ISSN: 2415-6612 Vol:5, No:10, 2011

# Evaluation of the Inhibitory Effect of Some Plant Crude Extracts Against *Albugo Candida*, the Causal Agent of White Rust

Marjan Omranpour, Saeed Abbasi, Sohbat Bahraminejad

Abstract—White rust, caused by Albugo candida, is the most destructive foliar diseases of persian cress, Lepidium sativum in Iran. Application of fungicide is the most common method for the disease control. However, regarding the problems created by synthetic pesticides application, environmentally safe methods are needed to replace chemical pesticides. In this study, the antifungal activity of plant natural extracts was investigated for their ability to inhibit zoospore release from sporangia of A. candida. The crude extract of 46 plants was obtained using methanol. The inhibitory effect of the extracts was examined by mixing the plant extracts with a zoosporangial suspension of A. candida (1×106 spore/ml) at three concentrations, 250, 100 and 50 ppm. The experiments were conducted in a completely randomized design, with three replicates. The results of the experiment showed that three out of 46 plants species, including, Rhus coriaria, Anagallis arvensis and Mespilus germanica were completely inhibit zoospore release from zoosporangia of Albugo candida at concentration of 50 ppm.

**Keywords**—white rust, plant extract, *Rhus coriaria*, Anagallis arvensis and Mespilus germanica

## I. INTRODUCTION

HITE rust, caused by Albugo candida (Pers. ex Hook.) Kunze, is the most serious and destructive foliar diseases of persian cress, Lepidium sativum L. in Iran [2]. The pathogen can infect all aboveground parts of the plant, producing white blisters [6]. In Iran, sever outbreaks occur during the spring and fall months [2]. The disease mainly controlled by fungicide application. However, regarding problems created by synthetic pesticides application, alternative safe methods are needed for disease control. Plant extracts are alternative source of natural pesticide for controlling plant diseases. The efficiency of the plant crude extract against plant pathogenic fungi has been already reported [1, 3, 4, 5]. The objective of the present study was to investigate the effect of some plant crude extracts for their ability to inhibit zoospore release from sporangia of Albugo candida.

Marjan Omranpour, is with the Dep. of Agronomy and Plant Breeding , Campus of Agriculture and natural Resources, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran; (e-mail: mo043478@gmail.com)

S. Abbasi, is with the Dep. of Plant Protection, Campus of Agriculture and natural Resources, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran; (corresponding author phone/Fax: +98-831-8323734, cell phone: +98-918-359-4239, e-mail: abbasikhs@yahoo.com).

Sohbat Bahraminejad, is with the Dep. of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Campus of Agriculture and natural Resources, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran; (e-mail: sohbah72@hotmail.com)

#### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### A. Plant materiales

Forty six plant species were collected from the various parts of Kermanshah Province and Hamadan Province. The plants were identified based on morphological characteristics and a specimen of each species was kept in the herbarium of Campus of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran. Plant parts were cleaned, air dried in the shade and ground to a fine powder with a coffee grinder.

### B. Inoculum production

An isolate of *A.candia* was originally recovered from a Persian cress field in Kermanshah and inoculated on persian cress seedling to produce sufficient fresh inoculum for each *in vitro* experiment.

## C. Preparation of crude extract

Methanolic extract was obtained by adding 100 ml methanol to 5g ground sample and shaking on an orbital shaker at 300 rpm for 24 hours. Then, thirty milliliter of distilled water and 100 ml n-hexane was added to 70 ml methanolic extract after filtrating and let the mixture to shake for 2 hours. Methanolic phase was then separated and concentrated with a rotary evaporator [1]. The obtained extracts were stored at a temperature of 4 °C until they were used in the experiment.

# D.Antifungal activity test

For each experiment, infected leaves were collected from heavily infected plants and fresh zoosporangia were harvested by washing with sterile distilled water. Resulting suspension was filtered through several layers of muslin. The number of zoosporangia was counted using a haemocytometer and adjusted to a concentration of  $1\times10^6$  spore/ ml. To assess the effect of plant crude extracts on zoospore release from sporangia of *A. candida*, zoosporangial suspension was mixed with appropriate quantity of plant extract to achieve favorable concentrations (250, 100 and 50 ppm).

