International Journal of Engineering, Mathematical and Physical Sciences
ISSN: 2517-9934
Vol:8, No:2, 2014

Relative Injective Modules and Relative Flat Modules

Jianmin Xing, Rufeng Xing

Abstract—Let R be a ring, n a fixed nonnegative integer. The concepts of $(n,0)$-FI-injective and $(n,0)$-FI-flat modules, and then give some characterizations of these modules over left n-coherent rings are introduced . In addition, we investigate the left and right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolutions of R-modules by left (right) derived functors $\mathrm{Ext}_n(-,-)$ ($\mathrm{Tor}^n(-,-)$) over a left n-coherent ring, where n-$\mathcal{FI}$ stands for the categories of all $(n,0)$- injective left R-modules. These modules together with the left or right derived functors are used to study the $(n,0)$-injective dimensions of modules and rings.

Keywords—$(n,0)$-injective module, $(n,0)$-injective dimension, $(n,0)$-FI-injective(flat) module, (Pre)cover, (Pre)envelope.

I. Introduction

THROUGHOUT this paper, n is a positive integer unless a special note. R denotes an associative ring with identity and all modules considered are unitary. $M_R(_RM)$ denotes a right(left) R-module. For an R-module M, $E(M)$ stands for the injective envelope of M, the character module $\mathrm{Hom}_Z(M,Q/Z)$ is denoted by M^+, and id(M)(fd(M)) is the injective(flat) dimension of M.

B. Stenstr$\ddot{o}$m [11] defined and studied FP-injective modules. FP-injective modules are also called absolutely pure modules[9], these modules have been studied by many authors. In the paper [11], right Noetherian rings, right coherent rings, right semihereditary rings and regular rings are characterized by FP-injective right R-modules. It has been recently proven that every left R-module has an FP-injective cover over a left coherent ring R in the paper [9].On the other hand, every left R-module M has an FP-injective preenvelope over any ring in the paper [6]. In the paper [7], L.X.Mao and N.Q.Ding introduced the definitions of FI-injective and FI-flat modules and give some characterizations of these modules over left coherent rings. FI-injective and FI-flat modules together with the left derived functors of Hom are used to study the FP-injective dimensions of modules and rings.

As generalizations of the paper [7], we introduce the definitions of $(n,0)$-FI-injective and $(n,0)$-FI-flat modules and give some characterizations of these modules over left n-coherent rings. In addition, we investigate the left and right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolutions of R-modules by left (right) derived functors $\mathrm{Ext}_n(-,-)$ ($\mathrm{Tor}^n(-,-)$) over a left n-coherent ring, where n-$\mathcal{FI}$ stands for the categories of all $(n.0)$-injective left R-modules. These modules together with the left or right derived functors are used to study the $(n,0)$-injective dimensions of modules and rings.

Jianmin Xing is with the College of Mathematics and Physics, Qingdao University of Science and Technology , Qingdao, 266061, P.R.China (e-mail: xingjianmin1978@163.com).
Rufeng Xing is with the College of Physical and Environmental Oceanography, Ocean University of China , Qingdao, 266100, P.R.China (e-mail: 100season@sina.com).

We recall some known notions and facts needed in the sequel.

Let R be a ring and n be a non-negative integer. A left R-module M is called n-presented in case there is an exact sequence of left R-modules $F_n \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$ in which every F_i is a finitely generated free [3], equivalently projective left R-module. Let n,d be non-negative integers. According to [13] , a left R-module M is called (n,d)-injective(respectively (n,d)-flat) if $\mathrm{Ext}^{d+1}(N,M) = 0$(respectively $\mathrm{Tor}_{d+1}(N,M) = 0$) for all n-presented left (respectively right) R-modules N. The $(n,0)$-injective($(n,0)$-flat) dimension of M[14], denoted by $(n,0)$-id(M)($(n,0)$-fd(M)), is defined to be the smallest nonnegative integer m such that $\mathrm{Ext}^{m+1}(F,M) = 0$($\mathrm{Tor}_{m+1}(F,M) = 0$) for every n-presented left R-module F (if no such m exists, set $(n,0)$- id(M)($(n,0)$-fd(M)) $= \infty$), and l.$(n,0)$-dim(R) (l.$(n,0)$-wdim(R)) is defined as $\sup\{(n,0)\text{-id}(M)((\text{n,0})-fd(\text{M})) : M \text{ is a left } R\text{-module}\}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of R-modules and M an R-module. Following [5], we say that a homomorphism $\varphi : M \longrightarrow C$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-preenvelope if $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and the abelian group homomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}(\varphi, C') : \mathrm{Hom}\ (C,C') \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(M,C')$ is surjective for each $C' \in \mathcal{C}$. A $\mathcal{C}$-preenvelope $\varphi : M \longrightarrow C$ is said to be a $\mathcal{C}$-envelope if every endomorphism $g : C \longrightarrow C$ such that $g\varphi = \varphi$ is an isomorphism. Dually we have the definitions of a $\mathcal{C}$-precover and a $\mathcal{C}$-cover. $\mathcal{C}$-envelopes ($\mathcal{C}$-covers)may not exist in general, but if they exist, they are unique up to isomorphism. A homomorphism $\varphi : M \longrightarrow C$ with $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to a $\mathcal{C}$-envelope with the unique mapping property [5] if for any homomorphism $f : M \longrightarrow C'$ with $C' \in \mathcal{C}$, there is a unique homomorphism $g : C \longrightarrow C'$ such that $g\varphi = f$. Dually we have the definition of a $\mathcal{C}$-cover with the unique mapping property.

In what follows, we write $_R\mathcal{M}$ and n-$\mathcal{FI}$ for the categories of all left R-modules and all $(n,0)$- injective left R-modules, respectively. According to Costa[7],a ring R is called a left n-coherent ring in case every n-presented left R-module is $(n+1)$-presented. It is easy to see that R is left 0-coherent(resp.1-coherent)if and only if it is left noetherian(resp. coherent), and every n-coherent ring is m-coherent for $m \geq n$. n-coherent rings have been investigated by many authors(see Chen and Ding[1,4],Costa[3]). For $n \geq 1$, it has been proven that every left R-module M has an $(n,0)$-injective preenvelope over any ring in [8]. So M has a right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolution, that is, there is a $\mathrm{Hom}(-, n\text{-}\mathcal{FI})$ exact complex $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow$

$\cdots$ with each $F^i(n,0)$-injective. Obviously, the complex is exact. Let

$$L^0 = M, L^1 = \text{coker}(M \longrightarrow F_0),$$
$$L^i = \text{coker}(F^{i-2} \longrightarrow F^{i-1}) \quad \text{for } i \geq 2$$

The nth cokernel $L_n (n \geq 0)$ is called the nth n-$\mathcal{FI}$-cosyzygy of M .

