

On the Mechanism Broadening of Optical Spectrum of a Solvated Electron in Ammonia

V.K. Mukhomorov

Abstract—The solvated electron is self-trapped (polaron) owing to strong interaction with the quantum polarization field. If the electron and quantum field are strongly coupled then the collective localized state of the field and quasi-particle is formed. In such a formation the electron motion is rather intricate. On the one hand the electron oscillated within a rather deep polarization potential well and undergoes the optical transitions, and on the other, it moves together with the center of inertia of the system and participates in the thermal random walk. The problem is to separate these motions correctly, rigorously taking into account the conservation laws. This can be conveniently done using Bogolyubov-Tyablikov method of canonical transformation to the collective coordinates. This transformation removes the translational degeneracy and allows one to develop the successive approximation algorithm for the energy and wave function while simultaneously fulfilling the law of conservation of total momentum of the system. The resulting equations determine the electron transitions and depend explicitly on the translational velocity of the quasi-particle as whole. The frequency of optical transition is calculated for the solvated electron in ammonia, and an estimate is made for the thermal-induced spectral bandwidth.

Keywords—Canonical transformations, solvated electron, width of the optical spectrum.

I. INTRODUCTION

ITS is well known [1] that the optical spectrum of a solvated electron in ammonia consists of a broad featureless asymmetric band with a long tail extended to the short-wave length region. There is vast literature on the possible mechanisms of broadening the optical spectrum of solvated electrons. However, the question of a contribution to the broadening from the translational degrees of freedom and from the corresponding velocities is still unanswered. In this work the thermal motion of quasi-particle as a whole is analyzed in the context of its effect on the broadening of the optical absorption band. The theory suggested is based on the model of solvated electron in ammonia. The electron is self-trapped (polaron) owing to strong interaction with the quantum polarization field, which is generated by the dipole ammonia molecules librating around their equilibrium positions.

V. K. Mukhomorov is with the Agrophysical Institute, St.-Petersburg, Russia (e-mail: vmukhomorov@mail.ru).

II. MATHEMATICAL METHOD

To analyze the effect of translational motion of the solvated electron on its optical spectrum, one must separate in the Hamiltonian the translation-invariant degrees of freedom from the coordinates describing the motion of the quasi-particle as whole and derive the velocity dependent equations for the electron transitions. If the electron and quantum field are strongly coupled then the collective localized state of the field and particle is formed. In such a formation the electron motion is rather intricate. On the one hand the electron oscillated within a rather deep polarization potential well and undergoes the optical transitions, and on the other, it moves together with the center of inertia of the system and participates in the thermal random walk. The problem is to separate these motions correctly, rigorously taking into account the conservation laws. This can be conveniently done using Bogolyubov-Tyablikov [2,3] method of canonical transformation to the collective coordinates. This transformation removes the translational degeneracy and allows one to develop the successive approximation algorithm for the energy and wave function while simultaneously fulfilling the law of conservation of total momentum of the system. Some of the transformed variables are generalized coordinates whose canonically conjugated momenta are the integrals of motion, which are defined by the symmetry properties of the original Hamiltonian and, hence, ultimately ensure fulfillment of the conservation laws. Following the Bogolyubov-Tyablikov method, we reformulate the adiabatic theory of the particle strongly interacting with the quantum field. The resulting equations determine the electron transitions and depend explicitly on the translational velocity of quasi-particle.

Within the effective mass one-electron continual approximation the Hamiltonian of the electron-phonon system has the form

$$H = \frac{p_r^2}{2m^*} + \gamma^2 \sum_f (V_f^{(0)} e^{ifr} b_f + V_f^{(0)*} e^{-ifr} b_f^\dagger) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_f \hbar \omega_f (b_f^\dagger b_f + b_f b_f^\dagger) \quad (1)$$

where the interaction form-factor is defined as $V_f^{(0)} = i(\hbar \omega_f / fu^{1/2})(4\pi/V)^{1/2}$, $u = (2m^* \omega_f / \hbar)^{1/2}$ and the dimensionless coupling constant is $\gamma^2 = \alpha_c$,

$\alpha_c = (1/\varepsilon_\infty - 1/\varepsilon_s)e^2u/2\hbar\omega_f$; m^* is the isotropic effective mass of electron, ω_f is the frequency of the long-wave length longitudinal optical vibrations of the polar medium; ε_∞ and ε_s are the high-frequency and low-frequency dielectric constants of the isotropically polarizable dielectric continuum, and $\mathbf{r}$ is the electron coordinate. For convenience, the system is assumed to be enclosed in a finite volume V .

