ISSN: 2517-9934 Vol:3, No:1, 2009

New Product-Type Estimators for the Population Mean Using Quartiles of the Auxiliary Variable

Amer Ibrahim Falah Al-Omari

Abstract—In this paper, we suggest new product-type estimators for the population mean of the variable of interest exploiting the first or the third quartile of the auxiliary variable. We obtain mean square error equations and the bias for the estimators. We study the properties of these estimators using simple random sampling (SRS) and ranked set sampling (RSS) methods. It is found that, SRS and RSS produce approximately unbiased estimators of the population mean. However, the RSS estimators are more efficient than those obtained using SRS based on the same number of measured units for all values of the correlation coefficient.

Keywords—Product estimator; auxiliary variable; simple random sampling, extreme ranked set sampling.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let X and Y denotes the auxiliary variable and the variable of interest respectively, with means μ_X , μ_Y , variances σ_X^2 , σ_Y^2 respectively and correlation coefficient ρ . Let $\left(X_{ij},Y_{ij}\right)$, i=1,2,...,m in the jth cycle j=1,2,...,n denote the measurements of X and Y. Assuming the population mean μ_X of the auxiliary variable X is known, the classical

product estimator $\hat{\overline{Y}}_{\!\mathit{CSRS}}$ for $\mu_{\!\scriptscriptstyle Y}$ using SRS is defined as

$$\hat{\overline{Y}}_{CSRS} = \overline{Y}_{SRS} \frac{\overline{X}_{SRS}}{\mu_{Y}}.$$
 (1)

where,

$$\overline{X}_{SRS} = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i1j}$$
 and $\overline{Y}_{SRS} = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} Y_{i1j}$.

Singh and Tailor (2003) suggested a modified product estimator $\hat{\overline{Y}}_{MSRS}$ for μ_Y using SRS from a sample of size m as given by

Department of Mathematics-Faculty of Science and Nursing Jerash, Private University, P.O. Box 311-Postal code 26150, Jerash, Jordan e-mail: alomari amer@yahoo.com

$$\hat{\overline{Y}}_{MSRS} = \overline{Y}_{SRS} \left(\frac{\overline{X}_{SRS} + \rho}{\mu_{Y} + \rho} \right). \tag{2}$$

For more details see Pandey and Dubey [5], Singh and Tailor [6], Upadhyaya and Singh [9] and Cochran [1]. McIntyre [3] was first to suggest the RSS method as a cost efficient alternative to SRS method. Takahasi and Wakimoto [8] independently introduce the same method with necessary mathematical theory. Samawi and Muttlak [6] suggested using RSS to estimate the population ratio. Muttlak [4] suggested using median ranked set sampling (MRSS) to estimate the population mean. Jemain and Al-Omari [2] suggested multistage median ranked set sampling (MMRSS) method for estimating the population mean.

In this paper, we have suggested new product-type estimators for estimating the population mean μ_{γ} assuming the knowledge of the first or third quartile of the auxiliary variable X. We compare the properties of the estimators under SRS and RSS methods.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2.1, we present the product-type estimators using SRS with their properties. In Section 2.2 we present the RSS product-type estimators with their properties. In Section 3 simulation study is conducted to investigate the performance of the suggested estimators under RSS with respect to SRS based on the same number of measured units. Conclusion is presented in Section 4

II. THE SUGGESTED ESTIMATORS

Let (X_1,Y_1) , (X_2,Y_2) ,..., (X_m,Y_m) be a bivariate random sample with pdf f(x,y), cdf F(x,y), with means μ_X , μ_Y , variances σ_X , σ_Y and correlation coefficient ρ . In this paper we assume that the ranking is performed on the variable X to estimate the mean of the variable of interest Y. Let (X_{11j},Y_{11j}) , (X_{12j},Y_{12j}) , ..., (X_{1mj},Y_{1mj}) , (X_{21j},Y_{21j}) , (X_{22j},Y_{22j}) , ..., (X_{2mj},Y_{2mj}) ,..., (X_{mnj},Y_{mnj}) , i=1,2,... m, j=1,2,..., n be m independent random samples each of size m.

