# Minimization problems for generalized reflexive and generalized anti-reflexive matrices

Yongxin Yuan

Abstract—Let  $R \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$  and  $S \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$  be nontrivial unitary involutions, i.e.,  $R^{\mathrm{H}} = R = R^{-1} \neq \pm I_m$  and  $S^{\mathrm{H}} = S = S^{-1} \neq \pm I_n$ .  $A \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$  is said to be a generalized reflexive (anti-reflexive) matrix if RAS = A (RAS = -A). Let  $\rho$  be the set of  $m \times n$  generalized reflexive (anti-reflexive) matrices. Given  $X \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times p}, Z \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}, Y \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times q}$  and  $W \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times q}$ , we characterize the matrices A in  $\rho$  that minimize  $\|AX - Z\|^2 + \|Y^{\mathrm{H}}A - W^{\mathrm{H}}\|^2$ , and, given an arbitrary  $\tilde{A} \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$ , we find a unique matrix among the minimizers of  $\|AX - Z\|^2 + \|Y^{\mathrm{H}}A - W^{\mathrm{H}}\|^2$  in  $\rho$  that minimizes  $\|A - \tilde{A}\|$ . We also obtain sufficient and necessary conditions for existence of  $A \in \rho$  such that  $AX = Z, Y^{\mathrm{H}}A = W^{\mathrm{H}}$ , and characterize the set of all such matrices A if the conditions are satisfied. These results are applied to solve a class of left and right inverse eigenproblems for generalized reflexive (anti-reflexive) matrices.

*Keywords*—approximation, generalized reflexive matrix, generalized anti-reflexive matrix, inverse eigenvalue problem.

### I. Introduction

In this paper we shall adopt the following notation.  $\mathbf{C}^{m\times n}$  denotes the set of all  $m\times n$  complex matrices,  $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{C}^{n\times n}$  denotes the set of all unitary matrices in  $\mathbf{C}^{n\times n}$ .  $A^{\mathrm{H}}$ ,  $A^{+}$  and  $\|A\|$  stand for the conjugate transpose, the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse and the Frobenius norm of a complex matrix A, respectively. For  $A, B \in \mathbf{C}^{m\times n}$ , an inner product in  $\mathbf{C}^{m\times n}$  is defined by  $\langle A, B \rangle = \mathrm{trace}(B^{\mathrm{H}}A)$ , then  $\mathbf{C}^{m\times n}$  is a Hilbert space. The matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|$  induced by the inner product is the Frobenius norm.  $I_n$  represents the identity matrix of order n. For  $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathbf{C}^{m\times n}$ , A\*B represents the Hadamard product of the matrices A and B, i.e.,  $A*B = (a_{ij}b_{ij}) \in \mathbf{C}^{m\times n}$ .

Throughout this paper  $R \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$  and  $S \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$  are nontrivial unitary involutions, i.e.,  $R^{\mathrm{H}} = R = R^{-1} \neq \pm I_m$  and  $S^{\mathrm{H}} = S = S^{-1} \neq \pm I_n$ . We say that  $A \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$  is a generalized reflexive (anti-reflexive) matrix (see [9]) if RAS = A (RAS = -A). If m = n, R = S, then the generalized reflexive (anti-reflexive) matrices reduce to the reflexive (anti-reflexive) matrices (see, e.g., [8]). Let  $J_n = (j_{i,k})$  represent the exchange matrix of order n defined by  $j_{i,k} = \delta_{i,n-k+1}$  for  $1 \leq i,k \leq n$ , where  $\delta_{i,k}$  is the Kronecker delta, i.e.,  $J_n$  is a matrix with ones on the secondary diagonal and zeros elsewhere. By taking  $m = n, R = S = J_n$ , then the generalized reflexive (anti-reflexive) matrices reduce to the centrosymmetric (centroskew) matrices (see [25]) which play an important role in many areas [10, 13, 14]. Therefore, centrosymmetric (centroskew) matrices, whose special properties

**Yongxin Yuan**: School of Mathematics and Physics, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang 212003, P. R. China. e-mail: yuanyx\_703@163.com

have been under extensive study [1, 2, 7, 15, 19, 20, 26], are the special cases of generalized reflexive (anti-reflexive) matrices. Chen [9] discussed applications that give rise to the generalized reflexive (anti-reflexive) matrices and considered least squares problems involving them.

In the following  $\rho$  is either the set of  $m \times n$  generalized reflexive matrices or the set of  $m \times n$  generalized anti-reflexive matrices. We consider the following problems.

**Problem I.** Given  $X \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times p}, Z \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}, Y \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times q}$  and  $W \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times q}$ , find

$$\sigma(X,Z,Y,W) = \mathrm{min}_{A \in \rho} (\|AX - Z\|^2 + \|Y^{\mathrm{H}}A - W^{\mathrm{H}}\|^2)^{1/2},$$

and characterize the set

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \rho(X,Z,Y,W) & = & \{A \in \rho | (\|AX-Z\|^2 \\ & + & \|Y^{\rm H}A-W^{\rm H}\|^2)^{1/2} = \sigma(X,Z,Y,W) \}. \end{array}$$

**Problem II.** Given  $\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ , find

$$\sigma(X, Z, Y, W; \tilde{A}) = \min_{A \in \rho(X, Z, Y, W)} ||A - \tilde{A}||,$$

and find  $\hat{A} \in \rho(X, Z, Y, W)$  such that

$$\|\hat{A} - \tilde{A}\| = \sigma(X, Z, Y, W; \tilde{A}).$$

If  $m=n, \Lambda=\operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_p), \Omega=\operatorname{diag}(\omega_1,\cdots,\omega_q), X=[x_1,\cdots,x_p], Y=[y_1,\cdots,y_q],$  where  $x_i\in \mathbf{C}^n(i=1,\cdots,p), y_j\in \mathbf{C}^n(j=1,\cdots,q), Z=X\Lambda, W^{\mathrm{H}}=\Omega Y^{\mathrm{H}},$  then the set  $\rho(X,Z,Y,W)$  in Problem I is determined by partial left and right eigenpairs  $(\omega_j,y_j)(j=1,\cdots,q)$  and  $(\lambda_i,x_i)(i=1,\cdots,p),$  and Problem I is a left and right inverse eigenproblem for generalized reflexive (anti-reflexive) matrices. The left and right inverse eigenproblem is a special inverse eigenvalue problem, indeed, the recursive inverse eigenvalue problem (see [17]). Problem II is an optimal approximation problem under spectral constraint.

There are many publications (see, e.g., [5, 6, 11, 12, 16, 24, 29] and their references) concerning minimization problems for matrices. Recently, Bai and Chan [4] considered inverse eigenproblems related to centrosymmetric and centroskew matrices, where  $m=n, R=S=J_n$ , and Y=0, W=0. Zhou et al. [28] discussed the minimization problems for centrosymmetric matrices, where  $m=n, R=S=J_n, Y=0$  and W=0. Peng and Hu [18] studied the existence of  $n\times n$  reflexive and anti-reflexive matrices X such that AX=B, where A and B are given in  $C^{m\times n}$ , and the nearest matrix to a given matrix. Trench [21] considered the minimization problems for hermitian, hermitian reflexive and hermitian anti-reflexive matrices, where m=n, Y=0 and W=0. In

[22] and [23], Trench has studied inverse eigenproblems for generalized symmetric or skew symmetric matrices and the minimization problems for (R,S)-symmetric and (R,S)-skew symmetric matrices, respectively.

In this paper we obtain explicit formulas for  $\sigma(X,Z,Y,W)$ ,  $\sigma(X,Z,Y,W;\tilde{A})$ , all matrices in  $\rho(X,Z,Y,W)$ , and the solution of Problem II. As a byproduct of our results on Problem I we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions on X,Z,Y and W for existence of  $A\in\rho$  such that AX=Z and  $Y^HA=W^H$ , and an explicit formula for all such A. These results are applied to solve a class of left and right inverse eigenproblems for generalized reflexive (anti-reflexive) matrices.

If m = n, R = S, Y = 0 and W = 0, our results apply to reflexive and anti-reflexive matrices, which are discussed in [18, 27]. In particular, if  $m = n, R = S = J_n, Y = 0$  and W = 0, our results apply to centrosymmetric and centroskew matrices, which are considered in [4, 28].