In order to develop the iterative procedure for calculating the eigenfunctions and energy eigenvalues of Hamiltonian (1) we modify the canonical transformation of coordinates. For this purpose the electron coordinate is written as the vector sum of two variables

$$\mathbf{r} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\gamma + \mathbf{q}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{q}$ is independent of $\mathbf{r}$ and means the coordinate of the center of gravity of the system, and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ describes the electron motion relative to the center. Before developing the perturbation theory, let us introduce in Hamiltonian (1) instead of the phonon creation and annihilation operators, the complex phonon coordinates q_f and the corresponding operators of conjugated momentum p_f :

$$q_f = (b_f + b_{-f}^+)/\gamma\sqrt{2}, \quad p_f = i\gamma(b_f^+ - b_{-f})/\sqrt{2}, \quad (3)$$

which satisfy the commutation rule $[q_f, p_{f'}]_- = i\delta_{ff'}$. Using

Eqs. (2) and (3) and taking into account that $\partial/\partial\mathbf{r} = (\partial\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\partial\mathbf{r})\partial/\partial\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \gamma\partial/\partial\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, one can transform Hamiltonian (1) as

$$H = \frac{\gamma^2 \mathbf{p}_\lambda^2}{2m^*} + \gamma^2 \sqrt{2} \sum_f V_f^{(0)} q_f e^{i\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{q} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\gamma)} + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \sum_f \hbar\omega_f q_{-f} q_f + \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \sum_f \hbar\omega_f p_{-f} p_f. \quad (4)$$

Hamiltonian (4) is translation-invariant. According to Eq. (3) the operator of the total momentum of the system can be written as $-i\hbar\partial/\partial\mathbf{q} = -i\hbar\partial/\partial\mathbf{r} - i\hbar\sum_f \mathbf{f}q_f p_f$, so that it is a

strict integral of motion. It then follows that the $\mathbf{q}$ vector indeed means the coordinate of the center of gravity of the system. Because of a smallness of the last term in Eq. (4), the effect of interaction of the electron with the quantum field reduces mainly to the appearance of a potential well whose depth depends on the magnitude of the dimensionless coupling constant. As a result of the strong interaction, the quasi-particle is characterized by its own internal structure. The appropriate internal states can be coupled to one another by the electronic transitions.

The interaction of phonons with the charged particles is known to shift the equilibrium positions of the field oscillators relative to their unperturbed values. We thus supplement transformation (2) by the transformation of the field coordinates q_f :

$$q_f = (u_f + Q_f/\gamma) \exp(-i\mathbf{f}\mathbf{r}), \quad u_f = u_{-f}^*, \quad Q_f^+ = Q_{-f}. \quad (5)$$

The translation-invariant Q_f variables allow for the quantum fluctuations of the field near its new self-consistent classical value, which is determined by the set of c -numbers u_f to be evaluated in the follows. Note that the introduction of new coordinates (2) results in the appearance of three extra degrees of freedom in comparison to the original system. We therefore impose three additional conditions on the Q_f coordinates, which can be chosen in a linear form without loss of generality:

$$\sum_f \mathbf{f} v_f^* Q_f = 0. \quad (6)$$

This requirements, allow one to retain the number of independent variables after introducing the new electron and field coordinates. The v_f values can be chosen in such a way that the orthonormalization condition

$$\sum_f f_\alpha f_\beta v_f^* u_f = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3 \quad (7)$$

is fulfilled together with the requirement that $v_f^* = v_{-f}$. The coordinate transformations (2) and (5) provide fulfillment of the conservation law for the total momentum. Hamiltonian (4) can be further transformed after the operator of momentum p_f is expressed in the terms of the new variables $\mathbf{q}$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and Q_f :

$$p_f = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_f} = -i \sum_k \frac{\partial Q_k}{\partial q_f} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_k} - i \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial q_f} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}} - i \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}}{\partial q_f} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}}. \quad (8)$$

Putting u_f independent of q_k and differentiating (5) with respect to q_k we get

$$\frac{\partial Q_f}{\partial q_k} = \gamma \frac{\partial q_f}{\partial q_k} e^{i\mathbf{f}\mathbf{q}} + i\gamma \mathbf{f} q_f e^{i\mathbf{f}\mathbf{q}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial q_k} = \gamma e^{i\mathbf{f}\mathbf{q}} \left[\delta_{fk} + i\mathbf{f}(u_f + \frac{1}{\gamma} Q_f) e^{-i\mathbf{f}\mathbf{q}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial q_k} \right]. \quad (9)$$

The derivative $\partial \mathbf{q} / \partial q_k$ is found by inserting (5) in the additional condition (6) and differentiating the identity obtained. The result is

$$\sum_f \mathbf{f} v_f^* (\delta_{kf} e^{i\mathbf{f}\mathbf{q}} + i\mathbf{f} q_f e^{i\mathbf{f}\mathbf{q}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial q_f}) = 0. \quad (10)$$

The equation for the partial derivative of $\mathbf{q}$ with respect to q_k is obtained from Eq. (10) taking into account transformation (5) and the condition (7)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial q_k} = i\mathbf{k} v_k^* e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_f (\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f}) v_f^* Q_f \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial q_k}. \quad (11)$$

This equation can be solved by iteration, with $1/\gamma$ as a small parameter. The following solution is then obtained within an accuracy of the terms on the order of $1/\gamma^2$:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial q_k} = i e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}} \left[\mathbf{k} v_k^* - \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_f \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{k}) v_k^* v_f^* Q_f + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \sum_{f,l} \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{l}) v_k^* v_f^* v_l^* Q_f Q_l + \dots \right]. \quad (12)$$

Using the transformation of variables (2), one determines the partial derivative $\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda} / \partial q_f = -\gamma \partial \mathbf{q} / \partial q_f$. Now, using this equality and equations (12), one finally obtains the following

expansion series for the $\partial/\partial q_f$ operator in terms of the λ , q , and Q_f variables