ISSN: 2517-9934 Vol:3, No:1, 2009

A. The SRS product-type estimators

The product-type estimators of the population mean μ_{v}

$$\hat{\overline{Y}}_{SRS1} = \overline{Y}_{SRS} \left(\frac{\overline{X}_{SRS} + q_1}{\mu_X + q_1} \right)$$

and

$$\hat{\overline{Y}}_{SRS3} = \overline{Y}_{SRS} \left(\frac{\overline{X}_{SRS} + q_3}{\mu_X + q_3} \right), \tag{3}$$

where q_1 and q_3 are the first and third quartiles of the variable X respectively,

$$\overline{X}_{SRS} = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i1j}$$
 and $\overline{Y}_{SRS} = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} Y_{i1j}$. Using

$$h(\overline{X}_{SRS}, \overline{Y}_{RSS}) \cong h(\mu_{X}, \mu_{Y}) + (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_{X}) \frac{\partial(a,b)}{\partial a} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_{X} \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_{Y}}} + (\overline{Y} - \mu_{Y}) \frac{\partial(a,b)}{\partial b} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_{X} \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_{Y}}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_{X})^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}(a,b)}{\partial a^{2}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_{X} \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_{Y}}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{Y}_{SRS} - \mu_{Y})^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}(a,b)}{\partial b^{2}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_{X} \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_{X}}}$$

$$+ \Big(\overline{X}_{\textit{SRS}} - \mu_{\textit{X}} \Big) \Big(\overline{Y} - \mu_{\textit{Y}} \Big) \frac{\partial^2 \big(a, b \big)}{\partial a \partial b} \Bigg|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_{\textit{X}} \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_{\textit{Y}}}}$$

(4) $h(\overline{X}_{SPS}, \overline{Y}_{PSS}) = \hat{\overline{Y}}_{SPSL}$ where,

 $h(\mu_X, \mu_Y) = \mu_Y$, the estimators in (3) to the first degree of approximation can be written as

$$\hat{\overline{Y}}_{SRSk} \cong \overline{Y}_{SRS} + T(\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X), \tag{5}$$

where $T = \frac{\mu_{\rm Y}}{\mu_{\rm X} + q_{\rm k}}$. The bias and the MSE of the estimator,

respectively, are

$$\operatorname{Bias}\left(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{SRSk}\right) = E\left(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{SRSk}\right) - \mu_{Y}$$

$$\cong E\left(\overline{Y}_{SRS} + T\left(\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_{X}\right)\right) - \mu_{Y} = 0, \quad (6)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{SRSk}\right) \cong T^{2}\operatorname{Var}\left(\overline{X}_{SRS}\right) + \operatorname{Var}\left(\overline{Y}_{SRS}\right) + 2T\operatorname{Cov}\left(\overline{X}_{SRS}, \overline{Y}_{SRS}\right). \tag{7}$$

Using the two relations

$$\operatorname{Cov}\left(\overline{X}_{SRS}, \overline{Y}_{SRS}\right) = \beta \operatorname{Var}\left(\overline{X}_{SRS}\right), \tag{8}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}(\overline{Y}_{SRS}) \cong \beta^{2} \operatorname{Var}(\overline{X}_{SRS}) + \frac{1}{m} \sigma_{Y}^{2} (1 - \rho^{2}),$$
 (9)

$$\beta = \rho \frac{\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle Y}}{\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle Y}}$$
, $\operatorname{Var}(\bar{X}_{\scriptscriptstyle SRS}) = \frac{\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle X}^2}{m}$, and $\operatorname{Var}(\bar{Y}_{\scriptscriptstyle SRS}) = \frac{\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle Y}^2}{m}$,

the variance of \hat{Y}_{SRSk} can be written as

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\overline{Y}}_{SRSk}\right) \cong \frac{\sigma_X^2}{m} (T + \beta)^2 + \frac{1}{m} \sigma_Y^2 (1 - \rho^2). \tag{10}$$

Note that the Variance and the MSE of the estimators are the same since the estimators are approximately unbiased.