## II. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS

If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $E \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$ , let  $V_E(\lambda)$  denote the eigenspace of E corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . A vector  $z \in \mathbf{C}^m$  is said to be R-symmetric (R-skew symmetric) if Rz = z (Rz = -z); thus,  $V_R(1)$  and  $V_R(-1)$  are the subspaces of  $\mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$  consisting respectively of R-symmetric and R-skew symmetric vectors. Let  $r = \dim[V_R(1)]$ ,  $s = \dim[V_R(-1)]$ . Since a unitary involution is diagonalizable and  $R \neq \pm I_m$ , then  $r, s \geq 1$ , and r+s = m. Let  $\{p_1, \cdots, p_r\}$  and  $\{q_1, \cdots, q_s\}$  be the orthonormal bases for  $V_R(1)$  and  $V_R(-1)$ , respectively, and define

$$P = [p_1, \cdots, p_r] \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times r}, Q = [q_1, \cdots, q_s] \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times s},$$

then [P,Q] is a unitary matrix and R has the following spectral decomposition

$$R = [P, Q] \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & -I_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P^{H} \\ Q^{H} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1)

In particular, if  $R = J_{2k}$ , then r = s = k, we can take

$$P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} I_k \\ J_k \end{array} \right], Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} I_k \\ -J_k \end{array} \right].$$

If  $R = J_{2k+1}$ , then r = k+1, s = k, we can take

$$P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ J_k & 0 \end{bmatrix}, Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I_k \\ 0 \\ -J_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, there are positive integers k and l such that k+l=n, and the matrices  $U \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times k}$  and  $V \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times l}$  whose column vectors form the orthonormal bases for the eigenspaces  $V_S(1)$  and  $V_S(-1)$ , respectively. Thus, [U,V] is a unitary matrix and S has the spectral decomposition:

$$S = [U, V] \begin{bmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & -I_l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U^{\mathrm{H}} \\ V^{\mathrm{H}} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2)

In the following P,Q,U,V are always defined by (1) and (2). (1) and (2) yield the following characterizations of  $m \times n$  generalized reflexive or generalized anti-reflexive matrices.

Lemma 1: (a)  $A \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$  is a generalized reflexive matrix if and only if there exist  $A_{PU} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times k}, \ A_{QV} \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times l}$  such that

$$A = [P, Q] \begin{bmatrix} A_{PU} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{QV} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U^{H} \\ V^{H} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (3)

(b)  $A \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$  is a generalized anti-reflexive matrix if and only if there exist  $A_{PV} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times l}$ ,  $A_{QU} \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times k}$  such that

$$A = [P, Q] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{PV} \\ A_{QU} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U^{H} \\ V^{H} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (4)

**Proof.** (a) If  $A \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$  is a generalized reflexive matrix, then it follows from RAS = A, (1) and (2) that  $P^{\mathrm{H}}AV = 0$ ,  $Q^{\mathrm{H}}AU = 0$ . Let  $A_{PU} = P^{\mathrm{H}}AU$ ,  $A_{QV} = Q^{\mathrm{H}}AV$ , then  $A_{PU} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times k}$ ,  $A_{QV} \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times l}$ , and

$$\begin{split} A = RAS &= \left[P,Q\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & -I_s \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} P^{\rm H} \\ Q^{\rm H} \end{array}\right] \\ &= \left[P,Q\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & -I_l \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} U^{\rm H} \\ V^{\rm H} \end{array}\right] \\ &= \left[P,Q\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} A_{PU} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{QV} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} U^{\rm H} \\ V^{\rm H} \end{array}\right]. \end{split}$$

The verification of the converse is straightforward. Similarly, (b) is may proved.

In order to solve Problem I, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2: Let  $\tilde{X} \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}$ ,  $\tilde{W} \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times t}$ ,  $\tilde{Y} \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times t}$ ,  $\tilde{Z} \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times p}$ , and the singular value decompositions(SVDs) of the matrices  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  be, respectively

$$\tilde{X} = \tilde{U} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{V}^{H}, \quad \tilde{Y} = \tilde{P} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{Q}^{H}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\tilde{U} = [\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{U}_2] \in \mathbf{UC}^{m \times m}, \tilde{V} = [\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{V}_2] \in \mathbf{UC}^{p \times p}, \tilde{P} = [\tilde{P}_1, \tilde{P}_2] \in \mathbf{UC}^{n \times n}, \tilde{Q} = [\tilde{Q}_1, \tilde{Q}_2] \in \mathbf{UC}^{t \times t}, \Delta = \mathrm{diag}\{\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_e\} > 0, \Gamma = \mathrm{diag}\{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_f\} > 0, e = \mathrm{rank}(\tilde{X}), f = \mathrm{rank}(\tilde{Y}), \tilde{U}_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times e}, \tilde{V}_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{p \times e}, \tilde{P}_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times f}, \tilde{Q}_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{t \times f}, \text{ and let}$ 

$$\begin{split} & \Phi_1 &= & [\varphi_{ij}] \in \mathbf{C}^{f \times e}, \\ & \varphi_{ij} &= & \frac{1}{(\gamma_i^2 + \delta_j^2)^{1/2}}, 1 \le i \le f, 1 \le j \le e, \end{split}$$

then

$$\begin{split} & \min_{B \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times m}} (\|B\tilde{X} - \tilde{Z}\|^2 + \|\tilde{Y}^{\mathrm{H}}B - \tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}}\|^2) \\ & = \|\tilde{Z}\tilde{V}_2\|^2 + \|\tilde{W}\tilde{Q}_2\|^2 \\ & + \|\Phi_1 * (\Gamma\tilde{P}_1^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{Z}\tilde{V}_1 - \tilde{Q}_1^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{U}_1\Delta)\|^2, \end{split} \tag{6}$$

and  $B \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times m}$  attains this minimum if and only if

$$B = \tilde{P} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi * B_{11} & \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{Q}_1^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{U}_2 \\ \tilde{P}_2^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{Z} \tilde{V}_1 \Delta^{-1} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} \tilde{U}^{\mathrm{H}}, \quad (7)$$

where  $B_{11} = \tilde{P}_1^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{Z} \tilde{V}_1 \Delta + \Gamma \tilde{Q}_1^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{U}_1$ ,  $\Phi = \Phi_1 * \Phi_1$ ,  $B_{22} \in \mathbf{C}^{(n-f) \times (m-e)}$  is an arbitrary matrix. Moreover, the equations  $B\tilde{X} = \tilde{Z}$  and  $\tilde{Y}^{\mathrm{H}} B = \tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}}$  have a common solution if and only if

$$\tilde{Z}\tilde{X}^{+}\tilde{X} = \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}\tilde{Y}^{+}\tilde{Y} = \tilde{W}, \tilde{Y}^{H}\tilde{Z} = \tilde{W}^{H}\tilde{X}, \tag{8}$$

in which case a general solution of the equations

$$B\tilde{X} = \tilde{Z}, \quad \tilde{Y}^{H}B = \tilde{W}^{H}$$

can be expressed as

$$B = \tilde{Z}\tilde{X}^{+} + (\tilde{W}\tilde{Y}^{+})^{H}(I_{m} - \tilde{X}\tilde{X}^{+}) + \tilde{P}_{2}B_{22}\tilde{U}_{2}^{H},$$
 (9)

where  $B_{22} \in \mathbf{C}^{(n-f)\times (m-e)}$  is an arbitrary matrix.