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_f} = & e^{i\mathbf{qf}} \{ i\gamma \mathbf{P}_f - i\gamma \mathbf{f} v_f^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \sum_k (\mathbf{fk}) v_f^* Q_k \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_k} - \\ & \sum_k \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{fk}) v_f^* v_k^* Q_k (i \sum_l l u_l \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_l} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}) + \mathbf{f} v_f^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}} + \\ & \frac{1}{\gamma} [\sum_{k,l} \mathbf{f} (\mathbf{kl}) (\mathbf{kl}) v_f^* v_k^* v_l^* Q_k Q_l (i \sum_l l u_l \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_l} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}) - \\ & \sum_k \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{kf}) v_f^* v_k^* Q_k \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_l} - \sum_k \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{kf}) v_f^* v_k^* Q_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}}] + \\ & \frac{1}{\gamma^2} [\sum_{k,l} \mathbf{f} (\mathbf{kl}) (\mathbf{kl}) v_f^* v_k^* v_l^* Q_k Q_l \sum_m m Q_m \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_m} + \\ & \sum_{k,l} \mathbf{f} (\mathbf{kl}) (\mathbf{kl}) v_f^* v_k^* v_l^* Q_k Q_l \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}}] + \dots \} \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{P}_f$ stands for the field generalized momentum; the latter is expressed as a linear combination of the $-i\partial/\partial Q_f$ momentum: $i\mathbf{P}_f = \partial/\partial Q_f - \mathbf{f} v_f^* \sum_k k u_k \partial/\partial Q_k$.

As the q coordinate is a cyclic variable, the corresponding canonically conjugated operator of momentum $-i\hbar\partial/\partial q$ (which coincides with the total momentum of the system) commutes with Hamiltonian (4). Correspondingly, the $\partial/\partial q$ operator will be further replaced throughout by the total momentum $\mathbf{P}$. In order to allow for the momentum even in the first approximation, we introduce the $\mathbf{I}$ vector such that $\mathbf{P} = \gamma^2 \mathbf{I}$. As a result, translational effects appear even in the first order. The total eigenfunction of the system can then be written as

$$\Psi(\lambda, q, Q_f) = \exp(i\gamma^2 \mathbf{I} q / \hbar) \Phi(\lambda, Q_f). \quad (14)$$

This function realizes a certain representation of the translation group and corresponds to the state with a fixed total momentum $\mathbf{P}$ of the system. It is convenient to perform, according to [3], one more unitary transformation of the wave function with respect to the Q_f variable and rewrite the total wave function as

$$\Psi(\lambda, q, Q_f) = \exp(i\gamma \sum_f s_f Q_f) \exp(i\gamma^2 \mathbf{I} q / \hbar) \Phi(\lambda, Q_f), \quad (15)$$

The complex numbers $s_f^* = s_{-f}$ and can be chosen in a way to satisfy the condition

$$\sum_f \mathbf{f} u_f s_f = 0. \quad (16)$$

Transformation (15) can be used to expand the collective coordinates Hamiltonian in descending powers of the γ parameter:

$$H = H_2 \gamma^2 + H_1 \gamma + H_0 + \dots, \quad (17)$$

where the following notations are used:

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 = & \frac{\mathbf{P}_\lambda^2}{2m^*} + \sqrt{2} \sum_f V_f^{(0)} u_f e^{i\mathbf{f} \lambda / \gamma} + \sum_f \frac{\hbar \omega_f}{2} u_{-f} u_f + \\ & \frac{1}{2} \sum_f \hbar \omega_f (s_{-f} - \frac{i\mathbf{f}}{\hbar} v_{-f}^*) (s_f + \frac{i\mathbf{f}}{\hbar} v_f^*), \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 = & \sum_f \hbar \omega_f (s_f + \frac{i\mathbf{f}}{\hbar} v_f^*) (\mathbf{f} v_{-f}^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \mathbf{P}_{-f}) + \\ & \sum_f [\sqrt{2} V_f^{(0)} e^{i\mathbf{f} \lambda / \gamma} + \hbar \omega_f u_{-f} + \\ & (s_f + \frac{i\mathbf{f}}{\hbar} v_f^*) \sum_m \hbar \omega_m (s_m + \frac{i\mathbf{m}}{\hbar} v_m^*) (\mathbf{f} m) v_{-m}^*] Q_f, \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_f \hbar \omega_f Q_{-f} Q_f - \frac{1}{2} \sum_f \hbar \omega_f [2(s_f + \frac{i\mathbf{f}}{\hbar} v_f^*) \times \\ & (-\sum_k \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{fk}) v_{-f}^* v_k^* Q_k \sum_m m u_m \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_m} + \mathbf{f} v_{-f}^* \sum_k Q_k \mathbf{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_k}) + \\ & (\mathbf{f} v_{-f}^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \mathbf{P}_{-f} + \mathbf{f} v_{-f}^* \sum_k k s_k Q_k + i \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\hbar} \sum_k \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{fk}) v_{-f}^* v_k^* Q_k) \\ & (\mathbf{f} v_f^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - \mathbf{P}_f + \mathbf{f} v_f^* \sum_k k s_k Q_k + i \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\hbar} \sum_k \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{fk}) v_f^* v_k^* Q_k)]. \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

We also require that

$$\omega_f (s_f + i v_f^* \mathbf{f} / \hbar) = -i u_f \mathbf{f} \mathbf{C}. \quad (21)$$