B. RSS product estimator

Taylor expansion as
$$h(\overline{X}_{SRS}, \overline{Y}_{RSS}) \cong h(\mu_X, \mu_Y) + (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X) \frac{\partial(a,b)}{\partial a} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}}} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{X}_{SRS} - \mu_X)^2 \frac{\partial^2(a,b)}{\partial a^2} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_Y}}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_X}}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_X}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_X}}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} = \mu_X \\ \overline{Y} = \mu_X}}} \Big|_{\substack{\overline{X} =$$

 $\left(X_{m(m:m)j},Y_{m[m:m]j}\right)$ denote the RSS of size m. The RSS product-type estimator of the population mean of Y from a sample of size m is defined as

$$\hat{\overline{Y}}_{RSS1} = \overline{Y}_{RSS} \left(\frac{\overline{X}_{RSS} + q_1}{\mu_X + q_1} \right)$$

$$\hat{\overline{Y}}_{RSS3} = \overline{Y}_{RSS} \left(\frac{\overline{X}_{RSS} + q_3}{\mu_X + q_3} \right), \tag{11}$$

$$\overline{X}_{RSS} = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{i(i:m)j} \text{ and } \overline{Y}_{RSS} = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} Y_{i[i:m]j}.$$

Using (4), the estimator given in (11) can be written as

$$\hat{\overline{Y}}_{RSSk} \cong \overline{Y}_{RSS} + T(\overline{X}_{RSS} - \mu_X). \tag{12}$$
The bias and the MSE of (12), respectively, are given by

$$\operatorname{Bias}\left(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{RSSk}\right) \cong E\left(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{RSSk}\right) - \mu_{Y}$$

$$\cong E\left(\overline{Y}_{RSS} + T\left(\overline{X}_{RSS} - \mu_{X}\right)\right) - \mu_{Y} = 0, \quad (13)$$

ISSN: 2517-9934 Vol:3, No:1, 2009

and

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{RSSk}\right) \cong T^{2}\operatorname{Var}\left(\overline{X}_{RSS}\right) + \operatorname{Var}\left(\overline{Y}_{RSS}\right) + 2T\operatorname{Cov}\left(\overline{X}_{RSS}, \overline{Y}_{RSS}\right). \tag{14}$$

where,

$$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{X}_{RSS}) = \frac{\sigma_X^2}{m} - \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mu_{(i:m)} - \mu_X)^2$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\overline{Y}_{RSS}\right) = \frac{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}{m} - \frac{1}{m^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\mu_{[i:m]} - \mu_{Y}\right)^{2}.$$

Using the two relations

$$Cov(\overline{X}_{RSS}, \overline{Y}_{RSS}) = \beta Var(\overline{X}_{RSS}), \tag{15}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}(\overline{Y}_{RSS}) \cong \beta^2 \operatorname{Var}(\overline{X}_{RSS}) + \frac{1}{m} \sigma_Y^2 (1 - \rho^2), \quad (16)$$

the variance is given by

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{RSSk}\right) \cong \operatorname{Var}\left(\overline{X}_{RSS}\right) \left(T+\beta\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{m}\sigma_{Y}^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)$$

$$= \left(T+\beta\right)^{2} \left(\frac{\sigma_{X}^{2}}{m} - \frac{1}{m^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\mu_{(i:m)} - \mu_{X}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{m}\sigma_{Y}^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)$$
(17)

The Efficiency of $\hat{\overline{Y}}_{RSSk}$ with respect to $\hat{\overline{Y}}_{SRSk}$ for estimating the population mean μ_{Y} is defined as:

$$eff\left(\hat{\overline{Y}}_{SRSk}, \hat{\overline{Y}}_{RSSk}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\overline{Y}_{SRSk}\right)}{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\overline{Y}}_{RSSk}\right)}$$

$$\cong \frac{\frac{\sigma_{X}^{2}}{m}(T+\beta)^{2} + \frac{1}{m}\sigma_{Y}^{2}(1-\rho^{2})}{(T+\beta)^{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{X}^{2}}{m} - \frac{1}{m^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(\mu_{(i:m)} - \mu_{X})^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{m}\sigma_{Y}^{2}(1-\rho^{2})}$$