On the other hand, for $n \geq 1$, it has been proven that every left R-module has an $(n,0)$-injective cover over a left n-coherent ring R [8]. So every left R-module M has a left n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolution, that is, there is a Hom (n-$\mathcal{FI}, -)$ exact complex $\cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$(not necessarily exact) with each $F_i(n,0)$-injective. Write

$$K_0 = M, K_1 = \ker(F_0 \longrightarrow M),$$
$$K_i = \ker(F_{i-1} \longrightarrow F_{i-2}) \quad \text{for } i \geq 2.$$

The nth kernel $K_n(n \geq 0)$ is called the nth n-$\mathcal{FI}$-syzygy of M.

Note that $\text{Hom}(-,-)$ is left balanced on ${}_R\mathcal{M} \times_R \mathcal{M}$ by n-$\mathcal{FI}$ $\times$ n-$\mathcal{FI}$ for a left n-coherent ring R (see[6, Definition 8.2.13]). Thus the nth left derived functor of $\text{Hom}(-,-)$, which is denoted by $\text{Ext}_n(-,-)$, can be computed using a right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolution of the first variable or a left n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolution of the second variable. Following [6,Definition 8.4.1], the left n-$\mathcal{FI}$-dimension of a left R-module M, denoted by left n-$\mathcal{FI}$-dim$M$, is defined as inf$\{m$: there is a left n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolution of the form $0 \longrightarrow F_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$ of $M\}$. If there is no such m, set left n-$\mathcal{FI}$-dim$(M) = \infty$. The global left n-$\mathcal{FI}$ dimension of ${}_R\mathcal{M}$, denoted by gl left n-$\mathcal{FI}$-dim$\mathcal{M}$, is defined to be sup$\{$ left n-$\mathcal{FI}$-dim(M) : $M \in_R \mathcal{M}\}$ and is infinite otherwise. The right versions can be defined similarly.

Recall that a left R-module M is called reduced [6] if M has no nonzero injective submodules.

In Section II of this paper, we introduce the concepts of $(n,0)$-FI-injective and $(n,0)$-FI-flat modules. It is shown that a left R-module M is $(n,0)$-FI-injective if and only if M is a kernel of an $(n,0)$-injective precover $A \longrightarrow B$ with A injective. For a left n-coherent ring R, we prove that a left R-module M is $(n,0)$-FI-injective if and only if M is a direct sum of an injective left R-module and a reduced $(n,0)$-FI-injective left R-module; an n-presented right R-module M is $(n,0)$-FI-flat if and only if M is a cokernel of an $(n,0)$-flat preenvelope of a right R-module.

In Section III, we investigate the $(n,0)$-injective dimensions of modules and rings in terms of $(n,0)$-FI-injective and $(n,0)$-FI-flat modules and the left derived functors $\text{Ext}_n(-,-)$. Let R be a left n-coherent ring. We first give some characterizations of left n-hereditary rings. It is proven that R is left n-hereditary(i.e., l.$(n.0)$-dim$(R) \leq 1$) if and only if the canonical map σ :$\text{Ext}_0(M,N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M,N)$ is a monomorphism for all left R-modules M and N if and only if every $(n,0)$-FI-injective left R-module is injective if and only if every$(n,0)$-FI-flat right R-module is flat. Then it is shown that l.$(n,0)$-dim$(R) \leq m (m \geq 2)$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_{m+k}(M,N) = 0$ for all left R-modules M,N and all $k \geq -1$.

In Section IV, we first investigate that the $-\otimes-$ on $\mathcal{M}_R \times_R \mathcal{M}$ is right balanced by n-$\mathcal{F}$ $\times n$-$\mathcal{FI}$ in the n-coherent ring, where n-$\mathcal{F}$ stands for the class of all $(n,0)$-flat modules. Then we introduce the right derived functors $\text{Tor}^n(-,-)$ and give some characteristic of right n-$\mathcal{F}$-dim M and n-$\mathcal{FI}$-dim M for any R-module M in the n-coherent ring R .

Let M and N be R-modules. $\text{Hom}(M,N)$ (respectively $\text{Ext}^n(M,N)$) means $\text{Hom}_R(M,N)$ (respectively $\text{Ext}^n_R(M,N)$), and similarly $M \otimes N$(respectively $\text{Tor}_n(M,N)$) denotes $M \otimes_R N$ (respectively $\text{Tor}^R_n(M,N)$) for an integer $n \geq 1$ throughout this paper. For unexplained concepts and notations, we refer the reader to [6,10,12].

II. $(n,0)$-FI-Injective Modules and $(n,0)$-FI-Flat Modules

Definition 1 A left R-module M is called $(n,0)$-FI-injective if $\text{Ext}^1(G,M) = 0$ for any $(n,0)$-injective left R-module G.

A right R-module N is said to be $(n,0)$-FI-flat if $\text{Tor}_1(N,G) = 0$ for any $(n,0)$-injective left R-module G.

Remark 1 (1) A right R-module M is $(n,0)$-FI-flat if and only if M^+ is $(n,0)$-FI-injective by the standard isomorphism: $\text{Ext}^1(N,M^+) \simeq \text{Tor}_1(M,N)^+$ for any left R-module N.

(2) We note that by the above definitions that $(1,0)$-FI-injective (flat) modules are FI-injective (flat)module in [7] and any FI-injective (flat) module is $(n,0)$-FI-injective (flat) for any $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 1 Let $\{M_i\}_I$ be family of right R-module

(1) $\oplus_I M_i$ is $(n,0)$-FI-flat if and only if each M_i is $(n,0)$-FI-flat;

(2) $\prod_I M_i$ is $(n,0)$-FI-injective if and only if each M_i is $(n,0)$-FI-injective.

Proof (1) By $\text{Tor}_1(G, \oplus_I M_i) \simeq \oplus_I \text{Tor}_1(G,M_i)$;

(2)By $\text{Ext}^1(G, \prod_I M_i) \simeq \prod_I \text{Ext}^1(G,M_i)$.

Definition 2 A ring R is said to be $(n,0)$-IP-ring if every $(n,0)$-injective R-module is projective ; R is said to be $(n,0)$-IF-ring if every $(n,0)$-injective R-module is flat. It is trivial to show that if $n \geq n'$, then every $(n,0)$-IP(IF) ring is an $(n',0)$-IP(IF) ring and every $(0,0)$-IP-ring is an quasi-Frobenius ring and every $(0,0)$-IF-ring is an IF ring.

Next,we shall see that the class of right $(n,0)$-IP(IF) -rings contains several important known rings.

Proposition 2 Let R be a ring.

(1) R is a right $(n,0)$-IP-ring if and only if every right module is $(n,0)$-FI-injective.

(2) R is a right $(n,0)$-IF-ring if and only if every left module is $(n,0)$-FI-flat.

(3) If R is a right $(n,0)$-IP-ring,then R is a right $(n,0)$-IF-ring.

Proof Directly by the definitions.