To promote zoospore release, zoosporangial suspension was transferred to a refrigerator at 8°C and then was incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The number of motile zoospores was counted using a haemocytometer. Counting the zoospores repeated twice and the average were considered. The inhibition percentage was calculated based on following equation:

ISSN: 2415-6612 Vol:5, No:10, 2011

## Inhibition percentage = (C-T/C)/100

Where C is the mean number of released zoospore in control and T is the mean number of released zoospore in each treatment.

The experiments were conducted in a completely randomized design, with three replicates. The inhibitory effect of the plant extracts that completely prevent zoospore release at concentration of 250 ppm were evaluated at lower concentrations, 100 and 50 ppm.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of analysis of variance for the extract concentration of 250 ppm showed significant variation among extracts for the inhibitory effects on the zoospore release of *A. candida*. Mean comparison of treatments done by Duncan's test ( $P \le 0.05$ ) indicated that the inhibitory effect of 32 out of 46 was categorized in a group with the highest inhibition (Table 1.). Among this group of treatments, 17 were

completely inhibited the release of zoospore at this concentration. Therefore, to select the best, zoospores were exposed to these extracts at concentration of 100 ppm. The significant variation for inhibitory effect of extracts was observed and the results showed that 12 out of 17 were classified in the group "a". Nine of them completely inhibited the release of zoospores. So, these 9 extracts were also tested in the third experiment at the concentration of 50 ppm. Finally, 5 of those were non-significantly inhibited well the release of zoospores. Three of those that completely inhibited the release of zoospore were selected for further research on the in vivo experiments (Table 1.). These selected plant extracts were methanolic extracts of Rhus coriaria, Anagallis arvensis and Mespilus germanica. It could be concluded that plant extracts collected from the west of Iran are the valuable sources of natural antifungal substances against White rust, and therefore the research needs to be developed in this field.

1 ABLE 1
THE EFFECT OF THE PLANT METHANOLIC EXTRACTS AT DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS ON THE RELEASE OF ZOOSPORE FROM ZOOSPORANGIA OF ALBUGO CANDIDA

No	Plant	Family	Part used		Inhibitory Effect (%)		
		ганну 	rart useu	250 ppm	100 ppm	50 ppm	
1	Oliveria decumbens	Apiaceae	Shoot	$63.6^{\text{abcde}}$	-		
2	Acroptilon repens	Asteraceae	Whole plant	68.9 abcde			
3	Allium heamanthoides	Liliaceae	Corm	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	61.3°		
4	Rhus coriaria	Anacardiaceae	Shoot	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0 a	100.0 a	
5	Purtulaca oleracea	Purtolaceae	Whole plant	$62.0^{abcde}$			
6	Capsella bursa- pastoris	Cruciferae	Whole plant	67.4 abcde			
7	Xanthium strumarium	Asteraceae	Shoot	63.7 abcde			
8	Rosmarinus officinalis	Lamiaceae	Shoot	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0 a	50.3 <sup>d</sup>	
9	Verbascum nigrum	Scrophulariaceae	Shoot	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0 a	99.7 <sup>a</sup>	
10	Eugenia caryophyllata	Caryophyllaceae	Shoot	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0 a	66.7 <sup>c</sup>	
11	Carum copticum	Apiaceae	Seed	100.0 a	62.1°		
12	Pinus eldarica	Pinaceae	Seed	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0 a	89.9 ab	
13	Alhaji psudoalhaji	Fabaceae	Shoot	71.4 abcd			
14	Physalis alkekengi	Solanaceae	Shoot	63.2 <sup>abcde</sup>			
15	Borago officinalis	Boraginaceae	Flower	69.2 abcde			
16	Lavandula officinalis	Lamiaceae	Shoot	54.3 abcdef			
17	Achillea millefolium	Asteraceae	Whole plant	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	52.9 <sup>d</sup>		
18	Ferulago angulata	Apiaceae	Shoot	45.8 cdefgh			
19	Vaccaria pyramidata	Caryophyllaceae	Shoot	100.0 a	$86.4^{ab}$		
20	Centaurea depreses	Asteraceae	Whole plant	36.9 efghi			
21	Consolida orientalis	Ranunculaceae	Whole plant	50.7 bcdefg			
22	Tanacetum sp.	Asteraceae	Whole plant	88.4 ab			
23	Citrullus colocynthis	Cucurbitaceae	Shoot	53.8 abcdef			
24	Eucalyptus sp.	Myrtaceae	Leaf	$43.5^{\text{ defgh}}$			
25	Cucumis melo var.dudiam	Cucurbitaceae	Shoot	49.9 bcdefg			
26	Anagallis arvensis	Myrsinaceae	Shoot	$100.0^{a}$	100.0 a	100.0 a	