$$\cong \frac{\sigma_{X}^{2}(T+\beta)^{2} + \sigma_{Y}^{2}(1-\rho^{2})}{(T+\beta)^{2}\left(\sigma_{X}^{2} - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(\mu_{(i:m)} - \mu_{X})^{2}\right) + \sigma_{Y}^{2}(1-\rho^{2})}$$

$$\cong \frac{\sigma_X^2 (T+\beta)^2 + \sigma_Y^2 (1-\rho^2)}{(T+\beta)^2 \sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2 (1-\rho^2) - (T+\beta)^2 \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\mu_{(i:m)} - \mu_X)^2}$$

$$\cong \frac{1}{1 - \frac{(T+\beta)^2 \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\mu_{(i:m)} - \mu_X)^2}{\sigma_X^2 (T+\beta)^2 + \sigma_Y^2 (1-\rho^2)}}.$$
(18)

Since
$$(T+\beta)^2$$
, $\frac{\beta^2}{(\mu_X+q_L)^2}$ and $\frac{1}{m}\sigma_Y^2(1-\rho^2)$ are

fixed in the numerator and denominator and since $\operatorname{Var}\left(\overline{X}_{RSS}\right) < \operatorname{Var}\left(\overline{X}_{SRS}\right)$ (Takahasi and Wakimoto,

1968), therefore
$$eff\left(\hat{\overline{Y}}_{SRSk},\hat{\overline{Y}}_{RSSk}\right) > 1$$
. Implies that $\hat{\overline{Y}}_{RSSk}$ is

more efficient than $\hat{\overline{Y}}_{SRSk}$ based on the same number of measured units.

III. SIMULATION STUDY

In this section, simulation study is conducted to investigate the performance of \hat{Y}_{SRSk} and \hat{Y}_{RSSk} methods for estimating the population mean where the ranking was performed on the variable X. The samples were generated from bivariate normal distribution with parameters $\mu_X=2$, $\mu_Y=4$, $\sigma_X^2=\sigma_Y^2=1$ and $\rho=\pm 0.99,\,\pm 0.90,\,\pm 0.80,\,\pm 0.70,\pm 0.50,\pm 0.25$.

Based on 60,000 replications, the efficiency and bias values of \hat{Y}_{SRSk} and \hat{Y}_{RSSk} are obtained and the results for m=2,3,4,5,6 based on the knowledge of q_1 are presented in Table 1 and the results are summarized in Table 2 when q_3 is known. The efficiency of \hat{Y}_{RSSk} with respect to \hat{Y}_{SRSk} is obtained using Equation (18). In this section the ranking is performed on the variable X, however the whole process can be repeated while the ranking is performed on the variable Y.

Vol:3, No:1, 2009

Table I The efficiency and bias values of $\hat{\overline{Y}}_{RSSk}$ with respect to $\hat{\overline{Y}}_{SRSk}$ for m=2,3,4,5,6 with different values of ρ when g_1 is known

TABLE II THE EFFICIENCY AND BIAS VALUES OF $\hat{\overline{Y}}_{RSSk}$ WITH RESPECT TO $\hat{\overline{Y}}_{SRSk}$ FOR m=2,3,4,5,6 WITH DIFFERENT VALUES OF ρ WHEN q_2 IS KNOWN