Corollary 1 Let R be a ring.

(1) R is a right quasi-Frobenius if and only if every right module is FI-injective.

(2) R is a right IF-ring if and only if every left module is FI-flat.

(3) If R is a right quasi-Frobenius, then R is a right IF -ring.

Proposition 3 The following hold for a left n-coherent ring R:

(1) A left R-module M is injective if and only if M is $(n,0)$-FI-injective and $(n,0)$-id$(M) \leq 1$.

(2) A right R-module N is flat if and only if N is $(n,0)$-FI-flat and $(n,0)$-fd$(N) \leq 1$.

Proof (1) "Only if" part is trivial.

"If" part. Let M be an $(n,0)$-FI-injective left R-module and $(n,0)$-id$(M) \leq 1$. Then there is an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$ with E injective. Note that L is $(n,0)$-injective by[14, Theorem 2.12] since R is a left n-coherent ring. So the exact sequence is split, and hence M is injective.

(2)"Only if" part is trivial.

"If" part. For any $(n,0)$-FI-flat right R-module N with $(n,0)$-fd$(N) \leq 1$, we have N^+ is $(n,0)$-FI-injective by Remark 2.2 Thus N^+ is injective by (1) since $(n,0)$-id$(N^+) \leq 1$ by [14, Theorem 2.15]. So N is flat.

Proposition 4 The following are equivalent for a left R-module M:

(1) M is $(n,0)$-FI-injective.

(2) For every exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$, where E is $(n,0)$-injective, $E \longrightarrow L$ is an $(n,0)$-injective precover of L.

(3) M is a kernel of an $(n,0)$-injective precover $f : A \longrightarrow B$ with A injective.

(4) M is injective with respect to every exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$, where C is $(n,0)$-injective.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (1) $\Rightarrow$ (4) are clear by definitions.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is obvious since there exists a short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow E(M) \longrightarrow E(M)/M \longrightarrow 0$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let M be a kernel of an $(n,0)$-injective precover $f : A \longrightarrow B$ with A injective. Then we have an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A/M \longrightarrow 0$. So, for any $(n,0)$-injective left R-module N, the sequence $\text{Hom}(N,A) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(N,A/M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(N,M) \longrightarrow 0$ is exact. It is easy to verify that $\text{Hom}(N,A) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(N,A/M) \longrightarrow 0$ is exact by (3). Thus $\text{Ext}^1(N,M) = 0$, and so (1) follows.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). For each $(n,0)$-injective left R-module N, there exists a short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$ with P projective, which induces an exact sequence $\text{Hom}(P,M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(K,M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(N,M) \longrightarrow 0$. Note that $\text{Hom}(P,M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(K,M) \longrightarrow 0$ is exact by (4). Hence $\text{Ext}^1(N,M) = 0$, as desired.

Proposition 5 Let R be a left n-coherent ring. Then the following are equivalent for a left R- module M:

(1)M is a reduced $(n,0)$-FI-injective left R-module.

(2)M is a kernel of an $(n,0)$-injective cover $f : A \longrightarrow B$ with A injective.

Proof (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2) By Proposition 4, the natural map $\pi : E(M) \longrightarrow E(M)/M$ is an $(n,0)$-injective precover. Note that $E(M)/M$ has an $(n,0)$-injective cover, and $E(M)$ has no nonzero direct summand K contained in M since M is reduced. It follows that $\pi : E(M) \longrightarrow E(M)/M$ is an $(n,0)$-injective cover by [12,Corollary 1.2.8], and hence (2) follows.

(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (1) Let M be a kernel of an $(n,0)$-injective cover $\alpha : A \longrightarrow B$ with$A$ injective. By Proposition 4, M is $(n,0)$-FI-injective. Now let K be an injective submodule of M. Suppose $A = K \oplus L, p : A \longrightarrow L$ is the projection and $i : L \longrightarrow A$ is the inclusion . It is easy to see that $\alpha(ip) = \alpha$ since $\alpha(K) = 0$. Therefore ip is an isomorphism since α is a cover. Thus i is epic, and hence $A = L, K = 0$. So M is reduced.

Theorem 1 Let R be a left n-coherent ring. Then a left R-module M is $(n,0)$-FI-injective if and only if M is a direct sum of an injective left R-module and a reduced $(n,0)$-FI-injective left R-module.

Proof"If" part is clear.

"Only if" part. Let M be an $(n,0)$-FI-injective left R-module. Consider the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow E(M) \longrightarrow E(M)/M \longrightarrow 0$. Note that $E(M) \longrightarrow E(M)/M$ is an $(n,0)$-injective precover of $E(M)/M$ by Proposition 2.8. But $E(M)/M$ has an $(n,0)$-injective cover $L \longrightarrow E(M)/M$, so we have the commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \to & K & \xrightarrow{f} & L & \to & E(M)/M & \to & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow^{\varphi} & & \downarrow^{\gamma} & & \| & & \\
0 & \to & M & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & E(M) & \to & E(M)/M & \to & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow^{\sigma} & & \downarrow^{\beta} & & \| & & \\
0 & \to & K & \xrightarrow{f} & L & \to & E(M)/M & \to & 0
\end{array}$$

Note that $\beta\gamma$ is an isomorphism, and so $E(M) = \ker(\beta) \oplus \text{im}(\gamma)$. Thus L and $\ker(\beta)$ are injective (for $\text{im}(\gamma) \simeq L$). Therefore K is a reduced $(n,0)$-FI-injective module by Proposition 9. Since $\sigma\varphi$ is an isomorphism by the Five Lemma, we have $M = \ker(\sigma) \oplus \text{im}(\varphi)$,where $\text{im}(\varphi) \simeq K$.In addition, we get the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \to & \ker(\sigma) & \to & \ker(\beta) & \to & 0 & \to & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \to & M & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & E(M) & \to & E(M)/M & \to & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow^{\sigma} & & \downarrow^{\beta} & & \| & & \\
0 & \to & K & \xrightarrow{f} & L & \to & E(M)/M & \to & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

Hence $\ker(\sigma) \simeq \ker(\beta)$ by the 3×3 Lemma [10,Exercise6.16,p.175]. This completes the proof.

It is well known that if R is a left n-coherent ring , then every right R-module has a $(n,0)$-flat preenvelope (see[13]). Here we have

Proposition 6 Let R be a left n-coherent ring.

(1) If L is a cokernel of a $(n,0)$-flat preenvelope $f : K \longrightarrow F$ of a right R-module K,where F is flat, then L is $(n,0)$-FI-flat.

(2) If M is an n-presented $(n,0)$-FI-flat right R-module, then M is a cokernel of an $(n,0)$-flat preenvelope.

