sample 5Valve 6Oil bath 7 Heater
 Fig. 1 Setup of screening experiment

The II-CaSO₄ rate was measured using X-ray diffraction. As the crystal structure of II-CaSO₄ and CaSO₄ \cdot 1/2H₂O, which was hydrated from III-CaSO₄ in the laboratory environment, is different, the diffraction profile obtained by the X-ray diffraction measurement is also different. Therefore, the diffraction profile of the sample, which includes both crystal types, is obtained in the form of overlapping individual peaks. Because there is correlation between the mixture ratio of the crystal phases and the peak strength ratio of both phases, the mixture ratio of II-CaSO₄ in an unknown sample is estimated by measuring the peak strength ratio of a known sample. Peaks for estimating the mixture ratio were selected that do not overlap peaks of the CaSO₄·1/2H₂O and II-CaSO₄; i.e., the intensities of the peak areas at $2\theta = 29.9^{\circ}$ for CaSO₄·1/2H₂O and at $2\theta = 36.4^{\circ}$ for II-CaSO₄ were compared in the condition that diffracted X-ray wavelength is $\lambda = 1.54006$ Å.

B. Hydration/dehydration Cycle Experiment

1. Specimen Preparation

The CaSO₄ samples mixed with 0, 2, 4mol% of MgSO₄ were made in the same way as II-A(1) except that α -CaSO₄ · 1/2H₂O was used instead of β -CaSO₄ · 1/2H₂O; mixed water was 40% of α -CaSO₄ · 1/2H₂O; and thickness of the sample was 1mm instead of 5mm to finish hydration/dehydration in the cycle experiment.

2. Experiment Apparatus

Fig. 2 shows the experimental apparatus. The sample was placed on the heat flux sensor in the sample cell and the hydration/dehydration cycle experiment was operated in the experimental program controlling water vapor pressure. Constant flow of water vapor was supplied to the sample cell through the mass flow controller, and the water vapor pressure applied to the sample was kept constant by controlling the outflow using the control valve. The amount of hydration and dehydration of the sample was measured in units of seconds from the difference in steam flow between the mass flow controller and the mass flow meter. Also, the amount of heat input and output to the sample was measured in units of seconds from the heat flux sensor underneath the sample.



Fig. 2 Experiment equipment for Hydration/dehydration cycle experiment

In this experiment, the increasing ratio of II-CaSO₄ through the hydration/dehydration cycles is estimated from the decrease in heat output measured by the heat flux sensor. As only III-CaSO₄ reacts with water vapor, the ratio of II-CaSO₄ at each cycle is obtained by measuring the decreasing ratio of the output heat at the time compared to the initial output heat.

3. Experiment Conditions

Hydration and dehydration cycles were repeated under the conditions that temperature of the sample cell was kept at 150°C and the steam pressure of 100kPa or 3.2kPa was added to the sample alternately at 10min intervals. Undoped CaSO₄ underwent 100 cycles and MgSO₄-2, 4mol%-doped CaSO₄ underwent 400 cycles.

C. PHYSICAL Properties Analysis of MgSO₄-doped CaSO₄

1. Thermal Analysis

TG-DTA analysis of $MgSO_4$ -2, 4mol%-doped and undoped $CaSO_4$ samples, which did not undergo the de/hydration cycle experiment, was performed to measure the phase transition temperature from III-CaSO₄ to II-CaSO₄ in dry air.

The activation energies to II-CaSO₄ were estimated by Kissinger relation for the same samples with the TG-DTA measurement. Estimation was made using DTA measurement, in which the rate of temperature rise was varied at 6, 10, and 20° C/min.

The Kissinger relation represents the relationship between activation energy E and the variety of DTA peak temperature T_m with the rate of temperature rise φ of DTA measurement in exoergic or endoergic reaction [6], [7]. The Kissinger relation is

$$E R^{-1} T_m^{-1} = -\ln(\phi R^{-1} T_m^{-2}) + \ln(EA^{-1})$$
(2)

where R is gas constant and A is frequency factor.

2. Analysis of Crystal Structure

Synchrotron radiation X-ray diffraction measurement was performed to investigate the change in crystalline structure of the $CaSO_4$. This was done by mixing $MgSO_4$ before and after the hydration/dehydration cycle experiment and the mixed states of $MgSO_4$ in the samples.

MgSO₄-1, 2, 4mol%-doped and undoped CaSO₄ samples were measured by XRD; these were made in the manner of II-B-1 and de/hydrated 0, 100, 390 times in the experiment of II-B-3. Before XRD measurement, the samples were stored in laboratory conditions where CaSO₄ and MgSO₄ were each hydrated to CaSO₄ \cdot 1/2H₂O and MgSO₄ \cdot 6H₂O.

III. RESULTS

A. Screening Experiment Results

Fig. 3 shows the screening experiment results. Suppression to II-CaSO₄ is shown in the samples adding MgSO₄, K_2SO_4 , FeSO₄, and AlK(SO₄)₂. MgSO₄ achieved the best suppression. The accelerative effect to II-CaSO₄ is shown in the samples adding Na₂SO₄ and AlNa(SO₄)₂.