WHEN q_1 IS KNOWN								WHEN $q_3^{}$ IS KNOWN						
ρ		m=2	m = 3	m = 4	m = 5	m=6	ρ		m = 2	m = 3	m = 4	m = 5	m = 6	
0.99	Eff	1.482	1.926	2.406	2.879	3.263	0.99	Eff	1.480	1.918	2.377	2.865	3.244	
	Bias RSS	0.089	0.051	0.033	0.024	0.014		Bias RSS	0.072	0.040	0.024	0.013	0.012	
	Bias SRS	0.151	0.098	0.075	0.059	0.048		Bias SRS	0.116	0.072	0.057	0.039	0.035	
0.90	Eff	1.445	1.858	2.303	2.647	2.970	0.90	Eff	1.420	1.826	2.228	2.627	2.910	
	Bias RSS	0.093	0.048	0.028	0.020	0.018		Bias RSS	0.063	0.034	0.020	0.013	0.011	
	Bias SRS	0.148	0.084	0.067	0.050	0.041		Bias SRS	0.096	0.060	0.050	0.041	0.034	
0.80	Eff	1.429	1.816	2.162	2.486	2.724	0.80	Eff	1.387	1.747	2.080	2.372	2.564	
	Bias RSS	0.087	0.042	0.025	0.017	0.010		Bias RSS	0.063	0.030	0.019	0.015	0.008	
	Bias SRS	0.123	0.089	0.063	0.045	0.037		Bias SRS	0.088	0.066	0.040	0.035	0.032	
0.70	Eff	1.379	1.747	2.044	2.319	2.619	0.70	Eff	1.376	1.651	1.944	2.159	2.334	
	Bias RSS	0.079	0.036	0.024	0.012	0.010		Bias RSS	0.053	0.024	0.012	0.010	0.005	
	Bias SRS	0.111	0.064	0.053	0.038	0.037		Bias SRS	0.080	0.053	0.041	0.028	0.023	
0.50	Eff	1.352	1.623	1.871	2.071	2.216	0.50	Eff	1.301	1.508	1.700	1.875	1.983	
	Bias RSS	0.045	0.026	0.016	0.013	0.009		Bias RSS	0.039	0.023	0.011	0.010	0.004	
	Bias SRS	0.070	0.049	0.041	0.030	0.025		Bias SRS	0.047	0.038	0.026	0.023	0.020	
0.25	Eff	1.290	1.497	1.680	1.817	1.952	0.25	Eff	1.215	1.406	1.518	1.614	1.644	
	Bias RSS	0.026	0.011	0.013	0.009	0.000		Bias RSS	0.018	0.008	0.007	0.003	0.000	
	Bias SRS	0.034	0.023	0.021	0.015	0.014		Bias SRS	0.028	0.019	0.013	0.010	0.007	
-0.99	Eff	1.796	2.244	2.687	2.872	2.896	-0.99	Eff	1.668	2.005	2.140	2.160	2.197	
	Bias RSS	-0.101	-0.052	-0.032	-0.021	-0.015		Bias RSS	-0.072	-0.036	-0.022	-0.014	-0.011	
	Bias SRS	-0.148	-0.102	-0.075	-0.059	-0.049		Bias SRS	-0.106	-0.071	-0.053	-0.042	-0.036	
-0.90	Eff	1.287	1.372	1.428	1.431	1.454	-0.90	Eff	1.147	1.151	1.123	1.120	1.110	
	Bias RSS	-0.092	-0.048	-0.027	-0.020	-0.014		Bias RSS	-0.065	-0.034	-0.020	-0.012	-0.008	
	Bias SRS	-0.134	-0.091	-0.067	-0.054	-0.046		Bias SRS	-0.098	-0.064	-0.048	-0.037	-0.033	
-0.80	Eff	1.186	1.279	1.299	1.332	1.345	-0.80	Eff	1.087	1.066	1.069	1.073	1.076	
	Bias RSS	-0.082	-0.045	-0.024	-0.017	-0.012		Bias RSS	-0.057	-0.029	-0.017	-0.010	-0.008	
	Bias SRS	-0.012	-0.082	-0.060	-0.048	-0.041		Bias SRS	-0.089	-0.058	-0.044	-0.034	-0.028	
-0.70	Eff	1.163	1.253	1.297	1.337	1.346	-0.70	Eff	1.043	1.046	1.068	1.060	1.062	
	Bias RSS	-0.075	-0.038	-0.019	-0.013	-0.011		Bias RSS	-0.051	-0.027	-0.014	-0.010	-0.008	
	Bias SRS	-0.105	-0.067	-0.054	-0.046	-0.036		Bias SRS	-0.072	-0.050	-0.036	-0.032	-0.023	
-0.50	Eff	1.160	1.268	1.308	1.374	1.394	-0.50	Eff	1.062	1.079	1.112	1.119	1.121	
	Bias RSS	-0.048	-0.030	-0.025	-0.012	-0.010		Bias RSS	-0.036	-0.021	-0.012	-0.006	-0.004	
	Bias SRS	-0.073	-0.054	-0.050	-0.027	-0.025		Bias SRS	-0.054	-0.041	-0.027	-0.019	-0.017	
-0.25	Eff	1.196	1.315	1.421	1.485	1.547	-0.25	Eff	1.121	1.164	1.202	1.223	1.238	
	Bias RSS	-0.027	-0.011	-0.011	-0.005	-0.002		Bias RSS	-0.020	-0.009	-0.006	-0.006	0.001	
	Bias SRS	-0.043	-0.024	-0.020	-0.016	-0.010		Bias SRS	-0.032	-0.020	-0.016	-0.010	-0.011	