The physical meaning of the $\mathbf{C}$ vector will be given below. Let us expand the total wave function Φ and energy E in powers of γ :

$$\begin{aligned} E = & \gamma^2 E_2 + \gamma E_1 + E_0 + \dots, \\ \Phi = & \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \Phi_1 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \Phi_2 + \dots \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

Upon substituting (22) in the equation $H\Phi = E\Phi$ with Hamiltonian (17) and collecting the terms with the same γ powers, we obtain the following set of equations:

$$H_2 \Phi_0 = E_2 \Phi_0,$$

$$H_1 \Phi_0 + H_2 \Phi_1 = E_1 \Phi_0 + E_2 \Phi_1,$$

$$H_0 \Phi_2 + H_1 \Phi_1 + H_2 \Phi_0 = E_0 \Phi_2 + E_1 \Phi_1 + E_2 \Phi_0, \dots \quad (23)$$

Because the H_2 operator acts only on the field variables Q_f the zero-order wave function can be written in a multiplicative form $\Phi_0(\lambda, Q_f) = \phi_0(\lambda) \chi(Q_f)$, where $\chi(Q_f)$ is an arbitrary function of the Q_f coordinates. Taking into account that the functions Φ_0 and Φ_1 are orthonormal, one has from the second equation in (23): $\langle \phi_0(\lambda) | H_2 - E_2 | \Phi_1 \rangle = 0$ so that the $\chi(Q_f)$ function obeys the following equation: $\langle \phi_0(\lambda) | H_1 | \phi_0(\lambda) \rangle \chi(Q_f) = E_1 \chi(Q_f)$. This equation has a regular solution $\chi(Q_f)$ only if $\langle \phi_0(\lambda) | H_1 | \phi_0(\lambda) \rangle$ is equal to zero, because the H_1 operator is linear in the Q_f variables. Taking into account the form of Hamiltonian (19) and the obvious requirement that $E_1 = 0$, one obtains from (23) the

following relation for determining the u_f values for an arbitrarily chosen $\chi(Q)$:

$$\sqrt{2}V_f^{(0)}\langle\varphi_0(\lambda)|e^{i\mathbf{p}\lambda/\gamma}|\varphi_0(\lambda)\rangle + \hbar\omega_f u_{-f} - (s_f + i\mathbf{f}\mathbf{v}_f^*/\hbar) \times \sum_m \hbar\omega_m (s_m^* - i\mathbf{v}_m\mathbf{m}/\hbar)(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{m})v_m^* = 0. \quad (24)$$

The equation is derived under the assumptions that the operators P_f satisfy the condition $\sum_f \mathbf{f}u_f P_f = 0$ that directly

follows from the P_f definition. Substituting the additional requirement (21) and the condition (7) in (24) and assuming that the ground electronic state is described by the wave function $\varphi_0(\lambda)$, one finds from Eq. (24) the self-consistent classical field components

$$u_f = -\frac{\sqrt{2}V_f^{(0)*}\omega_f\langle\varphi_0(\lambda)|\exp(-i\mathbf{f}\lambda/\gamma)|\varphi_0(\lambda)\rangle}{\hbar(\omega_f^2 - (\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C})^2)}. \quad (25)$$

In the strong coupling limit, the H_2 term in the Hamiltonian expansion (17) dominates and bears nontrivial information about the system. Using transformations (21) and taking into account that Hamiltonian H_2 depends only on the λ variable, one can write the energy eigenvalue of the state as $H_2\Phi_0(\lambda, Q_f) = E_2\Phi_0(\lambda, Q_f)$, where the notation

$$E_2 = W_2 + \sum_f \frac{\hbar}{2}\omega_f |u_f|^2 + \sum_f \frac{\hbar}{2}\omega_f |u_f|^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C}}{\omega_f}\right)^2, \quad (26)$$

is introduced. The first two terms in Eq.(26) define the internal energy of the quasi-particle.

The equation for determining the lowest energy state wave function $\varphi_0(\lambda)$ has the form

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_\lambda^2}{2m^*} + \sqrt{2}\sum_f V_f^{(0)}u_f e^{i\mathbf{p}\lambda/\gamma}\right)\varphi_0(\lambda) = W_2\varphi_0(\lambda). \quad (27)$$

Using Eq. (25), it can be recast as

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_\lambda^2}{2m^*} - 2\sum_f \frac{\omega_f |V_f^{(0)}|^2 \langle\varphi_0(\lambda)|e^{-i\mathbf{p}\lambda/\gamma}|\varphi_0(\lambda)\rangle}{\hbar(\omega_f^2 - (\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C})^2)} e^{i\mathbf{p}\lambda/\gamma}\right)\varphi_0(\lambda) = W_2\varphi_0(\lambda), \quad (28)$$

which parametrically depends on the $\mathbf{C}$ vector.