**Proof**. From (5), we have

$$\begin{split} & \|B\tilde{X} - \tilde{Z}\|^2 + \|\tilde{Y}^{\mathrm{H}}B - \tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}}\|^2 \\ &= \left\| B\tilde{U} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{V}^{\mathrm{H}} - \tilde{Z} \right\|^2 \\ & + \left\| \tilde{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{P}^{\mathrm{H}}B - \tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}} \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \tilde{P}^{\mathrm{H}}B\tilde{U} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \tilde{P}^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{Z}\tilde{V} \right\|^2 \\ & + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{P}^{\mathrm{H}}B\tilde{U} - \tilde{Q}^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{U} \right\|^2. \end{split}$$

Let

$$\tilde{P}^{H}B\tilde{U} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

where  $B_{11} \in \mathbf{C}^{f \times e}$ , then

$$\begin{split} &\|B\tilde{X} - \tilde{Z}\|^2 + \|\tilde{Y}^{\mathrm{H}}B - \tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}}\|^2 \\ &= \|B_{11}\Delta - \tilde{P}_1^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{Z}\tilde{V}_1\|^2 + \|B_{21}\Delta - \tilde{P}_2^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{Z}\tilde{V}_1\|^2 \\ &+ \|\tilde{Z}\tilde{V}_2\|^2 + \|\Gamma B_{11} - \tilde{Q}_1^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{U}_1\|^2 \\ &+ \|\Gamma B_{12} - \tilde{Q}_1^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{U}_2\|^2 + \|\tilde{Q}_2^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}}\|^2. \end{split} \tag{11}$$

Thus,  $\|B\tilde{X} - \tilde{Z}\|^2 + \|\tilde{Y}^H B - \tilde{W}^H\|^2 = \min$  if and only if  $\|B_{21}\Delta - \tilde{P}_2^H \tilde{Z}\tilde{V}_1\| = \min, \|\Gamma B_{12} - \tilde{Q}_1^H \tilde{W}^H \tilde{U}_2\| = \min$  (12)

and

$$g(B_{11}) := \|B_{11}\Delta - \tilde{P}_1^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{Z} \tilde{V}_1\|^2 + \|\Gamma B_{11} - \tilde{Q}_1^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{U}_1\|^2 = \min.$$
(13)

Clearly, (12) implies that

$$B_{21} = \tilde{P}_2^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{Z} \tilde{V}_1 \Delta^{-1}, \quad B_{12} = \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{Q}_1^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{U}_2.$$
 (14)

Let  $B_{11} = [b_{ij}], \tilde{P}_1^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{Z} \tilde{V}_1 = [z_{ij}], \tilde{Q}_1^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{U}_1 = [w_{ij}] \in \mathbf{C}^{f \times e}$ , then

$$g(B_{11}) = \sum_{i=1}^{f} \sum_{j=1}^{e} (|b_{ij}\delta_j - z_{ij}|^2 + |\gamma_i b_{ij} - w_{ij}|^2).$$
 (15)

Now we minimize the quantities

$$q_{ij} = |b_{ij}\delta_j - z_{ij}|^2 + |\gamma_i b_{ij} - w_{ij}|^2, \quad 1 \le i \le f, 1 \le j \le e.$$

It is easy to obtain the minimizers

$$b_{ij} = \frac{z_{ij}\delta_j + \gamma_i w_{ij}}{\gamma_i^2 + \delta_j^2}, \quad 1 \le i \le f, 1 \le j \le e, \tag{16}$$

and the minima

$$q_{ij} = \frac{|\gamma_i z_{ij} - w_{ij} \delta_j|^2}{\gamma_i^2 + \delta_i^2}, \quad 1 \le i \le f, 1 \le j \le e.$$
 (17)

(17), (14) and (11) imply (6). Substituting (14) and (16) into (10) yields (7).

It follows from (6) that  $B\tilde{X}=\tilde{Z}$  and  $\tilde{Y}^{H}B=\tilde{W}^{H}$  have a common solution if and only if

$$\tilde{Z}\tilde{V}_2 = 0$$
,  $\tilde{W}\tilde{Q}_2 = 0$ ,  $\Gamma \tilde{P}_1^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{Z} \tilde{V}_1 = \tilde{Q}_1^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{W}^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{U}_1 \Delta$ ,

which implies (8). In this case,  $B_{11} = \tilde{P}_1^{\rm H} \tilde{Z} \tilde{V}_1 \Delta^{-1}$ . Substituting the representation of  $B_{11}$  and (14) into (10) yields (9).

## III. MINIMIZATION PROBLEM FOR GENERALIZED REFLEXIVE MATRICES

In this section  $\rho$  denotes the set of  $m \times n$  generalized reflexive matrices.

For the given matrices  $X \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times p}$ , and  $Y \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times q}$ , let the SVDs of matrices  $U^{\mathrm{H}}X, P^{\mathrm{H}}Y, V^{\mathrm{H}}X$  and  $Q^{\mathrm{H}}Y$  be, respectively

$$U^{H}X = F_{1} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} G_{1}^{H}, \ P^{H}Y = F_{2} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} G_{2}^{H},$$

$$V^{H}X = F_{3} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} G_{3}^{H}, \ Q^{H}Y = F_{4} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} G_{4}^{H},$$

$$(18)$$

where all matrices  $F_i = [F_{i1}, F_{i2}], G_i = [G_{i1}, G_{i2}](i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$  are unitary matrices and partitions are compatible with the size of  $\Sigma_i = \mathrm{diag}(\alpha_1^{(i)}, \cdots, \alpha_{t_i}^{(i)}) > 0 (i = 1, 2, 3, 4),$   $t_1 = \mathrm{rank}(U^{\mathrm{H}}X), \ t_2 = \mathrm{rank}(P^{\mathrm{H}}Y), \ t_3 = \mathrm{rank}(V^{\mathrm{H}}X),$   $t_4 = \mathrm{rank}(Q^{\mathrm{H}}Y).$ 

Theorem 1: Let  $X \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times p}, Z \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}, Y \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times q}$ ,  $W \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times q}$ , and  $\rho$  denote the set of  $m \times n$  generalized reflexive matrices. Suppose that the SVDs of the matrices  $U^{\mathrm{H}}X, P^{\mathrm{H}}Y, V^{\mathrm{H}}X$  and  $Q^{\mathrm{H}}Y$  are given by (18) and (19), then

$$\sigma(X, Z, Y, W) = \min_{A \in \rho} (\|AX - Z\|^{2} + \|Y^{H}A - W^{H}\|^{2})^{1/2}$$

$$= (\|P^{H}ZG_{12}\|^{2} + \|U^{H}WG_{22}\|^{2} + \|\Phi_{1} * (\Sigma_{2}F_{21}^{H}P^{H}ZG_{11} - G_{21}^{H}W^{H}UF_{11}\Sigma_{1})\|^{2} + \|Q^{H}ZG_{32}\|^{2} + \|V^{H}WG_{42}\|^{2} + \|\Phi_{2} * (\Sigma_{4}F_{41}^{H}Q^{H}ZG_{31} - G_{41}^{H}W^{H}VF_{31}\Sigma_{3})\|^{2})^{1/2},$$
(20)

where

$$\begin{split} \Phi_1 = [\varphi_{ij}^{(1)}] \in \mathbf{C}^{t_2 \times t_1}, \varphi_{ij}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{((\alpha_i^{(2)})^2 + (\alpha_j^{(1)})^2)^{1/2}}, \\ 1 \leq i \leq t_2, 1 \leq j \leq t_1, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Phi_2 = [\varphi_{ij}^{(2)}] \in \mathbf{C}^{t_4 \times t_3}, & \varphi_{ij}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{((\alpha_i^{(4)})^2 + (\alpha_j^{(3)})^2)^{1/2}}, \\ & 1 \le i \le t_4, 1 \le j \le t_3, \end{split}$$

and  $A \in \rho$  attains this minimum if and only if

$$A = A_0 + [P, Q] \begin{bmatrix} F_{22}MF_{12}^{\rm H} & 0 \\ 0 & F_{42}NF_{32}^{\rm H} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U^{\rm H} \\ V^{\rm H} \end{bmatrix} \quad (21)$$

with arbitrary  $M \in \mathbf{C}^{(r-t_2)\times(k-t_1)}$ ,  $N \in \mathbf{C}^{(s-t_4)\times(l-t_3)}$  and

$$A_0 = [P, Q] \begin{bmatrix} A_{PU}^{(0)} & 0\\ 0 & A_{OV}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U^{\rm H}\\ V^{\rm H} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (22)$$

$$A_{QV}^{(0)} = F_4 \begin{bmatrix} K_2 * J_{11} & \Sigma_4^{-1} G_{41}^{\rm H} W^{\rm H} V F_{32} \\ F_{42}^{\rm H} Q^{\rm H} Z G_{31} \Sigma_3^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} F_3^{\rm H}, \tag{24}$$

where

$$L_{11} = F_{21}^{\mathrm{H}} P^{\mathrm{H}} Z G_{11} \Sigma_{1} + \Sigma_{2} G_{21}^{\mathrm{H}} W^{\mathrm{H}} U F_{11},$$
  
$$J_{11} = F_{41}^{\mathrm{H}} Q^{\mathrm{H}} Z G_{31} \Sigma_{3} + \Sigma_{4} G_{41}^{\mathrm{H}} W^{\mathrm{H}} V F_{31},$$