Fig. 3 Molar ratio of II-CaSO₄ to total CaSO₄ in the CaSO₄ samples mixed with sulfated compounds after the batch process to turn into $II-CaSO_4$

B. Results of the Hydration/dehydration Cycle Experiment of MgSO₄-doped CaSO₄

Fig. 4 shows the results of the hydration/dehydration cycle experiment of $MgSO_4$ -doped CaSO₄. The rate of output decline of $MgSO_4$ -doped CaSO₄ was slower than that of undoped CaSO₄, which means that adding $MgSO_4$ in CaSO₄ is effective in suppressing III-CaSO₄ to II-CaSO₄ in the CHP operation. By adding $MgSO_4$, the CHP cycle operation number until a 20% decline in the output increased to 112 times from 31 times of the undoped CaSO₄ – extended about 3.6 times. There were few differences in suppression between 2mol% and 4mol% of MgSO₄ doping.



Fig. 4 Decrease ratio of hydration heat output of CaSO₄ samples mixed with 0, 2, 4mol% of MgSO₄ to initial hydration heat output of each samples in hydration/dehydration cycle experiment

Table I shows the hemihydration heat of each sample in the third hydration of the cycle experiment. The hydration heat measured by the heat flux sensor is standardized by the hydration amount of 1/2 mol as the hemihydration heat of the samples to compare the experimental values to the theoretical hemihydration heat of CaSO₄. The difference in hemihydration heat between the MgSO₄-doped and the undoped CaSO₄ was small, within ±2%, but the quantity of hydration per 1g of dried sample decreased. Total hydration heat per weight decreased by around 10% with the addition of MgSO₄.

TABLE I QUANTITY AND HEAT OF HYDRATION AT 3RD HYDRATION IN DE/HYDRATION CYCLE EXPERIMENT

CTCLE LAFERIMENT		
	n (*)	Hydration heat
	[-]	[kJ/(1/2mol-H ₂ O)]
Undoped CaSO ₄	1.05	30.19
MgSO ₄ _2mol%	0.95	29.63
MgSO ₄ _4mol%	0.94	30.76
Ideal CaSO ₄	1	32.886

(*) n: Number that is standardized quantity of hydration per 1g of dried sample with quantity of ideal hemihydration of $CaSO_4$ of 1g

C. Results of Physical Properties Analysis

1. Result of Thermal Analysis

Fig. 5 shows the results of TG-DTA measurement of the transition temperature to II-CaSO₄ from III-CaSO₄ in dry air. TG profiles in Fig. 5 show that there is no weight change in the temperature region above 350° C. It means that the DTA peaks

above the temperature are not originated to dehydration from either $MgSO_4 \cdot nH_2O$ or $CaSO_4 \cdot nH_2O$; DTA peaks above 350°C indicate the phase transition from III-CaSO4 to II-CaSO4. DTA peaks of $MgSO_4$ -2,4mol%-doped CaSO₄ shifted higher to 408, 415°C from 340°C of undoped CaSO₄.



Fig. 5 Results of TG-DTA measurement of $CaSO_4$ samples mixed with 0, 2, 4mol% of $MgSO_4$

Table II shows the activation energy from III-CaSO₄ to II-CaSO₄ in dry air calculated by (2). MgSO₄-doped CaSO₄ had higher activation energy than undoped CaSO₄ from III-CaSO₄ to II-CaSO₄.





2. Results of Crystal Structure Analysis

The basic crystalline form of CaSO₄ did not change with the presence or absence of added MgSO₄, whereas the shifts of XRD peaks originated to gypsum were observed in the MgSO₄-doped samples. Fig. 6 shows the shifts of XRD peaks around 2θ =31.7° originated to CaSO₄ · 1/2H2O. When the amount of MgSO₄ was increased in the sample, the XRD peaks shifted to a large diffraction angle; i.e., the lattice number

decreased.

Also, the XRD peaks that originate to II-CaSO₄ after the hydration/dehydration cycle experiment seem to shift in direction, which means the lattice number decreases when MgSO₄ is added. Fig. 7 shows the XRD peaks around 2θ =55.7° originated to II-CaSO₄ of undoped CaSO₄ <100cycles>, MgSO₄-4mol%-doped CaSO₄ <100cycles>, and MgSO₄-4mol%-doped CaSO₄ <390cycles>. The molar ratio of II-CaSO4 in these samples is >90%, 36.7%, and >90% each. The peak position of MgSO₄-doped CaSO₄ in a relationally low ratio of II-CaSO₄ is the same as that of undoped CaSO₄, which is almost II-CaSO₄. In contrast, that of MgSO4-doped CaSO₄. which is almost II-CaSO4, has a slightly smaller lattice number than that of undoped CaSO₄, which is almost II-CaSO₄.



Fig. 7 Differences of XRD peaks of II-CaSO₄ between undoped and MgSO₄-4mol%-doped CaSO₄ after 100 and 390 cycles of hydration/dehydration

Fig. 8 shows the XRD profiles of the MgSO₄-doped and undoped samples before the hydration/dehydration experiment; the samples indicate the peaks of MgSO₄ \cdot 6H₂O to be around 20=16.2, 17.3, 18.1°. The more MgSO₄ is added, the stronger the intensity of the MgSO₄ \cdot 6H₂O peaks, which means the same amount of MgSO₄ remains in the sample as in a single compound.