International Journal of Engineering, Mathematical and Physical Sciences

ISSN: 2517-9934 Vol:3, No:1, 2009

Based on the results in Table 1 and 2, the following remarks can be concluded:

- 1). For small values of ρ , a gain in efficiency is obtained based on the suggested estimators using RSS with respect to SRS for all cases considered in this study.
- 2). The efficiency of the RSS estimators is increasing in the sample size. For example, for $\rho = 0.80$ assuming q_1 is known, for m = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 the efficiencies are 1.429, 1.816, 2.162, 2.486 and 2.724 respectively.
- 3). The efficiencies obtained when q_1 is known are seems to be larger than those obtained when q_3 is known. For example for m=4 and $\rho=0.70$, the efficiency for q_1 is 2.044 while for q_3 the efficiency is 1.944.
- 4). When $\rho < 1$, the bias is negative while for $\rho > 1$ the bias is positive.

The efficiency of \hat{Y}_{RSS1} and \hat{Y}_{RSS3} is decreasing in ρ . For example, for m=3 and q_3 , the efficiency for $\rho=0.99,0.90,0.80,0.70,0.50,0.25$, respectively are 1.918, 1.826, 1.747, 1.651, 1.508 and 1.406.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we suggested new product-type estimators for the population mean are suggested using SRS and RSS methods providing that the first or third quartile of the auxiliary variable is known. We obtained the bias and MSE equations of the suggested estimators. The results showed that the estimators are approximately unbiased for the first degree of approximation and the RSS estimators are more efficient than SRS estimators. However, for small values of the correlation coefficient the efficiency still greater than 1.

REFERENCES

- Cochran, W.G. (1977). Sampling Technique. 3rd edition, Wiley and Sons. New York.
- [2] Jemain, A. A. and Al-Omari, A. I. (2006). Multistage median ranked set samples for estimating the population mean, Pakistan Journal of Statistics, 22(3): 195-207.
- [3] McIntyre, G. A. (1952). A method for unbiased selective sampling using ranked sets, Australian Journal of Agricultural Research. 3: 385-390.
- [4] Muttlak, H. A., (1997). Median ranked set sampling, Journal of Applied Statistical Sciences. 6(4): 245-255.
- [5] Pandey, B.N. and Dubey, V. (1998). Modified product estimator using coefficient of variation of auxiliary variable, Assam Statistical Rev., 2(2): 64-66.
- [6] Samawi. H. M. and Muttlak, H. A. (1996). Estimation of ratio using rank set sampling. The Biometrical Journal. 36(6): 753-764.

- [7] Singh, H. P. and Tailor, R. (2003). Use of known correlation coefficient in estimating the finite population mean. Statistics in Transition. 6 (4): 555-560
- [8] Takahasi K. and Wakimoto, K. (1968). On unbiased estimates of the population mean based on the sample stratified by means of ordering. Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics 20: 1-31.
- [9] Upadhyaya, L.N. and Singh, H.P. (1999). Use of transformed auxiliary variable in estimating the finite population mean. Biometrical Journal, 41(5): 627-636.