 $K_1=\Phi_1*\Phi_1, K_2=\Phi_2*\Phi_2.$  Moreover, the equations AX=Z and  $Y^{\rm H}A=W^{\rm H}$  have a common solution  $A\in\rho$  if and only if

$$\begin{split} P^{\mathrm{H}}Z(U^{\mathrm{H}}X)^{+}U^{\mathrm{H}}X &= P^{\mathrm{H}}Z,\\ U^{\mathrm{H}}W(P^{\mathrm{H}}Y)^{+}P^{\mathrm{H}}Y &= U^{\mathrm{H}}W,\\ Y^{\mathrm{H}}PP^{\mathrm{H}}Z &= W^{\mathrm{H}}UU^{\mathrm{H}}X \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &Q^{\mathrm{H}}Z(V^{\mathrm{H}}X)^{+}V^{\mathrm{H}}X = Q^{\mathrm{H}}Z,\\ &V^{\mathrm{H}}W(Q^{\mathrm{H}}Y)^{+}Q^{\mathrm{H}}Y = V^{\mathrm{H}}W,\\ &Y^{\mathrm{H}}QQ^{\mathrm{H}}Z = W^{\mathrm{H}}VV^{\mathrm{H}}X, \end{split}$$

in which case a general solution of the equations AX = Z and  $Y^{\rm H}A = W^{\rm H}$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} A &= [P,Q] \\ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} A_{PU}^{(0)} + F_{22}MF_{12}^{\rm H} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{QV}^{(0)} + F_{42}NF_{32}^{\rm H} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} U^{\rm H} \\ V^{\rm H} \end{array} \right], \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} A_{PU}^{(0)} &= P^{\mathrm{H}} Z (U^{\mathrm{H}} X)^{+} + (Y^{\mathrm{H}} P)^{+} W^{\mathrm{H}} U F_{12} F_{12}^{\mathrm{H}}, \\ A_{QV}^{(0)} &= Q^{\mathrm{H}} Z (V^{\mathrm{H}} X)^{+} + (Y^{\mathrm{H}} Q)^{+} W^{\mathrm{H}} V F_{32} F_{32}^{\mathrm{H}}, \end{split}$$

and  $M \in \mathbf{C}^{(r-t_2)\times (k-t_1)}, \ N \in \mathbf{C}^{(s-t_4)\times (l-t_3)}$  are arbitrary matrices.

**Proof.** If  $A \in \rho$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that there exist  $A_{PU} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times k}$ ,  $A_{OV} \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times l}$  satisfying

$$A = [P, Q] \begin{bmatrix} A_{PU} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{QV} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U^{H} \\ V^{H} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (25)

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{A \in \rho} (\|AX - Z\|^2 + \|Y^{\mathsf{H}}A - W^{\mathsf{H}}\|^2) \\ &= & \min_{A_{PU} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times k}, A_{QV} \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times l}} \\ & \left( \left\| [P, Q] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} A_{PU} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{QV} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} U^{\mathsf{H}} \\ V^{\mathsf{H}} \end{array} \right] X - Z \right\|^2 \\ & + \left\| Y^{\mathsf{H}}[P, Q] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} A_{PU} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{QV} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} U^{\mathsf{H}} \\ V^{\mathsf{H}} \end{array} \right] - W^{\mathsf{H}} \right\|^2 \right) \\ &= & \min_{A_{PU} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times k}} (\|A_{PU}(U^{\mathsf{H}}X) - P^{\mathsf{H}}Z\|^2 \\ & + \|(P^{\mathsf{H}}Y)^{\mathsf{H}}A_{PU} - (U^{\mathsf{H}}W)^{\mathsf{H}}\|^2) \\ & + \min_{A_{QV} \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times l}} (\|A_{QV}(V^{\mathsf{H}}X) - Q^{\mathsf{H}}Z\|^2 \\ & + \|(Q^{\mathsf{H}}Y)^{\mathsf{H}}A_{QV} - (V^{\mathsf{H}}W)^{\mathsf{H}}\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\min_{A_{PU} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times k}} (\|A_{PU}(U^{H}X) - P^{H}Z\|^{2} 
+ \|(P^{H}Y)^{H}A_{PU} - (U^{H}W)^{H}\|^{2}) 
= \|P^{H}ZG_{12}\|^{2} + \|U^{H}WG_{22}\|^{2} 
+ \|\Phi_{1} * (\Sigma_{2}F_{21}^{H}P^{H}ZG_{11} - G_{21}^{H}W^{H}UF_{11}\Sigma_{1})\|^{2},$$
(27)

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{A_{QV} \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times l}} (\|A_{QV}(V^{\mathsf{H}}X) - Q^{\mathsf{H}}Z\|^{2} \\ & + \|(Q^{\mathsf{H}}Y)^{\mathsf{H}}A_{QV} - (V^{\mathsf{H}}W)^{\mathsf{H}}\|^{2}) \\ & = \|Q^{\mathsf{H}}ZG_{32}\|^{2} + \|V^{\mathsf{H}}WG_{42}\|^{2} \\ & + \|\Phi_{2} * (\Sigma_{4}F_{41}^{\mathsf{H}}Q^{\mathsf{H}}ZG_{31} - G_{41}^{\mathsf{H}}W^{\mathsf{H}}VF_{31}\Sigma_{3})\|^{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

and  $A_{PU} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times k}$ ,  $A_{QV} \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times l}$  attain the minima if and only if

$$\begin{split} A_{PU} &= F_2 \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} K_1 * A_{PU}^{(11)} & \Sigma_2^{-1} G_{21}^{\rm H} W^{\rm H} U F_{12} \\ F_{22}^{\rm H} P^{\rm H} Z G_{11} \Sigma_1^{-1} & M \end{array} \right] F_1^{\rm H}, \end{split} \tag{29} \\ A_{QV} &= F_4 \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} K_2 * A_{QV}^{(11)} & \Sigma_4^{-1} G_{41}^{\rm H} W^{\rm H} V F_{32} \\ F_{42}^{\rm H} Q^{\rm H} Z G_{31} \Sigma_3^{-1} & N \end{array} \right] F_3^{\rm H}, \end{split} \tag{30} \\ \text{where } A_{PU}^{(11)} &= F_{21}^{\rm H} P^{\rm H} Z G_{11} \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 G_{21}^{\rm H} W^{\rm H} U F_{11}, A_{QV}^{(11)} = F_{41}^{\rm H} Q^{\rm H} Z G_{31} \Sigma_3 + \Sigma_4 G_{41}^{\rm H} W^{\rm H} V F_{31}, \ M \in \mathbf{C}^{(r-t_2) \times (k-t_1)}, \\ N \in \mathbf{C}^{(s-t_4) \times (l-t_3)} \text{ are arbitrary matrices. (26), (27) and (28)} \end{split}$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that the equations AX = Z and  $Y^{\rm H}A = W^{\rm H}$  have a common solution  $A \in \rho$  if and only if  $A_{PU}(U^{\rm H}X) = P^{\rm H}Z$  and  $(P^{\rm H}Y)^{\rm H}A_{PU} = (U^{\rm H}W)^{\rm H}$ ,  $A_{QV}(V^{\rm H}X) = Q^{\rm H}Z$  and  $(Q^{\rm H}Y)^{\rm H}A_{QV} = (V^{\rm H}W)^{\rm H}$  have common solutions  $A_{PU} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times k}$ ,  $A_{QV} \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times l}$ , respectively. Applying Lemma 2, it is easy to obtain the second part of the conclusions.

imply (20). Substituting (29) and (30) into (25) yields (21).