Fig. 8 Diffraction peaks originated to MgSO₄·6H₂O in XRD profile of MgSO₄-doped CaSO₄·1/2H₂O samples before hydration/dehydration cycle experiment

IV. CONSIDERATIONS

Considering the difference in suppression by adding sulfated compound to CaSO₄, the sulfated compounds constituted from Mg, which is the same group in the periodic table as Ca, K and Fe, which is the same row as Ca, are effective in suppressing deterioration to II-CaSO₄. In contrast, the sulfated compounds constituted from Na, which resides in a different group of the periodic table from Ca, accelerates the deterioration. These results lead us to infer that the sulfated compound constituted from the elements, which is easier to substitute to Ca sites of CaSO₄, indicates higher suppression. MgSO₄ indicated the highest suppression in the experiment. Because of that, the sulfated compounds constituted from Be, Zn, Sr, and Ba, which are in the same group of the periodic table as Ca and Mg, are suspected of suppressing deterioration to II-CaSO₄.

We next consider the relationship of suppression to $II-CaSO_4$, the activation energy to $II-CaSO_4$, and the changes in crystal structure.

Suppression to II-CaSO₄ of the MgSO₄-2mol% and 4mol%-doped sample is the same in the hydration/dehydration cycles experiment as shown in Fig. 4; the activation energies of these samples to II-CaSO₄ are approximately the same as shown in Table II. These results infer that an increase in the activation energy to II-CaSO₄ when MgSO₄ is added suppresses the phase change of III-CaSO₄ or CaSO₄ · 1/2H₂O to II-CaSO₄ in the hydration/dehydration cycles operation.

We then consider the change in lattice number when MgSO₄ is added. The lattice number of $CaSO_4 \cdot 1/2H_2O$ decreases when MgSO₄ is added before the cycle experiment, which infers that Mg atoms substitute Ca sites in specimen preparation. The decrease in lattice number may stem from the fact that the ionic radius of Mg²⁺ is smaller than that of Ca²⁺. Beyond that, the lattice number of II-CaSO₄ also decreases at high II-CaSO₄ ratio as shown in Fig. 7, indicating that Mg atoms substitute Ca sites in II-CaSO₄ crystal, and the phase change to II-CaSO₄ starts from the part where MgSO₄ is well mixed next to the part where MgSO₄ is in low density or empty.

As described above, $MgSO_4$ is taken into the crystal of the $CaSO_4$ and changes the crystalline structure of $CaSO_4$. This is expected to suppress the phase change to II-CaSO₄.

It is therefore reasonable to assume that the activation energy to II-CaSO₄ increases with the change in crystalline structure of gypsum; i.e., as Mg atoms substituted to Ca sites inhibit the phase change from III-CaSO₄ to II-CaSO₄, higher energy is required for the phase change. However, rather than increasing Mg density, a threshold for a higher amount of Mg doping makes the crystal structure unstable, possibly promoting the phase transition to II-CaSO₄. This is supported by the supposition that high density of the sulfated compound promoted the phase change to II-CaSO₄ in the screening experiment.

V.CONCLUSION

This study discloses that adding $MgSO_4$ to $CaSO_4$ suppresses the phase change from III-CaSO₄ to II-CaSO₄ in operation of the CHP system. It also discloses that $MgSO_4$ -doped CaSO₄ has a higher phase change temperature and activation energy from $III-CaSO_4$ to $II-CaSO_4$, and a lower lattice number than undoped $CaSO_4$.

A foothold in the technique to improve the durability of $CaSO_4$ in hydration/dehydration repetitive operation was found. This result will be an effective step in achieving temperature-increasing mode CHP utilizing $CaSO_4$.

REFERENCES

- [1] COCN, (Leading-edge technology to be applied to energy network), 2014,
- http://www.cocn.jp/common/pdf/thema63-L.pdf (accessed Jan 6th 2015)
 [2] Energy Conservation Center, Japan, (Survey Research of factories waste heat), 2001, pp. 14.
- [3] Society of Inorganic Materials, Japan ed., Cement · Gypsum · Lime Handbook, Giho-do, 1995, pp. 142-143.
- [4] J. J. Gardet, B. Guilhot, M. Soustelle, Cement and Concrete Research. Vol. 6, 1976, pp. 193-200.
- [5] Jun-Hee Lee, H. Ogura, S. Satoh, App. Term. Eng., Vol. 63, 1, Feb. 2014, pp. 192-199.
- [6] H. E. Kissinger, Anal. Chem., Vol. 29, 11, pp. 1702-1706, 1957
- [7] T. Kotoyori, "Energy of Activation for Oxidative Pyrolysis of Several Plastics", Research Report of the Research Institute of Industry Safety, RR-19-4. 1971, https://www.jniosh.go.jp/publication/doc/rr/RR-19-4.pdf (accessed Jan 6th 2015).