Proof (1) There is an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \text{im}(f) \xrightarrow{i} F \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$. It is clear that $i : \text{im}(f) \longrightarrow F$ is an $(n,0)$-flat preenvelope. For any $(n,0)$-injective left R-module N, N^+ is $(n,0)$-flat by [14,Theorem 2.15]. Thus we obtain an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}(F, N^+) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{im}(f), N^+) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which yields the exactness of $(F \otimes N)^+ \longrightarrow (\mathrm{im}(f) \otimes N)^+ \longrightarrow 0$. So the sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{im}(f) \otimes N \longrightarrow F \otimes N$ is exact. But the flatness of F implies the exactness of $0 = \mathrm{Tor}_1(F, N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1(L, N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{im}(f) \otimes N \longrightarrow F \otimes N$, and hence $\mathrm{Tor}_1(L, N) = 0$.

(2) Let M be an n-presented $(n, 0)$-FI-flat right R-module.There is an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$ with P finitely generated projective and K is $(n-1)$-presented. We claim that $K \longrightarrow P$ is an $(n, 0)$-flat preenvelope. In fact, for any $(n, 0)$-flat right R-module F, we have $\mathrm{Tor}_1(M, F^+) = 0$, and so we get the following commutative diagram with the first row exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K \otimes F^+ & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & P \otimes F^+ \\ & & \downarrow^{\tau_{K,F}} & & \downarrow^{\tau_{P,F}} \\ & & \mathrm{Hom}(K, F)^+ & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathrm{Hom}(P, F)^+. \end{array}$$

Note that $\tau_{K,F}$ is an epimorphism and $\tau_{P,F}$ is an isomorphism by [2, Lemma 2]. Thus θ is a monomorphism, and hence $\mathrm{Hom}(P, F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(K, F)$ is epic, as required.

We shall say that a right R-module M is strongly $(n, 0)$ -FI-flat if $\mathrm{Tor}_i(M, G) = 0$ for all $(n, 0)$-injective left R-modules G and all $i \geq 1$. Similarly, a left R-module N will be called strongly $(n, 0)$ - FI-injective if $\mathrm{Ext}^i(G, N) = 0$ for all $(n, 0)$-injective left R-modules G and all $i \geq 1$.

Theorem 2 Let R be a left and right n-coherent ring. Consider the following conditions:

(1) $(n, 0)\text{-id}(_RR) \leq 1$.

(2) Every submodule of an $(n, 0)$-FI-flat right R-module, which factor module is n-presented, is $(n, 0)$-FI-flat.

(3) Every n-presented $(n, 0)$-FI-flat right R-module is strongly $(n, 0)$-FI-flat.

(4) Every $(n, 0)$-FI-injective left R-module is strongly $(n, 0)$-FI-injective.

(5) Every quotient of an $(n, 0)$-FI-injective left R-module is $(n, 0)$-FI-injective.

Then $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Leftarrow (4) \Leftarrow (5)$.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let A be a submodule of an $(n, 0)$-FI-flat right R-module B such that B/A is n-presented and M an $(n, 0)$- injective left R-module. Then one gets an exact sequence $\mathrm{Tor}_2(B/A, M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1(A, M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1(B, M) = 0$. On the other hand, there is a pure exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \prod(R_R)^+$ since $(R_R)^+$ is a cogenerator in $R-$Mod. Thus we get a split exact sequence $(\prod(R_R)^+)^+ \longrightarrow M^+ \longrightarrow 0$. Note that $(n, 0)\text{-fd}((R_R)^+) = (n, 0)\text{-id}(R_R) \leq 1$ by [14,Theorem 2.15], and so $(n, 0)\text{-fd}(\prod(R_R)^+) \leq 1$ since R is right n-coherent. It follows that $(n, 0)\text{-id}((\prod(R_R)^+)^+) = (n, 0)\text{-fd}(\prod(R_R)^+) \leq 1$ by [14,Theorem 2.15]. Hence $(n, 0)\text{-fd}(M) = (n, 0)\text{-id}(M^+) \leq 1$. Thus $\mathrm{Tor}_2(B/A, M) = 0$ by the condition, and so $\mathrm{Tor}_1(A, M) = 0$. Therefore, A is $(n, 0)$-FI-flat.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let M be an n-presented $(n, 0)$-FI-flat right R-module. Then there is an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$ with P projective. So K is $(n, 0)$-FI-flat by (2). Thus M is strongly $(n, 0)$-FI-flat by induction.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Let M be an $(n, 0)$-FI-injective left R-module.Then there is an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$ with E injective. So L is $(n, 0)$-FI-injective by(5). It is easy to check that M is strongly $(n, 0)$-FI-injective by induction.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) holds by Remark 2 and the standard isomorphism: $\mathrm{Ext}^n(N, M^+) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_n(M, N)^+$ for any right R-module M, any left R-module N and any $n \geq 1$ (see[10, p.360]).

Recall that a short exact sequence of right R-modules $0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$ is called n-pure if every n-presented right R-module is projective with respect to this sequence[14]. In this case , A is said to be an n-pure submodule of B .It is easy to see that the pure exact sequence is 1-pure exact in this definition, and the pure exact sequence must be n-pure . Let A be a pure submodule of the right R-module B , A must be an n-pure submodule of B.

Proposition 7 A left $(n, 0)$-FI-injective R-module N is $(n, 0)$-injective if and only if, for every n-presented left R-module M, every homomorphism $f : M \longrightarrow L$ factors through an injective left R-module,where L is a cokernel of injective envelope of N.

Proof "Only if" part. There is an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow E(N) \xrightarrow{\pi} L \longrightarrow 0$ with E injective. Since the exact sequence is n-pure, there exists $g : M \longrightarrow E$ such that $\pi g = f$, as required.

"If" part. It is enough to show that the exacts equence $0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} E(N) \xrightarrow{\pi} L \longrightarrow 0$ is n-pure by [14,Theorem 2.2]. Let M be any n-presented right R-module. For any $f : M \longrightarrow L$, there exist an injective left R-module Q and $g : M \longrightarrow Q$ and $h : Q \longrightarrow L$ such that $f = hg$ by hypothesis. Note that $E(N) \xrightarrow{\pi} L$ is a precover of L, since N is FI-injective by Proposition 4. Thus there exists $\alpha : Q \longrightarrow E(N)$ such that $h = \pi\alpha$,and so $f = \pi\alpha g$. Therefore we get an exact sequence $\mathrm{Hom}(M, E(N)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(M, L) \longrightarrow 0$. So N is $(n, 0)$-injective.

III. $(n, 0)$-Injective Dimensions and the Left Derived Functors of Hom

As is mentioned in the introduction, if R is a left n-coherent ring, then $\mathrm{Hom}(-, -)$ is left balanced on $_R\mathcal{M} \times_R \mathcal{M}$ by $n\text{-}\mathcal{FI} \times n\text{-}\mathcal{FI}$. Let $\mathrm{Ext}_n(-, -)$ denote the nth left derived functor of $\mathrm{Hom}(-, -)$ with respect to the pair $n\text{-}\mathcal{FI} \times n\text{-}\mathcal{FI}$. Then, for two left R-modules M and N, $\mathrm{Ext}_n(M, N)$ can be computed using a right $n\text{-}\mathcal{FI}$-resolution of M or a left $n\text{-}\mathcal{FI}$-resolution of N.