Let  $r_1=r-t_2, k_1=k-t_1, s_1=s-t_4$  and  $l_1=l-t_3.$  From Theorem 1 we have

$$\rho(X, Z, Y, W) = \begin{cases} A = A_0 + [P, Q] \begin{bmatrix} F_{22}MF_{12}^{\mathrm{H}} & 0 \\ 0 & F_{42}NF_{32}^{\mathrm{H}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U^{\mathrm{H}} \\ V^{\mathrm{H}} \end{bmatrix} | \\ M \in \mathbf{C}^{r_1 \times k_1}, N \in \mathbf{C}^{s_1 \times l_1} \end{cases}.$$
(31)

It is easy to verify that  $\rho(X,Z,Y,W)$  is a closed convex set in Hilbert space  $\mathbf{C}^{m\times n}$ . Therefore, for given matrix  $\tilde{A}\in\mathbf{C}^{m\times n}$ , it follows from the best approximation theorem (see Aubin[3]) that there exists a unique solution  $\hat{A}$  in  $\rho(X,Z,Y,W)$  such that  $\|\hat{A}-\tilde{A}\|=\sigma(X,Z,Y,W;\tilde{A})$ .

We shall focus our attention on seeking the unique solution of Problem II. For any matrix  $A \in \rho(X, Z, Y, W)$ , we have

$$\|A - \tilde{A}\|^{2} = \|[P,Q] \begin{bmatrix} A_{PU}^{(0)} + F_{22}MF_{12}^{H} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{QV}^{(0)} + F_{42}NF_{32}^{H} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} U^{H} \\ V^{H} \end{bmatrix} - \tilde{A} \|^{2} \\ = \|\begin{bmatrix} A_{PU}^{(0)} + F_{22}MF_{12}^{H} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{QV}^{(0)} + F_{42}NF_{32}^{H} \end{bmatrix} \\ - \begin{bmatrix} P^{H} \\ Q^{H} \end{bmatrix} \tilde{A}[U,V] \|^{2} \\ = \|F_{22}MF_{12}^{H} - (P^{H}\tilde{A}U - A_{PU}^{(0)})\|^{2} \\ + \|F_{42}NF_{32}^{H} - (Q^{H}\tilde{A}V - A_{QV}^{(0)})\|^{2} \\ + \|Q^{H}\tilde{A}U\|^{2} + \|P^{H}\tilde{A}V\|^{2} \\ = \|M - F_{22}^{H}(P^{H}\tilde{A}U - A_{PU}^{(0)})F_{12}\|^{2} \\ + \|N - F_{42}^{H}(Q^{H}\tilde{A}V - A_{QV}^{(0)})F_{32}\|^{2} \\ + \|F_{21}^{H}(P^{H}\tilde{A}U - A_{PU}^{(0)})\|^{2} \\ + \|F_{22}^{H}(P^{H}\tilde{A}U - A_{PU}^{(0)})F_{11}\|^{2} + \|Q^{H}\tilde{A}U\|^{2} \\ + \|F_{42}^{H}(Q^{H}\tilde{A}V - A_{QV}^{(0)})F_{31}\|^{2} + \|P^{H}\tilde{A}V\|^{2} \\ + \|F_{41}^{H}(Q^{H}\tilde{A}V - A_{QV}^{(0)})F_{31}\|^{2} + \|P^{H}\tilde{A}V\|^{2} \\ + \|F_{41}^{H}(Q^{H}\tilde{A}V - A_{QV}^{(0)})\|^{2}.$$
(32)

Note that  $F_{22}^{\rm H}A_{PU}^{(0)}F_{12}=0, F_{42}^{\rm H}A_{QV}^{(0)}F_{32}=0$ . It follows from (32) that  $\|A-\tilde{A}\|=\min$  if and only if

$$M = F_{22}^{\mathrm{H}} P^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{A} U F_{12}, \quad N = F_{42}^{\mathrm{H}} Q^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{A} V F_{32}.$$

By now, we have proved the following result.

Theorem 2: Let  $X \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times p}, Z \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}, Y \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times q}, W \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times q}, \tilde{A} \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$  and  $\rho$  denote the set of  $m \times n$  generalized reflexive matrices. Suppose that the SVDs of the matrices  $U^{\mathrm{H}}X, P^{\mathrm{H}}Y, V^{\mathrm{H}}X$  and  $Q^{\mathrm{H}}Y$  are given by (18) and (19), then Problem II has a unique solution  $\hat{A}$  in  $\rho(X, Z, Y, W)$ . Moreover,  $\hat{A}$  can be expressed as

$$\hat{A} = A_0 + [P, Q] \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U^{H} \\ V^{H} \end{bmatrix},$$
 (33)

and the associated minimum  $\sigma(X, Z, Y, W; \tilde{A})$  is

$$\begin{split} \sigma(X,Z,Y,W;\tilde{A}) &= (\|F_{21}^{\mathrm{H}}(P^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{A}U - A_{PU}^{(0)})\|^{2} \\ + \|F_{22}^{\mathrm{H}}(P^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{A}U - A_{PU}^{(0)})F_{11}\|^{2} \\ + \|F_{41}^{\mathrm{H}}(Q^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{A}V - A_{QV}^{(0)})\|^{2} + \|F_{42}^{\mathrm{H}}(Q^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{A}V - A_{QV}^{(0)})F_{31}\|^{2} \\ + \|P^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{A}V\|^{2} + \|Q^{\mathrm{H}}\tilde{A}U\|^{2})^{1/2}, \end{split}$$

$$(34)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \hat{A}_{11} &= F_{22} F_{22}^{\rm H} P^{\rm H} \tilde{A} U F_{12} F_{12}^{\rm H}, \\ \hat{A}_{22} &= F_{42} F_{42}^{\rm H} Q^{\rm H} \tilde{A} V F_{32} F_{32}^{\rm H}. \end{split}$$

Now, we apply Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 to solve the left and right inverse eigenproblem for generalized reflexive matrices and its optimal approximation, i.e., given partial left and right eigenpairs (eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors)  $(\omega_i,y_i)(i=1,\cdots,q),\ (\lambda_j,x_j)(j=1,\cdots,p)$  and a matrix  $\tilde{A}\in \mathbf{C}^{n\times n}$ , find an  $n\times n$  generalized reflexive matrix A such that

$$Ax_j = \lambda_j x_j \ (j = 1, \dots, p), \ y_i^{\mathrm{H}} A = \omega_i y_i^{\mathrm{H}} \ (i = 1, \dots, q),$$
(35)

and a matrix  $\hat{A} \in \rho(X, \Lambda, Y, \Omega)$  such that

$$\|\tilde{A} - \hat{A}\| = \min_{A \in \rho(X, \Lambda, Y, \Omega)} \|\tilde{A} - A\|, \tag{36}$$

where  $\rho(X,\Lambda,Y,\Omega)=\{A\in\rho\,\big|AX=X\Lambda,Y^{\mathrm{H}}A=\Omega Y^{\mathrm{H}}\}$ ,  $\rho$  denotes the set of  $n\times n$  generalized reflexive matrices,  $\Lambda=\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_p),\Omega=\mathrm{diag}(\omega_1,\cdots,\omega_q),\;X=[x_1,\cdots,x_p],\;Y=[y_1,\cdots,y_q].$ 

From Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3: Let  $X \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times p}, Y \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times q}, \tilde{A} \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}, \Lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p), \Omega = \mathrm{diag}(\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_q)$  and  $\rho(X, \Lambda, Y, \Omega) = \{A \in \rho \ | AX = X\Lambda, Y^HA = \Omega Y^H \}$ , where  $\rho$  denotes the set of  $n \times n$  generalized reflexive matrices. Suppose that the SVDs of the matrices  $U^HX, P^HY, V^HX$  and  $Q^HY$  are given by (18) and (19), then the set  $\rho(X, \Lambda, Y, \Omega)$  is nonempty if and only if

$$\begin{split} P^{\mathrm{H}}X\Lambda(U^{\mathrm{H}}X)^{+}U^{\mathrm{H}}X &= P^{\mathrm{H}}X\Lambda, \\ U^{\mathrm{H}}Y\Omega^{\mathrm{H}}(P^{\mathrm{H}}Y)^{+}P^{\mathrm{H}}Y &= U^{\mathrm{H}}Y\Omega^{\mathrm{H}} \\ Y^{\mathrm{H}}PP^{\mathrm{H}}X\Lambda &= \Omega Y^{\mathrm{H}}UU^{\mathrm{H}}X \end{split}$$

and

$$Q^{\mathrm{H}} X \Lambda (V^{\mathrm{H}} X)^{+} V^{\mathrm{H}} X = Q^{\mathrm{H}} X \Lambda,$$

$$\begin{split} V^{\rm H} Y \Omega^{\rm H} (Q^{\rm H} Y)^+ Q^{\rm H} Y &= V^{\rm H} Y \Omega^{\rm H}, \\ Y^{\rm H} Q Q^{\rm H} X \Lambda &= \Omega Y^{\rm H} V V^{\rm H} X, \end{split}$$

in which case, the set  $\rho(X, \Lambda, Y, \Omega)$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} \rho(X, \Lambda, Y, \Omega) &= \{A = [P, Q] \\ \begin{bmatrix} A_{PU}^{(0)} + F_{22}MF_{12}^{\mathrm{H}} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{QV}^{(0)} + F_{42}NF_{32}^{\mathrm{H}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U^{\mathrm{H}} \\ V^{\mathrm{H}} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} , \end{split}$$

where

$$A_{PU}^{(0)} = P^{\mathrm{H}} X \Lambda (U^{\mathrm{H}} X)^{+} + (Y^{\mathrm{H}} P)^{+} \Omega Y^{\mathrm{H}} U F_{12} F_{12}^{\mathrm{H}},$$

$$A_{OV}^{(0)} = Q^{\mathrm{H}} X \Lambda (V^{\mathrm{H}} X)^{+} + (Y^{\mathrm{H}} Q)^{+} \Omega Y^{\mathrm{H}} V F_{32} F_{32}^{\mathrm{H}}$$

and  $M \in \mathbf{C}^{(r-t_2) \times (k-t_1)}$ ,  $N \in \mathbf{C}^{(s-t_4) \times (l-t_3)}$  are arbitrary matrices, and there is a unique matrix  $\hat{A} \in \rho(X, \Lambda, Y, \Omega)$  such that  $\|\tilde{A} - \hat{A}\| = \min_{A \in \rho(X, \Lambda, Y, \Omega)} \|\tilde{A} - A\|$ . Moreover,  $\hat{A}$  can be expressed as

$$\hat{A} = [P,Q] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} A_{PU}^{(0)} + \hat{A}_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{QV}^{(0)} + \hat{A}_{22} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} U^{\rm H} \\ V^{\rm H} \end{array} \right],$$

where

$$\hat{A}_{11} = F_{22}F_{22}^{H}P^{H}\tilde{A}UF_{12}F_{12}^{H},$$

$$\hat{A}_{22} = F_{42}F_{42}^{H}Q^{H}\tilde{A}VF_{32}F_{32}^{H}.$$

If m=n, R=S, Y=0, then P=U, Q=V. From Corollary 3, we obtain the following corollary related to the inverse eigenvalue problem for reflexive matrices and its optimal approximation. For more details, see [27].

Corollary 4: Let  $X \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times p}$ ,  $\tilde{A} \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p)$ , and  $\rho(X, \Lambda) = \{A \in \rho \mid AX = X\Lambda\}$ , where  $\rho$  denotes the set of  $n \times n$  reflexive matrices. Suppose that the SVDs of the matrices  $U^H X, V^H X$  are given by (18) and (19),  $t_1 = \mathrm{rank}(U^H X)$ ,  $t_3 = \mathrm{rank}(V^H X)$ , then the set  $\rho(X, \Lambda)$  is nonempty if and only if

$$U^{\rm H}X\Lambda(U^{\rm H}X)^{+}U^{\rm H}X = U^{\rm H}X\Lambda,$$
 
$$V^{\rm H}X\Lambda(V^{\rm H}X)^{+}V^{\rm H}X = V^{\rm H}X\Lambda,$$

in which case, the set  $\rho(X,\Lambda)$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} \rho(X,\Lambda) &= \{A = [U,V] \\ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} A_U^{(0)} + M F_{12}^{\rm H} & 0 \\ 0 & A_V^{(0)} + N F_{32}^{\rm H} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} U^{\rm H} \\ V^{\rm H} \end{array} \right] \right\}, \end{split}$$

where

$$A_U^{(0)} = U^{\mathrm{H}} X \Lambda (U^{\mathrm{H}} X)^+, A_V^{(0)} = V^{\mathrm{H}} X \Lambda (V^{\mathrm{H}} X)^+,$$

and  $M \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times (r-t_1)}$ ,  $N \in \mathbf{C}^{(n-r) \times (n-r-t_3)}$  are arbitrary matrices, and there is a unique matrix  $\hat{A} \in \rho(X,\Lambda)$  such that  $\|\tilde{A} - \hat{A}\| = \min_{A \in \rho(X,\Lambda)} \|\tilde{A} - A\|$ . Moreover,  $\hat{A}$  can be expressed as

$$\hat{A} = [U,V] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} A_U^{(0)} + \hat{A}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_V^{(0)} + \hat{A}_2 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} U^{\rm H} \\ V^{\rm H} \end{array} \right]. \label{eq:A_def}$$

where  $\hat{A}_1 = U^H \tilde{A} U F_{12} F_{12}^H$ ,  $\hat{A}_2 = V^H \tilde{A} V F_{32} F_{32}^H$ .

## IV. MINIMIZATION PROBLEM FOR GENERALIZED ANTI-REFLEXIVE MATRICES

In this section  $\rho$  denotes the set of  $m \times n$  generalized antireflexive matrices.

For the given matrices  $X \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times p}, Z \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}, Y \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times q}$ and  $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times q}$ , if  $A \in \rho$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\begin{split} & & \min_{A \in \rho} (\|AX - Z\|^2 + \|Y^{\mathrm{H}}A - W^{\mathrm{H}}\|^2) \\ & & & \min_{A_{PV} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times l}, A_{QU} \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times k}} \\ & & \left( \left\| [P, Q] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & A_{PV} \\ A_{QU} & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} U^{\mathrm{H}} \\ V^{\mathrm{H}} \end{array} \right] X - Z \right\|^2 \\ & & + \left\| Y^{\mathrm{H}}[P, Q] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & A_{PV} \\ A_{QU} & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} U^{\mathrm{H}} \\ V^{\mathrm{H}} \end{array} \right] - W^{\mathrm{H}} \right\|^2 \right) \\ & = & \min_{A_{PV} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times l}} (\|A_{PV}(V^{\mathrm{H}}X) - (P^{\mathrm{H}}Z)\|^2 \\ & + \|(P^{\mathrm{H}}Y)^{\mathrm{H}}A_{PV} - (V^{\mathrm{H}}W)^{\mathrm{H}}\|^2) \\ & + \min_{A_{QU} \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times k}} (\|A_{QU}(U^{\mathrm{H}}X) - (Q^{\mathrm{H}}Z)\|^2 \\ & + \|(Q^{\mathrm{H}}Y)^{\mathrm{H}}A_{QU} - (U^{\mathrm{H}}W)^{\mathrm{H}}\|^2). \end{split}$$

Applying Lemma 2 to (37), we obtain the following theorem. Theorem 5: Let  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}, Z \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}, Y \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times q}$ and  $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times q}$ ,  $\rho$  be the set of  $m \times n$  generalized anti-reflexive matrices. Suppose that the SVDs of matrices  $U^{\rm H}X, P^{\rm H}Y, V^{\rm H}X$  and  $Q^{\rm H}Y$  are given by (18) and (19), then

$$\begin{split} &\sigma(X,Z,Y,W) = \min_{A \in \rho} (\|AX - Z\|^2 \\ &+ \|Y^{\mathrm{H}}A - W^{\mathrm{H}}\|^2)^{1/2} \\ &= & (\|P^{\mathrm{H}}ZG_{32}\|^2 + \|V^{\mathrm{H}}WG_{22}\|^2 \\ &+ \|\Psi_1 * (\Sigma_2 F_{21}^{\mathrm{H}}P^{\mathrm{H}}ZG_{31} - G_{21}^{\mathrm{H}}W^{\mathrm{H}}VF_{31}\Sigma_3)\|^2 \\ &+ \|Q^{\mathrm{H}}ZG_{12}\|^2 + \|U^{\mathrm{H}}WG_{42}\|^2 \\ &+ \|\Psi_2 * (\Sigma_4 F_{41}^{\mathrm{H}}Q^{\mathrm{H}}ZG_{11} - G_{41}^{\mathrm{H}}W^{\mathrm{H}}UF_{11}\Sigma_1)\|^2)^{1/2}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Psi_1 = [\psi_{ij}^{(1)}] \in \mathbf{C}^{t_2 \times t_3}, \psi_{ij}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{((\alpha_i^{(2)})^2 + (\alpha_j^{(3)})^2)^{1/2}}, \\ 1 \leq i \leq t_2, 1 \leq j \leq t_3; \end{split}$$