Let us now clarify the physical meaning of the $\mathbf{C}$ vector. For this purpose, we differentiate E_2 in (26) with respect to $\mathbf{C}$

$$\frac{\partial E_2}{\partial C_\alpha} = \frac{\partial W_2}{\partial C_\alpha} + \sum_f \frac{\hbar\omega_f}{2} \left(u_f \frac{\partial u_f^*}{\partial C_\alpha} + u_f^* \frac{\partial u_f}{\partial C_\alpha}\right) \times \left(1 + \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C}}{\omega_f}\right)^2\right) + \sum_f \hbar |u_f|^2 f_\alpha \frac{(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C})^2}{\omega_f}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3, \quad (29)$$

The $\partial W_2 / \partial \mathbf{C}$ derivative can be found using Eq. (27). This can be done by differentiating (27) with respect to $\mathbf{C}$,

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_\lambda^2}{2m^*} + \sqrt{2}\sum_f V_f^{(0)}u_f e^{i\mathbf{p}\lambda/\gamma}\right)\frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial C_\alpha} + \sqrt{2}\sum_f V_f^{(0)}\frac{\partial u_f}{\partial C_\alpha} e^{i\mathbf{p}\lambda/\gamma}\varphi_0 -$$

$$-\frac{\partial W_2}{\partial C_\alpha}\varphi_0 - W_2\frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial C_\alpha} = 0. \quad (30)$$

The average of Eq. (30) for the state with the wave function $\varphi_0(\lambda)$ is

$$\frac{\partial W_2}{\partial C_\alpha} = \sqrt{2}\sum_f V_f^{(0)}\left\langle\varphi_0(\lambda)\left|\frac{\partial u_f}{\partial C_\alpha}e^{i\mathbf{p}\lambda/\gamma}\right|\varphi_0(\lambda)\right\rangle. \quad (31)$$

Using the value obtained of the classical field component u_f (25), equation (31) can be transformed to

$$\sqrt{2}V_f^{(0)*}\left\langle\varphi_0(\lambda)\left|e^{i\mathbf{p}\lambda/\gamma}\right|\varphi_0(\lambda)\right\rangle = -\frac{\hbar u_f}{\omega_f}(\omega_f^2 - (\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C})^2), \quad (32)$$

Then, instead of (31), the required derivative can be represented as

$$\frac{\partial W_2}{\partial C_\alpha} = -\sum_f \frac{\hbar u_f^*}{f\omega_f}(\omega_f^2 - (\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C})^2)\frac{\partial u_f}{\partial C_\alpha}. \quad (33)$$

Substituting Eq. (33) into (30), we get

$$\frac{\partial E_2}{\partial C_\alpha} = \sum_f \frac{\hbar(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C})^2}{f\omega_f} \left(u_f^* \frac{\partial u_f}{\partial C_\alpha} + u_f \frac{\partial u_f^*}{\partial C_\alpha}\right) + \sum_f \hbar\omega_f |u_f|^2 f_\alpha \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C}}{\omega_f}\right)^2. \quad (34)$$

We now determine the $\mathbf{I}$ vector. For this purpose, let us multiply condition (21) by $\mathbf{f}u_f$ and sum over the wave vector $\mathbf{f}$. After applying the requirement (7) and condition (16), we obtain the following expression for the $\mathbf{I}$ vector:

$$\mathbf{I} = \hbar\sum_f \frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C})|u_f|^2}{\omega_f}. \quad (35)$$

Let us differentiate (35) with respect to the $\mathbf{C}$ vector,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{I}}{\partial \mathbf{C}} = \hbar\sum_f \frac{(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f})}{f\omega_f} |u_f|^2 + \hbar\sum_f \mathbf{f} \frac{(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C})}{\omega_f} u_f \frac{\partial u_f}{\partial \mathbf{C}} + \hbar\sum_f \mathbf{f} \frac{(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C})}{\omega_f} u_f^* \frac{\partial u_f^*}{\partial \mathbf{C}} \quad (36)$$

One can easily see from a comparison of Eq. (34) with (36) that

$$\frac{\partial E_2}{\partial C_\beta} = \sum_\alpha C_\alpha \frac{\partial I_\alpha}{\partial C_\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3. \quad (37)$$

We finally obtain

$$\frac{\partial E_2}{\partial I_\alpha} = \sum_\gamma \frac{\partial E_2}{\partial C_\gamma} \frac{\partial C_\gamma}{\partial I_\alpha} = \sum_\beta C_\beta \left(\sum_\gamma \frac{\partial I_\beta}{\partial C_\gamma} \frac{\partial C_\gamma}{\partial I_\alpha}\right) = \sum_\beta C_\beta \frac{\partial I_\beta}{\partial I_\alpha} = C_\alpha. \quad (38)$$

Consequently, the following result is obtained after using the definition for the total momentum $\mathbf{P} = \gamma^2 \mathbf{I}$: $\mathbf{C} = \gamma^2 \partial E_2 / \partial \mathbf{P}$.

However, by definition, $\partial E_2 / \partial \mathbf{P}$ is merely the velocity $\mathbf{v}$. Therefore, the $\mathbf{C}$ vector is related to the translational velocity of quasi-particle by expression:

$$\mathbf{C} = \gamma^2 \partial E_2 / \partial \mathbf{P} = \gamma^2 \mathbf{v}, \quad (39)$$

and determines, to the γ^2 factor, the mean velocity of the center of inertia of system. Hence, the energy eigenvalue (28) of the self-consistent ground electronic state W_2 explicitly depends on the translational velocity of the quasi-particle.