Let $0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{g} F^0 \xrightarrow{f} F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$ be a right $n\text{-}\mathcal{FI}$-resolution of M. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}(-, N)$,we obtain the deleted complex $\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(F^1, N) \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathrm{Hom}(F^0, N) \longrightarrow 0$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}_n(M, N)$ exactly the nth homology of the complex above. There is a canonical map σ :

$$\mathrm{Ext}_0(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}(F^0, N)/\mathrm{im}(f^*) \to \mathrm{Hom}(M, N)$$

defined by $\sigma(\alpha + \mathrm{im}(f^*)) = \alpha g$ for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}(F^0, N)$.

Proposition 8 Let R be a left n-coherent ring. The following are equivalent for a left R-module M:

(1) M is $(n, 0)$-injective.

(2) The canonical map σ : $\text{Ext}_0(M, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N)$ is an epimorphism for any left R- module N.

(3) The canonical map σ : $\text{Ext}_0(M, M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M)$ is an epimorphism.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is obvious by letting $F^0 = M$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is trivial.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). By (3), there exists $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(F^0, M)$ such that $\sigma(\alpha + \text{im}(f^*)) = \alpha g = 1_M$. Thus M is isomorphic to a direct summand of F^0, and hence it is $(n, 0)$-injective.

Corollary 2 The following are equivalent for a left n-coherent ring R .

(1) $_RR$ is $(n, 0)$-injective.

(2) The canonical map σ : $\text{Ext}_0(_RR, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(_RR, N)$ is an epimorphism for any left R- module N.

(3) The canonical map σ :$\text{Ext}_0(_RR,_R R) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(_RR,_R R)$ is an epimorphism.

(4) Every (n-presented) left R-module has an epic $(n, 0)$-injective cover.

(5) Every (n-presented) right R-module has a monic $(n, 0)$-flat preenvelope.

(6) Every (n-presented) right R-module is a submodule of a $(n, 0)$-flat right R-module.

Proof (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) follow from Proposition 8.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Let M be a left R-module, then M has an $(n, 0)$-injective cover g. On the other hand, there is an exact sequence $F \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$ with F free. Since F is $(n, 0)$–injective by (1), g is an epimorphism.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1).Let $f : N \longrightarrow_R R$ be an epic $(n, 0)$-injective cover. Then $_RR$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of N, and so $_RR$ is $(n, 0)$-injective.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5). by [13, Theorem 4.5]

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (6) is obvious.

(6) $\Rightarrow$ (5) follows since R is a left n-coherent ring and by [13, Proposition 4.1].

Proposition 9 Let R be a left n-coherent ring. Then the following are equivalent for a left R- module M:

(1) right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-dim $M \leq 1$.

(2) The canonical map σ : $\text{Ext}_0(M, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N)$ is a monomorphism for any left R- module N.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2).By (1), M has a right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolution $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow 0$. Thus we get an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(F^1, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(F^0, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N)$ for any left R-module N. Hence σ is a monomorphism.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Consider the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow L^1 \longrightarrow 0$, where $M \longrightarrow F^0$ is an $(n, 0)$-injective preenvelope. We only need to show that L^1 is $(n, 0)$-injective. By [6,Theorem 8.2.3], we have the commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \text{Ext}_0(L^1, L^1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_0(F^0, L^1) & \\ & \downarrow^{\sigma_1} & & \downarrow^{\sigma_2} & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(L^1, L^1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(F^0, L^1) & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_0(M, L^1) & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow^{\sigma_3} & \\ \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(M, L^1) & \end{array}$$

Note that σ_2 is an epimorphism by Proposition 8 and σ_3 is a monomorphism by (2).Hence σ_1 is an epimorphism by the Snake Lemma[10, Theorem 6.5].Thus L^1 is $(n, 0)$-injective by Proposition 8, and so (1)follows.

Lemma 1 Let R be a left n-coherent ring. Then

(1) right n-$\mathcal{FI}$- dim $(M) = (n, 0)$-id(M) for any left R-module M;

(2) $(n, 0)$-wdim(R) = l.$(n, 0)$-dim(R) = gl right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-dim $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof (1) It is clear that $(n, 0)$-id$(M) \leq$ right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-dim M. Conversely, we may assume that $(n, 0)$-id$(M) = m < \infty$. Let $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F^{m-1}$ be a partial right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolution of M. Then we get an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F^{m-1} \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$ Therefore, L is $(n, 0)$-injective by [14, Theorem 2.12], and so right right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-dim $M \leq m$,as desired.

(2) follows from [14, Theorem 2.15] and (1).

Lemma 2 ([7]) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of R-modules and M an R-module.

(1) If $F \longrightarrow M$ and $G \longrightarrow M$ are $\mathcal{C}$-precovers with kernels K and L,respectively, then $K \oplus G \simeq L \oplus F$.

(2) If $M \longrightarrow F$ and $M \longrightarrow G$ are $\mathcal{C}$-preenvelopes with cokernels K and L,respectively, then $K \oplus G \simeq L \oplus F$.

Recall that a left R is called left n-hereditary[14] if every $(n-1)$- presented submodule of projective left R-module is projective.

Clearly, a ring R is left semihereditary if and only if it is right 1- hereditary. Left n-hereditary ring is left $(n+1)$-hereditary.

Lemma 3([14]) The following statements are equivalent for a ring R:

(1)R is left n-hereditary.

(2)R is left n-coherent and $l.(n, 0)$-dim$(R) \leq 1$.

(3)Factor module of $(n, 0)$-injective left R-module is $(n, 0)$-injective.

(4)Factor module of injective left R-module is $(n, 0)$-injective.

(5)R is a right $(n, 1)$-ring.

Theorem 3 The following are equivalent for a left n-coherent ring R:

(1) R is a left n-hereditary ring (i.e. $l.(n, 0)$-dim$(R) \leq 1$).

(2) The canonical map $\sigma : Ext_0(M, N) \longrightarrow Hom(M, N)$ is is monic for all left R-modules M and N.

(3) Every left R-module has a monic $(n, 0)$-injective cover.

(4) Every $(n, 0)$-FI-injective left R-module is injective.

(5) Every $(n, 0)$-FI-injective left R-module is $(n, 0)$-injective.

(6) Every (n-presented) $(n, 0)$-FI-flat right R-module is flat.

(7) The kernel of any $(n, 0)$-injective (pre)cover of a left R-module is $(n, 0)$-injective.

(8) The cokernel of any $(n, 0)$-injective preenvelope of a left R-module is $(n, 0)$-injective.