$$\Psi_2 = [\psi_{ij}^{(2)}] \in \mathbf{C}^{t_4 \times t_1}, \psi_{ij}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{((\alpha_i^{(4)})^2 + (\alpha_j^{(1)})^2)^{1/2}},$$

$$1 \le i \le t_4, 1 \le j \le t_1,$$

and  $A \in \rho$  attains this minimum if and only

$$A = A_0 + [P,Q] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F_{22}MF_{32}^{\rm H} \\ F_{42}NF_{12}^{\rm H} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U^{\rm H} \\ V^{\rm H} \end{bmatrix} \quad (38)$$

with arbitrary  $M \in \mathbf{C}^{(r-t_2)\times(l-t_3)}$ .  $N \in \mathbf{C}^{(s-t_4)\times(k-t_1)}$  and

$$A_{0} = [P,Q] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{PV}^{(0)} \\ A_{QU}^{(0)} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U^{\rm H} \\ V^{\rm H} \end{bmatrix},$$
 
$$A_{PV}^{(0)} = F_{2} \begin{bmatrix} K_{3} * C_{11} & \Sigma_{2}^{-1} G_{21}^{\rm H} W^{\rm H} V F_{32} \\ F_{22}^{\rm H} P^{\rm H} Z G_{31} \Sigma_{3}^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} F_{3}^{\rm H},$$
 
$$A_{QU}^{(0)} = F_{4} \begin{bmatrix} K_{4} * D_{11} & \Sigma_{4}^{-1} G_{41}^{\rm H} W^{\rm H} U F_{12} \\ F_{42}^{\rm H} Q^{\rm H} Z G_{11} \Sigma_{1}^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} F_{1}^{\rm H},$$
 where

where

$$\begin{split} C_{11} &= F_{21}^{\mathrm{H}} P^{\mathrm{H}} Z G_{31} \Sigma_3 + \Sigma_2 G_{21}^{\mathrm{H}} W^{\mathrm{H}} V F_{31}, \\ D_{11} &= F_{41}^{\mathrm{H}} Q^{\mathrm{H}} Z G_{11} \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_4 G_{41}^{\mathrm{H}} W^{\mathrm{H}} U F_{11}, \end{split}$$

 $K_3=\Psi_1*\Psi_1, K_4=\Psi_2*\Psi_2.$  Moreover, the equations AX=Z and  $Y^{\rm H}A=W^{\rm H}$  have a common solution  $A\in\rho$  if and only if

$$P^{H}Z(V^{H}X)^{+}V^{H}X = P^{H}Z,$$
  

$$V^{H}W(P^{H}Y)^{+}P^{H}Y = V^{H}W,$$
  

$$Y^{H}PP^{H}Z = W^{H}VV^{H}X.$$

and

$$\begin{split} &Q^{\mathrm{H}}Z(U^{\mathrm{H}}X)^{+}U^{\mathrm{H}}X = Q^{\mathrm{H}}Z,\\ &U^{\mathrm{H}}W(Q^{\mathrm{H}}Y)^{+}Q^{\mathrm{H}}Y = U^{\mathrm{H}}W,\\ &Y^{\mathrm{H}}QQ^{\mathrm{H}}Z = W^{\mathrm{H}}UU^{\mathrm{H}}X, \end{split}$$

in which case a general solution of the equations AX = Zand  $Y^{\rm H}A = W^{\rm H}$  can be expressed as

with arbitrary  $M \in \mathbf{C}^{(r-t_2)\times(l-t_3)}$ ,  $N \in \mathbf{C}^{(s-t_4)\times(k-t_1)}$  and

$$A_{PV}^{(0)} = P^{\mathrm{H}}Z(V^{\mathrm{H}}X)^{+} + (Y^{\mathrm{H}}P)^{+}W^{\mathrm{H}}VF_{32}F_{32}^{\mathrm{H}},$$
  

$$A_{PV}^{(0)} = Q^{\mathrm{H}}Z(U^{\mathrm{H}}X)^{+} + (Y^{\mathrm{H}}Q)^{+}W^{\mathrm{H}}UF_{12}F_{12}^{\mathrm{H}}.$$

Let  $r_1 = r - t_2, k_1 = k - t_1, s_1 = s - t_4$  and  $l_1 = l - t_3$ . From Theorem 5 we know

$$\rho(X, Z, Y, W) = \begin{cases} A = A_0 + [P, Q] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F_{22}MF_{32}^{H} \\ F_{42}NF_{12}^{H} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U^{H} \\ V^{H} \end{bmatrix} | \\ M \in C^{r_1 \times l_1}, N \in C^{s_1 \times k_1} \end{cases},$$
(41)

Similar to Theorem 2, we have the following result.

Theorem 6: Let  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}, Z \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}, Y \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times q}, W \in$  $\mathbf{C}^{n\times q}$ ,  $\tilde{A}\in\mathbf{C}^{m\times n}$ , and let  $\rho$  be the set of  $m\times n$  generalized anti-reflexive matrices. Suppose that the SVDs of matrices  $U^{\rm H}X, P^{\rm H}Y, V^{\rm H}X$  and  $Q^{\rm H}Y$  are given by (18) and (19), then Problem II has a unique solution  $\hat{A}$  in  $\rho(X, Z, Y, W)$ . Moreover,  $\hat{A}$  can be expressed as

$$\hat{A} = A_0 + [P,Q] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \hat{A}_{12} \\ \hat{A}_{21} & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} U^{\rm H} \\ V^{\rm H} \end{array} \right],$$

where

$$\begin{split} \hat{A}_{12} &= F_{22} F_{22}^{\rm H} P^{\rm H} \tilde{A} V F_{32} F_{32}^{\rm H}, \\ \hat{A}_{21} &= F_{42} F_{42}^{\rm H} Q^{\rm H} \tilde{A} U F_{12} F_{12}^{\rm H}. \end{split}$$

In this case, the associated minimum  $\sigma(X, Z, Y, W; \tilde{A})$  is

$$\begin{split} &\sigma(X,Z,Y,W;\tilde{A}) = (\|F_{21}^{\rm H}(P^{\rm H}\tilde{A}V-A_{PV}^{(0)})\|^2 \\ &+ \|F_{22}^{\rm H}(P^{\rm H}\tilde{A}V-A_{PV}^{(0)})F_{31}\|^2 \\ &+ \|F_{41}^{\rm H}(Q^{\rm H}\tilde{A}U-A_{QU}^{(0)})\|^2 \\ &+ \|F_{42}^{\rm H}(Q^{\rm H}\tilde{A}U-A_{QU}^{(0)})F_{11}\|^2 \\ &+ \|P^{\rm H}\tilde{A}U\|^2 + \|Q^{\rm H}\tilde{A}V\|^2)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

From Theorem 5 and Theorem 6, we get the following result related to the left and right inverse eigenproblem for generalized anti-reflexive matrices and its optimal approximation.