Let us now determine the translational effective mass of the quasi-particle. Using (26) and assuming that the velocity of the center of inertia is small, which ordinary holds for thermal motion, we expand the energy eigenvalue of the system in series

$$E_2^{(0)} = W_2^{(0)} + \sum_f \frac{\hbar\omega_f}{2} |u_f^{(0)}|^2 + \sum_f \frac{\hbar}{2} |u_f^{(0)}|^2 \frac{(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C})^2}{\omega_f} + \dots \quad (40)$$

The quantities that correspond to the zero translational velocity of quasi-particle are labeled by superscripts in formula (40). After substituting relation (39) in (40), the following expression is finally obtained for the ground state energy of the system:

$$E_2^{(0)} = W_2^{(0)} + \sum_f \frac{\hbar\omega_f}{2} |u_f^{(0)}|^2 + \sum_f \frac{\gamma^4 \hbar}{2} |u_f^{(0)}|^2 \frac{(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{v})^2}{\omega_f} + \dots \quad (41)$$

The last term in (41) can be regarded as the kinetic energy of the translational motion of the particle as a whole: $E_{kin} = m^{**} v^2 / 2$, where the notation m^{**} stands for the ground state translational mass of the quasi-particle,

$$m^{**} = \frac{\gamma^4}{3} \sum_f \frac{\hbar(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f}) |u_f^{(0)}|^2}{\omega_f} = \frac{\gamma^4}{3} \sum_f \frac{2 |V_f^{(0)}|^2 |\langle \varphi_0(\lambda) | \exp(i\mathbf{f}\lambda/\gamma) | \varphi_0(\lambda) \rangle|^2 (\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f})}{\hbar\omega_f^3} \quad (42)$$

If the electron is trapped by the polarization field, the interaction of the particle with the field fully “consumes” the rest mass of an electron. Indeed, it follows from the order-of-magnitude analysis of the variables in Eq. (42) that the effective mass $m^{**} \approx \gamma^8 m^* \gg m^*$ is dominated by the field inertia.

The translational mass m^{**} can be calculated using (42) if the wave function $\varphi_0(\lambda)$ is known. It can be found by solving the nonlinear integro-differential equation (27). However, in practice, it is convenient to determine the ground state wave function using a direct variational method and varying the total energy functional

$$F[\varphi_0(\lambda)] = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \langle \varphi_0(\lambda) | \nabla^2 | \varphi_0(\lambda) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_f \hbar\omega_f |u_f^{(0)}|^2, \quad (43)$$

with

$$u_f^{(0)} = -\frac{\sqrt{2} V_f^{(0)*} \langle \varphi_0(\lambda) | \exp(-i\mathbf{f}\lambda/\gamma) | \varphi_0(\lambda) \rangle}{\hbar\omega_f}.$$

The approximate analytic form of a trial variational wave function $\varphi_0(\lambda)$ of a nondegenerate ground state can be established by expanding the exponential in equation (27).

Upon restricting ourselves to the quadratic terms in the resultant series, we obtain the oscillator equation

$$\left\{ \frac{p_\lambda^2}{2m^*} + \sqrt{2} \sum_f V_f^{(0)} u_f^{(0)} \left[1 + i \frac{\mathbf{f}\lambda}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}\lambda}{\gamma} \right)^2 + \dots \right] \right\} \varphi_0(\lambda) = W_2^{(0)} \varphi_0(\lambda), \quad (44)$$

whose solutions are the Hermite polynomials. These functions can be regarded as good approximations to the wave functions of the ground and low excited states of a system with large γ . Therefore, for slow translational motion of particle we choose the trial ground state wave function in the following analytic form: $\varphi_0(\lambda) = (\pi^3 \alpha^6 \gamma^6)^{-1/4} \exp(-\lambda / \sqrt{2\alpha\gamma})^2$, where α is the variational parameter. Such an approximation for the trial function is consistent with the results of the shifted $-1/N$ - expansion numerical technique that was applied in [4] and technique solving of nonlinear integral equation [5,6] to the analysis of equation (43).

It was established earlier that the optical transition from the ground to the lowest lying electronic p -state is most probable (oscillator strength of 0.77 [7,8]). Because the transition time $\tau_0 = (\Delta E / \hbar)^{-1} \approx 10^{-15} s$ is much shorter than the orientation-relaxation time $\tau \approx 10^{-13} s$ of the ammonia molecules, the optical transition can be considered as vertical, i.e., proceeding at a fixed value $u_f^{(0)}$ of the classical component of the polarization field in the lowest electronic state. According to this premise and based on equation (28), the initial electronic state is described by the equation:

$$\left\{ \frac{p_\lambda^2}{2m^*} - 2 \sum_f \frac{|V_f^{(0)}|^2}{\hbar\omega_f} \langle \varphi_0(\lambda) | e^{-i\mathbf{f}\lambda/\gamma} | \varphi_0(\lambda) \rangle e^{i\mathbf{f}\lambda/\gamma} \right\} \varphi_0(\lambda) - 2 \sum_f \frac{|V_f^{(0)}|^2}{\hbar\omega_f} \langle \varphi_0(\lambda) | e^{-i\mathbf{f}\lambda/\gamma} | \varphi_0(\lambda) \rangle e^{i\mathbf{f}\lambda/\gamma} \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C}}{\omega_f} \right)^2 \varphi_0(\lambda) = (W_0^{(0)} + W_0^{(1)}) \varphi_0(\lambda), \quad (45)$$