(9) The kernel of any $(n, 0)$-flat (pre)cover of a right R-module is flat.

Proof (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) holds by Proposition 9 and Lemma 1.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (4) follows from Proposition 3 and Lemma 1.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (5) is trivial.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (6).Let M be an $(n, 0)$-FI-flat right R-module. Then M^+ is $(n, 0)$-FI-injective by Remark 1, and hence M^+ is

$(n,0)$-injective by (5). So M is $(n,0)$-flat by [14, Theorem 2.15].

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) follows from Lemma 3 and [13, Proposition 4.9].

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (7). Let $f: F \longrightarrow M$ be an $(n,0)$-injective precover of a left R-module M and $K =$ker(f). Since there exists a monic $(n,0)$-injective cover $g: G \longrightarrow M$ by (3), we have $K \oplus G \simeq F$ by Lemma 2(1). So K is $(n,0)$-injective.

(7) $\Rightarrow$ (1).It is enough to show that any quotient of an $(n,0)$-injective left R-module is $(n,0)$- injective. But it is clear by Lemma 2.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (8) follows from Lemma 1.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (9) is obvious.

Theorem 4 Let R be a left n-coherent ring and an integer $m \geq 2$.The following are equivalent for a left R-module M:

(1) right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-dim $M \leq m$.

(2) $\text{Ext}_{m+k}(M,N) = 0$ for all left R-modules N and all $k \geq -1$.

(3)$\text{Ext}_{m-1}(M,N) = 0$ for all left R-modules N.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F^m \longrightarrow 0$ be a right n-$\mathcal{FI}$- resolution of M, which induces an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^m,N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^{m-1},N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^{m-2},N)$ for any left R-module N. Hence $\text{Ext}_m(M,N) =\text{Ext}_{m-1}(M,N) = 0$. Note that it is clear that $\text{Ext}_{m+k}(M,N) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$.Then (2)holds.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is trivial.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F^{m-2} \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} F^{m-1} \stackrel{g}{\longrightarrow} F^m \longrightarrow \cdots$ be a right n-$\mathcal{FI}$- resolution of M, with $L^m = \text{coker}(F_{m-2} \longrightarrow F_{m-1})$. We only need to show that L^m is $(n,0)$-injective. In fact, we have the exact sequence $F^{m-1} \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} L^m \longrightarrow 0$ and $0 \longrightarrow L^m \stackrel{\lambda}{\longrightarrow} F^{m-1}$ such that $g = \lambda\pi$ by (3), Ext $_{m-1}(M,L^m) = 0$. Thus the sequence $0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(F^m,L^m) \stackrel{g^*}{\longrightarrow} \text{Hom}(F_{m-1},L^m) \stackrel{f^*}{\longrightarrow} \text{Hom}(F^{m-2},L^m)$ is exact. Since $f^*(\pi) = \pi f = 0, \pi \in \ker(f^*) = \text{im}(g^*)$. Thus there exists $h \in \text{Hom}(F^m,L^m)$ such that $\pi = g^*(h) = hg = h\lambda\pi$, and hence $h\lambda = 1$ since π is epic. Therefore L^m is $(n,0)$-injective.

Corollary 3 The following are equivalent for a left n-coherent ring R and an integer $m \geq 2$:

(1) $l.(n,0)$-dim$(R) \leq m$.

(2) $\text{Ext}_{m+k}(M,N) = 0$ for all left R-modules M and N, and all $k \geq -1$.

(3) $\text{Ext}_{m-1}(M,N) = 0$ for all left R-modules M and N.

Proof It follows from Lemma 1 and Theorem 4.

It has been proven that R is a left coherent ring and l.FP-dim$(R) \leq 2$ if and only if every right R-module has an FP-injective cover with the unique mapping property . Now we have

Theorem 5 The following are equivalent for a ring R:

(1) R is left n-coherent and $l.(n,0)$-dim$(R) \leq 2$.

(2) Every left R-module has an $(n,0)$-injective cover with the unique mapping property.

(3) R is left n-coherent and $\text{Ext}_1(M,N) = 0$ for all left R-modules M and N.

(4)R is left n-coherent and $\text{Ext}_k(M,N) = 0$ for all left R-modules M,N and all $k \geq 1$.

Proof(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) follow from Corollary 3.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2).Let M be any left R-module. Then M has an $(n,0)$-injective cover $f: F \longrightarrow M$.It is enough to show that, for any $(n,0)$-injective left R-module G and any homomorphism $g: G \longrightarrow F$ such that $fg = 0$, we have $g = 0$. In fact, there exists $\beta: F/\text{im}(g) \longrightarrow M$ such that $\beta\pi = f$ since $\text{im}(g) \subseteq \ker(f)$, where $\pi: F \longrightarrow F/\text{im}(g)$ is the natural map. Since $l.(n,0)$-dim$(R) \leq 2$, $F/\text{im}(g)$ is $(n,0)$-injective. Thus there exists $\alpha: F/\text{im}(g) \longrightarrow F$ such that $\beta = f\alpha$, and so we get the commutative diagram with an exact row:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} G & \stackrel{g}{\longrightarrow} & F & \stackrel{\pi}{\Longleftrightarrow}_{\alpha} & F/\text{im}(g) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & \searrow^{0} & \downarrow^{f} & \swarrow^{\beta} & & & \\ & & M & & & & \end{array}$$

Thus $f\alpha\pi = f$,and hence $\alpha\pi$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, π is monic, and so $g = 0$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). We first prove that R is a left n-coherent ring. Let $\{C_i, \varphi_j^i\}$ be a direct system with each C_i $(n,0)$-injective. By hypothesis, $\varinjlim C_i$ has an $(n,0)$-injective cover $\alpha: E \longrightarrow \varinjlim C_i$ with the unique mapping property. Let $\alpha_i: C_i \longrightarrow \varinjlim C_i$ satisfy $\alpha_i = \alpha_j\varphi_j^i$ whenever $i \leq j$. Then there exists$f_i: C_i \longrightarrow E$ such that $\alpha_i = \alpha f_i$ for any i. It follows that $\alpha f_i = \alpha f_j\varphi_j^i$, and so $f_i = f_j\varphi_j^i$ whenever $i \leq j$. Therefore, by the definition of direct limits, there exists $\beta: \varinjlim C_i \longrightarrow E$ such that $f_i = \beta\alpha_i$ and $f_j = \beta\alpha_j$. Thus $(\alpha\beta)\alpha_i = \alpha(\beta\alpha_i) = \alpha f_i = \alpha_i$ for any i. Therefore $\alpha\beta = 1_{\varinjlim C_i}$, by the definition of direct limits, and hence $\varinjlim C_i$ is a direct summand of E. So $\varinjlim C_i$ is $(n,0)$-injective. Thus R is a left n-coherent ring by [1].