Corollary 7: Let  $X \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times p}, Y \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times q}, \tilde{A} \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}, \Lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p), \Omega = \mathrm{diag}(\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_q)$  and  $\rho(X, \Lambda, Y, \Omega) = \{A \in \rho \ | AX = X\Lambda, Y^HA = \Omega Y^H \}$ , where  $\rho$  denotes the set of  $n \times n$  generalized anti-reflexive matrices. Suppose that the SVDs of the matrices  $U^HX, P^HY, V^HX$  and  $Q^HY$  are given by (18) and (19), then the set  $\rho(X, \Lambda, Y, \Omega)$  is nonempty if and only if

$$\begin{split} P^{\mathrm{H}}X\Lambda(V^{\mathrm{H}}X)^{+}V^{\mathrm{H}}X &= P^{\mathrm{H}}X\Lambda, \\ V^{\mathrm{H}}Y\Omega^{\mathrm{H}}(P^{\mathrm{H}}Y)^{+}P^{\mathrm{H}}Y &= V^{H}Y\Omega^{\mathrm{H}}, \\ Y^{\mathrm{H}}PP^{\mathrm{H}}X\Lambda &= \Omega Y^{\mathrm{H}}VV^{\mathrm{H}}X. \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} Q^{\mathrm{H}}X\Lambda(U^{\mathrm{H}}X)^{+}U^{\mathrm{H}}X &= Q^{\mathrm{H}}X\Lambda,\\ U^{\mathrm{H}}Y\Omega^{\mathrm{H}}(Q^{\mathrm{H}}Y)^{+}Q^{\mathrm{H}}Y &= U^{\mathrm{H}}Y\Omega^{\mathrm{H}},\\ Y^{\mathrm{H}}QQ^{\mathrm{H}}X\Lambda &= \Omega Y^{\mathrm{H}}UU^{\mathrm{H}}X, \end{split}$$

in which case, the set  $\rho(X, \Lambda, Y, \Omega)$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} \rho(X,\Lambda,Y,\Omega) &= \{A = [P,Q] \\ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & A_{PV}^{(0)} + F_{22}MF_{32}^{\rm H} \\ A_{QU}^{(0)} + F_{42}NF_{12}^{\rm H} & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} U^{\rm H} \\ V^{\rm H} \end{array} \right] \right\}, \end{split}$$

where  $M \in \mathbf{C}^{(r-t_2)\times(l-t_3)}, N \in \mathbf{C}^{(s-t_4)\times(k-t_1)}$  are arbitrary matrices and

$$\begin{split} A_{PV}^{(0)} &= P^{\mathrm{H}} X \Lambda (V^{\mathrm{H}} X)^{+} + (Y^{\mathrm{H}} P)^{+} \Omega Y^{\mathrm{H}} V F_{32} F_{32}^{\mathrm{H}}, \\ A_{OU}^{(0)} &= Q^{\mathrm{H}} X \Lambda (U^{\mathrm{H}} X)^{+} + (Y^{\mathrm{H}} Q)^{+} \Omega Y^{\mathrm{H}} U F_{12} F_{12}^{\mathrm{H}}, \end{split}$$

and there exists a unique matrix  $\hat{A} \in \rho(X, \Lambda, Y, \Omega)$  such that  $\|\tilde{A} - \hat{A}\| = \min_{A \in \rho(X, \Lambda, Y, \Omega)} \|\tilde{A} - A\|$ . Moreover,  $\hat{A}$  can be expressed as

$$\hat{A} = [P,Q] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & A_{PV}^{(0)} + \hat{A}_{12} \\ A_{OU}^{(0)} + \hat{A}_{21} & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} U^{\mathrm{H}} \\ V^{\mathrm{H}} \end{array} \right], \label{eq:A_QU}$$

where

$$\hat{A}_{12} = F_{22} F_{22}^{\mathrm{H}} P^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{A} V F_{32} F_{32}^{\mathrm{H}},$$
$$\hat{A}_{21} = F_{42} F_{42}^{\mathrm{H}} Q^{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{A} U F_{12} F_{12}^{\mathrm{H}}.$$

In addition, if m=n, R=S, Y=0, similar to Corollary 4, we can obtain the result related to the inverse eigenvalue problem for anti-reflexive matrices and its optimal approximation from Corollary 7.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. L. Andrew, Eigenvectors of certain matrices, Linear Algebra Appl., 7 (1973): 151–162.
- [2] A. L. Andrew, Solution of equations involving centrosymmetric matrices, Technometrics, 15(1973), pp. 405–407.
- [3] J. P. Aubin, Applied Functional Analysis, John Weiley, New York, 1979.
   [4] Z. J. Bai, R. H. Chan, Inverse eigenproblem for centrosymmetric and
- [4] Z. -J. Bai, R. H. Chan, Inverse eigenproblem for centrosymmetric and centroskew matrices and their approximation, Theoretical Computer Science, 315 (2004): 309–318.
- [5] A. W. Bojanczyk, A. Lutoborski, The procrustes problem for orthogonal stiefel matrices, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 21 (1999): 1291–1304.
- [6] A. W. Bojanczyk, A. Lutoborski, The procrustes problem for orthogonal Kronecker products, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 25 (2003): 148–163.
- [7] A. Cantoni, P. Butler, Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of symmetric centrosymmetric matrices, Linear Algebra Appl., 13 (1976): 275–288.

- [8] H. C. Chen, The SAS domain decomposition method for structural analysis, CSRD Tech. report 754, Center for Supercomputing Research and Development, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, 1988.
- [9] H. C. Chen, Generalized reflexive matrices: special properties and applications, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 19 (1998): 140–153.
- [10] W. Chen, X. Wang, T. Zhong, The structure of weighting coefficient matrices of harmonic differential quadrature and its application, Comm. Numer. Methods Eng., 12 (1996): 455–460.
- [11] M. T. Chu, Inverse eigenvalue problems, SIAM Rev., 40 (1998): 1-39.
- [12] M. T. Chu, G. H. Golub, Inverse Eigenvalue Problems, Theory, Algorithms and Applications, Oxford University Press, 2005.
- [13] L. Datta, S. Morgera, On the reducibility of centrosymmetric matrices– applications in engineering problems, Ciruits Systems Signal Process, 8 (1989): 71–96.
- [14] J. Delmas, On adaptive EVD asymtotic distribution of centro-symmetric covariance matrices, IEEE Trans. Signal Process., 47 (1999): 1402– 1406.
- [15] I. J. Good, The inverse of a centrosymmetric matrix, Technometrics, 12 (1970): 925–928.
- [16] N. J. Higham, The symmetric procrustes peoblem, BIT, 28 (1988): 133– 143.
- [17] A. Marina, H. Daniel, M. Volker, C. Hans, The recursive inverse eigenvalue problem, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 22 (2000): 392–412.
- [18] Z.-Y. Peng, X.-Y. Hu, The reflexive and anti-reflexive solutions of the matrix equation AX = B, Linear Algebra Appl., 375 (2003): 147–155.
- [19] W. C. Pye, T. L. Boullino, and T. A. Atchison, The pseudoinverse of a centrosymmetric matrix, Linear Algebra Appl., 6 (1973): 201–204.
- [20] D. Tao, M. Yasuda, A spectral characterization of generalized real symmetric centrosymmetric and generalized real symmetric skewcentrosymmetric matrices, SIAM J. Matrix Anal., 23 (2002): 885–895.
- [21] W. F. Trench, Hermitian R-symmetri, and hermitian R-skew symmetric procrustes problems, Linear Algebra Appl., 387 (2004): 83–98.
- [22] W. F. Trench, Inverse eigenproblems and associated approximation problems for matrices with generalized symmetry or skew symmetry, Linear Algebra Appl., 380 (2004): 199–211
- [23] W. F. Trench, Minimization problems for (R, S)-symmetric and (R, S)-skew symmetric matrices, Linear Algebra Appl., 389 (2004): 23–31.
- [24] N. T. Trendafilov, R. A. Lippert, The multimode procrustes problem, Linear Algebra Appl., 349 (2002): 245–264.
- [25] J. R. Weaver, Centrosymmetric (cross-symmetric) matrices, their basic properties, eigenvalues, eigenvectors, Amer. Math. Monthly, 92 (1985): 711–717.
- [26] M. Yasuda, Spectral characterizations for Hermitian centrosymmetric K-matrices and Hermitian skew-centrosymmetric K-matrices, SIAM J. Matrix Anal., 25 (2003): 601–605.
- [27] F.-Z. Zhou, The solvability conditions for the inverse eigenvalue problems of reflexive matrices, J. Comput. Applied Math., 188 (2006): 180– 189
- [28] F.-Z. Zhou, L. Zhang, X.-Y. Hu, Least-squares solution for inverse problems of centrosymmetric matrices, Comput. Math. Appl., 45 (2003): 1581–1589.
- [29] S. Q. Zhou, H. Dai, The Algebraic Inverse Eigenvalue Problem, Henan Science and Technology Press, Zhengzhou, 1991 (in Chinese).