whereas the final state of electronic optical transition obeys the equation

$$\left\{ \frac{p_\lambda^2}{2m^*} - 2 \sum_f \frac{|V_f^{(0)}|^2}{\hbar\omega_f} \langle \varphi_0(\lambda) | e^{-i\mathbf{f}\lambda/\gamma} | \varphi_0(\lambda) \rangle e^{i\mathbf{f}\lambda/\gamma} \right\} \varphi_k(\lambda) - 2 \sum_f \frac{|V_f^{(0)}|^2}{\hbar\omega_f} \langle \varphi_0(\lambda) | e^{-i\mathbf{f}\lambda/\gamma} | \varphi_0(\lambda) \rangle e^{i\mathbf{f}\lambda/\gamma} \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{C}}{\omega_f} \right)^2 \varphi_k(\lambda) = (W_k^{(0)} + W_k^{(1)}) \varphi_k(\lambda). \quad (46)$$

In equations (45) and (46), the translational velocity of quasi-particle is assumed to be small, i.e., $(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{v})^2 < \omega_f^2$ and only quadratic terms are retained in the expansion of the potential. The wave function of the excited electronic p -state is chosen in the form

$$\varphi_k(\lambda) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi^{3/2} \gamma^5 \beta^5} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\lambda}{\gamma} \cos \mathcal{R} e^{-(\lambda/\sqrt{2}\beta\gamma)^2} \quad (47)$$

where β is the variational parameter.

It is convenient to transfer from (45) to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} W_0^{(0)} + W_0^{(1)} &= \left\langle \varphi_0(\lambda) \left| \frac{p_\lambda^2}{2m} \right| \varphi_0(\lambda) \right\rangle - 2\gamma^2 \sum_f \frac{|V_f^{(0)}|^2 \rho_f^{(0)} \rho_f^{(0)*}}{\hbar \omega_f} - \\ &\quad 2\gamma^2 \sum_f \frac{|V_f^{(0)}|^2 \rho_f^{(0)} \rho_f^{(0)*}}{\hbar \omega_f} \left(\frac{fv}{\omega_f} \right)^2, \\ W_k^{(0)} + W_k^{(1)} &= \left\langle \varphi_k(\lambda) \left| \frac{p_\lambda^2}{2m} \right| \varphi_k(\lambda) \right\rangle - 2\gamma^2 \sum_f \frac{|V_f^{(0)}|^2 \rho_f^{(0)} \rho_f^{(k)*}}{\hbar \omega_f} - \\ &\quad 2\gamma^2 \sum_f \frac{|V_f^{(0)}|^2 \rho_f^{(0)} \rho_f^{(k)*}}{\hbar \omega_f} \left(\frac{fv}{\omega_f} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Here $\rho_f^{(0)}$ and $\rho_f^{(k)}$ are the Fourier transforms of electron densities in the ground and excited electronic states. In the adopted approximation, the frequency of the most active electronic dipole transition can be written as

$$\hbar\Omega_{0k} = (W_k^{(0)} - W_0^{(0)}) + (W_k^{(1)} - W_0^{(1)}) = \hbar\Omega_{0k}^{(0)} + \hbar\Omega_{0k}^{(1)}, \quad (49)$$

where the second term depends on the quasi-particle velocity, whereas the first term determines the optical transition frequency at the band maximum in the state with the zero center-of-mass velocity. The frequency $\hbar\Omega_{0k}^{(1)}$ can be found from equation (48)

$$\hbar\Omega_{0k}^{(1)} = S_{0k} v^2. \quad (50)$$

The following

$$S_{0k} = 2\gamma^4 \sum_f \frac{(\mathbf{ff}) | V_f^{(0)} |}{\hbar \omega_f^3} \rho_f^{(0)} (\rho_f^{(0)} - \rho_f^{(k)}). \quad (51)$$

III. RESULTS

Such an approach in the phototransition calculation is justified if the impurity absorption spectrum lies between the IR-absorption region of oscillating dipoles and the absorption region of the strongly bound electrons of base material. These criteria are fulfilled for the additional electrons in ammonia. We assume that the solvated electrons in ammonia are in thermal equilibrium and that the quasi-particle distribution over the velocities $\mathbf{v}$ is Maxwellian:

$F(\mathbf{v}) = \pi^{-3/2} v_0^{-3} e^{-(\mathbf{v}/v_0)^2}$, where $v_0^2 = 2kT/m^*$. Then, the full width at half maximum of the optical absorption band is related to the standard deviation D as $W_{1/2} = D2\sqrt{2\ln 2}$. In this approximation, the intensity is symmetrically distributed relative to the $\hbar\Omega_0^{(0)}$ frequency. The band becomes asymmetric in the presence of photo transitions to high-lying electron excited states [7]. With the Maxwellian velocity distribution, the variance is

$D^2 = \langle \Omega_{0k}^2 \rangle - \langle \Omega_{0k} \rangle^2 = 6S_{0k}^2 (kT/m^*)^2$. Then, the band width $W_{1/2}$ is equal

$$W_{1/2} = 4 | S_{0k} | (kT/m^*) \sqrt{3\ln 2}. \quad (52)$$

The approach presented to estimating the broadening of the optical band is valid if the inequality $t \gg c/\Omega_{0k}^{(0)}v$ is fulfilled, where t is the mean free path time of the quasi-particle, and c is the light velocity. This inequality is fulfilled for the transition frequencies and temperatures of interest.