Next we prove that $l.(n,0)$-dim$(R) \leq 2$. Let M be any left R-module. Then M has an $(n,0)$- injective cover $f: F \longrightarrow M$ with the unique mapping property. So $0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$ is a left n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolution. Thus gl left n-$\mathcal{FI}$-dim $_R\mathcal{M} = 0$, and hence $l.(n,0)$-dim$(R) \leq 2$ by Corollary 3.

Proposition 10 Let R be a left n-coherent ring. If M is an n-pure-injective left R-module , then $(n,0)$-id$(M) \leq m (m \geq 0)$ if and only if for the minimal left n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolution $\cdots \longrightarrow F_m \longrightarrow F_{m-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$ of all n-pure-injective left R-module N, $\text{Hom}(M,F_m) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M,K_m)$ is an epimorphism.

Proof The proof is modeled on that of [6, Lemma 8.4.34].

We will proceed by induction on m. Let $m = 0$. If M is $(n,0)$- injective , it is clear that $\text{Hom}(M,F_0) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M,K_0)$ is an epimorphism, since $F_0 \longrightarrow N$ is an $(n,0)$-injective cover of N. Conversely, put $N = M$. Then $\text{Hom}(M,F_0) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M,M)$ is an epimorphism, and so M is $(n,0)$-injective.

Let $m \geq 1$. There is an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$ with E injective. Then we have the following exact commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Hom}(E,F_n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(E,K_n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \text{Hom}(M,F_n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(M,K_n) & & \\ \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(L,K_m) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(L,F_{m-1}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(E,K_m) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(E,F_{m-1}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(M,K_m) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(M,F_{m-1}) \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & 0 & & \\ & \downarrow & & \\ \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(L,K_{m-1}) & & \\ & \downarrow & & \\ \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(E,K_{m-1}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \\ \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(M,K_{m-1}) & & \end{array}$$

Thus $(n,0)$-id$(M) \leq m$ if and only if $(n,0)$-id$(L) \leq m-1$ by [14, Theorem 2.12.], if and only if Hom$(L,F_{m-1}) \longrightarrow$ Hom(L,K_{m-1}) is an epimorphism by induction if and only if Hom$(E,K_m) \longrightarrow$ Hom(M,K_m) is an epimorphism by the second diagram if and only if Hom$(M,F_m) \longrightarrow$ Hom(M,K_m) is an epimorphism by the first diagram.

IV. $(n,0)$-Injective Dimensions and the Right Derived Functors of Tor

In this section,we introduce the right derived functors of Tor. If R is n-coherent, the $-\otimes-$ on $\mathcal{M}_R \times_R \mathcal{M}$ is right balanced by n-$\mathcal{F}$ $\times n$-$\mathcal{FI}$, where n-$\mathcal{F}$ stands for the class of all $(n,0)$-flat modules. In fact, we need to show that if $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$ is a right n-$\mathcal{F}$-resolution, which exists by [13, Lemma 4.1], and G is an $(n,0)$-injective left R-module, then $0 \longrightarrow M\otimes G \longrightarrow F^0\otimes G \longrightarrow F^1\otimes G \longrightarrow \cdots$ is exact. Applying the functor Hom$_Z(-,Q/Z)$ and using a standard identity we see the sequence $0 \longleftarrow$ Hom$(M,G^+) \longleftarrow$ Hom$(F^0,G^+) \longleftarrow$ Hom$(F^1,G^+) \longleftarrow \cdots$. But G^+ is $(n,0)$-flat by [14,Theorem 2.15] and so this sequence is exact. This means the desired sequence is exact. Since right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolutions are exact , let $0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G^0 \longrightarrow G^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$ of a left R-module N, then $\cdots \longrightarrow G^{1+} \longrightarrow G^{0+} \longrightarrow N^+ \longrightarrow 0$ is a left n-$\mathcal{F}$-resolution . So applying the functor Hom$(F,-)$ to above sequence, we get the exact sequence $\cdots \longrightarrow$ Hom$(F,G^{1+}) \longrightarrow$ Hom$(F,G^{0+}) \longrightarrow$ Hom$(F,N^+) \longrightarrow 0$ for F $\in n$-$\mathcal{F}$. Using a standard identity we get the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow F\otimes N \longrightarrow F\otimes G^0 \longrightarrow F\otimes G^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$.

Let Tor$^n(-,-)$ denote the nth right derived functor of $-\otimes-$ with respect to the pair n-$\mathcal{F}\times n$-$\mathcal{FI}$. Then, for two left R-modules M and N, Tor$^n(M,N)$ can be computed using a right n-$\mathcal{F}$-resolution of M or a right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolution of N.

Lemma 4 If $M_1 \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow M_3 \longrightarrow M_4$ is an exact sequence of left R-moudules such that for every n-presented right R-module $P, P\otimes M_1 \longrightarrow P\otimes M_2 \longrightarrow P\otimes M_3 \longrightarrow P\otimes M_4$ is exact , then K =ker$(M_3 \longrightarrow M_4)$ is an n-pure submodule of M_3.

Proof $P\otimes M_1 \longrightarrow P\otimes M_2 \longrightarrow P\otimes M_3 \longrightarrow P\otimes M_4$ is exact and $P\otimes K \longrightarrow P\otimes M_3 \longrightarrow P\otimes M_4$ is a complex. Thus exactness of the first sequence means $0 \longrightarrow P\otimes K \longrightarrow P\otimes M_3$ is exact. This means K is an n-pure submodule of M_3

Theorem 6 Let R be a left n-coherent ring and an integer $m \geq 2$.The following are equivalent for a left R-module N:

(1) right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-dim $N \leq m$.

(2) Tor$^{m+k}(M,N) = 0$ for all right R-modules M and all $k \geq -1$.

(3)Tor$^m(M,N)$ =Tor$^{m-1}(M,N) = 0$ for all right R-modules M.

(4)Tor$^m(M,N)$ =Tor$^{m-1}(M,N) = 0$ for all right n-presented R-modules M.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow A^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A^n \longrightarrow 0$ be a right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolution of N. Then $M\otimes A^{n-2} \longrightarrow M\otimes A^{n-1} \longrightarrow M\otimes A^n \longrightarrow 0$ is exact and so Tor$^{m-1}(M,N) =$ Tor$^m(M,N) = 0$. But clearly Tor$^{m+k}(M,N) = 0$ for $k \geq -1$. Hence (2) holds.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) is trivial.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let $0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow A^0 \longrightarrow A^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$ be a right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolution of N. Then for any n-presented R-module M,$M\otimes A^{n-2} \longrightarrow M\otimes A^{n-1} \longrightarrow M\otimes A^n \longrightarrow M\otimes A^{n+1}$ is exact. So by Lemma 4, K =ker$(A^n \longrightarrow A^{n+1})$ is n-pure in A^n. But an n-pure submodule of $(n,0)$-injective module is $(n,0)$-injective by [14, Proposition 2.2]. But then $0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow A^0 \longrightarrow A^1 \longrightarrow \cdots A^{n-1} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0$ is a right n-$\mathcal{FI}$-resolution of N and (1) holds.