# International Journal of Biological, Life and Agricultural Sciences

ISSN: 2415-6612 Vol:5, No:10, 2011

No	Plant	Family	Part used	Inhibitory Effect (%)		
				250 ppm	100 ppm	50 ppm
27	Stachys inflata	Lamiaceae	Shoot	$36.7^{efghi}$		
28	Onosma sp.	Boraginaceae	Whole plant	58.9 <sup>abcde</sup>		
29	Tecurium sp.	Lamiaceae	Whole plant	45.1 cdefgh		
30	Linaria sp.	Scrophylariaceae	Shoot	63.5 <sup>abcde</sup>		
31	Tragopogon graminifolius	Asteraceae	Leaf	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	79.1 <sup>b</sup>	
32	Euphorbia sp.	Euphorbiaceae	Whole plant	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	65.6°	
33	Melia azedarach	Meliaceae	Shoot	$43.4^{defgh}$		
34	Hypericum perforatum	Hypericaceae	Shoot	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0 a	$82.0^{b}$
35	Dorema aucheri	Apiaceae	Leaf	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	89.1 <sup>ab</sup>	
36	Mespilus germanica	Rosaceae	Leaf	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0 a	100.0 <sup>a</sup>
37	Tribulus terrestris	Zygophyllaceae	Shoot	83.6 abc		
38	Dracocephalum moldavica	Lamiaceae	Shoot	68.9 abcde		
39	Cuminum cyminum	Apiaceae	Shoot	29.6 fghi		
40	Convulvulus arvensis	Convulvulaceae	Shoot	20.6 i		
41	Alisma samuele var orientale	Alismaceae	Shoot	$25.7^{hi}$		
42	Allium noeanum	Liliaceae	Shoot	27.9 ghi		
43	Foeniculum vulgare	Apiaceae	Seed	23.2 i		
44	Thymus sp.	Lamiaceae	Shoot	44.5 cdefgh		
45	Rubia tinctorum	Rubiaceae	Shoot	100.0 a	$85.4^{ab}$	
46	Zingiber officinale	Zingiberaceae	Rhizome	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0 a	84.2 <sup>b</sup>

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to the Razi University, for supporting this project.

# REFERENCES

- [1] Bahraminejad, S., Asenntorfer R.E., Riley, I.T. and Schultz, C.J. 2008. Analysis of the antimicrobial activity of flavonoids and saponins isolated from the shoots oats (*Avena sativa* L.). J. Phytopathology. 156:1-7.
- [2] Etebarian, H.K. 1993. Studies on white rust of radish and cress in varamin and Shahre-e- ray areas. Iranian Journal of Plant Pathology 29(3, 4): 51-52
- [3] Kim, D.K., Shim, C.K., Bae, D.W., Kawk, Y.S., Yang M.S. and Kim, H.K. 2002. Identification and biological characteristics of an antifungal compound extracted from

- cocklebur (*Xanthium strumarium*) against Phythopthora drechsleri. Plant Pathol J 18: 5, 288-292.
- [4] Kostova, I. and Dinchev, D. 2005. Saponins in *Tribulus terrestris* chemistry and bioactivity. Phytochem. Rev. 4: 111–137
- [5] Qasem, J.R. and Abu-Blan, H.A. 1996. Fugicidal activity od some common weed extracts against different plant pathogen fungi. J. Phytopathol. 144: 157-161.
- [6] Singh, U. S., Doughty, K. J., Nashaat, N. I., Bennett, R. N. and Kolte, S. J. 1999. Induction of Systemic Resistance to Albugo candida in Brassica juncea by Preor Coinoculation with an Incompatible Isolate. Phytopathology. 89:1226-1231