The width $W_{1/2}$ of the optical spectrum of the solvated electron in ammonia can be numerically estimated if the numerical parameters of the theory are given. At low concentrations of the solvated electron, the dielectric constants ϵ_∞ and ϵ_s can be set equal to their values in pure ammonia; i.e., $\epsilon_\infty = 1.756$ and $\epsilon_s = 22.7$. The electron effective mass m^* is usually determined from a comparison of the experimental and theoretical positions of the absorption band maximum. At sufficiently low temperatures, the transition frequency is dominated by the first term in (49). Indeed, for the experimental measurements at temperature $T = 225$ K [1], we have the ratio

$$\frac{\hbar\Omega_{0k}^{(1)}}{\hbar\Omega_{0k}^{(0)}} = \frac{S_{0k} v^2}{\hbar\Omega_{0k}^{(0)}} = \frac{3S_{0k} kT}{m^* \hbar\Omega_{0k}^{(0)}} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma^4} \ll 1, \quad (53)$$

In this estimate, it is taken into account that, according to formula (42), the effective mass of the solvated electron is $m^* = 0.02\gamma^8 m$. Therefore, the translational velocity contributes only insignificantly to the optical transition. It is mainly determined by the $\hbar\Omega_{0k}^{(0)} = W_0^{(0)} - W_k^{(0)}$ term. A comparison of the theoretical position of the band maximum with its experimental value 0.88 eV [1,8] yields the value of $m^* = 1.73m$ for the electron effective mass, where m is the mass of a free electron.

Let us estimate numerically the contribution from the translations of quasi-particle as whole to the full width at half maximum of the optical spectrum. For definiteness, we use the following parameter values: $\omega_0 = 5.8 \times 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}$ [1], $\gamma^2 = 13.5$. Then, formulas (51) and (52) yield the value of $W_{1/2} = 0.23$ eV for the contribution from the thermal motion of the quasi-particle, which represents an appreciable part of the experimentally observed value 0.46 eV [1,8]. The remaining part in the broadening of the optical spectrum of the solvated electron is likely to be due to the fluctuations of the polarization field [9]. Formulas (51) and (52) can be also used to calculate the temperature band-width coefficient; it occurred to be equal to $dW_{1/2}/dT = 1.03 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV/K}$. The experimentally measured [10,11] range $(0.6-1.6) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV/K}$ of the temperature coefficient is in satisfactory agreement with the calculated value.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. C. Thompson. Electron in Liquid Ammonia, Oxford: Clarendon, 1976. ch.2.
- [2] N. N. Bogolyubov. "Ob odnoi novoi forme adiabaticheskoi teorii vozmushchenii v zadache o vzaimodeistvii chastizi s kvantivim polem

- (About one new form of an adiabatic perturbation theory in a problem about interaction particles with a quantum field)" Ukr. Matem. Zh. (Russ. Ed.), vol.2, no.2, pp.3-24, 1950.
- [3] S. V. Tyablikov. "Adiabaticheskai teorii vozmusheni v zadache o vzaimodeistvii chastitsi s kvantovim polem (The adiabatic form of a perturbation theory in a problem about interaction particles with a quantum field)" Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. (Russ. Ed.), vol. 21, no. 3, pp.377-387, 1951.
- [4] V. K. Mukhomorov. "O spektre svyazannykh sostoyaniy polarona v predele adiabaticheskoi i silnoi svyazi (On a spectrum of bound states of a polaron within the limit of adiabatic and strong coupling)" Opt. Spekt., (Russ. Ed.), v.71, no.6, pp. 958-965, 1991.
- [5] V. K. Mukhomorov. "Criterion for formation of the first band of an optical polaron" Phys. Solid State, vol.42, no.9, pp. 1602-1605, 2000.
- [6] V. K. Mukhomorov. "Ground and excited states of a three-dimensional continual bipolaron" Phys. Stat. Sol (b). Vol.231, no.2, pp.462-476, 2002.
- [7] V. K. Mukhomorov, Yu. T. Mazurenko. "Analiz form i temperaturnai zavisimost opticheskogo spektra pogloschenia gidratirovannogo elektrona (The analysis of the form and temperature dependence of optical absorption spectrum of a hydrated electron)" Opt. Spekt., (Russ. Ed.), vol.41, no.6, pp. 930-935, 1976.
- [8] E.J. Hart, M. Anbar, The Hydrated Electron, New York: Wiley, 1970, ch.1.
- [9] Yu. T. Mazurenko, V. K. Mukhomorov. "O mekhanizme ushirenia opticheskogo spektra solvirovannogo elektrona (About the mechanism of a broadening of an optical spectrum of a solvated electron)" Opt. Spekt., (Russ. Ed.), vol.41, no.1, pp. 51-56, 1976.
- [10] R.C. Dauthit, J. L. Dye., "Absorption spectra of sodium and potassium in liquid ammonia", J. Am. Chem. Soc., vol. 82, no. 17, pp. 4472-4478, 1960.
- [11] S. Arai, M. G. Sayer "Absorption spectra of the solvated electron in polar liquids: Dependence on temperature and composition of mixture" J. Chem. Phys., vol.44, no. 6, pp.2297-2305, 1966.