Theorem 7 Let R be a left n-coherent ring and an integer $m \geq 2$.The following are equivalent for a left R-module N:

(1) right n-$\mathcal{F}$-dim $M \leq m$.

(2) Tor$^{m+k}(M,N) = 0$ for all right R-modules N and all $k \geq -1$.

(3)Tor$^m(M,N)$ =Tor$^{m-1}(M,N) = 0$ for all right R-modules N.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is trivial.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$ be a right n-$\mathcal{F}$-resolution of N. Then for any R-module N, $F^{n-2}\otimes N \longrightarrow F^{n-1}\otimes N \longrightarrow F^n\otimes N \longrightarrow F^{n+1}\otimes N$ is exact. So by Lemma 4, K =ker$(F^n \longrightarrow F^{n+1})$ is n-pure in F^n and so is $(n,0)$-flat. But $F^{n-2} \longrightarrow F^{n-1} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0$ is exact. Therefore, L =ker$(F^{n-2} \longrightarrow F^{n-1})$ is n-pure in F^{n-2} and so is $(n,0)$-flat by [14, Corollary 2.20]. But then $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F^{n-3} \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$ is a right n-$\mathcal{F}$-resolution of M and so (1) holds.

Theorem 8 Let R be a left n-coherent ring and an integer $m \geq 0$. The following are equivalent

(1) For every $(n,0)$-flat left R-module F, there is an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow E^m \longrightarrow 0$ with each E^i is $(n,0)$-injective.

(2) If $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$ is a right n-$\mathcal{F}$-resolution of M, then the sequence is exact at F^k for $k \geq m-1$, where $F^{-1} = M$.

(3) There is an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow E^m \longrightarrow 0$ of left R-module with each E^i is $(n,0)$-injective.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is immediate.

$(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ We recall that $-\otimes-$ is right balanced on $\mathcal{M}_R \times_R \mathcal{M}$ by n-$\mathcal{F} \times n$-$\mathcal{FI}$ with right derived functors $\text{Tor}^k(-,-)$.

If $m \geq 2$, using the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow E^m \longrightarrow 0$, we get $\text{Tor}^k(M,R) = 0$ for $k \geq m-1$. Computing using $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$ as in (2), we see that $\text{Tor}^k(M,R)$ is just the kth homology group of this complex, giving the desired result.

For $m = 1, 0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow E^1 \longrightarrow 0$ exact sequence gives $\text{Tor}^1(M,R) = 0$ so that , as above, $F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow F^2$ is exact and $M \otimes R \longrightarrow \text{Tor}^0(M,R)$ is onto. computing the latter morphism using $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1$ is exact.

If $m = 0$ then (3) means R is $(n,0)$-injective as a left R-module. But the balance of $-\otimes-$ then gives $0 \longrightarrow M \otimes R \longrightarrow F^0 \otimes R \longrightarrow F^1 \otimes R \longrightarrow \cdots$ is exact . That is $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$ is exact.

$(2) \Rightarrow (1)$. Assume (2) with $m \geq 2$. Let $0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow E^m \longrightarrow 0$ with each E^i is $(n,0)$-injective. Then by (2), we get $\text{Tor}^k(M,F) = 0$ for $k \geq m-1$ since F is $(n,0)$-flat. Computing using $0 \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow E^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$ and using the Lemma 4 , we get $K = \ker(E^m \longrightarrow E^{m+1})$ is n-pure in A^m and so K is also $(n,0)$-injective. Hence $0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow E^{m-1} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0$ gives the desired exact sequence.

Now let $m = 1$.Then (2) says $M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$ is exact. So $\text{Tor}^k(M,F) = 0$ for $k = 0$ and $M \otimes F \longrightarrow \text{Tor}^0(M,F)$ is onto. Hence if $0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow E^1 \longrightarrow \cdots 0$ is exact, $M \otimes F \longrightarrow M \otimes E^0 \longrightarrow M \otimes E^1 \longrightarrow M \otimes E^2$ is exact for all n-presented M. By Lemma 25, we again get the desired exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0$ with $K = \ker(E^1 \longrightarrow E^2)$.

If $m = 0$ then $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$ exact means $\text{Tor}^k(M,F) = 0$ for $k > 0$ and $M \otimes F \longrightarrow \text{Tor}^0(M,F)$ is isomorphism. This gives that $0 \longrightarrow M \otimes F \longrightarrow M \otimes E^0 \longrightarrow M \otimes E^1$ is exact for all M which implies F is an n-pure submodule of E^0 and so is $(n,0)$-injective.

Acknowledgment

This research was partially supported by National Basic Research Program of China(973 Program)(2012CB720000) and National Nature Science Foundation of China (61104187), Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province in China (BS2009SF017) , the Companyfunds(20123702001803)and Reward Fund for Outstanding Young and Middle-aged Scientists of ShanDong(BS2011DX011).The authors would like to thank the referee for the encouraging comments.

References

[1] J.L.Chen, N.Q.Ding, *On n-coherent rings.* Comm.Algebra 24, 1996, pp. 3211–3216.

[2] R.R.Colby, *Rings which have flat injective modules*, J.Algebra 35, 1975, pp.239–252.

[3] D.L.Costa, *Parameterizing families of non-Noetherian rings*, Comm. Algebra.

[4] N.Q.Ding, J.L.Chen, *Relative coherence and preenvelopes*, Manuscripta Math., 81 , 1993, pp.243–262. London Math.Soc.85(2002) 393-440.

[5] E.E.Enochs, *Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents*, Israel J.Math. 39, 1981, pp.189–209.

[6] E.E.Enochs, O.M.G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, deGruyter Exp.Math.,30, deGruyter, Berlin, 2000.

[7] L.X.Mao.,N.Q.Ding ,*FI-injective and FI-flat modules*, J. Algebra 309, 2007, pp.367–385.

[8] L.X.Mao.,N.Q.Ding, *Relative Projective module and relative injective module* , Comm. Algebra 34 (2006),2403–2418.

[9] K.R.Pinzon, *Absolutely pure modules*, PhDThesis,University of Kentucky, 2005.

[10] J.J.Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra,* Academic Press, NewYork, 1979.

[11] B.Stenstrom, *Coherent rings and FP-injective modules*, J. London Math.Soc. 2, 1970, pp.323–329.

[12] J.Xu, *Flat Covers of Modules,* Lecture Notes in Math. 1634, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[13] D.X.Zhou, *On n-coherent rings and (n,d)-Rings*, Comm.Algebra 32(6), 2004, pp.2425–2441.

[14] Z.M. Zhu, *On n-Coherent Ring, n-hereditary ring and n-regular Ring* Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society 37(4), 2011, pp. 251-267.